THE

ANDHRA PRADESH LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY DEBATES

OFFICIAL REPORT

---

Second Day of the Ninth Session of the

Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly

---

ANDHRA PRADESH LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

Monday, the 14th December 1987

The House met at Half-past Eight of the clock

(Mr. Speaker in the Chair)

## BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

Sri D. K. Samarasimha Reddy (Carval) :— Sir, I am not putting any question I am seeking the Hon. Speaker's permission. Just some points of information - The otherday, e, on the first day of the naugurals, ie, IIth the Hon. Chief Minister made a statement on the floor of the House, though, it was not asked anybody - that he is going to — I want the legislative process not to be abused I want the Speaker

( Interruptions )

Mr. Speaker :— I am not allowing

Sri D. K. Samarasimha Reddy :— Sir, I am not putting any question. I am interested only in t am not asking the Speaker ..

( Interruptions )

Mr Speaker — Do you want to postpone the question hour ? There is no point in saying that it is I do not want a minute wasted.

Sri D K Samarasimha Reddy — Kindly hear me Sir.

Mr. Speaker :— Nothing to go on record.

## ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS
## SECOND BRIDGE ON VAMSADHARA RIVER

11—

★8250 Q. - Sarvasri G. S, S. Sivaji, K. Yerrannaidu, S.V.Ch. Appala Naidu and K. Rama Mohan Rao :— Will the Minister for Roads & Buildings be pleased to state :

a) whether there is any proposal to construct a second bridge on Vamsadhara river on the National High-way in Srikakulam District; and

b) if so, the time by which it is likely to be completed ?

రోడ్లు, భవనాల శాఖామంత్రి (శ్రీ కె. జానారెడ్డి) :—

ఎ) అవునండీ.

బి) ఈ వంతెనను జూన్, 1990 నాటికి పూర్తి చేసే అవకాశం ఉంది.

Q. No 12 (★ 6956) was postponed.

శ్రీ జి. ఎస్. ఎన్. శివాజి (పోలవరం) :— ఈ సంవత్సరం మూడు 20 లక్షలు ఖర్చు పెట్టడం జరుగుతుందని చెప్పారు. ఇంతవరకూ డబ్బు ఖర్చు పెట్టడం మొదలు పెట్టారా ? ఎంత ఎలాట్ చేశారు, ఎంత ఖర్చు చేశారు ?

శ్రీ కె. జానారెడ్డి :— పని మంజూరు అయినది. దానికి సంబంధించిన డిజైన్ ఎప్రూవల్‌లో ఆలస్యం అయినది. అంతే తప్ప ఇంకేమీ లేదు. 20 లక్షలకు స్టీల్ అండ్ ఐరన్ కొనడం జరిగింది, పని ప్రారంభం అయింది. కొత్త బ్రిడ్జి కట్టడానికి రెండు మూడు సంవత్సరాల టైమ్ పడుతుంది. అదే విధంగా ఇది మూడు సంవత్సరాలలో పూర్తి అవుతుంది.

శ్రీ కె. ఎర్రంనాయుడు (హరిశ్చంద్రపురము) :— మూడు సంవత్సరాలలో పూర్తి అవుతుందని, కొంత ఈ సంవత్సరం ఖర్చు చేయడం జరిగిందని మంత్రిగారు చెప్పారు. ఇప్పుడు వున్న బ్రిడ్జి ఏ సంవత్సరంలో కట్టారు ? ఇప్పుడు ఏ ఆది స్టేజిలో వున్నది ? మేము ప్రతిరోజూ ఆ బ్రిడ్జిపై నుండి ప్రయాణం చేస్తువుంటాము. ఇది మూడు రాష్ట్రాలను కలుపుతున్న బ్రిడ్జి. ఎప్పుడైనా కూలిపోయే పరిస్థితిలో వుంది. అదే జరిగితే ట్రాఫిక్‌కు తీవ్రమైన అంతరాయం కలుగుతుంది. వాస్తవంగా సైట్

మీద పని ప్రారంభించారా ? లేకపోతే ఇప్పటికైనా ప్రారంభించటానికి చర్యలు తీసుకుంటారా ?

శ్రీ కె. జానారెడ్డి :— ఇది 1931 వ సంవత్సరంలో నిర్మించిన బ్రిడ్జి. కొలాప్స్ అయ్యే స్టేజిలో వుంది కనుకనే దాని స్థానంలో కొత్త బిడ్జి కడుతున్నాము. టెండర్స్ పిలచి గవర్నమెంటు ఆఫ్ ఇండియాకు పంపితే డిజైన్‌లో మార్పు కొరకు వారు తిరిగి మా దగ్గరకు పంపడం జరిగింది. స్టీల్, ఐరన్ రేట్ పెరుగుతున్నదని వాటిని కొని పెట్టటం జరిగింది. టెండర్స్ ఎప్రూవ్ కాగానే పని ప్రారంభం అవుతుంది. మూడు సంవత్సరాలలో పూర్తి అవుతుంది.

శ్రీ మహమద్ జాని (గుంటూరు - 1) :— రాష్ట్రంలోని జాలీయ రహదారులపై ఎక్కడెక్కడ బ్రిడ్జిలు నిర్మాణం చేయబోతున్నారు ? తెనాలి వద్ద రైల్వే ఓవర్ బ్రిడ్జి కట్టే ప్రతిపాదన ఏదైనా వున్నదా ?

శ్రీ కె. జానారెడ్డి :— ప్రత్యేక ప్రశ్న వేస్తే సమాధానం తెప్పిస్తాను.

## EXCESS PAYMENT TO CONTRACTORS OF SINGOOR PROJECT

13—

★5679-Q- Sri G. Nagi Reddy :— Will the Minister for Major & Medium Irrigation be pleased to state :

a) whether it is a fact that nearly ten lakhs of rupees have been paid in excess to the contractors of Singoor Project; and

b) if so, the steps taken to recover the said amount ?

భారీ, మధ్యతరహా నీటి పారుదల శాఖా మంత్రి (శ్రీ కె. ఇ. కృష్ణమూర్తి):-

ఎ) లేదండీ.

బి) ఈ ప్రశ్నకు తావులేదు.

శ్రీ జి. నాగిరెడ్డి (ధర్మవరం) :— ఈ రిజర్వాయర్ స్టోర్ చేసే నీటి క్వాంటిటీ ఎంత ? ఎంత మంది నగరాలకు ఎంత అవసరం వుంటుంది ? దీనిని ఎప్పుడు పూర్తి చేస్తారు ?

శ్రీ కె. ఇ. కృష్ణమూర్తి :— జూన్ 88 కి 30 టి.ఎమ్.సి. నీరు నిలువ చేసేట్లుగా రూపొందించడానికి ప్రభుత్వం ప్రయత్నిస్తున్నది.

శ్రీ జనార్థనరెడ్డి (ఖైరతాబాదు) :— సింగూరు ప్రాజెక్టు జంట నగరాల మంచినీటికి చాలా అవసరమైనది. ఈ ప్రాజెక్టు నిర్మాణానికి కంట్రాక్టరుకు పని అప్పగించారా ? ఎస్టిమేటెడ్ ఎమౌంట్ ఎంత ? 85-86లో, 86-87లో ఎంత ఎమౌంట్ ఎలాట్ చేశారు, ఎంత ఖర్చు అయింది ? ఎప్పటికి పూర్తి అవుతుంది ?

శ్రీ కె.ఇ. కృష్ణమూర్తి :— ప్రాజెక్టు ఎస్టిమేషన్ 89 కోట్ల 40 లక్షలు. మార్చి 87 వరకూ 61 కోట్ల 87 లక్షలు ఖర్చు చేయడం జరిగింది. ఈ సంవత్సరం 13 కోట్ల రూపాయలు బడ్జెట్‌లో కేటాయించడం జరిగింది. 15-11-87 వరకూ 7 కోట్ల 90 లక్షలు ఖర్చు చేయడం జరిగింది. జూన్ 88కి మొత్తం ప్రాజెక్టు పూర్తి చేసే స్థితిలో ప్రభుత్వం వుందని మనవి చేస్తున్నాను.

శ్రీ యం. బాగారెడ్డి (జహీరాబాద్) :— అధ్యక్ష, ఈ సింగూరు ప్రాజక్టును సంగారెడ్డి వద్ద నిర్మిస్తున్నాము. దానిమీద బీదరు దగ్గర మీడియం యిరిగేషను పై జుది
) a m. కర్నాటక వారు ఏమి చేస్తున్నారంటే మైనరు యిరిగేషను పేరుతో ప్లేజి 1, 2, 3 అని పెట్టి బీదరు దగ్గర ఇండస్ట్రీస్‌కు సప్లయి చేయడానికి ప్రాజక్టును కట్టడానికి పైనలైజ్ చేసి యిప్పుడు పని ప్రారంభించిన విషయం ప్రభుత్వానికి తెలుసా ? తెలిస్తే యీ సింగూరు ప్రాజక్టు - బీదరు వద్ద ప్రాజక్టు కట్టినట్లయితే - దిస్. This will be a historical monument without water. దానిని అరికట్టడానికి ప్రయత్నం ఏమి చేస్తుంది ?

శ్రీ కె.ఇ. కృష్ణమూర్తి :— కృష్ణా, గోదావరి నదుల పైన, పైమన్న రాష్ట్రాలు కడుతున్న అనేక మైనరు యిరిగేషను ప్రాజక్టులనుగాని లిఫ్ట్ యిరిగేషను ప్రాజక్టులకుగాని యీ ప్రభుత్వం అభ్యంతరం తెలిపింది. దానికనుగుణంగా అనేక ఉత్తరాలు వ్రాయడం జరిగింది. అంతేగాకుండా గత నెలలో యీ ప్రభుత్వ సెక్రటరీని, ప్రాజక్టు కమీషనరునుకూడా కర్నాటకవారితో సంప్రదింపులు జరపడానికి పంపించడం జరిగింది. గౌరవ ప్రతిపక్ష నాయకులు బాగారెడ్డిగారు చెప్పిన బీదరు ప్రాజక్టు గురించి ప్రభుత్వానికి సమాచారం లేదు. తప్పనిసరిగా దాని గురించి చర్య తీసుకుంటామని మనవి చేస్తున్నాను.

శ్రీ యం. బాగారెడ్డి :— మనం మొట్టమొదట తెలుసుకోవలసిన విషయం-

కర్నాటకలో ఎన్ని ప్రాజక్టులు కడుతున్నారు. ఎన్ని టి.యం.సి. వాటరు యూస్ చేస్తున్నారు వారు. వారికి ఎలోకేట్ చేసినదానికంటే ఎక్కువ వుందా లేక సరిపోయినంత వుందా అనేది. అది ముఖ్యఅంశం. అది తెలుసుకుంటే, మీకు యిన్ని టి.యం.సి. వాటరు వుంది, అంతకంటే ఎక్కువ వాడుతున్నారని చెప్పడానికి ఆస్కారం వుంది. అది తెలుసుకోడానికి యీ ప్రభుత్వం ఏ పద్ధతిని అవలంభిస్తున్నది ?

శ్రీ కె.ఇ. కృష్ణమూర్తి :— ఒకటి మాత్రము ఖచ్చితంగా యీ ప్రభుత్వం చెప్పగలదు. వారికి కేటాయించినటువంటిది – కృష్ణలో గాని గోదావరిలో గాని – కర్నాటక ప్రభుత్వం – నీటిని యింతవరకు పూర్తిగా వినియోగించుకోలేదు అనేది మనకు తెలుసు. అయితే కొన్ని ప్రాజక్టులను, మన అనుమతి లేకుండానే, మనకు యిన్ఫర్మేషను ఇవ్వకుండానే కట్టుకుంటున్న మాట వాస్తవమే. దాని గురించి యీ ప్రభుత్వం అనేకసార్లు రిపోర్టు చేయడం జరిగింది వారికి వుత్తరాల ద్వారా. అంతేగాకుండా దానిని మీరు మానివేయకపోతే కోర్టుకు తీసుకువెళ్ళే అవశ్యకత వుందని వారికి తెలియజేయడం జరిగింది.

శ్రీ యం. బాగారెడ్డి :— గౌరవ మంత్రిగారు, వారికి ఎలాట్ అయినటువంటి వాటరు యింతవరకు యూటిలైజ్ చేసుకోలేదు అంటున్నారు. కేంద్ర ప్రభుత్వానికిగాని మనకు గాని తెలిసేదేమంటే, మీడియం యిరిగేషను, మేజరు యిరిగేషను ప్రాజక్టులకు సంబంధించి వాటరు అండ్ పవరు కమీషనుకు పోతాయి, ప్లానింగు కమీషనుకు పోతాయి, వాటి గురించి మాత్రమే తెలుస్తుంది. కాని వందలాది మైనరు యిరిగేషను ప్రాజక్టుల సంగతి తెలియదు. అధ్యక్షా, నాకు తెలుసు, హైదరాబాదు ప్రభుత్వం వున్నప్పుడు, కర్నాటకలో గాని మహారాష్ట్రలోగాని యిరిగేషను అనే సబ్జెక్ట్ లేకుండెను. అటువంటిది యిప్పుడు ఒక్కొక్క జిల్లాలో ఒక ఎగ్జిక్యూటివ్ యింజనీరును సర్వేకు, ఒక ఎగ్జిక్యూటివ్ యింజనీరును ఎగ్జిక్యూషన్ అవ్ వర్క్సు కొరకు పెట్టడం జరిగింది. లిఫ్ట్ యిరిగేషను, మైనరు యిరిగేషను కౌంట్‌లోకి రావడం లేదు. దానిని లెక్కలోకి తీసుకుంటే వందలాది కొత్త ప్రాజక్టులు ఏవైతే కడుతున్నారో అవి కౌంట్‌లోకి రానందువల్ల బహుశ: మంత్రిగారు ఆ కంక్లూజన్‌కు వచ్చి వుంటారేమో. వారి స్టేటు మొంటు ఇటీజ్ యింతవరకు వాడుకోలేదు వారికి ఎలాట్ అయిందనే మాట–మొత్తం మైనరు యిరిగేషన్ లిఫ్ట్ యిరిగేషను వర్క్సు కౌంట్ చేస్తే వాస్తవ విషయాలు తెలుస్తాయి. మనమే, యింకా వారికి ఎలాట్ అయిన వాటరును వాడుకోలేదని ఒప్పుకుంటే అది సరైన పద్ధతి కాదని మనవి చేస్తున్నాను.

శ్రీ వి. శ్రీరాములు (వర్ధన్నపేట) :— సింగూరు వాటరు ప్రాముక్యం జంట నగరాలకు ఎంత వుందనేది అందరికి తెలుసు. జూన్ వరకు పూర్తి అవుతుంది ఈ ప్రాజక్టు అని మంత్రిగారు చెప్పారు. దానికి బడ్జెటు ఎలొకేషను ఎంత చేశారు ? రెండవది. ఈ ప్రాజక్టు నిర్మాణము బహుశ: వెంగళరావుగారు ముఖ్యమంత్రిగా వున్నప్పుడు చేపట్టారమకుంటాను. దాని ఒరిజనల్ ఎస్టిమేట్ ఎంత ? ఎంత ఎస్కలేషను అయింది ? జంట నగరాలలో వున్న నీటి ఆదుర్థాను దృష్టిలో పెట్టుకొని ఈ ప్రాజక్టును జూన్ నాటికి పూర్తిచేయడానికి ఎలొకేషన్ చేసిన డబ్బు ఎంత ?

శ్రీ కె.ఇ. కృష్ణమూర్తి :— ఈ ప్రాజక్టు ప్రారంభించినప్పుడు దాని ఏస్టిమేట్ 25 కోట్లు అని పంపించడం జరిగింది. దాని తరువాత లేటెస్ట్ రిపైజ్డ్ ఎస్టిమేట్ 89 కోట్లకు సుళ్ళి సి డబ్ల్యు సి. కి పంపించడం జరిగింది. ఇంతకుముందు ఎక్స్పెండిచరు డిటేల్స్ యిచ్చాను. వచ్చే సంవత్సరానికి మనము 15 కోట్లు కేటాయించగలిగితే యీ ప్రాజక్టును పూర్తి చేసుకునే స్థితిలో వుంటామని మనవిచేస్తున్నాను.

శ్రీ వి. జనార్థనరెడ్డి :— పూర్తి చేస్తారా లేదా ?

శ్రీ కె.ఇ. కృష్ణమూర్తి :— చేయగలుగుతాము.

శ్రీ వల్లభరెడ్డి శ్రీనివాసులరెడ్డి (కోవూరు) :— ఈ సింగూరు ప్రాజక్టు రెండవ ఎటాచి షెడ్యూల్ ఆఫ్ రేట్స్ ఎన్ని ఏలా చేశారు ? ఎంత పర్సంటేజ్ ఎలా చేశారు ? క్లెయిమ్స్ కంట్రాక్టర్సునుండి ఎన్ని వచ్చాయి ? ఎంత ఎలా చేశారు? ఈ సింగూరు ప్రాజక్టు అనేది రెండవరీ ఫేజుగా జంట నగరాలకు పనికి వస్తుంది గాబట్టి గోదావరినుండి ట్యాప్ చేయమని కోరుతున్నారు. డిల్లీడాలింగ్ టాక్టిక్స్ను వుపయోగించి వాయిదా వేస్తున్నారు, ఎప్పటిలోగా తేలుస్తారు ? గోదావరి నుండి నీళ్ళు ట్యాప్ చేసి తెచ్చి యిస్తారా లేదా జంటనగరాలకు ? ఎప్పటిలోగా రాష్ట్ర ప్రభుత్వం నిర్ణయము తీసుకుంటుంది ?

Mr. Speaker :— I will not allow the first part. Regarding the second part this is not a pertinent supplementary

శ్రీ వల్లభరెడ్డి శ్రీనివాసుల్‌రెడ్డి :— ఇటీజ్ పర్టినెంట్ సప్లిమెంటరీ. దయచేసి వినండి. క్వశ్చన్ చదువుతాను. (a) Whether it is a fact that nearly ten lakhs of Rupees have been paid in excess to the contractors of Singoor Project; and (b) if so, the steps taken to recover

the said amount? అందుకే నేను సప్లిమెంటరీ వేశాను. ఎక్సప్ ఎమౌంట్ ఎంత యిచ్చారు? ఎవౌ ది షెడ్యూల్ ఆఫ్ రేట్స్ ఎక్సప్ ఎంత యిచ్చారు? ఫ్లేయిమ్స్ కంట్రాక్టర్సునుండి ఎన్ని వచ్చాయి? ఇటీజ్ ఎ పర్ట్‌మెంట్ క్వశ్చన్.

Mr Speaker: I have also gone through the question These details will not be

Sri Nallabureddi Srinivasulu Reddi — The Hon Minister must raise up and say that information is not available, if the Hon Speaker need not ask.

Sri K E. Krishna Murthy: — This Government has decided to complete this Project by the end of June, 1988. I repeated this many times.

సభ్యులకు కూడా చెబుతున్నాను. ఈ ప్రభుత్వం 88 జూన్ నాటికి దీనిని పూర్తి చేస్తుంది. వాళు అడిగిన ఎక్సప్ పేమెంటు గురించి పర్టిక్యులర్ ఇష్యూ గురించి అడిగారా లేకపోతే యీ ప్రాజక్టు మొదలుపెట్టినప్పటి నుండి అడిగారా? ఆ డిటేల్స్ చెబితే సమాధానం యివ్వగలను. ఏ ప్రభుత్వం దేనికి వెనుకాడదు. తప్పనిసరిగా సమ్యలు అడిగిన ప్రతి దానికి సమాచారము జేజుం ముగు పుంచబడుతుంది — యిప్పుడు సమాచారం లేకపోతే — యీ ప్రభుత్వం దిల్లీడాలిక్ టాక్టిక్స్‌తో దీనిని అవ్యంగా పూర్తి చేస్తుందని సభ్యులు అన్నారు. కనీ ఉటంత పద్దేశము యీ ప్రభుత్వానికి లేదు. తప్పనిసరిగా జూన్ 88 నాటికి యీ ప్రాజక్టును కంప్లీటు చేసి తీరుతాము అని మరొకసారి మనవి చేస్తున్నాను.

శ్రీ సల్లాబుడ్డి శ్రీనివాసులుడ్డి :— గోదావరి వాటరు ట్యాప్ చేసే విషయము చెప్పండి. లని పర్మనెంట్ మెజర్.

Permanent measures - that the Chief Minister shall answer about the permanent measures

శ్రీ వి. జనార్ధనడ్డి :— ముఖ్యమంత్రిగారు వున్నారు చెప్పమనండి. కృష్ణ వాటరు లేక గోదావరి వాటరు, ఏదీ అనేది 30 సెప్టెంబరు వరకు తేల్చి చెప్పుతామని యిదివరలో చెప్పారు. పోయినసారి మంత్రిగారు యీ సభలో హామీ యిచ్చారు.

Mr. Speaker:— Do not insist.

శ్రీ యస్. రాఘవరెడ్డి (నక్రికల్) :— మంజీరా నది పైభాగములో మైనరు యిరిగేషను ప్రాజక్టులు కడుతున్నారు, లిఫ్టులు పెడుతున్నారని పోయిన సెషనులో గౌరవ ప్రతిపక్ష నాయకులు చెప్పినప్పటికీ ప్రభుత్వం యింతవరకు తెలుసుకున్నట్లు లేదు. మంత్రిగారు సమాధానం చెప్పలేదు. ఏమిటీ కారణం తెలుసుకున్నారా ? వారు అటువంటి ప్రాజక్టులు కట్టడం లేదని విశ్వసిస్తున్నారా ? అది పరిశీలింప దలచుకోలేదా ? ఎందుకు పదే పదే అడగడం జరుగుతుంది ? ప్రభుత్వం తెలుసుకోడానికి ప్రయత్నం చేస్తుందా ?

శ్రీ కె. ఇ. కృష్ణమూర్తి :— గోదావరి నుండి వాటరు తీసుకురావాలా, కృష్ణ నుండి వాటరు తీసుకురావాలా అనేది నాకు సంబంధించిన ప్రశ్న కాదు. దానికి మునిసిపల్ శాఖా మంత్రిగారు సమాధానం చెబుతారు. శ్రీ రాఘవరెడ్డిగారు అడిగిన ప్రశ్నకు సమాధానం చెబుతాను. ఇంతకుముందు కృష్ణానదిపైన కడుతున్న ప్రాజక్టుల గురించి సభకు వివరణ అందజేయడం జరిగింది. మంజీరాపైన కాని గోదావరి ఎగువలో కాని కర్నాటక, మహారాష్ట్ర రాష్ట్రాలవారు ఎన్ని ప్రాజక్టులు కడుతున్నారో వివరణ సేకరించి వారికి ఇవ్వగలను.

(ఇంటరప్షను)

శ్రీ యం. భాగారెడ్డి :— శ్రీ వల్లపురెడ్డి శ్రీనివాసులురెడ్డిగారు అడిగిన ప్రశ్నకు సమాధానం రాలేదు. ఎక్సెస్ ఇచ్చిన పెండర్ల వివరణ వేదికపైన పెడతామని చెప్పారు. ఈ శాసన సభ సమావేశాలలో పెడతారా ? కర్నాటక, మహారాష్ట్ర ప్రభుత్వాలు కడుతున్న ప్రాజక్టుల లిస్టు ఇచ్చామని అన్నారు. వారు మేజర్ మీడియం ఇరిగేషనుకు సంబంధించి మాత్రమే ఇచ్చారు. మైనర్ ఇరిగేషను, లిఫ్ట్ ఇరిగేషనుకు సంబంధించి ఇవ్వలేదు. అది ప్రభుత్వం దగ్గర ఉంటే శాసనసభ్యులకు ఇవ్వగలుగుతారా ? ఎక్సెస్ పెండర్ల గురించి వివరాలు ఎప్పుడు టేబులుమీద పెడుతున్నారు ?

(ఇంటరప్షను)

శ్రీ ఆర్. శ్రీనివాసరెడ్డి (రామాయంపేట్) :— కాంగ్రెస్ ప్రభుత్వం ఉన్నప్పుడు సింగూరు ప్రాజక్టు మంచే 44 వేల ఎకరాలకు నీరు ఇవ్వడానికి జి. ఓ. ఇస్యూ అయింది. ఈ ప్రభుత్వం వచ్చిన తరువాత ఆ జి. ఓ. ను రద్దు చేసినమాట వాస్తవమా ,

మిస్టరు స్పీకర్ :— ఆ సప్లిమెంటరీ క్వశ్చన్ మెయిన్ క్వశ్చన్‌కు సంబంధించింది కాదు. దానికి మంత్రిగారు ఏలోగ జవాబు చెప్పగలుగుతారు ?

శ్రీ యం. బాగారెడ్డి :— నేను అడిగిన ప్రశ్నకు సమాధానం చెప్పమనండి

శ్రీ కె. ఇ. కృష్ణమూర్తి :— మీడియం ఇరిగేషను టాంక్సు, లిఫ్ట్ ఇరిగేషన్సు ఎన్ని ఉన్నాయో సమాధానం చెప్పాలని శ్రీ బాగారెడ్డిగారు అన్నారు. ఇది 4, 5 రోజుల సమావేశమని మాకు తెలుస్తున్నది. వచ్చే సమావేశంలో ఆ సమాచారం తప్పకుండా అందజేస్తాము. ఎక్సైజ్ డెండర్లు గురించి అడిగారు, 86 కోట్ల రూపాలు కర్చు పెట్టడం జరిగింది. డెండర్లలో ఎక్సెస్, లెస్ ఇవ్వడం జరుగుతూ ఉంటుంది. ఎక్కడైనా మాల్ ప్రాక్టీసు జరిగిందని పర్టిక్యులర్‌గా అడిగితే ఇన్వెస్టిగేషను ఇవ్వగలను. మొత్తం ఎక్సెస్, లొయర్ డెండర్ల అన్నింటి గురించి పెట్టమనడం నాకు అర్థం కావడం లేదు.

## RECOGNITION OF ILLICIT DISTILLATION AS COTTAGE INDUSTRY

14—

*8283—Q. - Sarvasri A. Mahipal Reddy and M Kasi Reddy:— Will the Minister for Excise be pleased to state :

a) whether it is a fact that thousands of families in Hyderabad city are depending on producing illicit liquor for their livelihood; and

b) whether there is any proposal to recognise the same as cottage industry ?

Minister for Excise (Sri P. Ashoka Gajapathi Raju, Vizianagaram) :— a) Sir, it is a fact that some of the families in Hyderabad City are indulging in producing illicit distilled liquor.

b) No Sir.

శ్రీ ఎ. మహీపాల్ రెడ్డి (ఆర్మూరు) :— మంత్రిగారు ప్రశ్నలోని ఎ భాగ 9-00 a.m.
మునకు సమాధానం చెబుతూ అక్రమ సారా ఉత్పత్తి జరుగుతున్నదని ఒప్పుకున్నారు. దీనిని అరికట్టడానికి గత సంవత్సరం ఎన్నిసార్లు అక్రమ సారా ఉత్పత్తి కేంద్రాలపై దాడి జరిపారు ? ఈసందర్భంలో ఎన్ని లీటర్ల సారాయి స్వాధీనం చేసుకున్నారు ?

Sri P Ashoka Gajapathi Raju :— No of raids conducted in 85-86 are 18, wash destroyed 71,471 liters, liquor destroyed 19,127 liters, molases destroyed 46,280 Kgs. stills destroyed 343, cases registered are 982. During 1986-87 number of raids 12, wash destroyed, 687,200 Lts., liquor destroyed 15,475 Lts., molases destroyed 33,950 Kgs , molases seized 70.900 Kgs., stills destroyed 177 and cases registered 487 During 1987-88 till November, 4 raids have been conducted, wash destroyed 88,500 Lts., liquor destroyed 4,875 Lts., molases destroyed 16,600 Kgs , stills destroyed 34 and cases registered are 171.

శ్రీ ఏ. మహీపాల్‌రెడ్డి :— ప్రతి సంవత్సరం లక్షల లీటర్ల అక్రమ సారాను పట్టుకొంటున్నారు. పట్టుకోకుండా ఉన్నటువంటి సారా ఇంకా చాలా లక్షల లీటర్లు ఉంటుంది. ఇంత చేసినా ప్రభుత్వానికి రెవిన్యూ రావడం లేదు. జరుగుతున్న అక్రమ సారా ఉత్పత్తిని ఆపలేక పోతున్నారు దీనిని మీరు లీగలైజ్ చేసే అభిప్రాయం యెందుకు చేయడం లేదు ? ఒక కమిటీని పెట్టి స్పెసిఫిక్ స్టాండర్డ్సు పెట్టి కాటేజి ఇండస్ట్రీగా చేసి వారికి ఉపాధి కల్పించే విషయం ఏమైనా ఆలోచన చేస్తున్నారా ? అక్రమ సారా ఉత్పత్తివల్ల అనేకమంది ప్రాణాలు కోల్పోయే పరిస్థితి యేర్పడుతున్నది. అక్రమ సారా ఉత్పత్తివల్ల చాలామంది ఆరోగ్యాన్ని కోల్పోతున్నారు. అందువల్ల దీనిని లీగలైజ్ చేస్తే చెడిపోయినటువంటి సారా ఉత్పత్తి అయ్యే అవకాశం లేదు. పనికిరానటువంటి సారా ఉత్పత్తి చేయడానికి అవకాశం లేదు. కనుక ప్రభుత్వం దీనిని తప్పకుండా పరిశీలించాలని మనవి చేస్తున్నాను.

Sri P. Ashok Gajapathi Raju :— This is basically an illegal activity. It has been going on in Hyderabad for some time. I do not want this measure to be legally recognised. That is the main reason why we cannot do it The quality of liquor manufactured there is sub-standard and harmful for human consumption.

శ్రీ మహమ్మద్ రజబలీ (పుజాతనగర్) :— ఈ దొంగ సారా కాచేవారిని కుటీర పరిశ్రమగా గుర్తించే విషయం మహిపాల్‌రెడ్డిగారు చెప్పింది ప్రభుత్వానికి ఏడ్వర్స్‌గా ఉంటుంది — అది ఉత్పత్తి చేసే వారికి అనుకూలంగా ఉంటుంది — కనుక అది టి. డి. పి. కి ఉపయోగంగా ఉంటుందేమో ?

Sri P. Ashok Gajapathi Raju :— What is the puestion Sir, I could not understand.

డా. వై. ఎస్. రాజశేఖరరెడ్డి (పులివెందుల) :— అసలు దొంగ సారా తయారు కాకుండా ఉండాలనే మార్కెట్‌కు రాకుండా ఉండాలనే ప్రభుత్వం సారా ఉత్పత్తి చేసేందుకు ఏర్పాటు చేసి, సక్రమమైన పద్ధతిలో బాట్లింగ్ చేసి పంపిణీ చేసేందుకు ప్రభుత్వం తెలుగు వారుణ వాహిని అనే కార్యక్రమం పెట్టింది. ఈ తెలుగు వారుణ వాహిని కార్యక్రమానికి ప్రభుత్వం 23, 24 బాట్లింగ్ కేంద్రాలు ఏర్పాటు చేసి వాటి ద్వారా పంపిణీ చేసే కార్యక్రమం మొదలుపెట్టింది. ముందు ఈ కార్యక్రమం బాటిల్స్ ద్వారా చేయాలని అనుకొన్నారు. తరువాత బాటిల్స్ మానివేశారు. బాటిల్స్ ద్వారా కాకుండా సాచెట్స్ ద్వారా ఎందుకు పంపిణీ చేస్తున్నారు ? ఈ వారుణ వాహిని పథకాన్ని హోల్‌సేల్ బాట్లింగ్‌కు ప్రభుత్వం ఆలోచన చేసే కంటే ముందు ఒక ప్రయివేటు కంపెనీకి — పురుషోత్తం కంపెనీకి ఇచ్చి, వారి నుంచి రెండు కోట్ల రూపాయాలు తీసుకున్న మాట వాస్తవం కాదా ? రెండు కోట్ల రూపాయలు తీసుకున్నప్పుడు ఇది వారికి ఎందుకు ఇవ్వలేకపోయారు ? ఆ డబ్బు వెనక్కు అయినా ఎందుకు ఇవ్వలేదు ?

Mr. Speaker — You ask some direct question

Sri Ashoka Gajapathi Raju :— What the Hon'ble Member has raised is not part of this question If you direct, I do not mind answering.

డా. వై ఎస్. రాజశేఖరరెడ్డి :— ప్రశ్నకు సంబంధించిందే — జవాబు ఇవ్వాలి.

Sri Ashoka Gajapathi Raju — What I said was that this was not part of this question If you give us direction, I will answer.

Dr Y. S. Rajasekhara Reddy :— (Stood up)

Mr Speaker :— I never prevented nim. Did I say that ?

DR Y. S. Rajasekhara Reddy .— What prevented the Minister ? Why not the Minister come forward to answer the question which is about the money taken by the Government, in the highest posts in the Government.

Mr. Speaker :— Please be reasonable in your asking. Let the Minister answer. I have not prevented him from answering. Did I prevent him ?

Dr. Y. S. Rajasekhara Reddy :— He says "if the Speaker wants I will answer" You will have to come to our rescue. You shou'd not go to the rescue of the Minister.

Mr. Speaker :— You are all putting in my mouth. Did I prevent him ? Did he ask me permission ?

Dr. Y. S. Rajasekhara Reddy :— He says he is ready to answer if the Speaker wants.

Mr. Speaker :— Let him answer it. if he wants to.

Sri P. Ashoka Rajapathi Raju :— The whole idea of making it available to the people was that they should get whole some, fairly decent quality of arrack which should be made available to the people. With regard to whether sachets are selling more or both are selling more, it should go with the people's preference. Bottles now with 375 Mls, we have phased it out; 180 mls is still being packed and being supplied. Bottling Plants are not totally abandoned and so they are working under capacity. The revenue has gone because the of the NGO. with regard to bribes that is the Congress culture sir which is not available with us.

Sri Kudupudi Prabhakara Rao (Amalapuram) :— It is unwarranted You have to expunge it, Mr. Speaker

(At this stage all the members from both the sides were shouting at each other)

శ్రీ ఎన్. ఇంద్రసేనారెడ్డి (మలక్‌పేట) :— ఈ రెండు కల్చర్ల మధ్య హౌసు కల్చర్ పోతుందేమో చూడండి.

Mr. Speaker :— Let the House be conducted in a quiet and cool atmosphere. You are all senior people. We do not want to waste our time. Let us not discuss whose culture is; people will, ultimately decide,

Sri Kudupudi Prabhakara Rao :— Mr. Speaker Sir, He is part and parcel of his family culture: His father is Sri P. V. G. Raju who is a congressman. You have to expunge that.

(ఇంటరప్షన్స్)

శ్రీ పి. నర్సిరెడ్డి (మక్తల్) :— దొంగ సారా కాచే వారు కొంతమంది తమ ఉపాధికోసం యీ పని చేస్తున్నారని మంత్రిగారు ఒప్పుకున్నారు. దీనిని గత సంవత్సరం 7,504 లీటర్లు, యీ సంవత్సరం 4 875 లీటర్లు ప్రభుత్వం వారు ధ్వంశం చేసినట్లుగా చెప్పినారు. దీనిని యెవరయితే ఉపాధి కల్పన క్రింద ఈ పని చేస్తున్నారో వారికి గొప్ప నష్టం జరుగుతోంది. ఆ విధంగా ఉపాధికల్పన కోల్పోయిన వారికి ఉపాధి కల్పించడానికి ప్రయత్నం చేస్తున్నారా ? వారు యీ పని మాని వేయడానికి పర్మనెంటుగా వారు భుక్తిని యేర్పాటు చేసుకోపడానికి ప్రభుత్వం యేర్పాటు చేసే ఆలోచన ఉందా ? లేదా ?

(ఇంటరప్షన్స్)

Sri P. Ashoka Gajapathi Raju :— This is basically and illegal activity. Whenever there is an illegal activity, Government is expected to implement the law of the land and not bother about the losses concerned.

శ్రీ పి. నర్సిరెడ్డి :— వారికి ఉపాధి కల్పనకోసం దీనికి ప్రత్యామ్నాయంగా ప్రభుత్వం యేమయినా ఆలోచన చేస్తున్నదా ? అది చేయకుండా వారిని శిక్షిస్తే వారు ఆ పనిని కొనసాగిస్తూనే ఉంటారు. ఎప్పుడూ కొనసాగుతూనే ఉంటుంది. ఆ పద్ధతి కాకుండా ప్రభుత్వం యేమయినా దీనికి ప్రత్యామ్నాయంగా ఆలోచిస్తుందా ? చెప్పాలి.

Sri Ashoka Gajapathi Raju :- After the TDP Government came into power this problem was thought to be identified as to what exactly it is. They have identified the members' families available and thought of what can be given to them to come of this. Unfortunatelly, everybody there wanted a Government job whether it is Arma or Police or any other organisation. They did not come forward for self-employment schemes. That is why that part of it is lying out

శ్రీ ఎ. నరేంద్ర (హిమాయత్‌నగర్) :— అధ్యక్షా, యిప్పటికయినా నాకు అవకాశం యిచ్చినందుకు ధన్యవాదాలు. మంత్రిగారు సరిగానే సమాధానం చెప్పినారు. అది వారి కల్చర్ అని చెప్పినారు. ఈ టి. డి. పి గవర్నమెంటు వీడాల్ చేస్తున్న దానిలో కూడా అంతకంటే తేడా కన్పించడంలేదు. ఈ దొంగసారా తయారు చేసే టటువంటి వ్యక్తులు ముఖ్యంగా ఈ నగరంలో తమ నియోజకవర్గంలో, ఉన్నారు. అయితే ఈ దొంగసారా చేసే వాళ్ళు తమ కడుపు నింపుకోడానికి తయారు చేస్తున్నా

రని ఒప్పుకున్నారు. కనుక వారిని రీహేబిలిటేట్ చేయడంకోసం గత కాంగ్రెసు ప్రభుత్వంలో ఒక కోటి రూపాయలు కుటీర పరిశ్రమలు కొరకు శాంక్షన్ చేసినారు. విగ్రహాలు, బొమ్మలు తయారు చేయడం వారికి అది ఒక ఆర్ట్. అందువల్ల దొంగ సారా తయారుచేసే వారిని వేరే వృత్తిలోనికి తీసుకొనిపోతే వారు ఆ పని చేయరనే ఆలోచనతో ఈ కుటీర పరిశ్రమకు రూ. 1 కోట్ల రూపాయలు అంజయ్యగారి ప్రభుత్వంలో శాంక్షన్ అయినట్లు నాకు గుర్తు ఉంది. కనుక ఆ కోటి రూపాయలు ఇంకా పెంచేటటువంటి స్కీమును మీరు చేపట్టినారా ? చేపట్టనున్నారా ? ఆ రూ. 1 కోటి శాంక్షన్ విషయం తెలుసా ? అది ఎక్కడకు పోయింది చెబుతారా ?

Sri P. Ashok Gajapathi Raju :— Actually, the Excise Department does not have an expertise for rehabilitation. As far as may information goes, no Government has given one crore rupees through the Excise Department They might have given through the Social Welfare Deapartment, I do not know

శ్రీ ఎ. నరేంద్ర :— అది కాదు ఎక్సైజ్ డిపార్టుమెంటు గవర్నమెంటే. ఇప్పుడు దొంగ సారా తయారు చేసే వారిని రీహేబిలిటేట్ చేసే విషయం చెప్పండి.

Sri P Ashoka Gajapathi Raju :— As far as Excise records are concerned, we learn from the records, that Rs 15,000 was made available after TDP came into power, for identifying, processing and to draw up plans Even that Rs. 15,000 had remained unutilised because of non-cooperation from the people who have illegal distillation this is basically an illegal activity. All illegal activities, if rehabilitation has to be done, I think, it is going to be a massive task for any legitimately elected Government

శ్రీ. ఎ. నరేంద్ర :— ఈ ప్రశ్న కేవలం ఎక్సైజ్ డిపార్టుమెంటుకు సంబంధించి లేదు. వారిని రీహేబిలిటేట్ చేసి ఈ హైదరాబాదు నగరంలో ఈ దొంగసారా తయారు చేయకుండా చేసే ఆలోచన ఉందా ? మంత్రిగారికి చెప్పడానికి యిబ్బంది ఉలేకే ముఖ్యమంత్రిగారిని చెప్పమనండి.

Sri P. Ashoka Gajapathi Raju :— The additional information ... ... ... ...

Sri P. Venkatapathi :— (Interruption)

శ్రీ యం. బాగారెడ్డి :— మంత్రిగారు యిది కాంగ్రెసు కల్చర్ అని చెప్పి

నారు. వారికి బాగా తెలుసు; యే కల్చరో; యినిహారేట్ చేసినారో; ట్రెడిషనల్‌గా యే కల్చర్‌లో పుట్టినారో, వారి తండ్రి పి. వి. జి. రాజుగారి కల్చర్ ఏమిటో.....

Mr. Speaker :— They were brought up in a socialist culture.

Sri M. Baga Reddy :— Adopted.

Sri P. Ashoka Gajapathi Raju — How is the relevant to this question ? — in what culture I have been brought up, in what culture the Hon'ble Leader of the Opposition has been brought up.

శ్రీ యం. బాగారెడ్డి :— ఇప్పుడు ఆ బాటిల్స్ యెన్ని ఆర్డరు యిచ్చినారు ? దానిమీద యెంత కర్చు పెట్టినారు ?

Mr Speaker : — The question is .

శ్రీ కుడుపూడి ప్రభాకరరావు (అమలాపురము) :— బాగారెడ్డిగారు ఒక స్పష్టమైన ప్రశ్న అడిగినారు, దానికి జవాబు రాలేదు.

(ఇంటర్‌ప్షన్)

Mr. Speaker . — I have understood the question and I have disallowed it.

శ్రీ నాదెండ్ల నర్సింహారెడ్డి (ముప్పీరాబాదు) :— ఇప్పుడు స్పష్టంగా దొంగ సారా యిన్ని లీటర్లు ధ్వంసం చేసినామని చెప్పినారు. ఇది ప్రతిసారి పచ్చిన ప్రభుత్వం యీ దొంగ సారాను పట్టుకోవడం, ధ్వంసం చేయడం యిది మరల నడవడం మరల ధ్వంసం చేయడం ఆఫీసర్స్ లంచాలు తీసుకోవడానికి బాగా ఉపయోగపడుతోంది. ఎక్సైజ్ డిపార్టుమెంటు. పోలీసు డిపార్టుమెంటు వారు డబ్బు తీసుకోవడానికి ఉపయోగపడుతోంది. దీనిని పర్మనెంట్‌గా సాల్వ్ చేయడానికి యే ప్రభుత్వం ప్రయత్నం చేయలేదు. తెలుగుదేశం ప్రభుత్వం ప్రయత్నం చేస్తే దెంక్స్ చెబుతాను. తెలుగుదేశం ప్రభుత్వం వచ్చి 4 సంవత్సరములయినా యింతవరకు వారికి కేటగారికల్‌గా వారికి ప్రత్యామ్నాయముగా యేమీ ప్రయత్నం చేయలేదంటే వీరుకూడా ఆఫీసర్స్ డబ్బు తినడానికే ప్రోత్సాహం యిస్తున్నట్లు ఆలోచిస్తున్నట్లు కనబడుతోంది ఇప్పటికయినా మంత్రిగారు యీ దొంగసారా తయారు చేసేవారికి అల్టర్‌నేట్‌గా కుటీర పరిశ్రమలు యేర్పాటుచేసి ముఖ్యమంత్రిగారితో మాట్లాడి దీనికి బిల్స్ ఎమౌంటు శాంక్షన్‌చేసే ప్రయత్నం చేస్తారా ?

Sri P Ashoka Gajapathi Raju .— Hon'ble Member has made an allegation against the reputation of the Excise and the Police Departments,

(ఇంటరప్షన్)

మిస్టర్ స్పీకర్ :— బాపిరాజుగారూ, కూర్చోండి. మీతో ఏకీభవిస్తున్నారు. ఆ అవసరంతో....

(ఇంటరప్షన్)

Sri P. Ashoka Gajapathi Raju :— It is a fact that this Department did not enjoy the highest reputation. It is agreed. With regord to the permanent tackling of this illicit distillation, it is done on molases basis which is a basic raw material The Government of Andhra Pradesh had formulated a draft legislation and also sent it to the Government of India for approval. As soon as the approval of the Government of India is available, we will be presenting this to the August House and when it is passed, molases will be totally controlled and thus this activity can be brought to a grinding half Sir.

శ్రీ వల్లభరెడ్డి శ్రీనివాసులురెడ్డి :— అధ్యక్ష, నేను యెన్నిసార్లు అడిగినా అవకాశం యివ్వడం లేదు.

Mr. Speaker :— Unless I permit you, you cannot speak.

## MISAPPROPRIATION OF FUNDS UNDER I.D.S.M.T, SCHEME IN GUNTAKAL

15—

★8170—Q—Sarvasri N. Gadi Lingappa and K. Jayaram :— Will the Minister for Municipal Administration be pleased to state;

a) whether it is a fact that misappropriation of funds has been done under I. D. S. M T. Scheme in Guntakal town; if so the amount thereof; and

b) the action taken against the persons responsible for the same ?

మునిసిపల్ పరిపాలన శాఖామాత్యులు (శ్రీ బి. వి. మోహనరెడ్డి) :—

ఎ) లేదండీ

బి) ఈ ప్రశ్నకు తావు లేదు.

శ్రీ ఎన్. గాదిలింగన్న (గుత్తి) :— గుంతకల్లు పట్టణంలోని ఐడిఎస్ఎమ్‌టి పథకం గురించి మంజూరు చేడినటువంటి ఒకటిన్నర కోట్ల రూపాయలు దుర్వినియోగం చేసిన విషయం నిజమేనా ? అని ప్రశ్నించితే మంత్రిగారి సమాధానము లేదండి. ఈ ప్రశ్నకు తావు లేదండి అని చెబుతున్నారు....

శ్రీ బద్దం బాల్‌రెడ్డి (కారవాన్) :— యిందాకటి క్వశ్చన్ చాలా ఇంపార్టెంట్ క్వశ్చను. నాకు అవకాశం యివ్వాలి ... ఎన్ని సార్లు లేవనెత్తినా అవకాశం యివ్వ నందువలన స్పీకరుగారి దృష్టిని ఆకర్షించడానికి కోపముతో మైకు విరగ్గొట్టారు.

Mr. Speaker : - Please go out of the House. I am telling, you must quit the House. You must vacate the seat Quit the House. I am telling you, please quit the House. I am not going to proceed Why did he break the mike ? I will use my discretion. ఏమి పొరపాటు జరిగింది అని ఈ హౌస్‌లో వారు మైకు బ్రేకు చేారు.

Sri B Balireddy :— (Interruption)

Mr. Speaker :— Whatever he speaks will not go into records. I will ask the Legislature Minister to move for his suspension. What was the necessity in interupting ?

శ్రీ ఎ. నరేంద్ర :— వారికి ఎందుకు అవకాశం యివ్వరు ?

Mr Speaker :— With all respect I will reconsider. Let him go out. I will immediately call him. నరేంద్రగారు ఏమో నాకు సంబంచినది అని వారు చెబుతున్నారు. వారు ఏమో నా కానిస్టిట్యూయన్సీ అని చెబుతున్నారు I will decide the matters But this way of shouting and creating a scene in the House, how long will we tolerate ? Let Mr. Balireddy go out. బాల్‌రెడ్డి గారూ మీరు పోయిరండి. నరేంద్రగారు అవేసపడకండి. నేను ఎవ్వరిని కించపరచడం లేదు. అందరికి పర్మిషను యిస్తున్నాను. ఎవరిని నేను కించపరచడం లేదు. ఎవరికైనా పర్మిషను యివ్వనిదే మాట్లాడడానికి వీలులేదు. వారు ముందు బయటకు పోయి రావాలి. I am telling you

Mr. Speaker :— Please quit the House, Unless you quit the House, I am not going to proceed

శ్రీ ఎ. నరేంద్ర :— ఆ ప్రశ్న ఆయనకు కూడా సంబధము ఉంది....

మిస్టర్ స్పీకరు :— ఏమి సంబంధమండి. నేను మాట్లాడమని పర్మిషనిస్తే వారు మాట్లాడాలి. నా డిసిక్రిషను మీరు చాలెంజ్ చేస్తున్నారా ? మీరు ఇష్టము వచ్చినట్లు మాట్లాడుతారా ? మీకు అవకాశం యిచ్చిప్పుడే మాట్లాడాలి. ఆ ప్రశ్నకు హాఫ్-వన్-హవర్ టైము వట్టండి. ఎవరు మాట్లాడాలి అనేది చూచి నేను అవకాశం యిస్తూ ఉంటాను. అది నా డిస్క్రిషను. నేను గత రెండున్నర సంవత్సరాలుగా స్పీకరుగా ఉంటున్నాను. నేను ఏమీ కించపరచడం లేదు. ముందు బాబుల్ రెడ్డిగారూ మీరు ముందు బయటకు పోయి రండి. Unless you quit the House I am not going to proceed. యిది మర్యాదేనా;

( Cries from many members from the Treasury Benches )

శ్రీ యన్. ఇంద్రసేనారెడ్డి (మలక్‌పేట) :— వారి అవేదనా అర్థము చేసుకోండి.

(ఇంటరప్షన్స్)

మిస్టర్ స్పీకరు :— ఎక్కడయినా ఒక సంప్రదాయం ఉంటుంది. Once I name the person, he must quit the House. Once the Speaker wants a particular member to vacate, he should do. Unless my orders are carried out, I cannot proceed. ముందు వారు బయటకు పోవాలి. తరువాత రావాలి. సాంప్రదాయానికి విరుద్ధంగా పోవడం అనేది ఎక్కడా ఉండదు. మనం లోకసభలోను, యితర రాష్ట్రాల శాసనసభలలోను చూస్తూనే ఉన్నాము. Let him go first. Then, only, I will admit him Otherwise I am not going to proceed.

శ్రీ యం. బాగారెడ్డి :— అధ్యక్షి, మొన్న సమావేశములో మాట్లాడినప్పుడు గౌరవ సభ్యురాలు అటునుండి మాట్లాడే ప్రయత్నం చేసినప్పుడు తమరు శలవిచ్చారు. మీ ఎక్షెన్షను ఎట్రాక్ట్ చేసేందుకు వారు ప్రయత్నం చేశారు. యిప్పుడు కూడా మీ ఎక్షెన్షను ఎట్రాక్ట్ చేసేందుకు వారు ప్రయత్నం చేశారు.

(ఇంటరప్షన్స్)

**Mr Speaker:** I have respect for all the Members. There known Parliamentary methods.

శ్రీ యం భాగారెడ్డి : — తమరు వార్ను చేశారు....

మిస్టర్ స్పీకరు :— భాగారెడ్డి గారూ, ఏ మెంబరు మీద నాకు ద్వేషంలేదు. నాకు ఏ మెంబరును కించపరచాలని లేదు. I have respect for all the Members. There are known Parliametary methods, నా దృష్టిని ఆకర్షించాలంటే కొన్ని మెథడ్స్ ఉన్నాయి.

శ్రీ ఎ. నరసింహారెడ్డి (జనగామ్) :— ఎన్నో సార్లు మాట్లాడడానికి ప్రయత్నంచేస్తే మాకు అవకాశం యివ్వడమే లేదు ఎన్నోసార్లు ప్రయత్నం చేసాము మీరు మమ్మల్ని అసెంబ్లీనుంచి వెళ్ళిపొమ్మంటే వెళ్ళిపోతాము .... చెప్పండి.

మిస్టర్ స్పీకరు :— వారిని బయటకు పంపడం తప్ప వేరే మార్గములేదు....

శ్రీ యం. భాగారెడ్డి :— యింతవరకు ఎవరిని పొమ్మనడం జరుగలేదు. యిది క్రొత్త సాంప్రదాయం.

మిస్టర్ స్పీకర్ :— నేను కన్విన్సుకు మూడు నాలుగు సప్లిమెంటరీలు ఎలా చేస్తున్నాను. If distrub me I will not be able proceed with the House, Unless you seek permission - you want to have entertainment ? What is it ? (Pointing out a Member from the Cong-I ) You are a responsible person of a party which has a history of 100 years and you must lead us

(Some Members from the Congress I stood up)

Mr Speaker:— If you want really the proceedings of the House, there are methods. Here, I am to control the House. So, I will take certain steps They must be carried out first, otherwise I will not be able to do anything. He should have withdraw from the House already.

శ్రీ జె. సి. దివాకరరెడ్డి (తాడిపత్రి) :— మంత్రుల దగ్గర మంచి సరియైన సమాధానాలు రాకపోయే సరికి సప్లిమెంటరీలు వేయవలసి వస్తుంది.

మిస్టర్ స్పీకరు :— దివాకరరెడ్డిగారూ, మీరు చెప్పేవిషయమేమిటి ఏమ్మో.... ....

శ్రీ జె. పి. దివాకరరెడ్డి :— మంత్రులు దగ్గర నుంచి సరియైన సమాధానాలు రావడము లేదు. సరియయిన సమాధానం కోసము మేము సప్లిమెంటరీలు అడగడానికి మాకు అవకాశం యివ్వడం లేదు. మేము సప్లిమెంటరీ అడగడానికి మీరు అవకాశం యివ్వరు—మమ్మల్ని కూర్చుమంటారే తప్ప....

Mr, Speaker :— If he does not do it, I will ask them to take steps. How to solve the Problem ?

డా. వై యస్. రాజశేఖరరెడ్డి (పులివెందుల) :— మీరు మాకు క్వశ్చను హవర్‌లో సప్లిమెంటరీలు అడగడానికి అవకాశం యివ్వడం లేదు. ఒక సభ్యుడు తమరి ఎక్సెన్షను ఎట్సెక్ట్ చేయడానికి ప్రయత్నం చేశాడు. అరిచాడు, అరిచాడు అరిచాడు ..... ఏమి చేస్తాడు .... యిది కూడా పార్లమెంటరీ ప్రొసీజరులో భాగమే. కాదంటే చెప్పండి... ....

Mr Speaker :— Even if it is 100 times I will not be able to here. You are creating a new convention of your own which is not Parliamentary practice. If you want to dis-obey the Speaker and create new convention — he has to withdraw from the House.

డా. వై. యస్. రాజశేఖరరెడ్డి :— ఆవిధంగా జరిగినంత మాత్రాన ఈ విధంగా చేయడం పంచిదా ?

శ్రీ ఎ./నరేంద్ర :— పొరపాటు జరిగింది. క్షమించండి. దీని గురించి యిక చర్చించవలసిన అవసరం లేదు.

(దివాకరరెడ్డి గారు లేవబోయారు)

మిస్టర్ స్పీకరు :— సాంప్రదాయం తెలుసు.

శ్రీ పి. జనార్ధనరెడ్డి (కైరతాబాదు) :— యింతవరకు ఎప్పుడు ఈ విధంగా జరుగలేదు....

(ఇంటరప్షన్స్)

శ్రీ పి. నరసిరెడ్డి :— తమరు బాలిరెడ్డి గారిని బయటికి పొమ్మని ఆదేశించారు. మీ ఆదేశాలను శిరసా వహించడం తప్ప వేరే మార్గము లేదు. అయితే వారి పార్టీ తరపున నరేంద్రగారు పొరపాటు జరిగిందని అందుకు క్షమించమని అడి

గారు. వారు రిగ్రెట్ అని చెప్పారు. కనుక తమరు దయచేసి వారి అభ్యర్థనను కనికరించాలి.

శ్రీ ఎ. నరేంద్ర :— తమరు యిచ్చిన రూలింగ్‌కు ఏ విధంగానూ ఎదురు లేదు. నేను అంగీకరిస్తాను. అయితే ఈ సభలో జరిగిన దానికి మా పార్టీ తరపున రిగ్రెట్ చెబుతున్నాను.

మిస్టర్ స్పీకరు :— సభా సాంప్రదాయాలను పాటించమని పదే పదే చెప్పాను బాలిరెడ్డిగారికి. వారు ఇంత పెద్ద సమస్యగా చేయవలసిన అవసరము లేదు. మాట్లాడే దానికి అవకాశము దొరకలేదని చెప్పి, ఎక్కువగా మాట్లాడితే ఎట్లా ? రెండవ క్వశ్చన్ సప్లిమెంటరీస్ చాలా మంది అడిగారు.

## DELAY IN PUBLICATION OF NOTIFICATIONS

16—

★7970 Q - Sarvasri P. Janardhan Reddy and R Damoder Reddy :— Will the Minister for Labour & Printing be pleased to state :

a) whether it is a fact that lot of delay is being caused in the publication of Government notifications in the A P. Gazette;

b) if so, the reasons therefor ; and

c) the number of notifications, pending publications as on 20th June 1987 in the Government Press and the steps taken for the speedy publication of the notifications ?

శ్రీ పి. శివారెడ్డి :— ఎ) అవునండి. కొంతవరకు జాప్యము జరగడం వాస్తవమే.

బి) కొన్ని యంత్రాలకు సంబంధించి విడిభాగాలు విదేశాల మండి తెప్పించ డంలో ఆలస్యము జరుగుచున్నది. ప్రభుత్వ శాఖలలో వారి వారి అవసరాల దృష్ట్యా అసాధారణ గజెట్ ముద్రణ కొరకు ఎక్కువగా విడుదల-చేయడంవల్ల జాప్యము జరుగుచున్నది.

సి) జూన్ 20వ తేది నాటికి 1487 వ్రాత ప్రతులు 48 అసాధారణ గజెట్ల ముద్ర కాకుండా మిగిలి వున్నాయి. చర్యలు గురించి, అడిగారు. ఇతర దేశాల

నుండి త్వరితగతిన సామాగ్రి విడిభాగాలు తెప్పించుకొంటున్నాము. సాధ్యమైనంత వరకు అసాధారణ గజెట్ విడుదల తగ్గించవలసిందిగా ఆయా కార్యదర్శులను కోరడ మయింది. 1-4 పుటలున్న అసాధారణ గజెట్ ప్రచురించే నిమిత్తం, ఎలెక్ట్రానిక్ పైపు రైటర్స్‌ను ఉపయోగిస్తున్నాము. స్పూల్స్‌ను త్వరితగతిన మెషిన్స్‌పై ఏక్కించి ముద్రణ చేయడానికి కొత్తగా డై కేసులు తెప్పించి ఉపయోగిస్తున్నాము.

శ్రీ పి. జనార్దనరెడ్డి :— గజెట్ ప్రింటింగ్ ఇంగ్లీషు, తెలుగులో జరుగు చున్నదా ? లేక ఇంగ్లీషు, తెలుగు ఒకేసారి గజెట్ ఎల్లుతుందా ? లేక ఏమయినా వ్యత్యాసముందా ? విడిభాగాలు సామాగ్రి లేనందువల్ల డిలే అయిందని అన్నారు. విడిభాగాలు లేవని ఎపుడు తెలుసుకొన్నారు ? ఎపుడు కొన్నారు ? ప్రభుత్వము అందుకు ఎపుడు ఆర్డరు వేసింది ? 1407 వ్రాత ప్రతులు గజెట్‌లో పెల్లేది వుందని అన్నారు. మరి రామకృష్ణా స్టూడియోను షాపింగ్ కాంప్లెక్స్ క్రింద కన్వర్ట్ చేసి ఒక ఎక్స్‌స్టార్డినరీ జి. ఓ. ఇస్యూ చేశారు. దాన్ని గజెట్‌లో ప్రింట్ చేశారా లేదా లేక ఆ జి. ఓ. ఈ 1407 పెండి గులో వున్నవాటిలో వుందా ?

శ్రీ పి శివారెడ్డి :— ముద్రణలో జాప్యము జరుగుచున్నదాయని అడిగారు. బాగానే వుంది. అందుకు సప్లిమెంటరీస్‌ను దృష్టిలో పెట్టుకొని సంక్షిప్తంగా సమాధానము చెప్పాను. రామకృష్ణా స్టూడియో గురించి జి. ఓ. ప్రచురించారా అని అడిగితే అది ప్రత్యేక ప్రశ్న క్రిందకస్తుంది. ప్రత్యేక ప్రశ్న వేస్తే తప్పకుండా సమాధానము తెప్పించడానికి సిద్ధంగా వున్నాను. సంబంధిత ప్రశ్న వేయకుండా, అసంబంధిత ప్రశ్న వేస్తే సమాధానము చెప్పడం సాధ్యము కాదని మనవి చేస్తున్నాను. ఏ ఏ భాషలలో ప్రచురిస్తారని అడిగారు. తెలుగు, ఇంగ్లీషు, ఉర్దూ, మూడు భాషలలో ప్రచురిస్తున్నాము. విడిభాగాలు ఎందుకంటే, మెషిన్స్ చెడిపోయినందున, స్పేర్‌పార్ట్స్ ఇంగ్లండు నుండి తెప్పించుకోవలను. అందువల్ల, సెంట్రల్ గవర్న మెంటును జూన్ 1987 న ఇందుకు సంబంధించిన 24,650 పౌండ్లుకు సరిసమాన మైన 5,17,650 రూపాయలు విడుదల చేయాలని కేంద్రాన్ని కోరడం జరిగింది.

( బెల్ )

శ్రీ పి. జనార్దనరెడ్డి :— నేను అడిగిన దానికి సమాధానము రాలేదు. నేను చెప్పేది సరిగ్గా వినండి. లేకపోతే బాలిరెడ్డి గారు చేసింది నేను కూడా చేయవలసి వస్తుంది....

( బెల్ )

( ఇంటరప్షన్స్ )

(హెల్త్ మినిష్టరు లేచి వారి ప్రశ్నకు ఆన్సర్ చేస్తుండగా)

( ఇంటర్ ప్షన్స్ )

మిస్టర్ స్పీకర్ :— ఆన్సర్ వచ్చింది. మీరు అడిగే ప్రశ్న వేరుగా వేయండి. కూర్చోండి. ఒక్కొక్కరు ఒక సప్లిమెంటరీ మాత్రమే వేయాలి.

శ్రీ పి. జనార్దనరెడ్డి :— నేను చెప్పేది సరిగ్గా వినలేదు. 1407 ప్రాత పత్రులు పెండింగులో వున్నాయని అన్నారు. రామకృష్ణా స్టూడియోను షాపింగ్ కాంప్లెక్స్ క్రింద కన్వర్ట్ చేస్తూ జి. ఓ. వెల్లింది. అది గవర్నమెంట్‌లో రాలేదు. అది ఈ పెండింగు వాటిల్లో వుందా అని అడుగుతున్నాను.

మిస్టర్ స్పీకర్ :— ఆ రెండు ముక్కలను కూడా మీ ప్రశ్నలో వేసి ఇంచే సరిపోయేది కదా.

శ్రీ పి. శివారెడ్డి :— గౌరవ సభ్యులు నన్ను కలిసి ఆ విషయం గురించి అడిగి వుంటే చెప్పి వుండేవాణ్ణి. వారిని వేరే ప్రశ్న వేయమనండి. సమాధానము తెప్పిస్తాను.

( బెల్ )

శ్రీ పి. జనార్దనరెడ్డి :— నా ప్రశ్నకు సమాధానము రాలేదు. పోస్ట్‌పోన్ చేయించండి.

డా. ఎం. మైసూరారెడ్డి (కమలాపురము) :— ఈ ప్రశ్న ముఖ్య మంత్రి గారికి సంబంధముంది. అందువల్ల సమాధానము చెప్పలేకపోతున్నారు.

శ్రీ పి. జనార్దనరెడ్డి :— రామకృష్ణా స్టూడియోను షాపింగ్ కాంప్లెక్స్ క్రింద కన్వర్టు చేస్తూ....

మిస్టర్ స్పీకర్ :— మీరు థింగ్స్‌ని అబ్రీవియేట్ చేస్తున్నారా ?

శ్రీ పి. జనార్దనరెడ్డి :— 1407 ప్రాత ప్రతులు ప్రింట్ అయ్యేవి వున్నాయని అన్నారు. అందులో రామకృష్ణా స్టూడియో షాపింగ్ కాంప్లెక్స్ క్రింద కన్వర్టు అయినట్లు ఇస్యూ చేసిన జి. ఓ. వుందా ? కన్వర్టు చేస్తూ మునిసివల్ డిపార్టుమెంటు వారు జి. ఓ ఇస్యూ చేశారు. అది గజెట్‌లో ప్రింటు అవడం ఆలస్యం అయిన విషయం నిజమేనా అని అడిగాను.

మిష్టర్ స్పీకర్ :— మీరు అన్నిటినీ టేబుల్ మీద పెట్టమని అడిగితే పెట్టిస్తాను.

శ్రీ పి. జనార్ధనరెడ్డి :— పెట్టించండి. నేను అడిగేది అందులో రామకృష్ణా స్టూడియో వుందా అని అడుగుతున్నాను ?

శ్రీ పి. శివారెడ్డి :— పెండింగులో వున్న 1407 లో ఏమి వున్నాయని అయన అడిగివుంటే చెప్పి వుండే వాణ్ణి. రామకృష్ణా స్టూడియో వుందా యింకో స్టూడియో వుందా అని అడిగితే చెప్పడానికి సిద్ధంగా లేను.

శ్రీ ఎం. బాగారెడ్డి :— తమరు రూలింగ్ ఇచ్చారు. పెండింగులో వున్న వాటినన్నిటిని టేబుల్‌మీద పెట్టిస్తానని అన్నారు. తమరే చెప్పారు,

మిష్టర్ స్పీకర్ .— ఆ ఇన్‌ఫర్మేషన్ పర్‌ఫెక్ట్ చేయడం జరుగుతుంది. నేను ఇదివరకే చెప్పాను.

శ్రీ పి. జనార్ధనరెడ్డి :— నేను అడిగిన దానికి సమాధానము టేబుల్ మీద పెట్టిస్తారా ?

మిష్టర్ స్పీకర్ :— నేను ఇదివరకే చెప్పాను. కూర్చోండి.

## FILLING UP OF DOCTORS POSTS ON REGULAR BASIS

17—

★7962-K Q -Sarvasri N. Raghava Reddy, P. Venktapathi, K Bojji, J Venkaiah and B. Hanumanthu : Will the Minister for Medical & Health be pleased to state :

a) the steps taken by the Government to fill up the vacancies of Doctor posts on regular basis, as the parttime Doctors are not working properly ; and

b) the further steps taken by the Government in the normal functioning of the Government Hospitals due to 'work to rule' by the Senior Doctors and strike by other Doctors ?

వైద్య, ఆరోగ్య శాఖ మంత్రి (డా. డి. వెంకటేశ్వరరావు) :—

ఎ) ఆంధ్రప్రదేశ్ వైద్య, ఆరోగ్య సర్వీసులలో సివిలు అసిస్టెంటు సర్జన్ల పదవు

లలో నియామకానికి 753 మంది అభ్యర్థులను ఎంపిక చేయడం జరిగింది ఎంపిక అయిన అభ్యర్థులకు ఆరోగ్య, కుటుంబ సంక్షేమ శాఖ డైరెక్టరు త్వరలోనే నియామక ఉత్తర్వులను జారీ చేశారో రోజువారీ గౌరవ వేతనం ప్రాతిపదికపై నియమించిన డాక్టర్లు సంతృప్తికరంగా పని చేయడం లేదంటూ ఎట్టి ఫిర్యాదులు అందలేదు.

బి) ప్రభుత్వ డాక్టర్లు "నిబంధనమేరకు పని" ఆందోళనను 10-8-87 నుండి విరమించినందువల్ల ఆసుపత్రులలో పని యథావిధిగా జరుగుతున్నది.

శ్రీ ఎన్. రాఘవరెడ్డి :— ఇంతవరకు వారు జాయిన్ అయినారా ? ఎంత మంది జాయిన్ అయినారు ? ఆ 50 రూపాయల హాస్పటల్‌కి మందులు ఎంత మాత్రము సప్లై చేయలేదు. వర్క్-టు రూలు పాటించడంవల్ల రోగులకు ఏ మాత్రము సేవ చేయలేని పరిస్థితిలో ఉన్నప్పుడు ఈ ప్రశ్న వేశాము. ఆ వర్క్-టు రూలు ఏ రూపములో ముగిసింది ? పూర్తిగా ముగిసిందా, మళ్ళీ వస్తుందా ?

శ్రీ పి. వెంకటపతి (సత్తెనపల్లి) :— వారు నియమించిన డాక్టర్లు పనిలో చేరి పని చేస్తున్నారా ?

డాక్టర్ డి. వెంకటేశ్వరరావు :— మొత్తం 753 పైద్యులలో 380 మంది ఇప్పటికి జాయిన్ అయినట్లు ఇక్కడ సమాచారం ఉంది. వారు పని చేస్తున్నట్టుగా సమాచారం ఉంది. కొంతమంది పోస్టు గ్రాడ్యుయేషన్ కోర్సులు చదువుతూ ఉండడంవల్ల జాయిన్ అవడానికి సమయం కావాలని కోరడం జరిగింది. మన దగ్గర 3,500 మంది డాక్టర్లు వెయిటింగు లిస్టులో ఉన్నారు. రెండు మూడు వారాలలో వారు జాయిన్ కాకపోవడం వల్ల ఉన్న ఖాళీలను అన్నిటిని భర్తి చేయడం జరుగుతుంది. ఇప్పుడు డాక్టర్లు సక్రమంగానే పని చేస్తున్నారు. అక్కడ నిధులు లేవు అనే విషయం వాస్తవం కాదు. వాటికి ప్రతి సంవత్సరం 30,000 చొప్పున బడ్జెట్ ఇవ్వడం జరుగుతోంది. స్పెసిఫిక్‌గా ఏదైనా చెప్పినట్లయితే వాటి మీద ప్రత్యేకమయిన చర్య తీసుకోవడానికి అవకాశం ఉంటుంది.

## CONSTRUCTION OF WARDS IN GOVERNMENT HEADQUARTERS HOSPITAL, SANGAREDDY

18—

★7964-H-Q —Sarvasri P. Ramachandra Reddy, R. Chenga Reddy and A. Dharma Rao :— Will the Minister for Health & Medical be pleased to state :

a) whether it is a fact that the P. W. D. has constructed new wards in Government District Head Quarters Hospital, Sanga Reddy one year back and they did not handover the said wards to the Hospital authorities ;

b) whether it is also a fact that the D. M. & H. did not provide the required furniture and other items to the Hospital inspite of the representations made by the Superintendent of the Hospital and the local M. L. A.;

c) whether it is also a fact that the sanctioned staff has not been appointed in the said Hospital ; and

d) the action taken so for to provide the amenities, appointment of staff and taking over of the buildings, etc. ?

వైద్య, ఆరోగ్య శాఖామంత్రి (డా. డి. వెంకటేశ్వరరావు) :—

ఎ) సంగారెడ్డి జిల్లా ప్రధాన కార్యస్థాన ఆస్పత్రిలో పబ్లికు వర్క్సు శాఖ నిర్మించిన కొత్త వార్డుల పనికి సంబంధించి తుది మెరుగులు ఇచ్చే పని శీఘ్రగతిని జరుగుతున్నది. ఒక పక్షంలోగా పూర్తి కావచ్చు. పని పూర్తయిన వెనువెంటనే ఈ భవనాలను ఆంధ్రప్రదేశ్ వైద్య విధాన పరిషత్తు స్వాధీనం చేసుకుంటుంది.

(బి, సి, డి) అదనపు సిబ్బంది కోసం, అవసరమయిన ఔషదాలు ఆహారం, సామాగ్రికిగాను అయ్యే వ్యయం కోసం ఇది వరకే మంజూరు చేయటం జరిగింది. 100 మెట్రసులను ఇంతకుముందె కొనుగోలు చేయటమయింది. ఆంధ్రప్రదేశ్ వైద్య విధాన పరిషత్తు ఈ భవనాలను స్వాధీనం చేసుకున్న వెనువెంటనే సిబ్బందిని నియామకం చేసి, ఇతర సామాగ్రిని లభ్యం చేయటం జరుగుతుంది.

శ్రీ ఎ. ధర్మారావు (దుగ్గిరాల) :— బిల్డింగ్సు కన్స్ట్రక్షన్ పూర్తి అయి సంవత్సరం అవుతూ ఉంది. అదిపూర్తి అయినట్లు శాసనసభ్యులు ప్రాయడమే కాకుండా వాటి బిల్సు పే చేసి కూడా సంవత్సరం అవుతూ ఉంది. దానికి కావలసిన సిబ్బందినీ ఎప్పాయింటు చేయడం, ఎక్విప్‌మెంటు అది సప్లయి చేయడం ఏమీ జరుగలేదు. సిబ్బందిని వేసి వాటిని సక్రమంగా రన్ చేస్తారా ? ఏ తేదీ లోపల రన్ చేస్తారు ?

డా. డి. వెంకటేశ్వరరావు :— దాంట్లో కొన్ని లీకేజస్ ఉన్నందువల్ల వాటిని సరిచేయమని ఆదేశాలు ఇచ్చాము. 15 రోజులలో పూర్తి అవుతుంది. పిబ్బందిని ఇతర సామాగ్రి సప్లయి చేయడానికి ఇప్పటికే ఉత్తర్వులు జారీ చేయడం జరిగింది.

## MULTISTORIED BUILDINGS TO WEAKER SECTION PEOPLE

19—

*7747-Q.—Sarvasri G. A Suryanarayana, V Kesanna and M.V. Krishna Rao :— Will the Minister for Housing be pleased to state :

a) whether it is a fact that Government is contemplating to construct multistoried buildings in the municipalities to accommodate the weaker section people; and

b) if so, the details thereof ?

సమాధానం

గృహ నిర్మాణ శాఖ మంత్రి (శ్రీ జి. రాజేశంగౌడ్) )—

ఎ) అవునండీ.

బి) 14-7-1987 తేదీ, సాంఘిక సంక్షేమ శాఖ (ఎన్) ఎం.ఎస్. నెం. 175 జి.ఓ.లో 20,000 గృహాలను నిర్మించడానికి రూ. 12,000/-ల యూనిటు ధరను, దానిలో రూ. 1,000/-లు ప్రభుత్వ సబ్సిడీగాను, రూ. 10,700/-లు రుణంగాను, రూ. 300/-లు ప్రయోజనం పొందేవారి నుండి విరాళంగా ప్రభుత్వం మంజూరు చేసింది. ఈ ఇళ్ళను ప్రకటిత పట్టణ ప్రాంతాల లోను మునిసిపాలిటీలలోను, ఇతర మునిసిపలు కార్పొరేషనులలోను నిర్మించడం జరుగుతుంది. బలహీన వర్గాల వారు తాము నివసించే ప్రాంతంలో వసతి కల్పించడానికి వీలుగా పెక్కు అంతస్తులుగల భవనాల నిర్మాణానికి విశాఖపట్నం, హైదరాబాదులోని మునిసిపలు కార్పొరేషనులు ఇదివరకే చర్యతీసుకోవడం జరిగింది.

శ్రీ జి.ఎ. సూర్యనారాయణ (శ్రీకాకుళం) :— ఇది ఒక మాన్‌ప్రోగ్రాము క్రింద అన్ని మున్సిపాలిటీలలోను కట్టడానికి చర్యలు తీసుకుంటారా ?

శ్రీ జి. రాజేశంగౌడ్ :— అర్బన్ స్కీము క్రింద అన్ని మున్సిపాలిటీల లోను తీసుకోవడానికి అవకాశం ఉంది. 20 గృహాలు పట్టాలు పొందిన వారికి వెంటనే మంజూరు చేయడం జరిగింది. ఇప్పటికే నిర్మించడం జరిగిందని మనవి చేస్తున్నాను.

## ISSUE OF B.C. CERTIFICATES TO NAGARALU COMMUNITY

20—

★8008-Q.—Sri U. Ramachandra Raju :— Will the Minister for Backward Classes Welfare be pleased to state :

a) whether it is a fact that acoording to G. O. No. 166, Social Welfare (P) Department, dated 15-7 1986, Nagaralu Community comes under Backward Classes;

b) whether it is also a fact that some of the officers are refusing to issue B.C. Certificates to Nagaralu community; and

సమాధానం

వెనుకబడిన తరగతుల, పర్యాటకశాఖ మంత్రి (డా. అల్లాడి రాజ్‌కుమార్):—

ఎ) అవునండి

బి) 15-7-1986 తేదీ ఎం ఎస్ నెం. 166 సా. సం. (పి) శాఖ జి. ఒ. జారీ చేయడానికి ముందు వున్న యథాపూర్వస్థితి పునరుద్ధరించాలని 24-10-1986 తేదీ నెం. 1551/పి2/82-3 సా. సం. (పి) శాఖ మెమో జారీ చేసినందున నగరాలు కులానికి వెనుకబడిన తరగతి ధ్రువపత్రం జారీ చేసే విషయం ఉత్పన్నం కాదు. ఆ ప్రభుత్వ మెమో ప్రకారంగా "నగరాలు" కులం వెనుకబడిన తరగతి క్రిందికి రాదు.

సి) ఈ ప్రశ్నకు తావు లేదు.

Mr. Speaker :— Questions and Answers one over.

## CONDOLENCE MOTIONS

### re : 1) Demise of Sri V. Venkateswara Rao. Former Member of A P. Legislative Assembly.

మిస్టర్ స్పీకర్ :— ఆంధ్రప్రదేశ్ మాజీ శాసనసభ సభ్యులు శ్రీ వి. వెంకటేశ్వరరావుగారి మరణం పట్ల యీ సభవారు తమ ప్రగాఢ సంతాపాన్ని తెలి బుస్తూ శోకార్తులైన వారి కుటుంబ సభ్యులకు తమ సానుభూతిని తెలియజేస్తున్నారు. శ్రీ పి. వెంకటేశ్వరరావుగారు 1978 లో మెట్‌పల్లి నియోజకవర్గము నుండి శాసన సభకు ఎన్నికైనారు. వారు శ్రీ టి. అంజయ్యగారి మంత్రిమండలిలో మంత్రిగా కూడా పనిచేశారు. వారు మెట్‌పల్లి పంచాయతీ సమితికి మరియు నియోజక వర్గము యొక్క సర్వతోముఖ అభివృద్ధికి ఎంతగానో కృషి చేశారు. వారి ఆత్మకు శాంతి చేకూర్చాలని రెండు నిమిషాలు మౌనము పాటిద్దాము.

గౌ॥ సభ్యులు రెండు నిమిషాలు మౌనం పాటించినారు.

### re : 2) Demise of Sri G. Ramulu, Former Member of A. P. Legislative Assembly

మిస్టర్ స్పీకర్ :— ఆంధ్రప్రదేశ్ మాజీ శాసన సభా సభ్యులు శ్రీ జి. రాములుగారి మరణంపట్ల యీ సభవారు తమ ప్రగాఢ సంతాపాన్ని తెలిబుస్తూ శోకార్తులైన వారి కుటుంబ సభ్యులకు తమ సానుభూతిని తెలియ జేస్తున్నారు. శ్రీ జి, రాములుగారు కరీంనగర్ జిల్లాలోని హుజూరాబాదు మరియు మెడారం నియోజకవర్గాలకు ప్రాతినిధ్యం వహించారు. వారు దళిత వర్గాల అభివృద్ధికి ఎంతగానో కృషిచేశారు. వారి ఆత్మకు శాంతి చేకూరాలని రెండు నిమిషాలు మౌనం పాటిద్దాము.

గౌ॥ సభ్యులు సభ రెండు నిమిషాలు మౌనం పాటించినారు.

### re : 3) Demise of Sri G. Sriramulu, Former Member of A. P. Legislative Assembly.

మిస్టర్ స్పీకర్ :— ఆంధ్రప్రదేశ్ మాజీ శాసనసభా సభ్యులు శ్రీ జి. శ్రీరాములుగారి మరణంపట్ల యీ సభవారు తమ ప్రగాఢ సంతాపాన్ని తెలిబుస్తూ శోకార్తులైన వారి కుటుంబ సభ్యులకు తమ సానుభూతిని తెలియ జేస్తున్నారు. శ్రీ

జి. శ్రీరాములుగారు హైదరాబాదు శాసన సభకు 1952 సంవత్సరంలో ఎన్నికైనారు మరియు ఆంధ్రప్రదేశ్ శాసన సభలో 1957 వరకు మంధని నియోజకవర్గానికి ప్రాతినిధ్యం వహించారు. వారి ఆత్మకు శాంతి చేకూర్చాలని రెండు నిమిషములు మౌనము పాటిద్దాము.

గౌ॥ సభ్యులు రెండు నిమిషాలు మౌనం పాటించారు.

## BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

శ్రీ నల్లారెడ్డి శ్రీనివాసులురెడ్డి :— నేను రూలు 76 క్రింద శుక్రవారం నోటీసు యిచ్చాను, రూలు 76 లో రూలును ప్రేమ్ చేసినవారు డిసెమిడ్డ్ మినిస్టర్సు గురించి వ్రాయలేదు. బహుశా వారు పూహించకపోయి ఉండవచ్చు. నాటోటి వ్యక్తి ఒకరు ఉంటారని వారు ఊహించకపోయి ఉండవచ్చు. నా మాదిరి గెంటి వేయబడిన వ్యక్తి ఒకరు ఉంటారని రూలును ప్రేమ్ చేసినవారు ఊహించి ఉండక పోవచ్చును. నేను ఒక అమాయకుడిని. ఒక అభాగ్యుడను, గెంటివేయబడిన వ్యక్తిని మీరన్నా కనికరించి మీ డిస్క్రిషన్ ఉపయోగించి ఏదో ప్రేమర్ ఆఫ్ రూల్సు వరచి పోయి ఉన్నా తమరు వివేకవంతులు, విజ్ఞానవంతులు, న్యాయకోవిదులు యీ సభ యొక్క అధ్యక్ష స్థానంలో ఉన్న తమరు కనీసం నాయొద కనికరంలేకుండా కన్సెంటు యివ్వని తమరైనా వ్రాయకుండా జాయింటు సెక్రటరీతో ఒక రిప్లయి క్వశ్చన్ ఆఫర్‌లోనే వ్రాపించారు. ఇపుడు అయినా పునఃపరిశీలన చేయాలని ఏదో రూల్సుకు అనుగుణంగా లేదని రైజ్ చేయకూడదని మనవి చేస్తున్నాను.

మిస్టర్ స్పీకర్ :— నేను రీ కన్సిడర్ చేస్తాను.

శ్రీ డి. కె. సమరసింహారెడ్డి :— రూలు 76-ను గౌరవ సభ్యులు కోట్ చేసారు. తాము ఏదో రూలు లోపం లేదని భావం స్ఫురించే విధంగా రిజెక్టుచేసారని గౌరవ సభ్యులు చెప్పారు. అదే పద్ధతిలో ఒక సమస్య ఉత్పన్నం అయితే పార్లమెంటులో వి. పి. సింగ్‌గారు స్టేటుమెంటు యివ్వడం జరిగింది. ఈ సభలో కూడా పీ. సి. సుబ్బారెడ్డిగారు స్టేటుమెంటు యివ్వడానికి అవకాశం యిచ్చారు. అపుడు బి. వి. సుబ్బారెడ్డిగారు స్పీకర్‌గా ఉన్నారు. అదీకాక రూలు 284 లో కూడా —

Even under Rule 284, any Member is entitled to make a personal statement on the floor of the House with the permission of the Speaker. I do not know whether it was brought to the notice...

f the Speaker. If not under Rule 76, under rule 284, the Member must be permitted to make a statement. I want the Hon. Speaker to give his ruling on this.

Mr. Speaker :— There are certain conventions and traditions. I take very strong objection to what Mr. D. K, Samarasimha Reddy say. He said "he must be parmitted". It is the discretion of the Speaker to permit him or not either under 284 or under rule 76. It must be in a shape of prayer but not by way of dectation.

Sri D K. Samarasimhareddy :— It must be judicious. But, still, there are precedents, Sir.

Mr. Speaker :— Is it proper to you to interpret the matter as you like ? If I would not able to understand the matter or if I am not clear, I may request you for your assistance. I will not say that I am only the man with super wisdom. I will certainly take the assistance of Mr D. K, Samarasimha Reddy, Mysoora Reddy and others if I need,

Sri K. Bapi Raju (Kaikalur) — Only Reddies, Sir ?

Mr. Speaker :— It is very unfortunate to take of X Y Z communities. I have already said that I will re-consider it again, Rule 76, reads as follows :

"A member who has resigned the office of Minister, may, with the consent of the Speaker make a personal statement in explanation of his resignation."

But; here, in this case, voluntary resignation is not there. The Minister is removed from the Cabinet, So that aspect has also 10-00
to be considered, My discretion is there and I will consider it,

Where a Minister resigns, then he requests the Speaker, then I consider him and his statement, I will now read out Rule 284 :

"A Member may with the permission of the Speaker make a personal explanation although there is no question before the House, but in this cass no debatable matter may be brought forwarded no debate shall arise."

In this also my accussaion made against the Member, then he makes a statement and that statement should not give rise to debatable point of attribute some other motives. He explains his own case. "No debatable matter may be brought forward and no debate shall arise" I will ask the Legislative Affairs Minister to assist me in this matter.

శ్రీ వసంత నాగేశ్వరరావు :— అధ్యక్ష, రూల్ 76 తయారు చేసేటప్పుడు బర్తరఫ్ అయ్యే మంత్రుల విషయం రూల్ ట్రేమర్స్ అలోచించి వుండరు అనే విధంగా అర్థం వచ్చేటట్టు శ్రీనివాసులురెడ్డిగారు అన్నారు. కాని ఈ ప్రజాస్వామ్యంలో అనేకమంది మంత్రులను బర్తరఫ్ చేసినప్పుడు కూడా ఈ రూల్స్‌ను మార్చుకొన్న సందర్భంలేదు. కామట్టి రాజీనామా ఇచ్చిన మంత్రులే రూల్ 76 క్రింద వివరణ ఇవ్వవలసి ఉంది. అయితే రూల్ 284 ప్రకారం కూడా అవకాశం ఇవ్వవచ్చునని సమరసింహారెడ్డిగారు అన్నారు. ఈ సందర్భంలో నేను మనవిచేసేది ఏమిటంటే శ్రీనివాసులురెడ్డిగారు రూల్ 76 క్రింద ప్రకటన చేయడానికి తమ పర్మిషన్ తీసుకొని గాని, తమరు పర్మిషన్ ఇవ్వడానికి గాని ఏ రకంగానూ అవకాశం లేదు. ఇకపోతే రూల్ 284 క్రింద కూడా శ్రీనివాసుల్‌రెడ్డిగారు ఇక్కడ ప్రకటన చేయవలసిన అవసరం ఉందని నేను అనుకోవడంలేదు. వారిని ఎందకు బర్తరఫ్ చేశారని ఎవరైనా అడిగివుంటే, వారిపై ఏమైనా ఆట్రిబ్యూషన్స్ ఉండివుంటే వారు వ్యక్తిగత వివరణ ఇవ్వవచ్చు. కాని అ రకమైన ప్రశ్నకూడా ఇక్కడ ఉత్పన్నం కానందువల్ల ఇక్కడ ఉత్పన్నం కానందువల్ల ఇక్కడ రూల్ 76 గాని, 284 గాని వర్తిస్తుందని నేను అనుకోవడం లేదు,

Mr Speaker :— You have quoted two cases, You give those details.

Sri D. K. Samarasimha Reddy :— I have got something more to ay.

( Interruptions )

Mr. Speaker :— I said I will reconsider, You should appreciate my stand.

శ్రీ సిహెచ్. రాజేశ్వరరావు (సిరిసిల్ల్లో) :— అధ్యక్ష, గౌరవసభ్యులు శ్రీనివాసులురెడ్డిగారు రూల్ 76 మరియు రూల్ 284 ఈ రెండింటిదృష్ట్యాకూడా రీకన్సి

డర్ చేయమని తమరిని కోరారు. వెంటనే రికన్సిడర్ చేస్తామని మీరు అంగీకరించడం హుందాగా వుంది. అందుకు మీకు కృతజ్ఞతలు తెలియజేస్తున్నాను. అయితే మొదటే మీరు చెప్పినట్లు 'బిట్వీన్ ది లైన్స్' కాకుండా దాని స్పిరిట్‌ను అర్థం చేసుకోవలసిన అవసరం ఉంది. ఒకానొక మంత్రిని బర్తరఫ్ చేసిన సందర్భంలో దాని రెమిడీ ఏమిటనేది రూల్ రూపంలో లేదు. కాని రూల్ 284 స్పష్టంగా పర్సనల్ ఎక్స్‌ప్లనేషన్ క్రింద వస్తుంది. మాజీ మంత్రిగానీ, బర్తరఫ్ చేయబడిన మంత్రిగానీ పర్సనల్ ఎక్స్‌ప్లనేషన్ ఇవ్వడానికి అవకాశం ఇవ్వమని కోరే అవకాశం ఉంది. కాబట్టి దానిని కూడా దృష్టిలో పెట్టుకొని, రూల్ 76 బేక్‌గ్రౌండ్‌ను దృష్టిలో పెట్టుకొని, రూల్ 284 క్రింద స్టేట్‌మెంట్ ఇచ్చే అవకాశం కల్పించవచ్చు. దీనికి ఒక ప్రిసిడెంట్ అవసరం లేకుండానే చేయవచ్చు. అవసరమయితే మన శాసన సభలోనే ప్రిసిడెంట్ క్రియేట్ చేయగలిగిన శక్తిసామర్థ్యాలు మనకు ఉన్నాయి కాబట్టి వారిని అనుమతించినట్లయితే ప్రజాస్వామ్య పద్ధతిని ఇంకా పటిష్టపరిచినట్లు అవుతుందని మనవిచేస్తున్నాను.

శ్రీ ఎన్. రాఘవరెడ్డిగారు :— శ్రీ సి. నర్సిరెడ్డిగారు మాట్లాడేందుకు స్పీకర్ అనుమతిని కోరారు.

Mr. Speaker : You don't make it an issue I can solve myself

Sri C. NaRsi Reddy :— We are expressing our opinion. It It is not an issue

శ్రీ ఎన్. రాఘవరెడ్డి :— అధ్యక్ష, మీరు కొత్త ప్రిసిడెంట్‌ను ప్రారంభించమని మేము చెప్పడం లేదు. కాని రూల్ 284 క్రింద వారిని పర్సనల్ ఎక్స్‌ప్లనేషన్ ఇవ్వనివ్వడం ధర్మం అవుతుంది. మీరు ప్రజాస్వామ్య పద్ధతిలో ఆలోచించి తప్పనిసరిగా వారికి ఎక్జెంప్షన్ ఇచ్చి అయినా రూల్ 284 క్రింద మాట్లాడనివ్వండి.

మిస్టర్ స్పీకర్ :— డిస్క్రిషనరీ పవర్ ఉపయోగించి అవకాశం ఇవ్వమని చెప్పండి. అంతేకాని తప్పనిసరిగా ఇవ్వండి అని మీరు డిసిషన్ ఇస్తున్నారు.

శ్రీ ఎన్. రాఘవరెడ్డి :— ప్రజాస్వామ్య సాంప్రదాయాలను స్ట్రెంతెన్ చేసేదిగా మీరు మీ డిస్క్రిషన్‌ను ఉపయోగించి చేయమని మాత్రమే చెపుతున్నాను.

శ్రీ యం. బాగారెడ్డి :— అధ్యక్ష, సంజీవయ్యగారి హయాములో ఏ. పి.

సుబ్బారెడ్డిగారిని మంత్రి మండలి నుంచి తొలగించినప్పుడు కూడా నేను శాసన సభ్యుడిగా ఉన్నాను. అనాటి స్పీకర్ గారు స్టేట్‌మెంట్ ఇచ్చేందుకు సుబ్బారెడ్డిగారికి అవకాశం ఇచ్చారు. అదే విధంగా ఇటీవల వి. పి. సింగ్‌గారికి కూడా పార్లమెంట్‌లో అవకాశం ఇచ్చారు. ఆ సత్సంప్రదాయాన్ని మనం పాటించాలి. ఆ సంప్రదాయాన్ని పాటించే అవకాశం మనకు వుంది - 284 క్రింద రెండు పిటిషన్స్ ఉన్నాయి. కాబట్టి ఈ విషయాన్ని పునఃపరిశీలిస్తామని తమరు అన్నారు కాబట్టి పునఃపరిశీలించి ఒప్పుకొంటే బాగుంటుంది.

శ్రీ సి. నర్సిరెడ్డి :— అధ్యక్ష. శాసన సభలో సభ్యులు తమ అభిప్రాయాలను, ఇబ్బందులను తెలుపుకోవాలనే ఉద్దేశంతో తయారు చేసిన రూల్స్ ఇవి. కాని సభ్యులనోరు నొక్కడానికి మాత్రం ఈ రూల్స్ చేయలేదు. సక్రమమైనమార్గంలో మన అభిప్రాయాలను వ్యక్తం చేయాలనే ఉద్దేశంతో ఈ రూల్స్‌ను ఫ్రేం చేయడం జరిగింది. ఇకపోతే శ్రీనివాసులురెడ్డిగారు కోట్ చేసిన రూల్ గాని లేక ఇతరసభ్యులు గౌరవ.కోట్ చేసిన రూల్ గానీ — ప్రకటన చేయడానికి అనుమతించకపోయినా అవన్నీ ప్రక్కకు పెట్టి మీ ఇష్టం వచ్చిన వారికి అనుమతివ్వడానికి రూల్ 309 క్రింద అధికారాలు ఉన్నాయి. వారిని స్టేట్‌మెంట్ చేయనివ్వడం అనేది ముఖ్యమయిన విషయం కాబట్టి మీరు పరిశీలించి నిర్ణయం తీసుకోవాలి.

మిస్టర్ స్పీకర్ :— అందుకే నేను చెప్పాను—

Immediately after hearing Sreenivasul Reddy I said that I will reconsider.

Sri C. Narsi Reddy :— Thank you Sir.

Mr. Speaker — I have already heard you and I am going to reconsider it.

(ఈ సందర్భంలో శ్రీ నల్లపరెడ్డి శ్రీనివాసులురెడ్డిగారు మాట్లాడేందుకు గౌరవ స్పీకర్ అనుమతిని కోరారు)

శ్రీ నల్లపరెడ్డి శ్రీనివాసుల్‌రెడ్డి :— లెజిస్లేచర్ మంత్రిగారు చెప్పిన తర్వాత ఒక సెంటెన్స్ యాడ్ చేయడానికైనా దయచేసి అనుమతి ఇవ్వండి. ఇంత దీనంగా అర్థిస్తున్నానండి. మీ మేలు మరచిపోలేము.

శ్రీ వసంత నాగేశ్వరరావు :— నేను కూడా చాలా వేపటినుంచి తమ అనుమతి కోసం నిలబడ్డాను.

శ్రీ నల్లపురెడ్డి శ్రీనివాసుల్‌రెడ్డి :— కాని ఇంత దీనంగా అయను (వసంత నాగేశ్వరరావుగారు) అర్థించలేదు. నేను దీనాతిదీనంగా అర్థిస్తున్నాను.

అధ్యక్షా, సహజంగా, అయ్యప్ప స్వామి గుడ్డలు కట్టుకున్నవారు ఎవరూ కాదని చెప్పకూడదు సార్ వసంత నాగేశ్వరరావుగారు తనవిచెప్పి అపచారం చేశారు. రీకన్‌సిడర్ చేస్తామంటే, ఆయన కొంప ఏదో మునిగిపట్లుగా అయనా విద్యాధరరాజుగారు షీరిద్దతుగాకూడా నా మాదిరిగా బాధితులే.

శ్రీ వసంత నాగేశ్వరరావు :— అయ్యప్ప స్వామి గుడ్డలు కట్టుకునే తప ద్వారా చెప్పుతున్నాను. మనం ఇక్కడ కొన్ని చట్టాలు చేసుకున్నాము. రూల్సుకు భిన్నంగా వ్యవహరించకూడదని తమతో వినయంగా నేను కోరుతున్నాను.

శ్రీ ఎన్. ఇంద్రసేనారెడ్డి :— ఇవ్వాళ శ్రీనివాసులురెడ్డిగారు 76 రూల్ 284 చెప్పిన తరువాత మంత్రిగారు ఏమన్నారంటే వాయిదా ఏ రకమయిన ఎలిగేషన్స్ గానీ, ఏవీగాని లేవు కాబట్టి, వారు చెప్పనవసరంలేదని మంత్రిగారు చెప్పారు. ఎలిగేషన్స్ ఉన్నా లేకున్నా మంత్రిగారు, సభ్యులు ఎవరైనాసరే చెప్పదలచుకున్నటువంటిది చెప్పవచ్చు. ఈ రూల్సు ప్రకారముంది. ఈ రూల్సు ప్రకారమే కాకుండా రూలు 319 ప్రకారం "All matters not specifically provieed for in these rules and all questions relating to the detailed working of these rules shall be regulated in such manner as the Speaker may, from time to time direct."

శ్రీ వసంత నాగేశ్వరరావు :— రూలు 319ని ప్రత్యేకంగా చదివి, ఇంద్ర సేనారెడ్డిగారు చెప్పవసరంలేదు. సభాపతిగారు ఏది అనుకుంటే దాన్ని అమలుపరచవచ్చు. అది సర్వామోదమయిన విషయం. అయితే ఇక్కడున్న విషయాలు ఒక మంత్రి బ్రదర్ చీఫ్ మినిస్టరుగారు ప్రివిగెటివ్ కనుక బ్రదర్ చేసిన మంత్రులందరికీ అవకాశం ఆర్టికల్సు క్రింద ఇవ్వబడ్డం సాధ్యపడుతుందా లేదా అనేది విషయం దృష్టిలో పెట్టుకోవాలి, తప్పితే ఇలాంటి కొత్త చిక్కులు

Sri G. Ramachandraiah :— When you are prepared to reconsider, why further discussion be allowed?

Mr. Speaker :— I feel they ate hurt Too many technicalities will land us in difficulties.

Sri C. Ramachandraiah :— You have given the assurance in this House stating that you will consider. Where is the necessity for discussion on that ?

Mr. Speaker :— Yet they want to add certain facts which may not be known to me. In my judgment I may falter. It is only to come to proper conclusion I want to hear everybody, so that they may not feel hurt.

శ్రీ కె. బాపిరాజు :— ఇప్పుడు అమంత్రిగారు చెప్పినా, ఎవరు చెప్పినప్పటికీ కూడా ప్రస్తుతానికి రూల్స్ ఏ రకంగాకూడా చెప్పనవసంలేదు. తమరు కూడా చెప్పారు. చాలా రూల్స్ విపులంగాకూడా సభ్యులు చెప్పారు. కాబట్టి మీ నిర్ణయం ఆల్‌రెడీ రీకన్‌సిడర్ చేస్తాను అన్నప్పటికి కూడా ఏవరికి ఉన్న అలోచనలు వారు ఎక్స్‌ప్రెస్ చేస్తూవచ్చారు. ఈ సమయంలో తమద్వారా ఒకమాట చెప్పాలి. ఎందుకంటే, ఈ శాసన సభలో గౌరవనీయులైన శ్రీనివాసులురెడ్డిగారు ఈసమయంలో కనుక మంత్రిగారు ఆ బెంచి ప్రక్కనఉంటే తప్పనిసరిగా ఇటువంటి బ్రేకప్ అయిన మంత్రి మాట్లాడడానికి వీలులేదని రూల్ పొజిషన్ చెప్పేవారు. డెఫినైట్‌గా చెప్పేవారు. నేను ఏమీ అనుమానించడంలేదు. ఎందుకంటే వారిబాధ్యత. ప్రక్కనున్నవారు ఆ రకంగా డిఫెండు చేయాలి. మంత్రిగారు వసంత నాగేశ్వరరావు గారు కడుపులో జాలి ఉన్నా....

(ఇంటరప్షన్స్)

ఎమైనా ఆదేశాల మేరకు రూల్ పొజిషన్ మాత్రమే చెప్పుతారు. వసంత నాగేశ్వరరావు కూడా బ్రేకప్ కావాలని నేను కోరుకోను. మంత్రిగా ఉండాలనే కోరుతున్నాను. ఇటువంటి కార్యక్రమంలో స్పీకరుగారు అతిఉదారంగా చెప్పినప్పుడు, ఫర్‌దర్ డిస్కషన్ లేకుండా వారిని అనుమతించడానికి ఇస్తే సంతోషమని మనవి చేస్తున్నాను.

డా. వై. ఎస్. రాజశేఖరెడ్డి :— శ్రీ పిడతల రంగారెడ్డిగారి అభిప్రాయం తీసుకుంటే బాగుంటుంది. పార్లమెంటు ప్రాక్టీసులో ఆయన విజ్ఞానులు, ఆయన అభిప్రాయం తీసుకుంటే బాగుంటుంది. ఇటువంటి సమయంలో పెద్దల అభిప్రాయం తీసుకోవలసిన అవసరం ఎంతయినా ఉంది. వేరే ఏమి కాదు. ఇక్కడ తెలుగు

దేశం పార్టీ ప్రభుత్వం పీలై నంతవరకు ప్రజాస్వామ్యాన్ని xxx కూనీ చేయడానికి ఏ కొంత కూడా వెనుకాడడంలేదు.

(ఇంటరప్షన్)

మిస్టర్ స్పీకర్ :— ఎక్స్‌పంజ్ దోస్ రిమార్క్స్.

డా. వై. ఎస్. రాజశేఖరరెడ్డి :— అయ్యా, ఇంతకు ముందు ప్రెసిడెంటు ఉన్నది. వాటిని ఫాలో కావాలి. కొత్త ప్రెసిడెంటు ఎస్టాబ్లిష్ చేయబోయినప్పటికి ఉన్న మంచి ప్రెసిడెంటును తెలుగు దేశంపార్టీ ప్రభుత్వం ఎటుతిరిగి ప్రజాస్వామ్యాన్ని పూర్తిగా xxx కూనీ చేయడానికి నిశ్చయించుకున్నది. దానిలో ఏమాత్రం అనుమానం లేదు. కనీసం సీరు అయినా రిస్టోర్ చేయాలని మనవి చేస్తున్నాను. ఎట్టి పరిస్థితుల్లోకూడా మీరు దయచేసి ఖచ్చితంగా రీఇన్‌స్టిడర్ చేయాలి. ఒకరి గొంతు నొక్కకుండా ఉండడానికి ఏ కార్యక్రమాలు చేయాలో అన్నికూడా చేయాలని మనవి చేస్తున్నాను.

శ్రీ జి. ఐచ్చయ్యచౌదరి (కర్నూలు) :— అధ్యక్షా, ఇవాళ రూలు 76 క్రింద మాజీ మంత్రిగారు శ్రీ శ్రీనివాసులురెడ్డిగారు ఇచ్చిన దానిమీద మరలా పునరాలోచన చేస్తారన్నారు. మేము ఇక్కడ అడుగుతున్నాము, గతంలో ఏదైనా ఈ శాసనసభలోగాని, పార్లమెంటులోగాని రాజీనామా చేసి పుట్రాలకు మాత్రమే అవకాశం ఇవ్వడం జరిగింది. పార్లమెంటులో వి. పి. సింగ్‌గారు రాజీనామా చేశారు. ఈ సభలో తరిమెల నాగిరెడ్డి సభనుంచి బయటకు వెళ్ళేముందు సిద్ధాంతపరంగా తాము బయటికి వెళ్ళాలని నిర్ణయించుకోవడం తన మనోభావాలను పూర్తిగా చెప్పి రాజీనామా ఇస్తూ వెళ్ళడం జరిగింది. ఇది స్పెసిఫిక్ కేసు, వీరు శ్రీనివాసులరెడ్డిగారు ఈ మంత్రిపదవికి ఒక్క రాజీనామా ఇచ్చి తమపార్టీతోగాని, ప్రభుత్వంతోగాని విభేదిస్తూ ఉన్నపుడు మాత్రమే, వారు రాజీనామా చేసినప్పుడు మాత్రమే ఏ విధంగా అయిన తించడానికి అవకాశం ఉంది. కాని, ఇవాళ పార్టీకిగాని, ప్రభుత్వానికి గాని వ్యతిరేకంగా పనిచేస్తున్నప్పుడు ముఖ్యమంత్రిగారు బర్తరఫ్ చేసినప్పుడు తరువాత మాత్రమే నిందారోపణలు బయటకు వచ్చి ప్రభుత్వాన్ని బదలాము చేయడం కోసం xxxచేసేటప్పుడు అవకాశం తీసుకోవడానికి ఈ రూలింగు 76 లో ఏమాత్రం కూడా శ్రీనివాసులురెడ్డిగారికి అవకాశం లేదు. వాన్ని పునరాలోచన చేయవలసిన అవసరం లేదని మనవి చేస్తున్నాను.

---

xxx expunged as ordered by the Chair.

( ఇంటరప్షన్స్ )

Sri N. Srinivasulu Reddy :— I take strong objection regarding the statement of the hon'ble Minister.

xxxఇవ్వడానికి నేను ప్రయత్నిస్తున్నానని మోటివ్స్ ఎట్రిబ్యూట్ చేశారు. నేను ఏదో పార్టీనుంచి గెంటబడినట్లు ఆరోపణ చేశారు. అపలు అడ్మిషిబిలిటీని గురించి మనం చర్చిస్తుంటే మోటివ్స్ ఎట్రిబ్యూట్ చేస్తుంటే ఇజ్జాడ ఎక్స్పంజ్ చేయవలసిన అవసరం ఉందని కోరుతున్నాను. ఆ లాంగ్వేజీ, ఆ భాష అక్కడ ఉండడానికి వీలులేదు. ఆయన మోటివ్స్ ఎట్రిబ్యూట్ చేస్తున్నారు. మీరుచూస్తూ ఊరుకోవడానికి వీలులేదు అంటున్నాను నేను. వెంటనే దానిని ఎక్స్పంజ్ చేయక పోతే న్యాయం కాదని మనవి చేస్తున్నాను. మోటివ్స్ ఎట్రిబ్యూట్ చేశారు. నేను ఎడ్మిట్ చేయమని కోరుతున్నానుఃస్టేటుమెంటు ఇవ్వడానికి నేను అమమతి కోరుతుంటే, ఇవ్వబోయే స్టేటుమెంటు గురించి మోటివ్స్ ఎట్రిబ్యూట్ చేస్తున్నారు. xxxచేయబోతున్నారని ప్రకటించాడు. తాము చూస్తూ ఊరుకుంటారా అని అడుగుతున్నాను. మీరు రూలింగు ఇవ్వాలి : ఎక్స్పంజ్ చేయాలని విజ్ఞప్తి చేస్తున్నాను. తరువాత ఇక్కడ ఒక పాయింటు అవ్ ఆర్డర్ రేజ్ చేస్తున్నారుఃఃప్రీసిడెంట్స్ ఇవ్వాలి. ఐ వాంట్ రూలింగ్ ప్రపంది ఛేర్.

మిస్టర్ స్పీకర్ :— ఐ విల్ గివ్ ది రూలింగ్; డోంట్ వరీ ఎబౌట్ ఇట్.

(ఇంటరప్షన్)

శ్రీ కుడిపూడి ప్రభాకరరావు :— ఇట్ ఈజ్ ఎ క్వశ్చన్ ఆఫ్ ఫండమెంటల్ రైట్....

మిస్టర్ స్పీకర్ :— మళ్ళీ మళ్ళీ మాట్లాడటం ఏమిటండీ :...

Sri R. Rajagopala Reddi :— Point of Order, Sir, Kindly see Rule 320 "If any difficulty ariaes in giving effect to the provisions of these rules, the Speaker may, by order make such provision not consistent with the spirit of the rules as appear to him necessary,"

అంటే, ఎన్ని డిఫికల్టీస్ వచ్చినా కూడా స్పీకర్‌గారికి డిస్క్రీషన్ ఉంది. 320

---

xxxExpunged as ordered by the Chair.

ప్రకారం ఏమి చేయాలన్నా డిస్క్రిషన్ ఉంది. కాబట్టి అయన తప్పకుండా యీ స్టేటుమెంటు ఇవ్వడానికి అనుమతి ఇస్తారని నమ్మకం ఉంది. ఓరు ముందుగానే రీకన్సిడర్ చేస్తామని చెప్పారు కూడా. లండవల్ల దానికైన ఆ సందర్భంగా ప్రకటనచేస్తే బాగుంటుందని. చర్చ కూడా అనవసరమేమోనని మీ దృష్టికి తెస్తున్నాను. మేము ఏదో ఒక స్టేటుమెంటు ఇక్కడ ఇవ్వాలంటే అయన చెప్పారు (ప్రజాస్వామిక మండలి అధ్యక్షులు) అనేక ఆరోపణలు చేస్తుంటే వీరి అంతా కూడా ఏక్స్‌పంజ్ చేయాలని నేను మనవి చేస్తున్నా. ఇది అంతా అసందర్భమైన మాటలు అయన చెప్పుతుంటే మనం ఇక్కడ వింటూ కూర్చోవడానికి వీలు లేదని స్పీకర్ గారికి మనవి చేస్తున్నాను.

శ్రీ వసంత నాగేశ్వరరావు :— అధ్యక్ష, ఓపకు వున్న విశేషాధికారాలను ప్రశ్నించే హక్కు గౌరవ సభలోని ఏ సభ్యునికీ లేదు. కాని బర్తరఫ్ అయిన మంత్రులకు, ప్రకటన చేయడానికి రూలు 76 ప్రకారం పర్మిషను లేదు. ఈ రూల్స్ తమకు వచ్చచెప్పడానికి ప్రయత్నం చేసేవి. చీఫ్ మినిస్టర్ బర్తరఫ్ చేసిన వారికి చీఫ్ మినిస్టర్ ఎలా బర్తరఫ్ చేశారో అనేది బర్తరఫ్ అయిన మినిస్టర్లు ప్రకటన చేయడం సక్రమం కాదని తప్పు దృష్టికి తీసుకు రవడానికి ప్రయత్నిస్తున్నాను. లేదు రీ కన్సిడర్ చేస్తామని అన్నారు. మేము ఈ రూలు ప్రకారం (రూలు 76) అర్థం చేసుకున్న దాని ప్రకారం బర్తరఫ్ చేసిన మంత్రులకు ప్రకటన చేయడానికి అవకాశం లేకుండా, వుద్దేశపూర్వకంగా ఈ రూలు తయారుచేసి వుంటారని భావిస్తున్నాను. తరువాత తమ నిర్ణయంమీద ఆధారపడి వుంటుంది.

శ్రీ సి. ఆనందరావు (కొప్పరండి) :— అధ్యక్ష, ఈ విషయంలో వాదోప వాదాలు అవసరం లేదు. నా అభిప్రాయం ప్రకారం ఈ సందర్భంలో రూల్ 76 వర్తించదని తమకు మనవి చేస్తున్నాము. ఆ రూల్‌ను పురలా చదువవసరం లేదు. రూల్ 284 విషయంలో, మీరు అనుమతి యివ్వదలచుకొంటే, ఈ కండిషన్స్‌కు మీరు కాటీప్‌పై అవుతే, వారు స్టేట్‌మెంట్ యిచ్చే వీలు వుంటుంది. కండిషన్స్ ఏమిటంటే "A Member may with the permission of the Speaker make a personal explanation although there is no question before the House, but in this case no debatable matter may be brought forward and no debate shall arise".

ఐతే పర్మిషన్ యివ్వడానికి ముందు మీరు కాటిక్‌పై కావాలని వుంటుంది. ఏ పద్ధతిలో మీరు కాటిక్‌పై అవుతారో వారితో కొన్నాడి కాటిక్‌పై అవుతారా, మీ స్టేట్‌మెంట్

కాని చూసి శాటిస్‌పై అవుతారా ? వారి (శ్రీనివాసులురెడ్డి) స్టేట్‌మెంట్‌లో డిబేట బుల్ మ్యాటర్ వుండబోదని సంతృప్తి చెందితే రూల్ 284 క్రింద పర్మిషన్ యిచ్చే అవకాశం వుంది. మీరు శ్రీనివాసులురెడ్డిగారికి అవకాశం యివ్వవచ్చుకొంటే, ఈ క్లాజును దృష్టిలో వుంచుకొని శ్రీనివాసులురెడ్డిగారితో మాట్లాడో, వారి స్టేటుమెంటు తీసుకొనో మీరు శాటిస్‌పై అవుతే పర్మిషన్ యివ్వవచ్చు. పర్మిషన్ యివ్వకపోతే రూల్‌కు అనుగుణంగా వుంటుందని మనవి చేస్తున్నాను.

శ్రీ యం. ఓంకార్ :— అధ్యక్ష, ఏ రూల్‌ను ఎవరము కోట్ చేసినప్పటికీ, అందులో యిమిడి వున్నది ఏమిటంటే, మీయొక్క విచక్షణాధికారాన్ని ఉపయోగించడం అనేది మీరు, మీయొక్క విచక్షణాధికారాన్ని ఉపయోగించి అనుమతించడమా, లేదా అనేది యిమిడి వుంటుంది. దానికి లోబడి మిగతా రూల్స్, వ్యాఖ్యానాలు వున్నాయి. మీరు ముందుగానే రికన్సిడర్ చేస్తామని అన్నారు. అది మంచి సాంప్రదాయం. ఎవరమూ మాట్లాడనలసిన అవసరం లేదు.

Mr. Speaker :— But still they wants to highlight the points before I give my ruling. There is nothing wrong in it. Suppose I give a wrong ruling then it will become a precedent. So, I mus here everybody so that my ruling will be a proper ruling.

శ్రీ యం. ఓంకార్ (నరసంపేట్) :— అధ్యక్ష, రెండవ విధానం, ఒక మంత్రిని వుంచడమా, లేదా అనేది ముఖ్యమంత్రి యొక్క ప్రాగేటివ్. అయితే, అందరి సభ్యుల మాదిరి ఒక బర్డరఫ్ అయిన మంత్రి తన అభిప్రాయాన్ని చెప్పుకోవడానికి అనుమతించడం అనేది మీ విచక్షణకు సంబంధించినది అని మీరే అంగీకరించారు. అది చాలా సంతోషకరమైన విషయం. అది మంచి సాంప్రదాయం. మీకు అభినందనలు తెలుపుతున్నాను. బయట పత్రికల ద్వారా ఏ సమస్య వచ్చినా దాగకుండా వాద వివాదాలు జరుగుతూనే వున్నాయి. సాంప్రదాయబద్ధంగా రూల్ 284 క్రింద దానియొక్క నిబంధనలు మీరు ఏ రకంగా శాసించి, దానికి వ్యాఖ్యానం చేసి చెప్పాలో అలా చెప్పడం మంచిది. హౌస్‌లో వినకుండా వుండడం బాగా లేదు. ఇందులో దాపరికం ఏమీ లేదు

శ్రీ వై. రామకృష్ణుడు :— అధ్యక్ష, ఈ యిస్యూలో, ముఖ్యంగా రూల్ 76 కు సంబంధించినంతవరకు, రిజిగ్నేషన్ చేసిన మంత్రి తప్ప, డిస్‌మిస్ చేయబడిన మంత్రి స్టేట్‌మెంట్ చేయడానికి వీలులేదు అనేది రూల్ క్లియర్‌గా చెబుతున్న సంగతి

అందరికీ తెలుసు. స్పీకర్‌గారు రికన్సిడర్ చేస్తామని అన్నారు కనుక, దానిగురించి వివరాలు యివ్వదలచుకోలేదు. రూల్ 284 కు సంబంధించినంతవరకు నేను ఎక్స్ ప్లయిన్ చేయదలచుకొన్నాను.

మిస్టర్ స్పీకర్ :— నాకు మీరు, పర్‌దర్‌గా ఎక్స్‌ప్లయిన్ చేయవలసిన అవసరం లేదు. నాకు రూల్స్ తెలుసు. మీరు నాకు అసిస్ట్ చేయవలసిందల్లా, ఎంత వరకు సమంజసం, ఎంతవరకు బాగుంటుంది అనేది. ఇటువంటి సమాధానాలు నాకు కావాలి. రూల్ కోట్ చేయవలసిన అవసరం లేదు.

Sri Y Ramakrishnudu :— I would like to quot Kaul and Shakder. Please see page 341 "Members against whom commments or criticisms of a personal nature are made on the floor of the House are entitled to make with the consent of the Speaker personal explanations in their defence, although there is no question before the House."

( interruptions )

Kindly see page 342 : The forum of the House should not, however, be used to make personal explanation to contradict of clarify reports, not connected with the proceedings of the House, published in the Press."

ఏదైనా ఒక హౌస్‌లో అనుకోకుండా ఎమయినా ఎలిగేషన్స్ మెంబర్ మీద కాని, మినిస్టర్ మీద కాని చేసినప్పుడు హౌస్‌కి సంబంధించినంతవరకు ఎలిగేషన్ కరక్ట్ కాదని చెప్పడం వరకే, దాని మీదనే మెంబర్‌కి కాని, మినిస్టర్‌కి కాని 284 వర్తిస్తుంది. బయట ఎక్కడ జరిగినా ఇక్కడ చెప్పడానికి వీలులేదని నా అభిప్రాయం. 10-30am

"284 A member may with the permission of the Speaker make a personal explanation although there is no question before the House but in this case no debatable matter may be brought forward and no debate shall arise."

శ్రీ నల్లపురెడ్డి శ్రీనివాసుల్ రెడ్డి :— నేను నోటీసు ఇచ్చింది 286 క్రింద 284 క్రింద ఇవ్వలేదు. పెరసనల్ ఎక్స్‌ప్లనేషన్ అంటే దాని కథ వేరే.

Mr. Speaker :— I know it.

శ్రీ నల్లపురెడ్డి శ్రీనివాసుల్ రెడ్డి :— నన్ను ఏ పరిస్థితుల్లో తీసేసారు అన్నది నేను ఈ సభకు చెప్పుకోవాలని....

( ఇంటరప్షన్స్)

మళ్ళీ మంత్రి పదవులు వస్తాయనా ?

శ్రీ కె. ఎర్రంనాయుడు (హరిశ్చంద్రపురము) :— ఇప్పుడు చాలా మంది గౌరవ సభ్యులు 284 క్రింద ఎలా చేయవచ్చునని చెప్పారు. మన గౌరవసభ్యులు ఇప్పుడు 284 క్రింద అడగడం లేదు. ఆ ప్రశ్న ఉత్పన్నం కాదు. రూల్స్ కమిటీ ఫ్రేమ్ చేసిన తరువాత ఈ సభ అంతా దీనిని ఏక్సెప్ట్ చేశారు. ఆ రోజు ఎంతోమంది మేధావులు ఇవన్నీ దృష్టిలో పెట్టుకొనే ఏక్సెప్ట్ చేశారు. మినిస్టర్‌ని రిజైన్ చేయమనడం, తీసివేయడం అనేది ముఖ్యమంత్రి ప్రీరోగేటివ్. ఏముఖ్యమంత్రి అయినా ఒక మంత్రిని బర్తరఫ్ చేయడానికి అధికారం ఉంది. ఆ విషయం చూసి అప్రూవల్ చేసిన సంగతి తెలిసే ఉంటుంది. ఒకవేళ బర్తరఫ్ అయిన మంత్రి రిజిగ్నేషన్ ఇచ్చిన మంత్రిలా స్టేట్‌మెంట్ ఇవ్వాలని అనుకుంటే ఆ విధంగా పొందుపరచి ఉండేవారు. వారు క్షుణ్ణంగా పరిశీలించే దీనిని అప్రూవ్ చేశారు. బర్తరఫ్ అయిన మంత్రి ఇటువంటి స్టేట్‌మెంట్ చేస్తే అది ఒక ప్రిసిడెంట్ అయి పార్లమెంటులోను, శాసనసభలోను పేరే విధంగా పరిస్థితులు ఎదురవుతాయనే, తలెత్తుతాయనే ఉద్దేశముతో అక్కడ మేధావి వర్గం ఇంఎలో పొందుపరచలేదు కాబట్టి 76 క్రింద ఇవ్వడానికి వీలులేదు. 284 క్రింద గౌరవసభ్యులు అడగలేదు కాబట్టి ఆ ప్రశ్న ఇక్కడ ఉత్పన్నము కాదని మనవి చేస్తున్నాను.

(ఇంటరప్షన్)

శ్రీ నాయని నరసింహారెడ్డి :— మీరు శ్రీనివాసుల్ రెడ్డిగారు స్టేట్‌మెంట్ ఇవ్వడానికి రీకన్సిడర్ చేశారు, మీకు ధన్యవాదాలు. ఇప్పుడు నాగేశ్వరరావుగారు రూల్స్ ప్రకారం అవకాశం లేదన్నారు. మనము ఈరోజు కేంద్రములో ఉన్న ప్రభుత్వం ప్రజాస్వామ్య ప్రకారం లేదని చెప్పుకుంటున్నాము. పార్లమెంటులో వి.పి. సింగ్‌గారికి స్టేట్‌మెంటు ఇవ్వడానికి అక్కడ వారు అవకాశం ఇవ్వడం జరిగింది. అక్కడ వి.పి.సింగ్‌గారికి అవకాశం ఇచ్చినప్పుడు ప్రజాస్వామ్యానికికంకణంకట్టుకున్నామన్న మీరు అవకాశం ఇవ్వవద్దని చెప్పే అర్హత మీకు లేదని నేను మనవి చేస్తున్నాను. వాస్తవాలు బయట పెట్టడం మంచిది. ఈవేళ ఇక్కడ కాకపోయినా పత్రికలలో మనము రోజూ చూస్తూనే ఉన్నాము. ఇక్కడ చట్టప్రకారంగా ఉన్నట్లు

వంటి వారం మనము. వారు ఏమి చెప్పాలో చెప్పనివ్వండి. మీరు రీకన్సిడర్ చేశారు, మీకు చాలా ధన్యవాదాలు, ప్రభుత్వం కూడా ఆలోచించుకోవాలి.

శ్రీ వసంత నాగేశ్వరరావు :— తమ అభిప్రాయం తెలుసుకోవాలని ప్రయత్నం చేస్తున్నాను. గౌరవ సభ్యులు శ్రీనివాసుల్ రెడ్డిగారికి మీరు రిజక్ట్ చేసినటువంటి జాయింట్ సెక్రటరీగారి ద్వారా పంపించారు కనుక అది ఏమిటని అంటే మీరు మళ్ళా రిజెక్షన్ పంపించడం కోసం రీకన్సిడర్ చేస్తాము అన్నారా, లేకపోతే విషయాన్ని గురించి ఆలోచిస్తాము అన్నారా ? ఒకవేళ 76 క్రింద అయితే స్పష్టముగా నేను చెప్పదలచుకొన్నాను. బర్తరఫ్ అయిన మంత్రులను దృష్టిలో పెట్టుకొని అనాడు రూల్స్ ఫ్రేమ్ చేయడం జరిగింది It is the prerogative of the Chief Minister చాలామంది బర్తరఫ్ అయినటువంటి మంత్రులు ఏవరూ కూడా ఇప్పటివరకు స్టేట్ మెంట్స్ చేసినటువంటి సంఘటనలు మనకు కనపడలేదు. కనుక ఆ విషయాన్ని గురించి రీకన్సిడర్ చేస్తాము అన్నారా అన్నది నాకు తెలియలేదు కనుక....

Mr. Speaker :— I am going to reconsider the entire issue.

శ్రీ సిహెచ్. రాజేశ్వరావు :— మమరు రీకన్సిడర్ చేస్తామన్న తరువాత మంత్రిగారు ఇది చెప్పాటే సెక్రటరీ గారి సంబంధంలో రిజెక్టు అయినది, సరయినది కాదని అన్నారు అనే పద్ధతిలో చెప్పడం, మమరు చెప్పిన తరువాత దీనిని సహృదయతతో తీసుకోవాలి గాని దీనికి అలాంటి వ్యాఖ్యానము చేయడము వారికి, సభకు హుందాగా లేదు.

శ్రీ వసంత నాగేశ్వరరావు :— వారు రీకన్సిడర్ చేస్తాము అన్న విషయం ఏమిటో తెలుసుకుందామని.

శ్రీ యం. బాగారెడ్డి :— తమరు రీకన్సిడర్ చేస్తామన్నారు, మేటర్ ఇంతటితో క్లోజ్ అవుతుంది. ఇప్పుడు లెజిస్లేచర్ ఎఫయిర్స్ మంత్రిగారు ముఖ్య మంత్రిగారికి ప్రివిలేజ్ ఉంది అన్నారు. అది అందరికీ తెలుసు.

శ్రీ వసంత నాగేశ్వరరావు :— రాజీనామా చేసిన మంత్రి చేయవచ్చు. బర్తరఫ్ అయిన మంత్రులను ఉన్న సంఘటనలను వాటిని దృష్టిలో పెట్టుకొనే చేశారు.

Mr. Speaker :— If there is any further material with you, I will go through it.

శ్రీ యం. బాగారెడ్డి :— ఫాక్ట్స్ మాత్రమే తమ ముందు ఉంచదలచుకొన్నాను. ఇప్పుడు గౌరవసభ్యులు వి.పి. సింగ్ గారిని డిస్‌మిస్ చేయలేదు, ఎ. వి. సుబ్బారెడ్డిగారిని డిస్‌మిస్ చేయలేదని చెప్పడం ఏదయితే ఉందో....

Mr. Speaker :— Get me the facts, I will take them into consideration.

శ్రీ యం. బాగారెడ్డి :— మనకు రూల్స్, ప్రొసిడియర్స్ ఉన్నాయి. ప్రొసిడియర్స్ మన దేశములో ఉన్నాయి. మన రాష్ట్రములో కూడా ఉన్నాయి. ప్రొసిడియర్స్‌ను కూడా కన్సిడర్ చేయవలసిన అవసరం ఉంటుంది. తమరు రీకన్సిడర్ చేసినప్పుడు దీనితోపాటు ప్రొసిడియర్స్‌ను కూడా కన్సిడర్ చేయవలసిన అవసరం ఉందని మనవి చేస్తున్నాను.

శ్రీ పి. నర్సిరెడ్డి :— తమరు రీకన్సిడర్ చేస్తామని అన్నారు, చాలా సంతోషం. కాని రీకన్సిడర్ చేసేటప్పుడు ఒక విషయం దృష్టిలో ఉంచుకోవాలని మనవి చేస్తున్నాను. రూల్స్‌లో ఎక్కడ ప్రొహిబిటరీ ప్రొవిజన్ లేదు. మీకు పూర్తి అధికారం ఉంది. దానిని మేము ప్రశ్నించడం సమంజసం కాదు. మీరు రీకన్సిడర్ చేయండి.

శ్రీ పి. వెంకటపతి :— ఈ విషయములో మా నాయకుడు రాఘవరెడ్డిగారు ముందే చెప్పారు. వారి అభిప్రాయాన్ని ప్రకటించమనడమే ఇప్పుడు రోజూ పత్రికలలో జరుగుతున్న దానికి సరయిందని మా అభిప్రాయం. ఎవరో సంతకం పెట్టారు అన్న విషయం నేను బాగా చెపుతారా విన్నాను. ఇప్పుడు శ్రీనివాసుల్‌రెడ్డి గారు ఇచ్చిన దానికి స్పీకర్ గారి సంతకం కాకుండా సహాయ కార్యదర్శిగారి సంతకం పెట్టి తగ్గించారు తప్ప మరొకరు ఎవరూ కాదు. అది వేరే సరిచేసుకోవలసి ఉంటుంది. సహాయ కార్యదర్శిగారి సంతకము రిజెక్ట్ చేసినవి అందరికి వస్తున్నాయి. రేపటినుంచి మీ సంతకంతోటి రావాలని కోరవలసి ఉంటుంది.

(ఇంటరప్షన్స్)

శ్రీ పి. జనార్థనరెడ్డి :— సీనియర్ సభ్యులు రంగారెడ్డిగారి అభిప్రాయం తీసుకుంటే బాగుటుంది.

మిస్టర్ స్పీకర్ :— ఇది ఏమీ డిబేట్ కాదు. డిస్కషన్ కాదు. ఇదివరకే రాజశేఖరరెడ్డిగారు చెప్పడం జరిగింది. అవసరం అనుకుంటే తీసుకుంటాను. ఇక్కడ

తీసుకోవచ్చు, లేకపోతే చాంబర్‌లో తీసుకోవచ్చు, పెద్దమనిషిని కమిట్ చేసి ఒత్తిడి చేయడం మంచిది కాదు. ఇటువంటి సందర్భాలు ఇంతకు ముందుకూడా ఉన్నాయి.

Mr. Speaker :— To-day. I will go through the records and pass orders If they are unparliamentary, I will expunge them.

(శ్రీ వల్లపురెడ్డి శ్రీనివాసులురెడ్డి :— అధ్యక్ష, నాకు పాయింట్ ఆఫ్ ఆర్డర్‌కి అవకాశం ఇవ్వండి. నేను ఎక్స్‌పంజ్ చేయమని అడిగాను. ప్రెస్‌లో వస్తుంది. అది ప్రెస్‌లోరాకూడదు కదా ?

Mr. Speaker :— To-day I will go through the records and pass orders If they are unparliamentary, I will expunge

డా. యం. వి. మైసూరారెడ్డి :— అధ్యక్ష, పత్రికలలో వచ్చింది. ముఖ్య మంత్రిగారు 14వ తేదీన సమాధాన పత్రం ఇస్తారని. అది ఎంవ్ చేస్తున్నారా ?

మిస్టర్ స్పీకర్ :— అ పైం వరకూ మీరు చూడండి, ఏమి చేస్తారో ? Don't anticipate anything

(శ్రీ పి. శ్రీరాములు (వర్ధన్నపూడి) :— ఏ.ఎ.సి.లో నాకు తెలిసినంతవరకూ మధ్యాహ్నం కూడా సమావేశం పెట్టుకొని కరువు, వరదల పరిస్థితుల గురించి చర్చిస్తారని నిర్ణయం తీసుకొన్నట్లుగా ఉంది. అది బాగుండదేమో కనుక రెగ్యులర్ పైంలో చర్చించుకొంటే బాగుటుందని నా అభిప్రాయం. వందల కోట్ల రూపాయల వరకూ నష్టం వచ్చింది.

మిస్టర్ స్పీకర్ :— పార్లమెంట్‌లో కూడా రోజూ రెండు సమావేశాలు జరుగుతాయి. అభ్యంతరం లేదు.

(శ్రీ పి. శ్రీరాములు :— సాయంత్రం ప్రెస్‌వారు రారు. ఇన్ని కోట్ల రూపాయల నష్టం వచ్చింది కనుక రెగ్యులర్ పైంలో చర్చిస్తే మంచిది. ప్రెస్ కవర్ చేయకపోతే ఎట్లా ?

## STATEMENT PLACED ON THE TABLE BY CHIEF MINISTER
**re : Allegation made against C.M.**

(శ్రీ ఎన్. టి. రామారావు :— అధ్యక్ష, నేను వివరణ పత్రాన్ని సభా సమక్షములో వుంచుతున్నాను.

Statement placed on the Table by Chief Minister
re : Allegations made against C. M.

Hon'ble Speaker Sir,

In the recent past, grave allegations have been made against several public persons including the Chief Minister touching upon their public conduct. Certain executive actions of Government have been called into question. While some of them have been made in this august House some others have been made outside.

Hon'ble Members have voiced serious concern at these allegations and desired to know the full facts.

In deference to the wishes of the Hon'ble Members and realising that a free, full and frank presentation of the case is the best course to set at rest any controversy, in the following pages, the substance of the many allegations made and the answers to them are given in juxtaposition.

The spirit in which this document is placed before the representatives of the people and through you before the public is of telling the truth, the whole truth and nothin but the truth. It is hoped that this will be a trend-setting precedent in the annals of contemporary parliamentary Government and will be appreciated by all.

N. T. RAMA RAO
Chief Minister

## ALLEGATIONS, ANSWERS

1. Sri N. T. Rama Rao, the Chief Minister does not have faith in the Constitution of India and he has gone to the extent of saying repeatedly and in public that the centre is a myth though as one who has sworn to defend and uphold the Constitution of India he is duty bound to respect and recognise the rules of various constitutional instrumentalities, like States and Central Government, Judiciary legislatures in various states both federal Government or central government. For a Chief Minister to say that centre is a myth, is nothing but either an abysmal ignorance, which we cannot attribute to a responsible Chief Minister or it may be due to prejudice against the Government of India and he is bound to discharge his duties as Chief Minister not only accordance with law and but also in co-ordination with central Governmens and other constitutional instrumentalities. For such a person to say that Centre is a myth, is to say the least, is highly unconstitutional and tantamounts to the dereliction of his constitutional duties and responsibilities and is in utter breach of his constitutional oath.

It is in-correct to state that the Chief Minister has no faith in the Constitution of India. On "Constitution" and the "Centre", Chief Minister has setforth his stand from various fora. Government's stand is that the words centre and "Central Government", however common under a unitary Constitution, are alien to us. This is because centralised control and concentration of power in any single entity is not contemplated by our Constitution. Under our written Constitution, the foundation of all authority springs from the Constitution and not from any other source. Ours is a co-operative federation, with the Union on the one hand and the States on the other, each independent in its own sphere and at the same time working hand-in-hand for the good of the people. Even in a speech at the Conference of Chief Justices and Chief Ministers at New Delhi on 31st August 1985, the Chief Minister has said "We are governed by a written Constitution based on co-operative

federalism and the rule of law. Judiciol review and enforcement of that supreme Constitution as law has been entrusted to the judiciary. Under the Constitution no single organ of the State can be said to be either superior or inferior to another. It is a tribute to the understanding, sagacity and vision of our founding fathers that they built the Constitutional edifice upon an equality of the three pillars of State, namely the Legislature, the Executive and the Judiciary.

The averment that the Chief Minister has no respect for Judicial pronouncements of the High Court and the Supreme Court is incorrect.

2. While professing to be a Hindu and while duty bound to protect all religions since we are committed to uphold the secular democracy, yet Sri N. T. Rama Rao, the Chief Minister is responsible for discriminating against the Hindus by taking over all the Hindu religious institutions, their properties, management, maintenance of the Hindu institutions thereby violating the religious freedom guaranteed to the Hindus of Andhra Pradesh by the Constitution of India.

The Andhra Pradesh Charitable and Hindu Institutions and Endowments Act, 1987 does not interfere with religion nor is it opposed or prejudical to any particular class or creed. Act 30 of 1987 does not discriminate against the Hindus nor their properties nor the management of Religious Institutions. The properties of Hindu Religious Institution and their maintenance are not taken over by the Government by violating in any manner the religious freedom guaranteed to Hindus by the Constitution of India. The Chief Minister had not suggested incorporation of any provisions in the Act which are prejudicial to the genuine interests of Hindus or the sanctity of Hindu Religion.

3. Contrary to Agama Sastras and Higdu religus practices this Act is passed without any deliberations in the Legislative Assembly either by way of a select committee or otherwise and

the whole Act is nothing but a fraud on the democratic process and institutions which guarantee religious freedom to Hindus too in India.

The allegation that the Act contravenes the Agama Sastras is in-correct. The further allegation that the Act was passed without any deliberations in the Legislative Assembly is also in-correct. Even before the Bill was introduced in the Assembly, recommendations of the Justice Challa Condaiah Commission were widely circulated for eliciting public opinion among newspaper editors, intellectuals, public men, etc., and their views ascertained. It was only after considerable public debate on the recommendations of the Commission that the Government introduced the Bill which was considered, discussed and eventually passed by the State Legislative Assembly. Since the Bill was a sequel to the report of a Commission set-up by Gevernment and the public opinion that it gathered on the recommendations of the Commission reference to a Select Committee was considered not necessary. To say that even after all this was gone through that the whole Act is nothing but a fraud on the democratic process and institutions is therefore false and baseless.

4. While our ancient ethics and Religion and morality preaches alcoholic abstinence by proclaiming that alcohol is one of the greatest vices of the society, yet the Government of Andhra Pradesh runs only on the arrack revenue.

The allegation that the Government is run only by-excise revenue is not correct. Excise revenue is one of the items of revenue to the State Government. It is not peculiar only to Andhra Pradesh to derive Excise revenue. Except in Gujarat, throughout the country, the State Governments get sizeable revenue form State Excise. Production and distribution of arrack is the exclusive privilege of the Government and is totally controlled by the State as is happening in other States. This has been going on ever since the lifting of Prohibition in Andhra

area during 1969-70 and even earlier in respect of Telangana area.

Earlier arrack was given in loose to the Excise contractors. There have been complaints that the contractors have been adding water. In order to prevent any dilution or cheating by the contractors, this Govenment has introduced a scheme to provide unadulterated arrack in sachets and bottles during the excise year 1986-87.

5. That this Government is run by Sri N. T. Rama Rao the Chief Minister only on the advise of Sri Chandra Babu Naidu, the son-in-law.

The allegation that the Government is run by the Chief Minster on the advice of Sri N. Chandrababu Naidu is not only false but mischievous and scandalous. All major policy decisions of Government are taken olny after careful deliberations at the periodic meetings of the Council of Ministers. Cabinet meetings are held almost every fortnight for consideration and decision on all important matters of State.

6. The other son-in-law is made a Cabinet Minister recently. While he wants his son Sri Balakrishna to become a successor to him as a rule of Andhra Pradesh which has become a personal and heritable estate of Sri N.T. Rama Rao.

Though Dr. Daggubati Venkateswara Rao is the son-in-law of the Chief Minister he is not only a sitting M.L.A. from Parchur Assembly constituency out is a popular leader of the Youth Wing of the Telugu Desam Party. His elevation to the Council of Minister is a recognition of his services to the party and the people. The allegation that the first respondent wants his son to become his successor as a Chief Minister is in-correct. The office of the Chihf Minister is also not filled by succession. Sii N. Balakrishna is a highly reputed film star and has not at any time expressed any desire to enter active politics.

7. The Chief Minister of Andhra Pradesh is constitutionally disqualified to hold the high democratic office of the Chief Minister of a Premier State in India for gross dereliction of constitutional duty, abuse of power and breach of cardinal constitutional provisions and abusing the constitutional powers for unconstitutional collateral, personal, partisan and communal considerations. He is guilty of violating the constitution and he is untrue to the oath taken to uphold the constitution and its integrity and as such he should be commanded to quit the office of Chief Ministership for gross abuse and misuse of constitutional powers as found by the superior courts. He is an usurper of the high public office. His continuation in the said office is utterly illegal, unconstitutional and is violative of the constitutional law as per the well established constitutional conventions and principles as mentioned in this affidavit with the necessary supporting materials.

The allegation that there is usurpation of office by the first respondent is baseless. The first respondent became the Chief Minister of Andhra Pradesh in January'83 after being elected by the Telugu Desam Legislature party on the basis of the massive mandate given to the party by the people. Even during the March 1985 Assembly Elections Sri N. T. Rama Rao was returned by the people simultaneously from three Assembly constituencies, namely Gudivada, Nalgonda and Hindupur. Sri N. T. Rama Rao was once again unanimously elected by the Telugu Desam Ligislature Party as its leader, by virtue of which he was sworn in as the Chief Minister under the constitution. To allege that he is usurper of the high public office of Chief Minister or to say that his continuance in the said office is utterly illegal, unconstitutional and violative of the Constitutional law is therefore unfounded and preposterous. The allegation that the first respondent is guilty of violating the Constitution and the oath taken by him is not correct. The further allegation that the superior courts found that the Chief Minister has abused and misused his office is baseless and in-correct. No

specific incident has been cited to show where the superior courts have found that the Chief Minister has abused or misused his office.

8. The Central Government may initiate action under law for prosecuting Sri N. T. Ramo Rao for various infractions of the country's Penal Laws with particular reference to Income-Tax, Wealth Tax and Foreign Exchange Regulation Act and other statutes.

No provision of the penal laws, laws relating to Income Tax, Wealth Tax and Foregin Exchange Regulation or any other statute has been violated by Sri N. T. Rama Rao. The question of directing the Central Government to intiate actien thereunder would not legally arise.

9. The charges levelled against Sri N. T. Rama Rao are with substantive and conclusive proof. The details and particulars are furnished and are verifiable without any difficulty from the records of the Central, State Govts. and also from the reports of State and Central Investigative Agencies. They are also referable and traceable to autoritative Press reports where both the sources and details are indicated for cross reference.

The allegation that the other charges are verifiable from the records of the Central and State Governments and also reports of the State and Central Investigation Agencies and also referable and traceable to authoritative press reports is baseless and in-correct. There is no definiteness about the allegations made. Only a roving enquiry is sought to be made by trying to to fish out information.

10. Sri N. T. Rama Rao has absolutely no respect for the authoritative judicial pronouncements of the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh and the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. The above said Hon'ble Courts have repeatedly passed severe strictures on Sri N. T. Rama Rao personally and on the Govt. headed by Sri N. T. Rama Rao, Yet Sri N. T. Rama Rao does

not care for the same and on the other hand is reported to have several times commented and criticised the Hon'ble Supreme Court and the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh saying that the higher courts are coming in the way of the developmental activities proposed by his Government by granting stay order and also the superior courts are not doing justice.

The allegation that Sri N. T. Rama Rao has absolutely no respect for the authoritative pronouncements of the High Court of Andhra Pradesh and the Supreme Court is baseless and unjustified. The Chief Minister, being the Head of the Executive, one of the three wings of the State, has the highest respect for the Courts and the judgements rendered by the Courts. The allegation that the Hon'ble Courts have repeatedly passed severe strictures on Sri N. T. Rama Rao personally and on the Government headed by him is also baseless and unjustified. The allegation has not been substantiated. The allegation that the Chief Minister does not care for the judgements and that he has criticised the Hon'ble Supreme Court and the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh that they are coming in the way of developmental activities proposed by his Government by granting stay orders and that the superior courts are not doing justice is also unjustified. No specific instances in this regard have been given. At no point of time it was stated by Chief Minister that the Courts are not doing justice, The petitioner cannot quote out of context any speech made by the Chief Minister with regard to obtaining stays by the concerned which would impede the progress of developmental activities undertaken by the Government.

The allegation that the Courts have passed serious strictures on the Chief Minister personally and the Govt. is false and baseless. The judgments cannot be read out of contex. muchless is there any substance in the allegation that strictures were passed by the courts against the Chief Minister.

11. First respondent came to power in the year 1983 and from that day he is, waging an undeclared and relentless war

against the third respondent, the lawfullly elected constitutional Government of India. For every silly reason end pretext the first respondent blames the third respondent of cover up his own lapses and failures and now this is a matter of public knowledge in India. All the actions of the first respondent are based and motivated for his own personal aggrandisement, glorification of his party, for the enrichment of and betterment of one single community in Andhra Pradesh apart from the Central motive of enriching his personal wealth.

The allegation that since the year 1983 when Sri N. T. Rama Rao came to power he is waging an undeclared and relentless war, against the lawfully elected and constitutionally valid Government of India is denied as false and in-correct. It has been the consistent stand of the first respondent that under the Indian Constitution, India is a co-operative federation with the Union on the one hand and the States on the other being equal partners, both engaged in a co-operative endeavour working for the good of the people. The way the Constitution has been worked during the past over 35 years has tended to centralise authority and concentrate power and patronage with the Central Government. The first respondent has therefore been seeking rectification of this distortion so that the Constitution is not subverted by any person or party.

The allegation that all the actions of Sri N. T. Rama Rao are biased and motivated for his own personal aggrandisement and glorification of his party, for the enrichment and betterment of one single community in Andhra Pradesh apart from the central motive of enrichment of his personal wealth is false and baseless. The Chief Minister in so for as his public conduct is concerned has always been actuated by public good and the welfare and betterment of the people of the State.

12. Several times Sri N.T. Rama Rao has expressed even sympathies for the terrorists in Panjab.

It is not correct to state that Sri N. T. Rama Rao has extended sympathies to the terrorists in Panjab. Sri N.T. Rama Rao and the Telugu Desam Party which he represents have only deplored the Central Governmnt's procrastination and dilatory tactics in expeditiously dealing with the genuine grievantes of the Sikhs in Punjab as also the people of Assam. It is the opinion of Sri N.T. Rama Rao that this failure on the part of the Central Government has left a sensitive border area with its people nursing a common deep sense of injury and injustice. It is only the concern of Sri N. T. Rama Rao for the unity and integrity of the country that has prompted him to urge the Government of India to quickly sort out the Punjab problem

13. It is further submitted that the Chief Minister is highly caste conscious, and he patronises only one caste i. e., Kammas. In all Departments he makes only the Kamma persons as Head of Departments or ignores the Head of Departments and deals with a Kamma cfficers who would be clearly and really the boss, making the departmental head a mere figure head. The Chief Engineer, Panchayati Raj (incharge) after keeping sevral superior seniors in suspension to keep a Kamma as incharge as a Head of Department. In fact a retired Kamma is made as Special Officer in Telugu Ganga Project etc. Similarly in political offices, a Kamma Zilla Parishad Chairman i. e., Sri Sunkara Satyanarayana, Krishna is protected though found guilty of corruption as per A.C.B. reports while a Backward Class Zilla Parishad Chairman of Nellore is removed.

The allegations that the Chief Minister is highly caste conscious and patronises only one caste and that in all Departments he makes only Kamma persons as Heads of Depatments or ignores the Heads of Departments and deals with Kamma persons making the Departmental Head a mere figure head are false, baseless and defamatory. A list of major heads of Departments is given in the material papers filed. This would show out of 71 major Heads of Departments only 9 belong to

the Kamma community. All appointments were made strictly as per law and rules in force. Regarding the post of C.E. (P.R.) the facts are as follows : During the year 1983, two vacancies of Chief Engineers in Panchayati Raj Engineering Service arose consequent on retirement of Sri N. Rama chandra Rao, Chief Engineer, General and Panchayati Raj with effect from 23-2-1983 on attaining the age of superannuation and due to suspension of Sri U.R.K. Murty, C.E. (RWS) in G.O. Rt. No. 994, Panchayati Raj, Estt-I, Dept. dated 28-7-1983. In order to fill up these vacancies Departmental Promotion Committee comprising of the following officers met on 19-8-1983 to prepare a panel of S.Es. fit for promotion to the posts of C.Es. in Panchayati Raj Department.

1. Sri G.V. Ramakrishna, Chief Secretary ...Chairman

2. Sri K.V.S. Suryanarayana, Secretary, Medical and Health Department. ...Member

3. Sri S. Kasipandian, Secretary, Panchayati Raj Department. ...Member

According to Rule-2 of the Panchayati Raj Engineering Service Rules, promotions to the posts of Chief Engineers are to be made on the basis of merit and ability, seniority being considered only when merit and ability are approximately equal. The following fourteen S.Es. in the Panchayati Raj Department possessing the necessary qualifications prescribed in the Rules were considered by the Departmental Promotion Committee :

1. Sri P. Umamaheswara Rao
2. Sri Md. Inamul Haq
3. Sri M. Bhaskara Rao
4. Sri N. Ramamohana Rao
5. Sri M. S. Prakasa Rao
6. Sri R. Kondala Rao

7. Sri G. Seetharamaswamy
8. Sri S. A. Kalam
9. Dr. M. Venkateswarlu
10. Sri T. Ramachandra Rao
11. Sri D. Bhaskara Rao
12. Sri J.V. Kanakalingeswara Rao
13. Sri C.N. Suresh
14. Sri S.V. Narayana Reddy

The Committee, after perusing the confidential reports of the above mentioned officers and after going through their service records including details of punishments awarded and the enquiries pending if any, against each and after assessing their comparative merits and ability held that Sri P. Umamaheswara Rao, Md. Inamul Haq, M. Bhaskara Rao, N. Rama Mohan Rao and M.s. Prakasa Rao did not have a clean record to merit selection for inclusion in the panel of promotion to the post of Chief Engineer.

The Committee assessed that Sri G. Seetharamaswamy is an officer with an outstandin record and recommended that he may be placed in the penel above Sri R. Kondala Rao.

The Government accepted the recommendations of the Departmental Promotion Committee to promote Sri G. Seetha Ramaswamy as Chief Engineer. Accordingly, he was promoted as Chief Engineer, General and Panchati Raj in G. O. Ms. No. 465 Panchayati Raj (Estt. I) Department, dated 1-10-1983.

It is also incorrect to state that Sri Sunkara Satyanarayana was protected though found guilty of corruption as per the A.C.B. reports, while Backward Class Zilla Parishad Chairman of Nellore was removed. The A.C.B. reported that no person has come forward to support the allegation levelled against Sri Satyanarayana. The Chairman of the Zilla Parishad, Nellore

does not belong to a Backward Class. He belongs to Scheduled Caste. He was initially suspended by the Government by G.O. Ms. No. 612, Panchayati Raj Department, dated 26th December 1985 which was sub-sequently extended in G. O. Ms. No. 130 dated 24th March 1986, By G. O. Ms. No. 304, dated 24th June, 1986 in exercise of the powers under Section 58-A read with Section 34-A of the Andhra Pradesh Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads Act, 1959 the Government has ordered withdrawal of powers vested in the Chairman and appointed the District Collector, Nellore to exercise the powers and perform the functions of the Chairman until further orders. The averment that only persons belonging to one caste are being patronized is not only baseless, but false.

14. In this Government headed by Sri N. T. Rama Rao, whatever are the given charges against his caste men, whoever they are, nothing adverse would happen to them. Similarly nothing can prevent their being chosen for highest advantages either in power or in money. In the State of Andhra Pradesh ruled by Sri N.T. Rama Rao nothing but caste matters and the caste loyalties of this Government sometimes are spread across the party and national loyalities.

The allegations are baseless and false. Even a cursory look at the representation of various castes in the higher public services like Heads of Departments, District Collectors etc., or even of chair-persons of State Public Enterprises would confirm that there has been no caste bias in favour of any particular Community in appointments to public posts.

The presumption that in the Government no action is taken against officers belonging to the caste of the Chief Minister is eqally incorrect and baseless.

15. The way in which his caste men are prected is clear from the mining case of Mr. E.S. Reddy Vs. State of Andhra Pradesh and Karamchedu case where Harijans where persecuted and tortured.

The allegation that the way in which the caste men of the Chief Minister are protected is clear from the mining case and Karamchedu case is also bascless and incorrect. Sri E. S. Reddy filed an appeal in the Supreme Court in C.A. No. 1404 of 1985 against the judgment of the Division Bench of the High Court dismissing the writ petition filed challenging the order of the Government placing him under suspension pending A C B enquiry.

The Karamchedu case is pending trial before the Criminal Court. Nobody has been protected on the basis of case or otherwise.

16. The appointment of Sri Narla Tata Rao as a Chairman of A P Sate Electricity Board for five years when there was no Board at all. while serious charges were pending against him both in A C B and before the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly, illustates the caste nepotism of the Chief Minister.

Sri N. Tata Rao, a reputed Power Engineer was appointed in the year 1976 as the Chairman of the Andhra Pradesh State Electricity Board. His term was extended by the saccessive Governments. Considering his administrative and technical abilities, this Government also extended his term. There is no illegality in such an action. There are no charges pending against him either with the A C B or before the House.

17. Again three Vice-Chancellors of the State belong to the caste of Chief Minister. The Andhra University Vice-Chancellor applied for American Citizenship but yet he was appointed. Similarly the Medical University Special Officer was alleged to be a drug addict without any administrative experience but he is appointed to the post. Aagain one Dr. Donappa was appointed as Vice-Chancellor of Telugu University. Again one Dr. M. Venkateswara Rao though retired person yet he is appointed as an Adviser to Government.

The Vice-Chancellors appointments are all legal and in strict accordance with the University Acts. Sri K. Ramakrishna Rao, Vice-Chancellor, Andhra-University is a distinguished educationist. The Special Officer of the Medical University, Dr. Lakshman Rao, is an eminent Cardiologist. After long years in Government service he retired as Superintendent of the Guntur General Hospital To say that he is without administrative experience is utterly false. Again Dr. T. Donappa, Vice-Chancellor of the Telugu University is a Telugu scholar of eminence. He was on the teaching faculty of Andhra University for a number of years. Dr. M. Venkat Rao who was appointed as Chairman of the State Health Advisory Board is an outstanding medical administrator besides a distinguished physician. He was the Superintendent of the Osmania General Hospital and also the Director of Health and Family Welfare. The other members of the Board were :—

1. Dr. (Mrs ) T. Seetha
2. Dr. A. Ranganatha Rao
3. Dr. V. N. Waghray
4. Director of Medical Education (Member-Secretary)
5. Director of Health and Family Welfare.

18. Dr. Kakaria Subba Rao is an American Citizen and brought back to Nizam Orthopaedic Hospital as its Director. The Minister for Health Dr. Venkateswara Rao, is the Chief Minister's own son-in-law while the Secretary for Health is Sri P. L. Sanjeeva Reddy also belongs to the caste of Chief Minister.

Dr. Kakarala Subba Rao is an eminent Radiologist of International repute. Before he was brought as the Director of the Nizam's Institute of Medical Sciences, Hyderabad, he was working as the Professor of Radiology in Albert Einstein College of Medicine Bronx, New York and New York College of Peadiatric Medicine, He was a member of the

Editorial Board, Journal of International Skeletal Society. He is Founder President of Susruta Society of Radiology, U S A He is a Fellow of the Royal College of Radiologists, U. K., American College of International Physicians and American College of Radiology He is the President of the Andhra Pradesh Chapter, Indian Radiological and Imaging Association. Sri P.L. Sanjeeva Reddy, who belongs to the Indian Adminitrative Service, is a supertime scale officer fully qualified to hold the post of Secretary, Health.

19. A Special Public Service Commission was constituted for teaching staff and one Mr Ramachandra Rao of Chitoor who is a Kamma was brought over

With a view to streamling and rationalising the method of recruitment of teachers to various institutions of higher learning like Colleges, Legislation was brought out establishing the College Service Commission. The first Chairman of the College Service Commission was Sri Ramakrishnayya. On his appointment as Chairman of the A P. Public Service Commission, Sri V. Ramachandran, Retired Director of Higher Education and an eminent educationist was appointed to the office of Chairman of the College Service Commission.

20. It is reported that even in the postings made for 21 District Collectors the key officers 18 of them belong to the caste of Chief Minister. Similarly it is alleged in Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly that caste feelings of the ruling party in harassing harijans and Reddies.

The allegation that out of 21 District Collectors, 18 belong to the caste of the Chief Minister is false and baseless. All the District Collectors belong to Indian Administrative Service. As on today, the position is as follows :

| Sl. No. | Name of the District Collector | District | Caste and Community. |
|---|---|---|---|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| Sarvasri : | | | |
| 1. | C.V.S.K. Sarma, IAS | Adilabad | Brahmin, Hindu |
| 2. | V. Bhaskar, IAS | Anantapur | Brahmin, Hindu |
| 3. | C. Viswanath, IAS | Chittor | Brahmin, Hindu |
| 4. | Smt. M. Chandanakhan, IAS | Cuddapah | Bengali, Hindu (Caste not known) |
| 5. | M.V.P.C. Sastry, IAS | East Godavari | Brahmin, Hindu |
| 6. | Jannat Hussain, IAS | Guntur | Muslim |
| 7. | Smt. Minni Mathew, IAS | Hyderabad | Cristian |
| 8. | T.S. Appa Rao, IAS | Karimnagar | B.C., Hindu |
| 9. | IYR Krishna Rao, IAS | Kammam | Brahmin, Hindu |
| 10. | R. Bhattacharya, IAS | Krishna | Bengali, Hindu (Caste not known) |
| 11. | M. Sahu, IAS | Kurnool | From Orissa, Hindu (Caste not known) |
| 12. | C.R. Biswal, IAS | Mahbubnagar | From Orissa, Hindu (Caste not known) |
| 13. | M.D. Shafiquzzaman, IAS | Medak | Muslim |
| 14. | P. Dayachari, IAS | Nalgonda | S.C. Hindu |
| 15. | Dr. Prasanta Mahapatra, IAS | Nellore | From Orissa, Hindu (Caste not known) |
| 16. | Ajoyendra Pyal, IAS | Nizamabad | S.C. Hindu |
| 17. | Dr. N. Jayaprakash Narayana, IAS | Prakasam | Kamma, Hindu |

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
|---|---|---|---|
| 18. | G. Sudhir, IAS | Rangareddy | Brahmin, Hindu |
| 19. | S. Bhattacharya, IAS | Visakhapatnam | Bengali, Hindu (Caste not known) |
| 20. | M.V.S. Prasad, IAS | Vizianagaram | Brahmin, Hindu |
| 21. | A. Chengappa, IAS | Warangal | B.C., Hindu |
| 22. | K.R. Kishore, IAS | West Godavari | Kamma, Hindu |
| 23. | S. Chellappa, IAS | Srikakulam | S.C., Hindu |

As may be seen out of 23 District Collectors, only 2 belong to the Kamma Community.

There is no truth in the allegation that the Rulling Party in harassing Harijans and Reddies.

21. Similarly all the Retired Judges belonging to Chief Minister caste were given high assignments. One is a Chairman of Endowment Commision, another is a Lok Ayukta on top of all these things, one Mr. Saidulu who was Post-Master General of Andhra Circle was brought over here as a Commissioner for Public Relations and Publicity. His only qualification being, he belongs to Chief Minister's caste and hence he is made Commissioner, Information and Public Relations Department, Special Officer, Telugu, Samachar and he has become a Gobbelean propagandist to Chief Minister workin at the Andhra Pradesh Government expense and spent Rs. 30 lakhs on a single day for giving full page advertisements on the eve of Mandal elections with nothing but Sri N T Rama Rao's blown up cutouts in all the dailies at exorbitant expense to the Government of Andhra Pradesh and he has bocome a trumpeteer for personal aggrandizement of Sri N T Rama Rao, the Chief Minister.

The insinuation that only Retired Judges belonging to the Chief Minister's caste were given hight assignments is denied. The Chairman of the Endowments Commission Sri Challa Kondaiah and the Lok Ayukta Sri Avula Sambasiva Rao were distinguished, former Chief Justices of the Andhra Pradesh High Court. Government have also been seeking and obtaining the services of Retired Judges of the Supreme Court and High Court for various important assignments. For instance Sri Justice Koka Ramachandra Rao, former Chief Justice of the Andhra Pradesh High Court was appointed to head the Commission of Inquiry investigating into the Gaddiannaram land affair and the Hitachi deal. Sri Justice Ramachandra Raju former Judge of Andhra Pradhra Pradesh High Court is the Chairman of the Andhra Pradesh Law Academy. Other former High Court Judges like Sri Justice Gangadhara Rao, Justice Krishna Rao, Justice A D V Reddy were appointed to head statutory Commissions of Inquiry. Even Judges from outside the State were appointed such as Sri Justice V R Krishna Iyer, former Judge of the Supreme Court for the Bhaskara Rao Commission of Inquiry, Sri Justice Desai for the Commission of Inquiry into the Karamchedu incident. It is unfortunate that the petitioner has indulged in sweeping and unjustified remarks against retired Judges.

Sri Saidulu was appointed to the post of Commissioner for Information and Public Relations, an ex-cadre post, after taking into consideration his aptitude and ability in the field of Information and Public Relations. Telugu Samacharam, a Telugu fortnightly is the Government's principal vehicle for disseminating information on developmental and other issues to the people. The rapid rise in its circulation which touched over 11 laks copies is itself evidence of the public support that it is receiving. Propagating the achievements of the Government is a legitimate activity of the fortnightly. To say that either Telugu Samacharam or Sri Saidulu has become the vehicle for the personal aggrandisement of Sri N.T. Rama Rao is false and baseless.

22. Similarly juggling the seniority or other senior officers the Director General of Police is appointed from the same caste.

Sri P. S. Ramamohana Rao, a senior I P S Officer has been an officer of competence and integrity. He has held several senior and responsible posts in earlier Governments also, Sri Ramamohana Rao was earlier promoted to the rank of Director-General of Police before his appointment as D G and I G P in place of Sri C G Saldanha. There is no juggling of seniority as alleged. On the retirement of Sri C G Saldanha, IPS as Director-General and Inspect-General of Police, an incumbent to the post had to be selected from among six senior IPS officers already afficiating in the post of Director-General of Police. They were S/Sri K V V Subramanyam, M V Thomas, Sushil Kumar, P V Rangaiah Naidu, P S Ramamohana Rao and Sri S Chandrasykhaian. Sri P S Ramamohana Rao was selected based on his record of service and suitability to the post.

23. In every Government Corporation the provalance of these people belonging to the caste of Chief Minister is too obvious. Andhra Pradesh Dairy Development Corporation has Sri Dhulipala Veeraiah Chowdary as its Chairman. When one Mr. Hanumantha Rao, Managing Director who was not co-operating with Sri Veeraiah Chowdary was suspended and in his place one IPS Officer Mr. Sadasiva Rao was brought back as Managing Director as he belongs to Chief Minister's caste. So is the case with reference to appointment in Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanam. One Mr. Jayaprakash Narayana though he is a junior most IAS man and he is made Ongole (Prakasam) District Collector overlooking twenty seniors and he is made the District Collector in Prakasam District which is the native district of his son-in-law, who is now made the Health Minister from 12th July, 1987.

The allegations are false, frivolous and scandalous. Appointments were not made on caste considerations. Sri D. Veeraiah Chowdary is a well-known personality in the field

of dairy development having headed the Sangam Dairy in Guntur district with distinction. Sri Jayaprakash Narayana was posted as District Collector, Prakasam keeping in view his record of service and suitability for the post. At the time of his posting Sri Jayaprakash Narayana was a senior time scale IAS Officer fully qualified to hold the post of District Collector. Attribution of motives of the caste to the posting of officers is baseless and denied.

24. Almost all the Departments of State are either filled by persons belonging to Chief Minister's caste or in the alternative, power is really vested with them though in lower cadre by short circuiting and ignoring the real Head of the Department. This favourtism has scoped into all areas where the State Government has say such as Government Pleaders, Prosecutors, Law officers appeating for the State either in High Court or in lower courts in civil or criminal matters. All the key posts are given to Chief Minister's castemen or persons.

A list of major Heads of Departments is given in the Material papers. This statement shows that the allegation that almost all the Departments of State are filled by persons belonging to Chief Minister's caste is false and baseless. As a matter of fact only 9 of the total number of 71 major Heads of Departments belong to the Kamma community. The allegation that key posts are given to the Chief Minister's caste men or persons associated with the ruling party is false and baseless. The further allegatien that power is vested with the persons belonging to Chief Minister's caste working in the lower cadres short circuiting and ignoring the Head of the Departments and that this has seeped into all areas where the State Government has a say such as Government Pleaders, Public Prosecutors and Law Officers is not only false but baseless.

25. This is done in the case of Sri K. Subramanya Reddy when for several months before he was ultimately sacked he

was given neither responsibility nor power nor respect that is due to the High office of the Advocate-General of the State. On the other hand it is reported that the work that was being assigned to him by the Govenrtment was insignificant nature after taking away the cream of work, which would be entrusted to their own favourite law officers. This results in the corrosion of the system and its efficiency in the executive judiciary or legislature.

The allegation with regard to the case of the former Advocate-General is irresponsible, reckless and false. The allegation that he was sacked and that he was not given any responsibility, power or respect is yet another falsehood. The allegation that the cream of the work was entrusted to other law officers is also baseless.

26. Even Rajya Sabha membership is given to either defeated candidates of mere Corporators witout any experience solely on caste considerations.

The allegation that Rajya Sabha membership was given solely on caste consideration is false and incorrect. Out of 11 Rajya Sabha Members belonging to the Telugu Desam Party, only two belong to Kamma Caste. Both of them are fully qualified and are dedicated workers of the party.

27. That party is nothing but his own personal estate and the whole fabric of Telugu Desam party is based on neither ideology nor on any political philosophy but is run as tight-fisted family organisation headed by his son-in-law and close relatives of Sri N. T. Rama Rao the Chief Minister and others have only to toe their line.

The Telugu Desam Party has a definite and forward-looking ideology and political philosopy.

28. Any important work in the State Government con only be done with the mercy and blessings of Sri Chandra Babu Naidu the son-in-law of the Chief Minister.

The allegations are denied os false and defamatory. At the periodic meetings of the Cabinet which are held regularly almost every fortnight, the various subjects listed on the agenda are carefully considered by the members and discussed befor approving or disapproving the proposal. It is totally false to allege that no discussions or deliberations ever take place and no decisions are taken jointly. The allegation that it is a one man autocracy show and highly undemocratic is false and baseless.

29. The Chief Minister has absolutely no with in democratic institutions. He kept nearly more than 150 M.L.As. as virtual prisoners in his own film studio i e., Sri Ramakrishna Cine Studios, Musheerabad, Hyderabad and at Nandi Hills, Karnataka for the period of 16th August 1984 to 20th September, 1984 and toured with them by taking them to all places under heavy security as though they were tamed circus exhibits. He made various promises to almost all of them to make them ministers in the event of his coming back to power later. But when fresh elections were held in March, 1985 more than 70 of the Nandi Hills camp M.L.As., who were loyal to him were denied even party tickets to contest the elections for the State Legislative Assembly. He treats the M.L.As. as if they are chattels owned by him and hence should be controlled by him absolutely. Such a feudal attitude of the first respondent reflects an utterly undemocratic tendency which is a second nature to the first respondent

It is incorrect to say that 150 M.L.As. were kept as prisoners in Ramakrishna Studio, Musheerabad, Hyderabad. On receipt of the complaint of Smt. W.R. Sakkubai, MLA, Mummidivaram, alleging that some MLAs were wrongfully confined in Ramakrishna Cine Studio, a case in Cr. No. 274/84 under Section 342 IPC was registered at Police Station, Chikkadpally and the then Deputy Commissioner of Police, East Zone Sri K. Arvind Rao, IPS conducted a search between 9 P.M. and 11 P.M. in the presence of two mediators namely Sri V P

Karunakar and Sri Y V Mathews and found that no one was kept under illegal confinement in the Studios. A similar case was registered vide Cr. No. 275/84 under Section 342 IPC. at Chikkadpally Police Station on the complaint of Smt. Tripurana Venkataratnam, MLA and Secretary, TDP Legislative Party, Hyderabad on 17-8-1984.

30. All Political plums are given to Kammas as is clear from Sri P. Upendra, a defeated M L A and Smt. Renuka Chowdhary a Corporator were being made as Rajya Sabha Members.

The allegation is baseless. There are eleven Rajya Sabha Members in all belonging to Telugu Desam Party. There is nothing wrong in giving seats to Sri P. Upendra and Smt. Renuka Chowdhary. They are qualified and they are dedicated workers of the party and they have already gained reputation as good Parliamentarians. The composition of Telugu Desam Party members in the Rajya Sabha is as under :

| Sl. No. | Name | Caste or community |
|---|---|---|
| 1. | Sri P. Upendra | Kamma |
| 2. | Smt. Renuka Chowdhary | Kamma |
| 3. | Sri Vijayamohan Reddy | Reddy |
| 4. | Sri B. Satyanarayana Reddy | Reddy |
| 5. | Sri Khaleelur Rehman | Muslim |
| 6. | Sri P. Radhakrishna | S.C. |
| 7. | Sri T. Manohar | S.C. |
| 8. | Sri K. Prabhakara Rao | B.C. |
| 9. | Sri Rao Gopala Rao | Velama |
| 10. | Sri C. Lakshmanna | B.C. |
| 11. | Sri Y. Sesibhushana Rao | B.C. |

31. All important posts and offices like Home Minister, Agriculture Minister, Health Minister are his own caste men while Ministers like Sri Mahendranath and Sri N. Sreenivasulu Reddy are only kept in the offices without any voice or say in policy making or administration, but only as decorous exhibits.

All the offices of Ministers are equally important. Besides, the responsibility of every member of the Council of Ministers is joint and several. The portfolios held by Sri P. Mahendra nath and Sri N. Srinivasulu Reddy viz., Finance and Revenue respectively are two of the five major portfolios which are to be shared between the Andhra and Telangana regions in the ratio of 3 : 2 as per the Gentlemen's Agreement of 1956.

32. The Chief Minister has absolutely no respect or regard for any democratic institutions. After he got into power he virtually forced the third respondent to abolish the Legislative Council since he found its functioning is not to his liking as that House composed of Members belonging to B.J.P., Congress and Communist Parties, etc. whose presence itself was irksome and not palatable to his party and himslelf.

To say that the first respondent virtually forced the third respondent to abolish the Legislative Council since he found its functioning not to his liking is a travesty of truth. The State Legislative Council was considered as a fifth wheel to the coach and as such the State Legislative Assembly passed a resolution recommending its abolition. This resolution was considered by the Union Parliament which passed necessary Legislation providinig for the abolition of the State Legislative Council. To say therefore that the third respondent was forced to take action is therefore baseless.

33. Having got rid of the opposition is Council, he went on abolishing the Panchayat Samithies and Zilla Parishads and elected bodies in Municipalities, University Syndicates, Ancient Institutions of Village officers and elected bodies of co-operative institutions on one pretext or the other. The first respodent

is taking effective sieps to curb the freedom of press and is arming the police with vast powers to terrorise the citizens, aparts from creating a fascist type of party organisation.

The allegation that the Chief Minister abolished the Local authorities, Univer.ity Syndicates ard other bodies and the freedom of Press is curbed and that he is arming the Police with vast powers to terrorise the citizens is baseless.

34. The funniest part of these enquiry commissions is that N. T. Rama Rao appoints enquiry commissions against himself without consulting anybody. When serious allegations are made that he received Rs. 40 crores kickbacks from Hitachi Company of Japan with reference to certain pipes and engines deal, he appointed without consulting any judicial functionaries like High Court or Supreme Court a Commission to enquire against himself. This is meant to white wash things. No accused is ever permitted to choose his own Judge, whether the allegations are true or false, they must be established by an independent commission, but not by self-appointed judges and Commissions.

The legal authority to appoint Commissions of Inquiry under Act 60 of 1952 is vested in the Central Government and in the State Governments. The law does not contemplate consultation with any person or authority by the appointing authority. However, with a view to infuse public confidence, Government have been appointing eminent persons from the Judiciary to head Commissions of Inquiry. Such a Commission does not cease to be independent only, because the appointing authority did not consult anybody. All the Judges appointed so far to various Commissions of Inquiry have been men of great personal repute and integrity. Some of the Judges, appointed are Sri Justice V R Krishna Iyer, former Judge of the Supreme Court, Sri Justice Y. Venkateswara Rao, Sri Justice G. Venkatarama Sastry, Sri Justice Sharfuddin Ahmed, Sri Justice C. Sriramulu, Sri Justice Gangadhara Rao,

Sri Justice Krishna Rao, Sri Justice A D V Reddy and Sri Justice H A Iyyar, all of the A P High Court, Sri Justice Desai, former Judge of the High Court, Sri Justice C. Kondaiah and Sri Justice K. Ramachandra Rao former Chief Justices of the High Court of Andhra Pradesh all outstanding men.

The contention that the Commissions are self-appointed Commissions and that no accused can be permitted to choose his own Judge is wholly untenable. In a Commission of Inquiry there is no accuser, no accused and no specific charges for trial are framed. It is purely a fact finding body appointed for the purpose of information for taking remedial steps.

35. One thing that is very peculiar and significant is that the so called enquiry Comissions that are being appointed by Sri N T Rama Rao are nothing but white wash commissions or vindictive commissions. The Krishna Iyer Commission and Lok Ayukta are standing examples of vindictive commissions. Since the composition of the commissions of them is always made up of the Judges who are now known for their personal predilections, partiality propensities and personal association with N T Rama Rao.

The aspersion cast against Justice Krishna Iyer and Hon'ble Lok Ayukta are without any basis and irresponsible.

36. Actually immediately after Sri N T Rama Rao's reinstatement on the 16th. September, 1984 he lost no time in initiating steps for establishing a Commission against Sri Nadendla Bhaskara Rao, former Chief Minister of Andhra Pradesh.

The allegation that Chief Minister is appointing such of those retired Judges who have supported him or have a soft corner for him or personally obliged to him is baseless and incorrect. The learned Judges are all independent and not obliged to any person.

37. The machinery of Lok Ayukta that is created is a boneless wonder since it does not take within its purview the Chlef Minister, though this was promissed in the Telugu Desam Election manifesto earlier in 1983.

The allegation that the Lok Ayukta is a boneless wonder in as much as it does not take within its purview the Chief Minister is denied as baseless. As a matter of fact, in a number of States in which similar law obtains as in Maharashtra, Rajastan, Bihar, and Uttar Pradesh, the Chief Minister has not been brought within the purview of the Lok Ayukta. It is significant as no similar institution obtains at the Centre. Even the functioning of the Institution of Lok Ayukta during the past over three years has confirmed its efficacy as an Institution to detect and prevent cases of corruption, abuse of authority, etc.

38. Again whenever Sri N. T. Rama Rao, the Chief Minister wants to persecute or get rid-off either Ministers or high officials he virtually provokes and activises the Lok Ayukta for initaiting the action and in no time the Lok Ayukta obliges him and the Lok Ayukta comes out with a report against the concerned Minister of official

This is baseless and malicious allegation.

39. From the proceedings in the Andhra Pradesh State Legislative Assembly, and the State of affairs that are evident it is clear that the Government of Andhra Pradesh is on the brink of financial bankruptcy and has resorted to appropriating for bank credits to a State owned company Allwyn and misappropriating the state owned company's bank credit limits for government purposes which is nothing but malversion of the company's amounts to meet the short fall of the state exchequer that has arisen owing to gross financial mismanagement of the state finances.

There is no mismanagement of public finances. Not only has the Annual Plan outlay gone up from about Rs. 631 crores in 1982-83 to about Rs. 1.200 crores in 1987-88 but this has also been possible through a smaller proportion of Central assistance to the State's Plan. While in 1982-83 this was 29.5% in 1987-88 it was 28.3%. What is more in none of the previous financial years did the State end up in a deficit. To say therefore that Government of Andhra Pradesh is on the brink of bankruptcy is a figment of imagination.

40. While the purpose of Uaban Land Ceiling Act is to prescribe ceilings and facilitate urban housing and construction to poor by G.O. Ms. No. 321, Revenue, dt. 8-4-1987 strangely at the instance of Sri N.T. Rama Rao, an exemption is given for building a business complex to private association of merchant's for land measuring 2,29,964.00 sq. mtrs. in S. Nos. 16/A, 14/B, 24/1, 25/1A, 49/1 B, 15/2, 50/3 A, 50/2, 50/3A1, 14/2, 16/5B, 16/3, 16/2B, 16/1E, 11, 15/1, 50/1, 15/2, 49, 53 D/1A, 13/4A, 24/2, 14/1B, 16/3A, 16/2A, and 16/3E, in Vijayawada Urban Agglomeration plan. To say the least this is not exemption under Urban Ceiling Act but a flagrant abuse of power and violation of the Act and the purpose of this was for collateral and corrupt consideration.

The allegation that the G. O. Ms. No. 321, Revenue, dated 8-4-87 was issued at the instance of the Chief Minister in flagrant abuse of power and violation of the Urban Land Ceiling Act and have collateral and corrupt considerations is not only false but baseless. The petitioner who is a resident of Visakhapatnam has no interest in the matter. The facts of the case are that in Vijayawada Iron and Hard ware Merchants' Association, Vijayawada hold vacant land measuring 2,29,964 Sq. meters in Bhavanipuram village in Vijayawada Urban Agglomerations in excess of celling limit. This association consists of several members. They submitted a petition dated 8-3-1982 stating that the entire extent was neede by the association for

the construction of business complex for allotment to its members. After a detailed examination of the case, the Government considered it expedient in the public interest to exempt the land from the Provisions of Chapter-III of the Act and an order to this effect was made in G.O. Ms. No. 321, Revenue, dated 8-4-1987 subject to the following conditions :

(i) That the extent for which exemption has been granted shall be utilised only for the purposes of establishing business premises of the members of the Association (shops, godowns, stock yards but not residence of members) and for no other purpose : nor shall the Association alienate the land in any other manner whatsoever :

(ii) that if any member voilates the above condition or retires from the business, the extent allotted to him shall revert back to only the Association and the latter shall reallot the same to another member of the Association with prior permission of the Government :

(iii) that the sale documents registering the land in favour of the members must contain the above stipulation and the copeis of the same shall be sent to the Government for record :

(iv) that it should not be leased out or alienated without the permission of the Governmet and that alienated without the permission of the Government and that the land should be continued to be used only for the purpose for which it is retained :

(v) that the land should be utilised for the purpose for which it is retained within three years from the date of grant of exemption failing which the exemption granted shall stand cancelled and the excess land will be subject to the provisions of the Urban Land (Ceiling and Regulation) Act., 1976.

(vi) that the land may be mortgaged to any bank as defined in clause (3) of sub-section (1) of section 19 for the purpose of raising finances for the Society.

It is submitted that the above orders were issued keeping n view the urgency to shift Iron and Hard ware business from the present congested streets to the outskirts of Vijaayawada city in order to relieve traffic congestion. There is no illegality, irregularity or impropriety in the action of the Government. The Government, right from the year 1976 after the Act has come into force, has been granting exemptions in deserving cases. Public interest fully justified the issuance of G.O. Ms. No. 321, Revenue dated 8-4-1987. It is relevant to submit that no person was aggrieved by this order and that this G.O. is also not under challenge in any Court of Law.

41. Again for partisan reasons and with political vindictiveness with a view to wreak political and personal vengence against Sri J. Vengala Rao, Union Minister for Industries, Government of India and Andhra Pradesh Congreess Committee President, Sri N.T. Rama Rao, abusing the legistative majority of his party got a House Committee constituted to deal with matters which subject matter of CCCA. No. 116 of 85 which is subjudice in this Hon'ble Court relating to Urban Ceiling. Exemption which was upheld by a court of law regarding which when the matters are in the High Cout no questions shall be put and no discussion shall taken place in any legislative assembly in view of the provisions of the constitution and in view of the business rules of Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly.

The allegation that with a view to wrek political and personal vengence against Sri J. Vengala Rao, the Chief Minister in abuse of the legislative majority got a House Committee appointed to deal with the matters mentioned in these paragraphs which is in voilation of the Constitution and the Bus iness Rules is false and baseless. Sri N.T. Rama Rao had nothing to do with the issue in question. The House Committee will be appointed by the Seaker.

42. Yet the first respondent Sri N. T. Rama Rao has used his legislative-majority in violation of the constituation and business rules of the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assemly and got a committee appointed regarding the matter and issues of CCCA. 116 of 85 pending in the Hon'ble High Court with personal malafide motives aagainst Sri J. Vengala Rao, Union Industry minister who was constrained to invoke the Hon'ble jurisdiction by way of W.P. No. 11686/87 and where-by orders in W.P.M.P. 15558 of 87 dt. 12-8-87 the Hon'ble High Court stayed the proceedings that are sought to be taken with malafide intentions by Sri N.T. Rama Rao, the said order is reflected herewith.

Ans.) The allegation that with a view to wreak political and personal vengeance against Sri J. Vengala Rao, the Chief Minister in abuse of the legislative majority got a House Committee appointed to deal with the matters mentioned in these paragraphs which is in violation of the Constitution and the Bus iness Rules is false and baseless. Sri N. T. Rama Rao had nothing to do with the issue in question. The House Committee will be appointed by the Speaker.

43. It is further submitted that the first respondent is guilty of various offences under the provisions of Income Tax Act, Wealth Tax and other provisions of law, but the Central Government for political reasons is afraid of dicharging its legal duty pursuing the matter.

The Central Government, the Finance Ministry has information that the Sri N.T. Rama Rao, is assessed by Income Tax Officer, Film Circle, Hyderabad under control of Commissioner of Income Tax, Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad-I. He was showing large amouts of professional incomes and agricultural income in the neighbourhood of Rs. 10,000 per year. In his residential premises a search under section 132 of Income Tax Act was conducted on 9-12-1980. The authorisation for the search was issued by the D. I., (Investigation), New Delhi. On

the same day the premises of M/s. Ramakrishna Cine Studios and M/s. Tharakarama Theatere in which Sri N.T. Rama Rao, was interested was also searched.

In the residential premises nothing of significance was found. In a room under the occupation of Sri N. T. Rama Rao, in Ramakrishna Cine Studios cash of Rs. 1,24,300, was found. On that day, since Sri N. T. Rama Rao, was not available his son Shri Sai Krishna was questioned and as the explanation given was not found satisfactory sum of Rs. 1 lakh was seized and the balance was left to enable the assessee/his son to personal expenses.

Ans.) The allegation that Sri N T. Rama Rao is guilty of various offences under the provisions of Income Tax Act. Wealth Tax Act and other Acts but the Central Government, for political reasons, is afraid of discharging its legal duty of pursuing the matter is vague, baseless and false. Assessments under Income Tax and Wealth Tax Acts were being made in accordance with the provisions of these Acts. It is a fact that during his professional career Sri N.T. Rama Rao, who was a leading Cine Artiste has been declaring large amounts of income in his returns of income. It is also a fact that a search was conducted under section 132 of the Income Tox Act on 9-12-80 and that a sum of Rs. 1.00 lakh was seized by the officials of the Department, out of a cash of Rs. 1,32,088. It was explained that the cash found represented cash balance as per account books of firms, besides his own earnings. On appeal the Commissioner of Income Tax (Appeals-I) held that "the cash found at the time of search has been satisfactorily explained" and accordingly the addition made was deleted.

44. During the course of survey under section 133-A conducted at the premises of Shri R. Gopal, Proprietor, M/s. Lakshmi Vishnu Production, Producer of the picture 'Superman' on 1st November, 1980, documents were found indicating payment to the tune of Rs. 6,45,000 to Sri N.T. Rama Rao over

and above the remuneration of Rs. 1,15,000 admitted by him for his role in this picture.

The assessment for the year 1981-82 was completed subsequently making an addition of Rs. 7.64 lakhs approximately. This was also on account of under-statement of remuneration received for acting in the picture, 'Superman' etc.,

Ans.) The facts are that a sum of Rs. 1,15,000 consisting of Rs. 15,000- cash and Rs. 1.00 lakh as annuity policy was received towards remuneration by Sri N. T. Rama Rao for acting in the motion picture 'Superman'. But, on the basis of the statement recorded from the Producer of the film during the survey conducted in his premises under section 133-A of the Income Tax Act an addition of Rs. 6,45,000- was made to the income returned by Sri N.T. Rama Rao for the assesment year 1981-82. The Producer seems to have stated that he paid a total amount of Rs. 6,60,000- to Sri N. T. Rama Rao. The statement of Producer was baseless and he was unable to produce any evidence in support thereof. He admitted that he has been carrying on various other businesses for over two decades and he has been regularly maintaining acocunts. But he stated that he did not maintain any account in respect of the production of this picture, which on the face of its was strange and unbelievable. Sri N.T. Rama Rao took up the matter in Appeal and the Commissioner of Income Tax (Appeals) has allowed the Appeal and the said amount added to the income retunred by Sri N. T. Rama Rao was ordered to be deleted. The petitioner to suit his convenience has deliberately suppressed these vital and relevant facts.

45. Sri N.T. Rama Rao had made several disclosures of income and wealth under the voluntary disclosure of income tax and wealth Tax Act, 1976. He disclosed in 1985, an income of Rs. 7,50,000 in his individual capacity and wealth aggregating about Rs. 51.50 lakhs in his capacity as individual and Hindu

Un-divided Family. Section 11 of the Voluntary Disclosure of Income-Tax, Wealth Tax Act, 1986 provides immunity from penalty and prosecution to the declarent. Section 12 further stipulates that so far as declaration of income are concerned all particulars contained therein shall be treated as confidential and no public servant shall disclose them except to the specified Officers.

Hence it is clear that Sri N.T. Rama Rao is an offender and evader of Tax Laws to the tune of half a crore and is a self-confessed criminal though not punishable and cannot be fined in view of the special nature of the scheme and the immunity conferred thereon.

But while this saves him from prosecution and fines in cannot cure the criminality of the person, and such is the ruler of this State from January, 1983.

Ans.) The allegation that Sri N.T. Rama Rao had made several disclosures of income and wealth in the year 1985 under the Voluntary Disclosure of Income and Wealth Tax Act, 1976, after he became the Chief Minister is false and baseless. The President promulgated the Voluntary Disclosure of Income and Wealth Ordinance, 1975 on 8th October, 1975 to provide for voluntary disclosure of income and wealth and for matters connected therewith or incidental there to. The operation of the Scheme was restricted to the declarations made during the period from 8th October, 1975 to 31st December, 1975 only. This ordinance was replaced by the Voluntary Disclosure of Income & Wealth Act 1976 (Act No. VIII of 1976). The scheme was not operative beyond 1st January, 1976. Nobody could have made the disclosure under the provisions of the Act on and after 1st January, 1976. Sri NT Rama Rao has not made any such disclosure in the year 1985. Hence, the allegations that Sri N T Rama Rao disclosed in 1985 an income of Rs. 7.50 lakhs in his individual capacity and wealth aggregating to Rs. 51.50 lakhs in his individual capacity and for Hindu undivided Family is an utter falsehood. In view of this, the various allegations made by the petitioner in these paragraphs are unwarranted,

without any regard for truth requiring serious consideration by this Court for directing launcing of criminal action against the petitioner.

46. The proposal to prosecute Shri N T Rama Rao for wilfully failing to file his return for the assessment years 1980-81, 1981-82 and 1982-83 was made on 16th December, 1982 under Section 276 C.C. of Income-Tax Act. The file was put up to the then Finance Minister on 12th June, 1983, It appears for some reasons or other the Central Gevernment did not pursue the matter further. I reliably understand that the matter went upto the Finance Minister Sri V. P. Singh on 6th June, 1985 who observed, that, "this is a clear case of wilful concealment."

Ans ) The allegation that Sri N.T. Rama Rao wilfully failed to file his returns for the Assessment years 1980-81, 1981-82 and 1982-83 is not only false but baseless. Sri N. T. Rama Rao is not aware of the averment that proposals to prosecute him for wilfully failing to file his returns for the aforesaid years was made on 16th December, 1982 under Section 276 C. C. of the Income Tax Act and that the file was put up to the then Finance Minister on 12th June, 1983 and that he has observed that it was a clear case of wilful concealment. It is understandable and beyond ones comprehension how Sri N. T. Rama Rao could have come to know of these facts. It is obvious that there are other vested interests behind the petitioner.

47. Besides even as late as on 13th September, 1986 Shri N. T. Rama Rao owes Rs. 9.10 lakhs as tax dues and the arrears position of his sons, studio and film unit are also to the magnitude of several lakhs. In all these cases appeals are pending before CIT (A). But the Government of India is not taking appropriate action for political reasons weich is illegal.

Ans. :- It is a fact that appeals have been filed before Commissioner of Income Tax (Appeals), some appeals have been

allowed and some are pending disposal as on date. The averment that the Government of India is not taking appropriate action for political reasons is a flight of fury.

48. Sri N. T. Rama Rao always repeatedly proclaims in every public meeting that he has entered politics after leaving the cine-field where he was making every day a lakh of rupees. He was in film field from 1950 till 1983 and at the rate he is claiming, he must have made cash earnings to the time of Rs. 108 crores. It is difficult io understand why the said amounts were not reflected in his returns of income tax and wealth-tax. That apart the various particulars of the real estate which are futnished to the authorities are totally at variance with the real income and values of the said properties. There is also strong credible information that by 1981 he was charging Rs. 30 lakhs for a film and even the same was never reflected in the returns.

Ans. :- The allegations are vague and baseless, presumptuous and unsupported by any particulars- The allegation that the 1st respondent repeatedly proclaimed in every public meeting that he has entered politics after leaving cinema field where he was making every day Rs. 1 lakh is incorrect. May be that he has stated that he entered politics for cleansing both the public and political life in the state at an enormous personal sacrifice. It is true that he was a leading Cine Star, had a very lucrative career and had, in fact, earned considerable amounts over a period of year. The allegations that there is also strong credible information that by 1981 the 1st respondent was parging Rs. 30 lakhs for a film and that it was not reflected in the Income Tax Returns is also baseless. Whatever amount that he was earnings was being disclosed in the returns. The allegation that he has earned during 1950 to 1983 an amount of Rs. 108 crores is baseless incredible and incorrect.

49. Sri N. T. Rama Rao, the Chief Minister, Sri Challa Kondaiah a retired Judge of Andhra Pradesh High Court and a bench man of Sri N. T. Rama Rao and the Executive Officer Sri

S. Laxminarayana of Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanam are guiity of violating the provisions of Foreign Exchange Regulation Act.

Ans. :- The allegation that the Chief Minister and others are guilty of violating the provisions of Foreign Exehrnge Regulation Act is baseless.

Neither the Chief Minister nor S/Sri Challa Kondaiah or S. Lakshminarayana, Executive Officer, Tirumala Tirupati Devesthanams is guilty of violcting the provisions of FERA.

50. A petition to this effect was given to the Government of India, Ministry of Finance on 19th December, 1986 but the Government of India as alleged above, is clearly abdicating its statutory and constitutional duties, for political and extranecus considerations which is clearly illegal.

Ans. :- State Government is not aware of the petition dated 19-2-1986 alleged to have been given to the Minister of Finance, Government of India. It is only now that the petitioner formed it part of the material papers submitted by him along with the writ petitions. The writ petitioner was not a signatory to it. Only two persons namely Sri K. V. Kesavulu and Sri Avva Satyanarayana Rao seem to have sent the rllegal petition. It is relevent to submit that Sri K. V. Kesavulu was former Minister and belongs to Congress-I Party. Avva Satyanarayana is an Advocate who appeared for the News Paper "Udayam" before Ramachandra Raju's Commission and he also belongs to Congress-I Party.

The petition is obviously motivated. In the petition it is stated that the Executive Officer, Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanams and the Government of Andhra Pradesh aided and abetted in violating the FERA and that the Executive Officer, Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanams has violated the provisions at the instance of the Chief Minister. It is submitted that these allegations are baseless and untrue.

The allegation that the Government of India is addicating its duties for political and extraneous considerations is far fetched and unbelievable. Government of India has no concern in the matter as it is not constituted as one of the enforcing authorities under the Act.

51. The Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanam while functioning under control of Government of Andhra Pradesh has sanctioned and disbursed Rs. 60 lakhs to temples situated abroad like U. S. A. and other countries. The said amounts were given by way of Demand Drafts drawn on various banks, the particulars of which are reflected in the annexure. The said amounts paid are to the tone of Rs. 57,05,851-20 and that the said amounts were disbursed in violation of Foreign Exchange Regulation Act came to light during the course of examination of Sri S. Laxminaarayana, a Senior Scale I. A. S. Officer who is working on deputation as Executive Officer of Tirumala Tirupathi Dnvasthanam, before the Commission of Inquiry of Justice Sri P. Ramachandra Raju appointed by the Government of Andhra Pradesh itself.

The amonnts sanctioned and paid are Rs. 48,04,148-80 Ps. and not Rs. 57,05,851-20 Ps. As already stated it cannot be legitimately contended that the disbursement of the amounts have been in violation of FERA.

52. The documents filed by Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanam before the said commission and the deposition given by Sri S. Laxminarayana a copy of which is filed herewith alongwith their exhibits clearly establish the violation of Foreign Exchange Regulation Act at the instance of and with the interference of Sri N. T. Rama Rao, Chief Minister, Sri Justice Kondaiah and Sri S. Laxminarayana, I. A. S., the Exeeutive Gfficer in league and conspiracy wtth other high Government dignitaries.

Ans. :- The allegations are baseless and incorrect. The allegation that the evidencc before the Commission clearly esto-blishes the violation of FERA at the instance of and with the

interference of the Chief Minister, Justice Kondaiah and the Executive Officer, Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanams and in league and conspiracy with other high Government dignitaries is not only false but baseless.

53. It is submitted that similarly Sri B. N. Jayasimha has also been made Member of Temple, Hindu Temple of Greater Chicago and similarly Sri A. V. Surya Rao, Commissioner of Endowments, Andhra Pradesh is also Local Committee Member in Hindu Temple of Greater Chicago. All these dignitaries acted at the instance of Sri N. T. Rama Rao and as per his directions.

Ans. :- The allegation that Sri B. N. Jayasimha and A. V. Surya Rao, Members of the Local Committee acted at the instance of the Chief Minister and as per the directions of the Chief Minister are also baseless and incorrect.

54. The local committee is a device to get over the provisions of the Foreign Exchange Regulation Act. When once the banks have informed, as is clear from their letter dated 15-10-1985 that the Reserve Bank of India, Hyderabad has informed that the draft issued for Rs. 5 lakhs drawn on Madras Bank without their prior approval amounts to a clear violation of the Foreign Exchange Regulation Act and hence the Nationalised banks directed the President of the Hindu Temple Society of Southern California 1600 Lass Virgenes Cangon Road, Calabass Ca 91302 to refund the amounts covered by the drafts to Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanam, and only after obtaining permission from Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanam authorities, they will apply to Reserve Bank of India, Hyderabad to permit them to issue a foreign draft in their favour and then only any foreign remittance would be permitted.

Ans.:- The allegation that local committee was a device to get over the provisions of Foreign Exchange Regulation Act is baseless and unjustified. The letter dated 15-10-1985 of the Andhra Bank was addressed to the President, Hindu Temple Society of Southern California, and not H. T. G. C., Chicago. The Local Committee

was constituted by H. T. G. C. Chicago by November, 1984 itself. This local Committee has nothing to do with the temple of Southern California. Hence the allegation that the Local Committee was a device to get over FERA is baseless.

The President, H. T. G. C. addressed a letter dated 16-11-1984 to Mr. Justice Kondaiah stating that the Board of Trustees of the H. T. G. C. authorised him to write to Mr. Justice Kondaiah about securing his guidance in coordinating some aspects of Sri Rama Temple work at Hyderabad as well as at Tirupathi, that H. T. G. C. has plans for construction of Sree Rama Temple at Lemont, a suburb of Chicago and site development plans have been acomplished and a 3,900 Square feet Ganesh Temple has been constructed, that foundation stone for Sri Rama Temple has been laid by the Chief Minister Sri N. T. Rama Rao on 17-6-1984, that Sri Stapathi Ganapathi has completed the architectural plans for the temple and was closely involved in the Project, that the Board of Trustees of Hindu Temple have passed a resolution naming Mr. Justice Kondaiah as the Chairman of Ad-hoc Committee at Hyderabad for overseeing the temple project and executing the policies as approved by the Board of Trustees of H. T. G. C. and requested Mr. Justice Kondaiah to accept to be the Ad-hoc Committee Chairman, that Mr. Garlla Naidu who is an industrialists at Tirupathi was one of the founding members of H. T. G C. and was the Secretary of H. T. G. C. in 1983, and he and his family members were very much involved with the temple project in Chicago, and they are also life members and he would also be able to serve as one of the members of the Ad-hoc Committee. Sri Kondaiah by letter dated 9-2-1985 replied to the President H. T. G. C. that the Committee be named as Local Committee at Hyderabad and that he has nominated S/sri B. N. Jayasimha, Garlla Naidu, A. V. Surya Rao and S. M. Ganapathi Stapathi as members and that these names may be placed before the Board of Trustees for retification so that further action may be taken. Mr. Justice Kondaiah by letter dated 15-2-1985 informed S/Sri B. N. Jayasimha, I A S, Chief Electoral Officer and Special Chief Secretary, Government of

Andhra Pradesh, Garlla Naidu, A. V. Surya Rao, Joint Commissioner, Endowments Department and S. N. Ganapathi Stapathi, Endowments Department, Andhra Pradesh Hyderabad informing them that H. T. G. C. requested him to be the Chairman for Local Committee in Hyderabad to assist them in regard to getting various sculptural works executed for the construction of Sri Rama Temple in Chicago and that it is purely a voluntary work in his service of Hindu Religion and hence requested them to be on the Committee to as its him. They had agreed to his proposals and the same was communicated by Mr. Justice Kondaiah to H. T. G. C.

55. But instead of applying and obtaining the permission of the Reserve Bank of India as required by Foreign Exchange Regulation Act all these dignitaries constituted themselves, at the instance of Sri N. T. Rama Rao, into local committees for each foreign temple and obtained monies from Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanam and got them paid to the local committees, and these amounts were presumably paid by corresponding illegal adjustments or otherwise settlements in utter violation of the Foreign Exchange Regulation Act, as otherwise the only alternative being, these sums are misappropriated by the Local Committees since till now no utilisation certificates are available with the Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanam.

Ans. :- The allegations are baseless. The Chief Minister has nothing to do with the constitution of the Local Commitiee. The allegation that the amounts given by the Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanams have been misappropriated by the Local Committee is also baseless. H. T. G. C. through letters dared 27th August, 1986 and 15-10-1986 October, 1986 informed Executive Officer, Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanams, about the utilisation of Rs. 5 lakhs duly enclosing the certificates of auditors.

56. Strangly the Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanam has paid these amounts even without any sanotions of Board of Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanam on the ground that on 17th

June, 1984 the Chief Minister Sri N. T. Rama Rao orally promised and having regard to the Committment of Sri N.T. Rama Rao the Executive Officer paid these amounts taking it for granted that the Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanam Board may not have any objection and it was only later the same was sought for ratification sometime in September, 1985 which is done as an empty formality. Till today no sanctions required by Foreign Exchange Regulation Act are available for all these remittances to Foreign Institutions though the device of the Local Committees.

There was nothing illegal in the Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanams sanctioning the loan to the Chicago temple. It is a fact that when the Chief Minister laid the foundation stone for the Sri Rama Temple in Greater Chicago, representations were made to him for financial assistance and the Chief Minister informed them thai it would be looked into favourably. By this it cannot be inferred that the provisions of the Act were violated.

57. He also returned the chaque bearing No. CA. 29419208 dated 6th August, 1986, for Rs. 5 lakhs issued in favour of the Chairman Sri Rama Temple, the Hindu Temple of Greater Chicago, Post Box No. 99, Lemont ilbionds. The local committee obtained these amounts through their Chairman that is Justice Sri Challa Kondaiah and also appropriated the same.

Ans. :- Local committees has not appropriated the amount of Rs. 5·00 lakhs given by the Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanams. The Local Committee utilised the same for the purpose of preparation of idols etc.

58. The evidence given by Sri S. Lakshminarayana, Executive Officer, Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanam, clearly shows that they have not given any letter either to Reserve Bank of India or to Government of India for any permission as required by the banks to comply with the requirement of Foreign Exchange Regulation Act. He also deposed that they did not bring this correspondance with Indian Overseas Bank and other Banks to the notice of Trust Board while including the same in the Agenda.

He also further deposed before the Commission that he did not address any letters to State Government, for obtaining any permission from Reserve Bank of India.

He also further deposed before the Commission that the position of giving funds to foreign temples requires the permission under Foreign Exchange Regulation Act. He also deposed that he also not written separate letter either to Government of India or State Government for such permission.

He also admitted that cheques were issued in favour of the so called local committees.

He also admitted that several lakhs of rupees were spent in India on behalf of the foreign temples from these Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanam paid locally.

He also admitted that because of the involvement of the Chief Minister in laying the foundation etc., and the promises of Chief Minister held out for rendering the financial assistance, he sanctioned the loan and the Trust Board was presumed to ratify the sanction.

He further deposed that every resolution concerning the grant of loan the aforesaid item highlighted the involvement of the Chief Minister and the promises made by Sri N. T. Rama Rao.

He further deposed that Sri A. V. Surya Rao who is the Joint Commissioner of Endowments and who is also the Member Secretary of Hindu temple of Greater Chicago.

He further admitted that Sri Surya Rao wrote a letter in his capacity of Member-Secretary, Local Committee of Hindu Temple of Greater Chicago at Hyderabad enquiring about funds. Similarly Sri Justice Challa Kondaiah the Chairman of the Endowments Commission in his letter dated 2nd May 1985 describing himself as Chairman of the Local Committee of Hindu Temple of Greater Chicago sought for those funds.

He further deposed that neither Sri Surya Rao nor Justice Challa Kondaiah has furnished to the Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanam any details as to under what authority of the governing body of the Hindu Temple of Greater Chicago the local committee was constituted at Hyderabad nor under what authority they constituted themselves as Local Committee and drew monies from Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanams.

He further deposed that there was no application for the loan sanctioned by the Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanam. The applicant temple has not so far sent in proper from any application.

Neither the temple nor the so called Local Committee has furnished any utilisation certificate in respect of this loan of Rs. 5 lakhs.

He further deposed that, Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanam has not made any request either to Reserve Bank of India, to Central Government or to State Government. He has also deposed that they have not checked whether any foreign temple to whom the amount was given and whether the said amount was utilised for the purpose for which it was taken or not.

He further deposed that there was no application before the Reserve Bank of India for clearance and the necessary permission. The Executive Officer further deposed that only in February, 1986 for the first time he has applied for a blanket permission from the Reserve Bank of India on the advise of Law Officer of Devasthanam but by that time all the loans were sanctioned and they were disbursed also.

Ans. :- These paragraphs refer to the depositions given by Sri S. Lakshminarayana, Executive Officer, Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanams before Ramachandra Raju Commission. The Executive Officer has categorically stated that it was not due to the promise made by the Chief Minister that he had sanctioned

the loan. Merely because the Chief Minister promised to render assistance to Sri Rama Temple, Chicago, it cannot be presumed that he was involved in the grant of the loans and that he has violated the provisions of FERA.

59. From above deposition of the senior I. A. S. Officer who is the Executive Officer of Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanam and from the above documentary correspondance mentioned, it is clear that the Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanam's funds to the tune of several lakhs were used by the authorities and the officers at the instance of Sri N. T. Rame Rao and others including Justice Sri Challa Kondaiah for the purposes for which no utilisation certificate is tendered till now, as per the evidence of the Executive Officer, Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanams before Justice Sri P. Ramachandra Raju's Commission and the same is in utter violation of the requirements of the Foreign Exchange Regulation Act.

Ans. :- The allegation that the Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanam's funds were utilised by the authorities and officers at the instance of the Chief Minister and others is baseless and false.

Allegation :- This is to say the least, is nothing but deliberate violation of the Foreign Exchange Regulation Act by Sri NT. Rama Rao, Justice Sri Challa Kondaiah, Sri Lakshminarayana, I. A. S., Executive Officer, Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanam and other Members of the so called Local Committee for the various foreign temples for whom unauthorised loans were given even without any Trust Board sanctions. by the Executive Officer himself at the behest of the Chief Minister. This would clearly establish that Sri N. T. Rama Rao, the Chief Minister is not only instrumental and responsible for violating the Foreign Exchange Regulation Act, but is clearly guilty of deliberate violations of the Foreign Exchange Regulation Acts' provisions.

Ans. :- The conclusions drawn by the petitioner that the Chief Minister has deliberately violated the provisions of FERA

and that the Executive Officer granted the loans to the various temples situated abroad at the behest of the Chief Minister and that the Chief Minister is not only instrumental and responsible for violating the FERA, but he is clearly guilty of deliberate violation of FERA are baseless, false and mischievous.

60. As is clear from the letters of Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanam its Resolutions, the evidence of Sri S. Lakshminarayana, I. A. S., Executive Officer, Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanam, the involvement of Justice Sri Challa Kondaiah, Sri Surya Rao, Sri Jaisimha and other members of the Local Committees it is clear that the entire action in this regard is initiated, planned and completed by only Sri N. T. Rama Rao, who is really responsible for all these deliberate violation of the laws of the land.

Ans. :- The allegations are denied.

The petitioner has indulged in making false, sweeping and irresponsible statements against the Chief Minister stating that the entire action was initiated, planned and contemplated only by the Chief Minister and that he is really responsible for all these deliberate violations of laws of the land.

Out of the 7 foreign temples to which loans were granted, utilisation certificates have been received from 6 temples, viz., (1) Hindu Community and Cultural Centre, Walnut Creek, U. S. A., (2) Temple Assyciation, Rama Gate, Sidney, Australia; (3) New England Hindu Temple, Wellesley Hills, Massachusetts; (4) Hindu Temple of Greatsr Chioago, Lemont, Illinois; (5) Sri Venkateswara Temple of Greater Chicago (Balaji Temple) palos Park; and (6) Hindu Temple Soeiety of South California, Clensadale, U. S. A.

In respect of the loan given to Sri Venkateswara Temple Association, Jackson, Missisipi, U. S. A., information was received that the amount is lying in the State Bank of India, Osmaniy University Branch, Hyderabad, for clearance.

An amount of Rs. 5 lakhs was granted on loan to Vishnu Mandir, Hindu Dharma Sabha, Bangkok. Thailand by way of cheque dated 16th December, 1985, drawn on Andhra Bank, which was returned and the cheque was cancelled by the Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanams.

61. Sri N. T. Rama Rao is personally dishonest, greedy and unscrupulous. While on one hand he proclaims that he has renounced all material wealth and that he has nothing but ashes as his property, the various personal acquisitions of the property, appropriation of the Governmental and party funds and conversion of illegal earnings and rank corruption of his own relatives by abusing the governmental authority is traceable by some of these instances.

Ans. :- There is no basis for the allegation that by abusing the Governmental authority the Chief Minister has appropriated governmental funds.

62. While proclaiming that he will take Re. 1 as his salary, his expenditure for his house in ABids is several times more than the prescribed limit of Rs. 5,000 per year for maintaining the Chief Minister's house as per the rules. The expenses for washing the door curtains also was claimed from the State Treasury.

Ans. :- The expenditure incurred is not unauthorised. As per rule 9(2) of the Andhra Pradesh residences of Ministers and Legislature Officers (Furnishing) Rules, 1960 the maintenance of the buildings owned and occupied by the Ministers and other dignitaries shall be undertaken at the Government cost at an expenditure not excseding Rs. 5,000 per annum. Chief Minister has relinquished the whole of the House Rent allowance of Rs. 2,500 p. m. to which he is entitled. Government have decided that in lieu of the Chief Minister's relinquishing the annual rental allowance of Rs. 30,000, the building owned and occupied by him shall be maintained at Government expense and orders issued in G. O. Rt. No. 2292, G. A. (G. H. I) Department dated 20th March

1984 and G. O. Ms. No. 3787, G. A. (G. H-I) Department, dated 10th September, 1985. Accordingly the expenditure on the maintenance of the building under the occupation of Chief Minister is being met by Government.

63. On 27th January, 1983 by means of C. O Ms. No. 325 for his house electrical works expenditure is Rs. 53,100.

Ans. :- The amounts mentioned have been sanctioned towards maintenance of the residence of the Chief Minister. The amounts sanctioned the purpose for which they were sanctioned are shown in the Material Papers.

Allegation :- For fixing partitions for a portion of the house and the amount spent on 8,283 by means of G. O. Ms. No. 598 is Rs. 48,000.

Allegation :- The amount spent for urinals for visitors is Rs. 18,000 by virtue of G. O. Ms. No. 833, dated 25th February, 1983.

Allegation :- For wood brought for door frames by means of G. O. Ms. No. 1027, dated 4th March, 1983 is Rs. 6,200.

Allegation :- For crockery of the guest house that has been attached to the house of Sri N. T. Rama Rao, the Chief Minister, is Rs. 4,164.50 by G. O. Ms. No. 263, dated 27th June, 1983.

By means of G. O. Ms. No. 628, dated 10th February, 1983 for attending the door frames work a sum of Rs. 7,000.

A further sum of Rs. 8,000 was spent on 26th March, 1983 by means of G. O. No. 1425 for barbed wire fencing.

A further sum of Rs. 4,310.75 was spent by G. O. No. 3419 dated 13th July, 1983 for crockery in the house.

Ans. :- In G. O. Ms. No 2631, G. A. (G. H.-I) Department dated 27th June, 1983 sanction was accorded for Rs. 4,164-50 towards purchase of crockery items for use in the Chief Ministers Annexe Guest-House. Subsequently in G. O. Ms No. 2017, G. A. (G. H.-I) Department dated 7th March, 1984 sanction was accorded for supply of crockery, cutlery, napkins, etc. for replacement of the crockery items purchased earlier on production of breakage certificate given by the Personal Assistant to Chief Minister. In G. O. Ms. No. 3419, G. A. (G. H.-I) Department dated 13th July, 1983 sanction was accorded for Rs. 4,310.75 towards purchase of crockery and cutlery for use at the residence of Chief Minister which is admissible under the Andhra Pradesh Residences of Ministers and Legislature Officers (Furnishing and Maintenance) Rules 1960.

Allegation :- By means of G. O. No. 1005, dated 3rd March, 1984 for dining table expenditure is a sum of Rs. 10,766.10

Allegation :- For additional electrical works expenditure of Rs. 20,610 was made on 4th March, 1984 by G. O. No. 3823, dated 4sh March, 1984.

Allegation :- A sum of Rs. 9,235 was spent for hot water heater for arranging shock guards to geiser vide G. O. No. 3824, dated 4th July, 1984.

For material like Glassware, Cutlery, Napkins etc., a sum of Rs. 4,223.50 was spent by G. O. No. 2017, dated 7th February, 1984.

Ans. :- As per Rule 2 of the Andhra Pradesh Residences of Ministers and Legislature Officers (Furnishing and Maintenance) Rules, 1960, the residence of Chief Minister should be furnished by the Government. Accordinly orders were issued for supply of the items of furnishings.

Alelgation :- For touch up of points by means of G O No. 3165, dated 16th May, 1984 a sum of Rs. 45,500 was spent.

Allegation :- For door curtains and window curtains by G O Ms. No. 3825, dated 4th July, 1984 a sum of Rs. 19,745.47 was spent.

Allegation :- For dry cleaning of door curtains a sum of Rs. 820 was spent on 30th July, 1984 by means of G O No. 4126.

Allegation :- While a sum of Rs. 25,592.75 was spent for cloth of door curtains by G O 4240, dated 8th August, 1984.

Ans. :- An Amount of Rs. 4,21,000 was sanctioned in G O Ms No. 557, G.A. (GH-I) Department, dated 17th November 1983 for a special House Type Bus for use of Chief Minister for his tours. It is being used for his tours in the Districts.

Allegation :- By means of G O No. 557 a sum of Rs. 4,21,900 was spent for building a special Allwyn van which is never used till now (Government Chaitanyaratham)

Ans: :- A Hindustan Contessa car was purchased for Rs. 98,642 for the use of Chief Minister vide G O Ms. No 301, G A (G H-I) Department, dated 21st January, 1984.

Allegation :- Hindustan Contessa car was bought vide G O No 301, for Rs. 98,642.

Thus a total sum of Rs. 7,82,810.07 is spent for the furnishings of the house of Sri N T Rama Rao, the Chief Minister upto 1984 only.

From the above things it is clear that Sri N T Rama Rao is using the Government funds as a sort of personal estate while proclaiming that he will take only Re. 1 as salary While the rules require that for maintaining of a Chief Minister residence yearly maximum permitted expenditure is Rs. 5,000 only.

Ans. :- As stated above an amount of Rs. 2,26,545.00 was expended on the maintenance of the residence of Chief Minister upto the end of year 1984 An amount of Rs. 33,104,32 was

incurred towards supply of furnishings like Dining Table and Chairs, Curtains etc. An amount of Rs 12,698.75 was expended towards purchase of crockery and cutlery at the residence of Chief Minister and to the annexe Guest House. The contention of the petitioner that the total sum of Rs. 7,82,810.07 was expended for the furnishings of the residence of Chief Minister is therefore not correct Furniture, fittings and other itmes will be taken back by the Government when the incumbent ceases to be in office.

64. That apart in the guise of the cottages and kuteerams the expenditure incurred and the amounts spent are colossal. Apart from these amounts being utilised solely and wholly for the purpose of either getting exemptions Under Urban Ceilings Act for his per sonal properties spending several lakhs of rupees of state amounts for personal benefit.

The moment Sri N T Rama Rao got into power one of the first official acts of Sri N T Rama Rao is to exempt 4 acres of land owned by one Sri Narayana Reddy in Nacharam from Urban Land Ceiling Act, and to have it sold to his sons who along with the 7 acres of land making a valuable property of 11 acres worth about Rs 3 crores and having proclaimed himself as Sanayasi he put a cottage in this 11 acres

Ans. :- It is submitted that the request of Sri A. Narayana Reddy, for grant of exemption to surplus extent of Acs. 4.2 1/2 Guntas situated in S No. 191/1/B, 206/1/B of Nacharam (Village) in Hyderabad Urban Agglomeration so as to enable him to sell the said land to M/s. Ramakrishna Cine Studios, for development into a horticulture garden for outdoor shooting of films, has been examined in terms of the existing guidelines, then in force. Since the above said land proposed to be purchased by M/s. Ramakrishna Cine Studios, will not only meet the conditions stipulated in G O Ms. No. 1797, Revenue dated 24-10-78 but also involves "Public Interest" under section 20 (1) (a) of the Urban Lands (Ceiling and Regulation) Act, 1976

as it would cater to the needs of Cinema Industry, it has been considered that raising of horticulture gardens by M/s, Ramakrishna Cine Studios would add to the development of infrastructure of Film Industry, which was quite inadequate in our State when compared to neighbouring States like Tamilnadu (Madras) and Maharashtra (Bombay) by taking into consideration the location of land, the purpose for which the land is being proposed to be used. Accordingly orderds have been issued in G O Ms.No. 501, Revenue dated 9-3-1983, exempting an extent of 16,440.60 Sq.mtrs. situatedin S No. 197/1-B,198B,199/P, 206/1-B, situated at Nacharam (V) in Hyderabad Urban Agglomeration, in Public Interest, under section 20 (1) (a) of the Act in favour of Sri A. Narayana Reddy so as to enable him to sell the land to M/s. Ramakrishna Cine Studios for the purpose of the land into horticulare garden for outdoor shooting films. Sri N. Jaya Krishna, Partner of M/s. Ramakrishna Cine Studics, Hyderabad has requested the Government in his applicatien dated 23-3-84 for grant of exemption of land measuring Acs. 11.3 1/2 Guntas situated at Nacharam Village, Hayatnagar Mandal, Rangareddy District for retention for agricultural purpose (for outdoor shooting under section 20 (1) (a) of the Urban Land (Ceiling and Regulation) Act, 1976 in terms of G O Ms. No. 1797, Revenue dated 24-10-1978.

M/s. Ramakrishna Cine Studios have purchased Acs. 7.29 Guntas of land at Nacharam Village from Sri Syed Azam after obtaining 2 (o) certificate from the Special Cfficer and Competent Authority, Urban, Land Ceilings, Hyderabad on 24-2-1979 since these lands are agricultural lands and not covered by the Master Plan at that time. Subsequently M/s. Ramakrishna Cine Studios have also purchased Acs. 4.2 1/2 Guntas of land situated at Nacharam village along with a shed, servant quarters, Farm house, a cattle shed and a Compound Wall from Sri A Narayana Reddy on 23-3-1983 i.e., after Government have granted exemption to Sri A. Narayana Reddy in G O Ms. No. 501, Revenue datee 9-3-1983 by which time the extended

Master Plan has been effected. Accordingly these lands are recorded as Agricultural lands in the Village records. But they are earmarked for residential purpose in the extended Master Plan notified for non-Municipal areas. Therefore these lands have been treated as Vacant lands under the Urban Land Ceiling and Regulation) Act, 1976. The total area of the land is 47,702.00 Sq. Mtrs. out of which they have constructed the following :

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1) | Main Building | 253.00 Sq. Mtrs. |
| 2) | Garages | 47.00 ,, |
| 3) | Servant Quarter | 232.00 ,, |
| 4) | Servant Quarter | 242.00 ,, |
| 5) | Tank | 20.00 ,, |
| 6) | Tank | 44.00 ,, |
| 7) | Well | 666.00 ,, |
| 8) | Pump House | 6.00 ,, |
| 9) | Servant room | 29.00 ,, |
| 10) | Platform | 313.00 ,, |
| | Tatal | 4,673.00 Sq. Mtrs. |

Apart from the above constructions, the entire land is being used for agricultural purpose though the land use is specific other than agriculture in the extended Master Plan. The Special officer and Competent Authority, Urban Land Ceiling, Hyderabad has declared M/s. Ramakrisha Cine Studios as surplus land holder to an extent of 46,702.31 Sq. Mtrs. under section 8 (4) of the Urban Land (Ceiling and Regulation) Act,1976 in his Proceedings No. F1/121/83 dated 16-5-1984. According to the guidelines issued in G O Ms. No 1797, Revenue dated 24-10-1978 the request of the applicant viz., Sri N. Jaya Krishna,

Partner, M/s. Ramakrishna Cine Studios falls within the guidelines.

The Government have examined the matter and considered that since the above said land, if exemption is granted, will, not only meet the conditions stipulated in G O Ms No. 1797, Revenue, dated 24-10-78 but also involve "Public Interest" under section 20 (1) (a) of the Urban Land (Ceiling and Regulation) Act, 1976 as it would cater to the needs of the Cinema Industry. Accordingly orders have been issued in G O Ms No 450, Revenue, dated 3-5-1985 granting exemption to the surplus extent measuring Acs. 11.31 1/2 Guntas (46,702.31 Sq. Mts.) situated at Nacharam village, Hayatnagar Mandal, Rangareddy District held by M/s. Ramakrishna Cine Studios for retention for Agricultural purpose (for outdoor shooting of films) under section 20 (1) (a) of the Urban Land (Ceiling and Regulation) Act, 1976 by stipulating the following conditions :

1) that the land should actually be used only for agricultural/Horticultural pupose.

2) that whenever the land is proposed to be used for any other purpose, prior permission of the State Government in writing should be obtained.

3) that the land should not be sub-divided, or transferred by sale, gift, lease or otherwise without the prior permission of the State Government. There is, however, no objection to mortgage the land without possession, to secure a loan from a bank or any financial institution for the sole purpose of agriculture/Horticulture; and

4) that if, at any time, the State Government are satisfied that any of the conditions (1) to (3) above is violated, or if the State Government require the exempted land for their own use, then the State Government may withdraw the exemption under sub-section (2) of section 20 of the said Act.

65. As it is going to be a Chief Minister's residence, owned by him, though he never resided there, several roads, security arrangements, telephones power and other amenities worth several lakhs of rupees of state funds were spent and without staying there for even few days he later reverted back to his Abids residence and subsequently he converted this entire property as "Ramakrishna Horti-Cultural Studios". The Chief Minister's family members i.e his sons requested the Government headed by their father that since they are using these 11 acres for agricultural purposes they sought exemption from the operation of the Urban Land Ceiling Act. But one Mr. Venugopal Rao, IAS who was a strict officer took the view that they should surrender 20,730 sq. mts. as excess land under Urban Land Ceiling Act and the same should be surrendered to the Government. The moment that officer took that view he was transferred as Director of Settlement : Revenue Department which has practically became a department of no consequence.

Ans. :- The allegation that Sri V. Venugopala Rao IAS who was working as Special Officer and Competent Autority took the view that the declarants should surrender 20 730 Sq. Mts. as excess land under the Urban Land Ceilings Act and in ivew of this he was transferred as the Director of Settlements, Revenue Department, is baseless and false. Sri V. Venugopala Rao, at no time dealt with the file relating to this case. The transfers effected were of routine in nature in the normal course. Sri M V Ranga Reddy, IAS, the then Director of Survey, Settlement and Land Records sent a letter to Government on 6-6-1984 requesting for posting his substitute. In view of the importance attached to the post the Government considered that Sri V Venugopala Rao, IAS, the then Special Officer and Competent Authority, Urban Land Ceiling who had completed about 2 years of service by then in the post as suitable for being posted as Direetor. The decision was taken on 17-7-1984 and orders were accordingly issued in G O Rt. No. 3391-G A (Special A) Department, dated 18-7-84 transferring Sri V Venugopala Rao as Director. Sri V Venugopala Rao, did not send

any protest letter against this posting. Sri V Venugopala Rao had never deal with this file nor did he record that the excess land should be surrendered. The file relating to the case was processed only from 31-10-84 in the office of the Special Officer and Competent Authority. The files relating to the case do not disclose that Sri V Venuuopala Rao, took the view that the declarants should surrender 20,730 Sq. Mts. as being in excess of the permitted holding.

The allegation that several roads, security arrangements telephones, power and other amenities worth several lakhs of rupees of State funds were spent for the building at Nacharam is baseless and incorrect. No amount was spent for this building or for the purposes mentioned by the petitioner. The existing Government telephone numbers 851377 and 851877 were shifted and installed at the Nacharam residence of the Chief Minister and subsequently disconnected. No expenditure was incurred towards installation of new telephones at Nacharam.

66. The less we say about the Gaddiannaram lands and its allotment to Hyderabad Agricultural Market Committee the better it is. The relevant facts relating to this deal are stated by Mr. B. Mohan Reddy, a ruling party member and a former convenor of the TDP's city unit, that the Agriculture Market Committee was forced to purchase the land at Gaddiannaram on the outskirts of the city, at Rs. 15 lakhs per acre, while its actual market value was less than Rs. 4 lakhs. He stated that the land deal, involving a cost of Rs. 3.30 crores was struck at the instance of the Chief Minister, Sri N T Rama Rao and the amount was also paid to the HUDA through Sri N T Rama Rao The land belonging to an individual was sold to HUDA and the Marketing Committee Chairman charged the Chief Minister with resorting to dictatorial methods and added that his suspension from the Chairmanship of the Committee was the result of nothing but his failure to collect enough funds for the Telugu Desam Party.

Ans.:- All the allegations are baseless and false. The Government had already appointed Justice Koka Ramachandra Rao Commission under the Commission of Inquires Act, 1952 in G.O. Ms. No. 568, Municipal Administration Deapartment, dated 22-5-1987. The enquiry before the Commission is in progress. The Municipal Administration Department and Food & Agriculture Department, Government of Andhra Pradesh as well as the HUDA and Agriculture Market Committee, Hyderabad have already fled statements of facts and affidavits. The Notification for acquisition of Gaddiannaram lands was first issued in January 1978 at the request of HUDA. The record showed that the land belongs to S/Sri J. Narasimha Reddy and J. Sudarshan Reddy. Subsequently it was brought to the notice of the Land Acquisition Officer, HUDA that there was an agreement of sale in favour of M/s. A. S. Krishna & Company and that after some litigation between the parties there was a decree in favour of M/s. A.S. Krishna & Company. A sale deed was executed in their favour in 1981. Thus M/s. A. S. Krishna & Company, came into the land acquision process. Subsequently the Land Acquisition Officer passed an award in June, 1978 and possession of the land was taken on 22-6-1982. The compensation awarded by the Land Acquisition Officer was Rs. 42,000 per acre. On a reference under Section 18 of the Land Acquisition Act at the instance of the land holder, the First Additional Judge, City Civil Court, Hyderabad enhanced the compensation at the rate of Rs. 7,74.400 per acre. The HUDU filed a petition to review the dicree as it came to light that M/s. A.S. Krishna & Company had entered into an agreement of sale with third parties, agreeing to convey the land at Rs, 90,000 per acre and as this matter was not within the knowledge of HUDA when Section 18 reference was under enquiry before the Civil Court. The First Additional Judge rejected the Review Petition. The HUDA filed appeals against the decrees enhancing the compensation and the same are pending in the High Court of Andhra Pradesh as CCCA Nos. 54 and 55/87.

It is submitted that the need for shifting the whole-sale fruit market from Jambagh to the outskirts of the Hyderabad City had been under consideration of the Government since 1983. The person-in-charge of HUDA reported in 1983 that in view of the fact that 90% of the fruits that are brought to the city are by trucks which mostly come from Vijayavada National Highway, the fruit market has to be shifted to proper place adjacent to the Vijayawada National Highway. Since then efforts were made to secure proper sites. Several sites were considered. However, it was felt that no other land except land acquired at Gaddiannaram by the HUDA was available and suitable for the shifting of wholesale market at Jambagh. Meetings were held on various dates with the concerned Departments and it was finally decided that the HUDA should prepare and develop layout for the area and then transfer the same to the Agriculture Market Committee, Hyderabad as the Committee was not agreeable to the suggestion of the HUDA that the ownership of the land should rest with the HUDA and the Complex be managed by the Committee.

Several meetings were held in the Chambers of Chief Secretary and Principal Secretary, Housing, Municipal Administration & Urban Development Department to consider the said proposal of shifting the fruit market to the outskirts of the city. A meeting was held on 28th May, 1985 in the Chambers of Chief Secretary, where the Secretary, Forests & Rural Development Department, Secretary, Revenue, Secretary, Food & Agriculture Department, Secretary, Housing, Municipal Administration & Urban Development Department, Vice-Chairman, HUDA, Commissioner for Development of Marketing and Director of Marketing, Chairman, Agricultural Market Committee, Hyderabad were present to discus the shifting of the wholesale fruit market. By that time, the HUDA has paid the compensation awarded by the Land Acquisition Officer and taken possession of the land at Gaddiannaram and thereby the said land was vested with HUDA. In the said meeting, it was

decided that the HUDA may take necessary steps for construction of market complex in the land of 22 acres situated at Gaddiannaram which was acquired by the HUDA under due process of law.

After considering all the aspects and the suitability of the land of 22 acres at Gaddiannaram, in the meeting held on 16th December, 1985 at Secretariet, it was decided ultimately that the HUDA should prepare and develop a layout for the said lands and then transfer the same to Agriculture Market Committee, Hyderabad for construction of Fruit Market Complex. The Government vide Memo. No. 16854/Mktg-II/1/84-4, dated 4th Jauuary 1986 have accorded permission to the A.M.C., Hyderabad for taking over the land measuring 22 acres at the cost of Rs 3.50 crores as negotiated and agreed to by the said two organisations, in S. Nos. 124 to 128 at Gaddiannaram village from the HUDA for the establishment of fruit market complex by shifting the present fruit market at Jambagh. Accordingly, the cost of the land was agreed to by the two organisations and was paid by the A.M.C., Hyderabad to Hyderabad Urban Development Authority.

It is submitted that the Hon'ble Chief Minister was not at all associated in fixing the price to be paid for the transfer of the lands vested in Hyderabad Urban Development Authority to the A.M.C., Hyderabad for establishment of fruit market at Gaddiannaram.

The Chairman A.M.C., Hyderabad in his D.O. latter, dated 18th June 1985 addressed to the Chief Secretary requested the Government to take immediate decision either to ask the HUDA to handover the site to A.M.C., Hyderabad with absolute right for development by the A.M.C., Hyderabad or to consider the alienation of 69 acres of Government land in S. Nos, 6/1 to 6/8 at Saroornagar, Hayatnagar taluk as proposed by him.

In pursuance of the request and suggestion made by the Chairman, A. M. C., Hyderabad regarding the alienation of 69 acres of Government land in S. Nos. 6/1 to 6/8 at Saroornagar

the same was referred to the District Collector, Rangareddy district. The District Collector, Rangareddy District in his letter No. D5/14757/84, dated 29th September 1985, informed the Government that the land bearing S. Nos. 6/1 to 6/8 situated at Saroornagar village is under occupation of Victoria Memorial Home, which was endowed by the then Nizam at the instance of the then resident of Hyderabad Mr. David Barr, and the is same is covered by the Andhra Pradesh Charitable and Hindu Religious Endowment Act and the said lands were exclusively vested with Victoria Memorial Home and as such the said lands cannot be acquired, alienated or transferred to any department or individual for any purpose, whatsoever.

After considering all the aspects and the suitability of the land of 22 acres at Gaddiannaram, ultimately in the meeting held on 16th December, 1985 at Secretariat, it was decided that the HUDA should prepare and develop a layout for the said lands and then transfer the same to A. M. C., Hyderabad for construction of Fruit Market Complex. The Government vide Memo. No. 16854/Mktg-II/1/84-4, dated 4th January, 1986 accorded permission to the A. M. C., Hyderabad for taking over the land measuring 22 acres at the cost of Rs. 3.50 crores as negotiated and agreed to by the said two organisations, in S. Nos. 124 to 128 at Gaddiannaram village from the HUDA for the amount agreed to by the two organisations and paid by the A. M. C., Hyderabad to Hyderabad Urban Development Authority.

Hence the Government never pressurised the Market Committee to purchase the said land.

In view of the facts stated above, there is no illegality or impropriety in the transfer of the lands vested with HUDA to the A. M. C., Hyderabod for shifting the fruit market from Jambagh on payment of Rs. 3.50 crores and the transfer of 22 acres of land at Gaddiannaram by HUDA to A. M. C., Hyderabad, is bonafide valid, legal and in the interest of the society and public at large.

In view of this the allegation of Mr. B. Mohan Reddy that the land involving a cost of Rs. 3.50 crores was struck at the instance of the Chief Minister and the amount was also paid to the Hyderabad Urban Development Authority through Sri N. T. Rama Rao is not only baseless but false. The statement of Mr. B. Mohan Reddy is obviously motivated. In as much as the Chief Minister had no involvement in the affair, the allegation in para B.30 has been made only for the purpose of this writ petition The allegation that the Chief Minister presurised the Market Committee to purchase these lands to pay higher amounts is incorrect. The allegation that the Chief Miaister refused to allot Victoria Memorial House to the Market Committee is also baseless. The further allegation that the Vice-Chairman of Hyderabad Urban Development Authority Mr. Thomas and Ms. R. P. Singh were transferred because they would not oblige the Chief Minister is not only false but baseless. The record shows that Mr. Thomas had infact recommended for allotment of Gaddiannaram land to the Agriculture Market Committee.

67. The Chief Minister, Sri N. T. Rama Rao had acquired over 1.75 lakh square yards of lands in Hyderabad City worth about Rs. 35 crores, in the names of his various family members, by manipulating exemptions from the Urban Land Ceiling Act. The opposition leaders were persistently pleading with the Government to release a list of exemptions granted from the Urban Land Ceiling Act along with the names of persons to whom the exemptions were given. Neither the Chief Minister nor the Government thought it proper to furnish this information.

Ans. :- The allegations are not only baseless but false. It submitted that the Special Officer & Competent Authority, Urban Land Ceilings, Hyderabad in his Proceedings No. F1/121/83, dated 16th May, 1984 has issued final orders under Section 8 (4) of the Urban Land (Ceiling & Regulation) Act declaring the surplus extent in favour of Sri N. T. Rama Rao and other family members as follows :

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. | Sri N. T. Rama Rao | Not attracted by the Provisions of Urban Land (Ceilings & Regulation) Act 1976. |
| 2. | Sri N. Jayakrishna | 5096.78 sq. Mtrs. |
| 3. | Sri N. Sai Krishna | 5096.78 sq. Mtrs. |
| 4. | Sri N. Hri Krishna | 5096.78 sq. Mtrs. |
| 5. | Sri N. Mohan Krishna | 5096.78 sq. Mtrs. |

The shares of the following minors as on 29th September, 1980 are clubbed in the holding of M/s. Ramakrishna Cine Studio towards their share in the said firm and declared the firm as surplus land holder to an extent of 46,702.31 Sq. Mtrs. in Special Officer and Competent Authority, Urban Land Ceilings, Hyderabad Proceedings No. F1/121/83, dated 16th May, 1984 for which exemption has been granted in G. O. Ms. No. 450, Revenue, dated 3rd May, 1985.

1. Smt. G. Lokeswari
2. Sri N. Balakrishna
3. Smt. Purendareshwari
4. Sri N. Rama Krishna
5. Kum. Bhuvaneswari
6. Kum. Uma Maheswari
7. Sri N. Jayashankaa Krishna

A list of exemptions granted from the provisions of Urban Land (Ceiling and Regulation) Act, 1976 along with the names of persons to whom the exemptions were granted right from 1976 to 1987, (year-wise and agglomerationwise) has been made available to the Hon'ble Speaker, Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly in pursuance of Assurance given during the discussions on L. A. Q. No. 6543 of 1987 for persual of Hon'ble Members of House vide Govt. Lr. No. 139/UC.I/87, dated 12th June, 1987.

It has been alleged that exemptions have been granted in some cases under the Urban Land (Ceiling and Regulation) Act, 1976 against the provisions and/or the spirit of the Act. Government considered that it is necessary to establish the truth or otherwise of such allegations. The Government therefore in G. O. Ms. No. 467, Revenue (UC-II) Department, dated 13th May, 1987 constituted a One Man Commission of Inquiry under the Commission of Inquiry Act, 1252 (Central Act 60 of 1952) with Justice Challa Kondaiah, retired Chief Justice of the Andhra Pradesh High Court to examine all cases of exemptions granted under the Urban (Ceiling and Regulation) Act, 1976 in all the five agglomerations in the State right from the date on which the said Act came into force with a view to ascertaining whether in any of these cases exemptions have been granted against the intendment objects and spirit of the Act and the provisions thereof.

68. Sri N. T. Rama Rao's favourtism and nepotism is too well known. He has allotted Rs. 5 lakhs Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanam amount for construction of a temple in his own village Nimmakur from Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanam funds. The way in which the Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanam funds are being used is too well known in this State. Sri Venkatapati Raju, Executive Officer, Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanam stated on June 23rd 1987 that Rs. 7 lakhs was donated for construction of Sri Venkateswara Temple at Nimmakur, the native village of Chief Minister.

Ans. :- The Allegations are incorrect and baseles. The Chief Minister has not allotted to Rs. 5 lakhs of Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanam amount for construction of a temple in Nimmakuru.

Under Section 23 (6) of the Tirumala Tirupathi Devsthanam Act 20/79 there is a provision under which the surplus funds of the Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanam be utilised for any religious or charitable purpose with the approval of the Government. In the instant case, the surplus funds of the Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanam were utilised for construction

of Sri Venkateswara Swamay Temple and a Mandapam adjacent to the said temple in Nimmakur village, Pamarruu Taluk., Krishna District

The gist of the case is as follows :—

It is a fact that on the request of the villagers of Nimmakur, the Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanam Management Committee, in its Roc No. 631 (a) dated 2nd February, 1984 has approved the proposals for construction of Sri Venkateswara Temple with a sum of Rs. 3.00 lakhs in Nimmakur village.

The Government in its G.O.Ms. No. 1219, Revenue (Endts. III) Department; dated 26-7-84 sanctioned a sum of Rs. 3.00 lakhs from the surplus funds of T.T.D. under Section 23 (6) of T.T.D. Act 20/79 for construction of Sri Venkateswara Swamy Temple at Nimmakur village in Pamarru Tq. of Krishna Dt. Besides that, the action of the Executive Officeer, T.T.D. was also ratified for having released a sum of Rs. 1 lakh being first instalment in anticipation of the Government orders for the said purpose.

The above sanctioned amount of Rs. 3 lakhs released in 3 equal instalments to the Chairman of the said temple through the Assistant Commissioner, Endowments Vijayawada as follows:

1. Ist instalment of Rs. one lakh in DD No. 064731, dt 5-6-1984.

2. 2nd instalment of Rs. one lakh in DD No. 915788 dt. 7-2-1985.

3. 3rd instalment of Rs. one lakh in DD No. 915832. dt. 23-5-1985.

Further the District Collector, Krishna district in his letter dated 16-12-1985 requested the T.T.D. for release of two lakhs for construction of a Mandapam adjacent to the temple. The subject matter was placed before the T.T.D. Management

Committee, The Committee in its Res. No. 804, dt. 20-3-1986 approved the proposals. Thereupon, the Government in their G.O. Ms. No. 587, Revenue (Endts. III) Department, dated 27th May 1986 accorded sanction for an amount of Rs. 2 lakhs from the surplus funds of T.T.D. under sub-section (6) of Section 23 of the T.T.D. Act 20/79 for construction of Mandapam to Sri Venkateswara Swamy Temple at Nimmakur village. The sanctioned amount of Rs. two lakhs was released to the Chiarman of the said Temple in one lumpsum of Rs. two lakhs—Vide D.D. No. 9159799, dated 1st August 1986.

While the matter stood thus, the Assistant Commissioner, Endowments, Vijayawada in his letter dated 9th April 1986 has requested for further sum of Rs. 4.25 lakhs for conduct of KUMBHABHISHEKAM, SAMPROKSHANA and other works of the temple to be completed. The Management Committee, T.T.D. in its Res. No. 69, dated 27-6-1986 recommended subject proposal to the Government.

In the meantime, the Assistant Commissioner in his letter dt. 23-4-1987 requested for release of Rs. 2.25 lakhs immediately in anticipation of the orders of the Government. Accordingly a sum of Rs. 2.25 lakhs and Rs. 25,000/- have been released as follows :

1. 1. 2,25,000 in D.D. No. 624796, dated 15th May, 1987.

2. Rs. 25,000 in D.D. No. 370823 dated 24th July, 1987.

The approval of the T.T.D. Board was obtained in Res. No. 354, Revenue (Endts. III) Department dt. 6-6-1987 accepted the proposals and accorded sanction of Rs. 4.25 lakhs from the surplus funds of T.T.D. under sub-section (6) of Section 23 of T.T.D. Act 20/79 for construction of other items of temple works and Prathista etc. of Sri Venkateswara Swamy Temple in Nimmakuru village. Besides this, the Government in its G.O. Rt. No. 1294, Revenue (Endts. III) Department, dt. 6-10-1987 accepted the proposals and ratified the action of the Executive

Officer in having released a sum of Rs. 2.50 lakhs in addition to a sum of Rs. 4.25 lakhs released to Sri Venkateswara Swamy Temple, Nimmakuru. The details of sanction obtained and amounts released are furnished below :

| Total Sanction as per Government Orders - | | |
|---|---|---|
| | | Rs. |
| 1. | G.O. Ms. No. 1219, dated 26-7-1984. | 3,00,000.00 |
| 2. | G.O. Ms. No. 587, dated 27-5-1986. | 2,00,000.00 |
| 3. | G.O. Ms. No. 554, dated 6-6-1987. | 4,25,000.00 |
| 4. | G.O. Rt. No. 1294, dated 6-10-1987. | 2,50,000.00 |
| | Total Amount sanctioned by Govt. ... | 11,75,000.00 |
| | Total amount released by the T.T.D. ... | 7,50,000.00 |

The expenditure of Rs. 7.50 lakhs in as per the provision of the Act, and there was nothing irregular in release of T.T.D. funds for construction of Sri Venkateswara Swamy Temple and Mandapam in Nimmakur village Pamarru Tq. Krishna Dt. T.T.D. funds are being expended for construction of temples, Kalyana Mandapams in various parts of the State.

Hence it is not correct to say that the amounts were released at the instance of the Chief Minister.

69. From some private parties 7,000 metres of land was bought in Musheerabad by Sri N. T. Rama Rao, the Chief Minister earlier before he came to power, adjacent to that land another 1,400 sq. yards of land belonging to Government also was granted

by the Government to him in 1976 @ Rs. 40/- per yard. But Sri N. T. Rama Rao unauthorisedly occupied by encroaching another 400 yards (i e., 1800 yards) and hence he totally acquired 1800 sq. yards additionally and the said amount of Rs. 40 - per yard for 1400 yards was not paid though determined in 1976 and occupied by him since then and after he came to power in 1983 he reduced the rate to be paid to the Government to Rs. 20/- per yard.

Ans :- The allegations are incorrect. Sri N. T. Rama Rao and Managing Partner of M/s. Ramakrishna Cine Studio had submitted a representation dated 8th January 1975 to the then Chief Minister that he purchased a site at Bakaram village measuring 8000 sq. yards on Chikkadapally—Secunderabad main road near Golconda Chowrastha and that it is not adequate for construction of Studio and also that about 1200 sq. yards is available and requested for assignment of the strip on payment of value. This request was examined in consultation with the Collector, Hyderabad and in Govt. Memo No 2950/Q2/75, dt 17th September 1975 ordered the Collector to handover possession of 1200 sq. yards of land applied by the Studio from out of an extent of 1815 sq. yards of Govt. land which is adjacent to his private land for construction of a Film Studio, subject to payment of market value @ Rs. 40/- per sq. yard pending issue of final order of assignment and texation of terms and conditions. In the same Memorandum the Collector, Hyderabad was requested to submit necessary assignment proposals to Government after observing all the other formalities. The Tahsildar. Hyderabad Urban after measuring the area for which there was no dispute handed over 1762 sq. yards as the excess area was useful to the applicant which is also Nala land. The Collector in his referenee dated 1st September, 1982 requested the Government to modify their orders accordingly. The Government after examination ratified the action of the Collector in G. O. Ms. No. 862, Revenue, dated 28th May, 1983. Thus there is no encroachment of Government land unauthorisedly by the party. Further the entire extent of 1762 yards was handed over by the Revenue Department on 20th

September, 1975 i. e., much before Sri N. T. Rama Rao came into power.

Subsequent to the issue of orders in Government Memo No. 2950/Q2/75-4, dated 17th September, 1975 a representation dated 18th September, 1975 was received from M/s. Ramakrishna Cine Studios regarding fixation of price of the land, and it was referred to the Collector, Hyderabad for remarks in Government Memo No. 2950/Q2/75-5, dated 20th September, 1975. Pending decision on the above representation, it was ordered in the above mentioned memorandum that the land should be handed over immediately to the Managing Partner of the Cine Studios subject to the payment of Rs. 10,000-00 and subject to his giving an undertaking in writing that he would pay the balance of amount which may be due after a decision is taken on his representation for reduction of the land value. Accordingly, a sum of Rs. 10,000/- was deposited by the Cine Studio in the State Bank of Hyderabad, Gunfoundry through Challan No. 029544, dated 20th September, 1975. Possession of the land was handed over by the Tahsildar on 20th September 1975.

The Collector, Hyderabad submitted a report on 10th February, 1983 fixing a rate of Rs. 15/- per sq. yard taking into consideration this situation of the land and as it is not useful for any other public purpose. Government have accepted the recommendation of the Collector and issued orders in G. O. Ms. No. 862, Revenue, dated 28th May, 1983.

73. The former Government gave this land for building up the cine studio and developing the film industry in Hyderabad. But in that site apart from the studio, he constructed a big shopping complex and he converted the studio into Telugu Desam Party office and when he fell out of power he used this Ramakrishna Cine Studios as jail for his party M. L. As. He built the studio without municipal corporation permission and for that reason the Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad has levied Rs. 20,000/- as compound fees, but after he became the Chief Minister the same

amount was reduced to Rs. 1,000/- and the said Town Planning Officer who obliged him was made a Special Officer in the Secretariat after his retirement.

Ans. :- The averments are not correct.

In November, 1982 Sri N. Jaya Krishna has requested for withdrawal of notice for payment of compounding fees of Rs. 20,000 levied by the Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad for the alleged unauthorised constructions. This request has been examined with reference to the provisions of the Hyderabad Municipal Corporation Act, 1955. In this context it was observed that compounding fees levied by the Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad was at the rate of Rs. 0.50 ps. per sq. feet of the plinth area of all the buildings within the site. Section 674 (B) of the Hyderabad Municipal Corporation Act says that "the Commissioner may compound any offence against this Act, which under the law at the time in force may legally be compounded". Section 596 of the H. M. C. Act lays down that "who ever contravens any provisions of any of the Section, sub-section or clauses mentioned in the first column of table in Schedule 'U' or fails to comply any requisition lawfully made upon him under any of the Section, sub-sections, or clauses, shall be punished for each such offence which may extended to the amount mentioned in that behalf in the third column of the said table. The offence of unauthorised construction will fall under Section 440 (1) of the Hyderabad Municipal Corporation Act. Therefore, Government decided to advise the Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad to regularise the existing buildings of the petitioner after collecting Rs, 1,000/- (maximum of the fine which can be levied for the offence against Section 440 (1) of the Hyderabad Municipal Corporation Act) as compounding fee and issued orders in G. O. Ms. No. 401, M. A., dated 19-5-1983 accordingly. There was no irregularity in the above decision.

While stating that a Town Planning Officer, who obliged the Chief Minister in the case, was made a Special Officer in

Secretariat after his retirement the petitioner did not mention any name. No Town Planning Officer was appointed as a Special Officer.

71. Even before the ink is dry on this Ramakrishna Studios and Nacharam cottage affairs Sri N. T. Rama Rao claimed that he wants to built a cottage in Gandipet and several lakhs of rupees were spent for this. After asking these things he reverted back to Abids house and used the surrounding lands of this Gandipet kuteeram and he converted the same into party office and it became a sort of training camp for party people of Telugu Vijayam and for facilitating the same large state amounts were used. The personal security expenditure of Sri N. T. Rama Rao for moving to Gandipet and Abids are running into several lakhs of rupees per year. That apart more a platoon of police are being used for this with all the necessary paraphernalia both at his Abids residence and Gandipet Kuteeram.

Ans. :- The allegation that several lakhs of rupees were spent for constructing the cottage in Gandipet is vague and incorrect. Government has not expended any amount for constructing the cottage. Making security arrangements for the first respondent at the cottage is patt of Government's duty and no exception can be taken for it. The allegation that the expenditure is running into several lakhs of fupees per year is baseless.

72. Sri Nadendla Bhaskara Rao stated in his Writ Petiotion at paragraph 22 that the General Administration Department circulated a file for certain monetary sanctions for Chief Minister's Gandipet Ashram which Sri N. T. Rama Rao wanted to make his official residence. It was proposed for sanction of Rs. 6 lakhs for fencing, Rs. 14 lakhs for the necessary police guards rooms etc. Sri Nadendla Bhaskara Rao opposed it as Finance Minister. Ultimately he obtained the said sums by short circuiting the normal procedure.

Ans. :- The allegation that the Chief Minister obtained Rs. 6 lakhs for fencing and Rs. 14 lakhs for the police guard

rooms etc. in deviation of the Procedure is false and denied. No reliance can be placed on the averments contained in Sri Nadendla Bhaskara Rao's writ petition.

73. Again for construction of a stadium in his own name in his native town Gudiwada the Chief Minister allowed a lottery to be floated in the name of Kanakadurga and Kanaka Lakshmi Lotteries and they raised Rs. 3 cror funds and the said funds were diverted to Telugu Desam Party. The Government issued a G. O. on April 15, issuing permission to start lotteries each ticket costing Rs. 5 with a prize amount of Rs. 5 lakhs—for a bumper first prize and Rs. 2.5 lakhs and other subsidiary prizes. So far 17 lots had been drawn but the winners were not paid a pie.

Ans. :- The allegations are baseless and incorrect. In G. O. Ms. No. 818, Home (General-B) Department, dated 15th April, 1986, the Government permitted the following committee to conduct a lottery, either by themselves or through Agency for a period of one year from 1st April, 1986, the proceeds of which were proposed to be used for the completion of a stadium in Gudivada Town, Krishna District :—

(1) Chairman ... Collector, Krishna District.

(2) Vice-Chairman ... Sri Ravi Sobhanadri Chowdary, M.L.A., Gudivada.

(3) Treasurer. ... Revenue Divisional Officer, Gudivada.

The above permission was granted under the powers vested in the Government by Section 24 of the Andhra Pradesh Lotteries Act, 1968 (Act No. 16 of 1968), subject to the conditions laid down by the Government of India, Ministery of Home Affairs in Lr. No. V. 21011/7/83-GPA. IV, dated 26th/27th June, 1984 and latter No. V-21011/7/83-GPA-IV, dated 27th January, 1985.

Statement placed on the Table by Chief Minister re : Allegations made against C. M.

With reference to a request received from the Chairman of the Committee (the District Collector, Krishna) in his latter dated 17th July, 1986, the Government issued orders in Memo. No. 891/Home, (Genl. B)/86-1, dated 8th August, 1986 amending the period of the year from "1-4-1986" by "1-11-1986".

Government of India Communicated letter No. V. 21011/7/83, GPA. IV, dated 26th/27th June, 1984 and latter No. V. 21011/7/83-GPA-IV, dated 27th February, 1985, communicating the guidelines governing the conduct of State Lotteries/Lotteries permitted by State Government. These guidelines, inter-alia, prescribed the maximum price for one ticket of weekly lottery as Re. 1/- and that of a bumper draw may not exceed Rs. 3/-. The guidelines provide for conduct of weekly lotteries as well as bumper draws. Circular of the Government of India contains guidelines only. Many States' lotteries have more than Rs. 5/- face value per ticket.

On 19th March, 1987 an unstarred question given notice of by Sarvasri Baddam Bal Reddy, Vannala Sreeramulu and Ch. Vidyasagar Rao, M.L.As., was received by the Government which is extracted below :—

(a) Whether it was brought to the notice of the Government that the Kanaka Durga Lotteries have been employing liminations, stickers, etc., on their instant bumper lottery tickets to facilitate withdrrwal of prize winning tickets and relaminate the rejected tickets and put them for seal ;

(b) Whether they priced the ticket @ Rs. 5/- although the regulations provide only Rs. 3/- as the maximum price for bumper lotteries; and

(c) If so, the action taken thereon. For sending answer to the above question the Director General and Inspector General of Police who was requested to furnish the information, sent a copy of the report of the Special Inspector General of Police, Crimes dt. 15-6-1987. It is seen from

the report that no complaint was received in regard to this lottery by CID and there was no enquiry or any investigation pending in the CID branch. As the report indicated, among other things, that one bumper lottery was conducted on 28-11-1986 and the cost of the ticket was Rs. 5/- which is against the guidelines referred to above, the Director General and Inspector General of Police was again requested to offer his remarks on 12-7-1987 followed by periodical reminders. As interim reply was received on dated 19-9-1987 that the report called for from the special I.G. of police, Crimes was still awaited. The final reply has yet to come. This is being pursued by the Department.

On 15-5-1987 M/s. Kuber & Company of Coimbatore, wrote to the Government stating that the Committee appointed M/s. Dhanik Agencies, Secunderabad as Organisers for the lottery and that M/s. Kuber and Company were appointed as the Sole Selling Agency for South India by the Organisers as per their terms and conditions. They complained that the Committee all of a sudden stopped the lotteries from 17th draw and that inspite of repeated enquiries with the Organisers as well as with the Committee, they did not get any reply reagarding non-payment of prize money for several prize winning tickets amounting to many lakhs of rupees. They requested the Government to look into this and advise them as to whom they should approach for encashment of prize winning tickets. This compalint was also referred to the Director General and Inspector General of Police for enquiry and report.

M/s. Dhanik Agencies, Secunderabad wrote to the Government in June, 1987 that M/s. Kuber & Co., have to deposit the prize winning tickets and unsold tickets with the former to settle the account and that they are not coming forward.

On 5-10-19-1987 a latter was received from the Director General and Inspector General of Police forwarding the report of the Deputy Commissioner of Police (Ditective Department) dated 8-7-1987, the assence of which was that enquiries revealed

it to be an internal dispute between M/s. Kuber & Co., Coimbatore (Sole Selling Agents for South India) and M/s. Dhanik Agenies, Secunderabad (Organisers appointed by the Committee). It was suggested in the above report that the petitioners may be advised to approach the District Collector, Krishna, who is the Chairman of the Committee as per the Government order permitting the lottery and as he is reponsible for all its affairs. The petitioners were, therefore, advised accordingly on 14-10-1987.

74. Sub-agencies of the lotteries had to down their shutters on account of public pressure and the main dealer Dhanik Agencies approached the Government for release of funds. The Government then ordered an enquiry into the affair by the DGP who had recommended action against the Krishna District Collector who was the Chairman of the Lotteries. The file is pending with the Government and no action has been initiated so far.

Ans.:- The complaint relating to Dhanik Agencies by Kuber and Company, as already mentioned, has been referred to I. G. Crimes and the parties have been asked to approach the District Collector who is the Chairman of the Lottery. It is, however, not correct to say that the D.G.P. recommended action against the District Collector.

75. Without paying the prize money, collecting crores of rupees after curbing of State lotteries and fixing of the cost of lottery ticket for Rs. 5/- which is in the violation of a Central G. O. issued in 1976 that no lottery should be priced more than Rs. 3/- Even when the Osmania Medical College was given a permission to float a lottery for its Diamond Jubilee Celebrations a student had obtained a stay from the court. In such circumstances, it was surprising how the State Government could permit such high ticket price.

Ans. :- There is nothing illegal in the State Government permiting the ticket money at Rs. 5/-

76. The members of the said lottery committee consists of Krishna District Colloctor as President and Gudivada Telugu Desam Party M L A Sri Ravi Sobhanadhri Chowdary as Vice-President and Revenue Divisional Officer as Treasurer and Government appointed this committee permitting this by name Kanakadurga Kanakalakshmi Lotteries during April 1986 vide G. O. No. 818. They drawn till now 17 draws and they have to pay Rs. 1.50 crores by way of prizes. But they have not paid a single pie by way of prizes.

Ans. :- The allegations are incorrect. The Lottery Committee appointed an authorised Agency for conduct lotteries subject to the terms of the contract. As per the contract all prizes upto Rs. 5/- and Rs. 1,000/- would be paid by the organizing agent directly. Cnly the prizes over and above Rs. 5,000/- would be paid by the lottery committee. All claims directly made before the Committee have been promptly paid. The petitioner's allegation that not even a single pie was paid by way of prize amount is baseless and false.

77. When these prize winners complained to the Government, the DGP enquired into the matter and recommended that the Krishna District Collector should be made the accused as he is responsible and submitted his report to that effect. The amount received is Rs 2 crores and the dues for the winner prizes is Rs. 1 1/2 crores and the entire amounts were diverted for T D P party after suppressing the D G P reported for investigating into this matter against the Collector. In any event the said amounts are neither traceable not accounted for.

Ans. The allegations are incorrect, the Director General of Police did not submit any report stating that the District Collector, Krishna should be made an accused. The allegation that the entire amount collected was diverted for Telugu Desam Party after suppressing the DGP. report is false and baseless.

78. The way in which power corrupts is clear from the incidents that have happended on June 18, 1987 in Nimmakuru where the relatives of Chief Minister encroached upon the land belonging to the Government and when the Government wanted to take back land and demand that R. D. O. Gudivada, M. R. O. Pamarru on 10th before 10 a. m. on Friday on June 18 they removed the encroachment. But on the same day when the Chief Minister was expected, Chief Minister's paternal uncle's son Sri Nandamuri Venkataratnam put hay-stacks there and in the presence of hundreds of officers he destroyed the Government primary health centre with the tractor and all the officers who were present there were seen as helpless spectators, since the culprit being Chief Minister's relative apart beirg the relative in law. This itself shows the situation prevailing in all the parts of the state and how this Government has be come worst than medieval mogul despotism.

The allegations are false. There are two issues in the allegations :—

1. Removal of encroachment of Sri Nandamuri Venkata Ratnam in the Government land : and again occupation by putting hay-stacks.

2. Destruction of Government Primary Health Centre building by his tractor.

Item (1) above : Sri Nandamuri Venkataratnam is one of the 7 encroachers in R. S. No. 328 of Nimmakuru village, which is classified as village site poramboke. All the seven encroachers were evicted on 29th May 1987 after following the procedure under the A. P. Land Encroachment Act, 1905. Thereafter there is no further encroachments over this land till today. Thus there is no truth that Sri N. Venkataratnam re-occupied the land by hay-stacks.

Item (2) above : According to the report of Superintendent of Police Krishna, the following is the factual position :

"There is no compound wall around the Primary Health Centre and also that Sri Nandamuri Venkata Ratnam as also other ryots of the village had a right of way for their carts and tractors on the cart way situated on the immediate northern side of the primary Health Centre building. The main door of the Primary Health Centre is also located on the northern side. While driving his tractor along on the above noted cart way, Sri Nandamuri Venkata Ratnam dashed his tractor against the door way situated on the southern side of the cart way, thereby resulting in breakage of the glass pieces of the door".

In view of the fact that there is no compound wall around the Primary Health Centre building and considering that Shri Venkata Ratnam had a right of way on the cart way abutting the Primary Health Centre building on the north, it cannot be said that the accused destroyed the Government Primary Health Centre building.

79. It is further significant to the rank and un-abashed nepotism of Sri N. T. Rama Rao which was forced to confess in A. P. Legislation on 13-7-1987 as is reported in news on 14-7-1987 when he was compelled to furnish additional information in a week for the issuance of a Letter of Intent for making "Cordless Telephones" to his own third son-in-law Sri Nareen of Coimbatore.

Ans.:- The allegations are incorrect. The Corporation holds a letter of Intent bearing No. 315 (86) dt. 18-4-1986 on Cordless Telephones Project. The project for manufacture of Cordless Telephones was allotted to a group of N R Is. i. e., Dr. M. Leela Prasad and his Associates because of their financial and technical ability. They were found to have adequate financial resources, appropriate technical know how and foreign collaboration tie-up. It is submitted that Cordless Telephone Project

was never allotted to the third son-in-law of Chief Minister, Sri Naren Rajan of Coimbatore.

80. The Directors to the A, P. Electronics Corporation are Kammas i. e., Sri N. Tata Rao, Sri P. L. Sanjeeva Reeddy and Sri Varlu. The allegation in A. P. Assembly on 13-7-1987 doing that because of this nepotism the electronics industry is unable to take-off.

Ans. :- A statement of the names of Directors of the Board of Directors of A. P. E. D. C. Limited from time to time since inception is filed in the material papers. Sri N. Tata Rao was never a Director on the Board of A. P. E. D. C. Limited. Presently, the Board of A. P. E. D. C. Limited, comprises of 12 directors including representatives from the Department of Telecommunications of Govt. of India, Department of Electronics of Government of India, Managing Director of E.C.I.L. of Govt. of India, Central University of Government of India. Electronics Industries Asscciation of Andhra Pradesh (E. L. I. A. P.), Managing Director of A. P. I. D. C. etc. The allegation that all the Directors of the A. P. Electronics Corporation are Kammas is another false and baseless allegation. Dr. P. L. Sanjeeva Reedy, I. A. S. is not a Director, Dr. U. V. Varlu Chairman was appointed on 20-2-1982 by the former Government Dr. P. L. Sanjeeva Reddy, I. A. S. was a Director from 3-9-1983 to 19-7-1986 by virtue of the fact that he was the Vice-Chairman and Managing Director of A. P. I. D. C., Hyderabad.

81. Sri Mysoora Reddy demanded in A. P. Assembly on 13-7-1987 that whether the letter of Intent for establishment of a cordless telephone unit was given to Chief Minister's third son-in-law Srt Nareen of Coimbatore in contravention of all rules.

Ans. :- The letter of intent bearing No. 315 (86) dt. 18-4-1986 or manufacture of Cordless Telephones was allotted to a group of N. R. Is. i. e., Dr. M. Leela Prasad and Associates. This was never allotted to Sri Naren Rajan.

82. While conceeding this favouritism the Chief Minister is refused to have reported that in a family one can be a politician while other can be industrialists. He further querried the Assembly in an apparent worth whether his other members of the family should be prevented from 'developing' the industries of this state. Sri N. T. Rama Rao is annoyed with the questioning of the M.L.As. in A.P. State Legislative Assembly regarding this act of rank nepotism.

Ans. :- The allegations merely incorporate the alleged statements of Sri D. Samarasimha Reddy, M.L.A. and that of Sri J. Vengala Rao, Union Minister for Industries. The averments are incorrect. The true and correct facts are as under :—

83. It is further reported that Sri D. Samarasimha Reddy informed the House that Chief Minister's son-in-law is not even an applicant for the Letter of Intent which Sri N. T. Rama Rao denied. Finally Chief Minister Sri N.T RamaRao agreed on 13-7-1987 to place this letter of Intent file before the House in a week.

Ans. :- The Corporation holds a Letter of Intent bearing No. 603 (84) dt. 31-7-1984 for Telepone Instruments Project. Against the advertisements released in November-December, 1985 inviting applications from enterpreneurs for implementing the Telephone Instruments Project under the said LI, 21 applications were received and that of M/s. KCP Ltd. represented by Sri Naren Rajan is one among them. The details of the names of the news papers, the dates of advertisements along with a copy of the advertisements released are herewith enclosed. In all, 21 applications were received. A list of the names of the 21 applicants is filed in material papers. The Committee comprising of 5 Directors including representative of Central University of Government of India, President of Electronic Industries Association of Andhra Pradesh (ELIAP).

84. It is significant to note that the rank personal corruption of Sri N. T. Rama Rao, the Chief Minister has reached

dizzy heights and he has gone to the extent of misleading the state Assembly regarding the favouritism shown by him to his son-in-law and relatives through the agencies of Andhra Pradesh Electronics Corporation and Andhra Pradesh Industrial Development Corporation. This is clear from the statement of the Union Industries Minister who has gone to the extent of cutting all further aid to APIDC and APEL for gross favouritism shown. The statement of the Honourable Union Minister for Industries as reported by Newstime on Monday the 27th July, 1987 is as follows :

Hyderabad : The Chief Minister, Sri N.T. Rama Rao, has mislead the state Assemly by suppressing a fact relating to favouritism shown to his son-in-law by the Andhra Pradesh Electronics Corporation (APEL) for setting up a telephone instruments factory, alleged the Union Industries Minister and Pradesh Congress-I Committee President, Mr. Jalagam Vengal Rao, here on Sundy.

Producing a xerox copy of the statements showing the details of leeters of intent obtained by APEL and progress of implementation of projects before the press, Mr. Rao said that the corporation had waived the deposit amount and specific performance guarantee for Mr. Naren Rajan, son-in-law of the Chief Minister. In that copy it was mentioned that the deposit and specific performance guarantee had been waived for the telephone instruments factory to be set up Mr. Rajan.

"This the proof of favouritism being shown to the kith and kin of the Chief Minister" Mr. Rao said.

He pointed out that the Chief Minister had supressed this fact when he denied in the Assembly that favouritism was being shown to his relatives. Having come to know of several cases of favouritism the Union Industry Ministry has asked for remarks from the Andhra Pradesh Industrial Development Corporation (APIDC) and APEL After receiving the remarks, the Union Industry Ministry would consider granting of the

direct licences to the people without involving APIDC and APEL he said.

Mr. Vengal Rao said that many people were interested in setting up individual Industries without the held of APIDC and APEL Therefore, they could be given licences directly, he said. Because of the favouritism many genuine cases had been denied the opportunity of starting industries, he added

Ans. :- Managing Director, APIDC Limited etc., short listed 10 applicants and called for further information on their technological, financial and other relevant information relevant to the project. All the 10 of the short listed applicants were requested to submit further information and were invited to attend the interview on 4-3-1986. Only 6 of them had submitted required information. However, only 5 parties attended the interview. The Committee interviewed all the applicants. An extract of the Proceedings of the interview of the Committee meeting held on 4-3-1986 is filed in material papers. The following decision was arrived at the Committee held on 4-3-2986. "The Sub-Committee discussed on the presentation of the 5 applicants and after due consideration, decided to select M/s KCP Ltd., Madras, to implement the project for manufacture of Electronic Telephones Instruments in view of its past good track record, comprising of Technocrat NRI involvement of the Chief Promoter and good managerial, technical marketing abilities with very sound financial backing and experience in handling large projects". The Board of Directors in their Meeting held on 7-3-1986 confirmed the Minutes of the Committee meeting held on 4-3-1986 selecting KCP Ltd., represented by Sri Naren Rajan to implement the Telephone Instruments Project under the Corporation's letter of Intent.

Specific Performance Guarantee Deposit—Exemption to Telephone Instruments Project :

The Board of Directors on 16-5-1986 decided to accept from co-promoters who are permitted by the Corporation to

implement a project under the Corporation's letter of Intent, an amount equivalent to 2% of the project cost of the proposed project. The decision was taken in the light of the experience of the Corporation that co-promotors become un-communicative or are not interested to take effective steps for implementation of the alloted project and that the fact of non-implementation of the project by the co-promoter comes to the notice of the Corporation after a lapse of long span of time, hindering the Corporation's ability to reallot the project to an alternate co-promoter or to implement on its own. The specific performance guarantee deposit is to ensure taking of effective steps stept and for implementation of the project within a reasonable period. On satisfaction of the Corporation that effect steps such as :—

(a) Incorporation of the company to implement the project

(b) Acquisition of Land

(c) Constrnction of Building

(d) Foreign Collaboration tie-up

(e) Capital Goods Clearance

(f) Submission of final project Report

(g) Financial tie-up with financial institutions

(h) Placement of orders for plant and machinery; etc.

85. When a reporter pointed out that unemployed technocrats were entitled for some concessions, Mr. Vengal Rao said that Mr. Naren Rajan was not a poor unemployed technocrat. The concessions were given only to poor technocrats, he said.

From this it is clear that Sri N.T. Rama Rao, the Chief Minister not only mislead the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly but he has also made the State Industrial and Financial Institutions do all things that are violative of rules and legalities with a view to favour his own close relatives and castemen.

Ans. :- were taken by the selected entrepreneurs, the deposited amount would be remitted to the company to implement

the project. The Board of Directors on 30-6-1986 reviewed the effective steps taken in implementation of the Telephone Instruments Project as follows :—

"It was noted that KFW-West Germany Line of Credit was cleared for the Telephone Instruments Project, Land was already acquired and construction of building is under progress First Instalment of lumpsum payment towards M/s. Siemens Collaboration fee was remitted and an overall expenditure of Rs. 20 lakhs which is about 50% of their equity participation was already incurred by the private promoters. In view of the above progress in implementation of the Project for manufacture of Telephone Instruments and EPABX system, the representation made by M/s. I. Avenir Telecoms Ltd., through Mr. Naren Rajan, Director, the Board decided.

1. To waive execution of specific performance guarantee with APEDC and also the deposit of 2% of the project cost thereto.

2. To take effective steps to transfer letter of Intent or industrial Licence to M/s. L. Avenir Telecom's Ltd., in overall interests of the Project for expeditious implementation.

Granting of Licences directly to enterpreneurs :

Government of India in the Secretariat for Industrial Approvals grants Letters of Intent to enterpreneurs/people as well as Government Corporations. In the case of APEDC Limited, the 27 projects for which the Corporation has accorded Final Investment approvals are being implemented under various letters of Intent/Industrial Licences of the said 27 projects, the Corporation obtained 12 Letters of Intent and the balance 15 letters of Intent were obtained directly by enterpreneurs from Government of India from time to time.

In view of what is stated above the averment that the Chief Minister mislead the Assembly and that State Industrial

and Financial Institutions at his instance have violated Rules with a view to favour his own close relatives and castemen is false and unjustified.

86. It is further alleged that Hitachi Company a Japanese firm offered Rs. 40 crores—kickback to Sri N. T, Rama Rao, the Chief Minister. This requires serious investigation by the Government of India.

Ans. :- The averment that as it was alleged in the Assembly that Hitachi Company offered Rs. 40 crores kick-back to the Chief Minister. The Government of India has to investigate into it is unsustainable. The Government of India has no say in the matter. The Government of Andhra Pradesh have appotnted a Commission of Inquiry under the Commission of Inquiries Act, 1952 with Mr. Justice Koka Ramachandra Rao, retired Chief Justice of High Court of Andhra Pradesh vide G. O. Ms. No. 153, dated 19-5-1987 as the Commission of Inquiry. The Commission has been granted time upto 31-12-1987 for submission of the report. The Commission has already started functioning. The Secretary to Government, Irrigation and Command Area Development Department has filed an affidavit before the Commission. Like-wise the Member-Secretary of the Andhra Pradesh State Electricity Board has also filed an affidavit before the Commission. In these affidavits, it was stated that the Government of Andhra Pradesh have not placed any order or taken any action that can be construed as a contract, agreement or any commitment to place such an order on M/s. Hitachi Company, Japan to procure imported pump sets for supply of drinking water to twin cities from Nagarjuna Sagar through lift. The Government of Andhra Pradesh have not even considered any proposal for procurement of pumps from the said firm for the supply of drinking water to twin cities. As there is no agreement, Contract of commitment for import of pumps, the question of any authority or person receiving any kickback in respect of the alleged deal does not arise. It was finally stated that there is no file on the subject of the alleged import of pump sets from M/s. Hitachi Company, Japan for supply of drinking water to

cities by lifting water from Nagarjunasagar. The statement of facts relating to the import of pump sets, etc. is given below :

A letter was addressed to Shri K. Madhavan, Chief Engineer, Hydel Designs, Central Water Commissioner, New Delhi by Chief Engineer, Srisailam Project latter dated : 4-4-1983, requesting for information available with Central Water commission on the high head pumps. The Deputy Chief Engineer, Srisailam Project was deputed to New Delhi for obtaining information regarding high head pumps from Central Water Commission.

The Chief Engineer, Electricity (Projects) in his U.O. Note dated 7-4-1983, addressed to Chief Engineer (Projects) Srisailam Project informed that he has contacted M/s. Hitachi Company Limited, New Delhi and they wanted certain particulars to be furnished to M/s. Hitachi Company Limited, as per their telegram.

Shri Madhavan, C.E., Central Water Commission in his letter dated 12-5-1983 expressed the view that pumping scheme is feasible and similar project are in existence in the World.

The Chief Engineer, Srisailam Project informed the Government in letter dated 7-6-83 regarding the feasibility and advantages of a pumping scheme (from Nagarjunasagar Reservoir) over the gravity Canal Tunnels for Srisailam Left Bank Canal Scheme. Government was also informed that the matter was discussed with Sri K. Madhavan, Chief Engineer, Designs, Central Water Commission, who had expressed his view that similar pumps are already in existence in other countries and pumping scheme as proposed is feasible. It was also informed that M/s. Hitachi Company, New Delhi may also be consulted in the matter. The advantages of pumping scheme compared to the gravity canal through tunnels and how the pumping scheme is cheaper by Rs. 163 Crores compared to the gravity canal through tunnels were highlighted. Government was requested to consider the above proposals of pumping scheme

and orders were sought for taking up detailed investigation of the pumping Project. A list showing the major pumping schemes existing in other countries was also sent to the Gevernment.

A copy of the report received from M/s. Hitachi Co. New Delhi (sent through Sri V. Rama Rao, the then Chief Engineer, Electricity Board) was acknowledged by the Deputy Chief Engineer, Srisailam Project.

A meeting was convened on 28-6-1983 in the Chambers of Secretary, Irrigation, for considering the pumping scheme alternative of SLBC Project.

In the meeting, the budget allccations were also discussed including the availability of water in the river. After detailed discussions on the merits and demerits of both the alternatives viz, pumping and gravity system, it was decided that quotations should be invited from reputed firms for approximate evaluation of the cost of the pumping scheme.

Based on the decision taken in the above meeting quotations were invited from certain reputed pumping manufacturers. The technical Data for the proposed pumping Project was also communicated to the firms.

M/s. Souda India Private Limited, informaed in their letter dated 20-7-1983 that the subject was referred to their Czeckoslavakia associates and as soon as their offer is received, they will revert on to the subject.

The British Deputy High Commissioner, Madras informed the Chief Engineer, Srisailam Project that they would forward the letter dated 25-7-83 to the Department of Trade, London, who will take action to inform the British firms of the requirements and they will be invited to send their offers directly.

The import and export agents IM-EX-Startford-upon Avon Limited, England in their latter dated 22-8-1983 informed that they are trying to locate Projects and Services required in the

United Kingdom and when this is done they would put the supplier to contact the Project Organisation.

M/s. Voest Alpine in their letter dated 25-8-1983 informed that the equipment required by the Project authorities is not included in their Production Programme.

M/s. Batliboi & Company Limited, Bombay in their letter dated 28-12-1983 while enclosing a copy of the letter received from their Principals M/s. Neyrpic Grenoble, France asked to send a copy of Enquiry letter to them. M/s. Neyrpic in their letter dated 13-10-1983 while commenting on the centre line settina suggested to allow for a lower elevation which will result in a large improved safety factor for avoiding a cavitation at High head conditions and asked for comments. M/s. Neyrpic had also furnished certain proposals and sought for certain further information.

Both the alternatives of lift scheme through pumping and gravity scheme with tunnels were discussed in detail in the all party meeting convened by the Chief Minister during December, 1983 and a decision was taken to only take up the gravity scheme with tunnels, for irrigation through S.L.B.C. In view of the above position, no action was taken subsequently on the procurement of high capacity pumps and the matter was treated as closed. Subsequently with a view to provide early benefits by the end of 1989-90, the Government desired that life irrigation scheme should be investigated and Project report submitted for approval, as it was thought that the tunnel scheme which is passing through reserve Forest area would take considerable time for Gevernment of India's clearance. Accordingly project report was prepared for lift irrigation scheme for S.L.B.C. Project and submitted to Government of India for clearance, during October, 1986. In this proposal it was proposed to install 5 Nos. of 60,000 H.P. pumps as proposed by the Andhra Pradesh State Electricity Board and accordingly incorporated in the Project Report.

Government of Andhra Pradesh in their letters Nos. 1859/ Irrigation-V.1/86-8, dated 19-2-1987 and Letter No. 1859/Irrigation-V.1/86-9, dated 19-2-1987 addressed to the Secretary, Ministry of Water Resources and Director, Department of Industrial Development, Government of India respectively have requested the Government of India to recommend the scheme for being posed for extrnal assistance and also suggested possible Yen credit loan from Japan. In their letter addressed to the Director, Department of Industrial Development, Government of India, approval was also sought for, to invite global tenders for 5 Nos. of 60,000 H.P. pumps etc.

The Chief Engineer (Electricity) Projects Andhra Pradesh State Electricity Board in his letter dated 10-2-87 while forwarding a copy of the letter received from M/s. Hitachi Company, New Delhi requested to furnish the information direct to M/s. Hitachi Company. M/s. Hitachi have sent 'Engineering Sheet' on the pumping scheme and sought for comments.

The Chief Engineer (Electricity Projects) Andhra Pradesh State Electricity Board in his letter dated 18-4-1987 while communicating a copy of the telegram received from M/s. Hitachi Company, wanted the particularrs to be sent immediately.

During all party meeting held by Chief Minister on 1-7-1987 it was decided to procure only in digenous pumps in view of the difficulty in obtaining Foreign Exchange for importing high capacity pumps. In accordance with the above decision, alternatives for procurement of indigenous pums are being examined.

The imputation by the Congress-I Member in the Assembly to the Chief Minister was wholly baseless.

87. The personal corruption of Sri N. T. Rama Rao and his family is clear from the following circumstances :

In connection with land acquisition compensations in Nirmal, Sri Chandra Babu Naidu, the Son-in-law and General

Secretary of the Telugu Desam Party has collected bribes to the tune of Rs. 3 1/2 lakhs as is clear from the letter of the Telugu Desam Party MLA Dr. S. Venugopala Chary, which was addressed to one Sarpanch who paid the bribe to the MLA who in his turn has passed, the bribe money to the General Secretary of Telugu Desam Party, Sri Chandra Babu Naidu the son-in-law of Sri N. T. Rama Rao.

The letter dated 20-10-1986 written by Dr. S. Venugopala Chary who is a MLA in Nirmal Assembly Constituency to Sri Gangadhar, Sarpanch, Narsapur, Adilabad district is to the effect that he has already paid Rs. 2 1/2 lakhs the money collected by him to Sri Chandra Babu Naidu and that he is sending one Mr. Kaveti Sankar for the remaining Rs. 3.25 lakhs. The copy of the letter was produced by Sri D.K. Samarasimha Reddy, MLA on 4th August, 1987 and no better proof can be given than this letter written by a Telugu Desam Party, MLA openly collecting monies as bribe for Sri Chandra Babu Naidu, General Secretary of Telugu Desam Party.

The Revenue Minister, Sri N. Sreenivasulu Reddy, in Andhra Pradesh Assembly on 4-8-1987 admitted that the letter pad did belong to Sri Venugopala Chary, MLA. From this it is clear that for every work that there is clear abuse of power by Sri N. T. Rama Rao and his family seeping from Sarpanch level to Chief Minister level through the agency of his party collecing bribes.

Ans. :- The allegations are false and baseless. The matter will however be enquired into by a Commission of Inquiry.

88. It is also alleged by Sri Nadendla Bhaskar Rao in his Writ Petition. the way in which discriminatory actions are taken by Sri N. T. Rama Rao, the Chief Minister is made out Sri Nadendla Bhaskar Rao, regarding the medical reimbursement. In the cabinet meeting Sri N.T. Rama Rao, the Chief Minister said that nobody should be given any amount for a by-pass operation including the Ministers and Chief Minister,

excepting the expenses to be incurred in India, approximately to the extent of Rs. 40,000/- and even if taken abroad they should bear their own expenses and a cabinet resolution was passed to this effect. Later on when he himself wanted to go to U.S.A. for his own by-pass surgery he got this earlier cabinet decision rescined that whosoever wants to go to America for by-pass surgery could be sanctioned all the expenses for medical aid.

Ans. :- The allegations are vague and baseless. The petitioner has not given the particulars of the writ petition filed by Sri N. Bhaskar Rao. Obviously he is referring to W. P. No. 11/85 filed by Sri N. Bhaskara Rao in this Honourable Court challenging the appointment of Commission of Inquiry against him. One of the allegation made relate to the medica reimbursement issue. Government filed a counter meeting these allegations. The petitioner cannot draw any inspiration from it. The allegations of malaafides alleged against the Chief Minister were held to baseless by a Division Bench of this Honourable Court in Judgement dated 26-11-85. The position with regard to the medical reimbursement is as follows :

Section 9 of the Andhra Pradesh Payment of Salaries and Removal of Disqualifications Act, 1953, lays down that the Ministers of the State Government and members of his family are entitled free of charge to medical treatment in any hospital either within or outside India at the cost of the State Government. Thus, under the Act and Rules framed therein, Ministers are eligible for reimbursement of expenses on medical treatment outside India. However, in a meeting of the Council of Ministers held on 1-8-1983, the matter regarding lifting of ban for treatment abroad and reimbursement of medical expenses under the All India Services (Medical Attendance) Rules was examined and it was decided to limit the amount of reimbursement to that would be payable for obtaining best treatment in India. In accordance with the above decision, orders were issued in G.O. Ms. No. 1, General Administrtion (SC. X) Department, dated 2-1-1984 stipulating that the reimbursement of expenditure on

treatment abroad should not exceed Rs. 40,000.00. Subsequently the Cabinet reviewed the matter at its meeting held on 24-6-1984 and taking an overall view of the matter, it was resolved that in cases where medical facilities are not available in the country, the expenditure incurred towards medical treatment abroad should be reimbursed to the extent of actual expenditure and also air passage to the person and the medical attendant. It will thus be seen that so far as Ministers are concerned, they already enjoyed the statutory privileges for free medical treatment outside India by virtue of Section 9 of the Andhra Pradesh Payment of Salaries and Removal of Disqualification Act, 1953. This facility which was curtailed by the Cabinet resolution dated 1-8-1983 was restored only in respect of medical treatment for which there is no facility available in the country by the subsequent Cabinet resolution dated 24-6-1984. The subsequent resolution is beneficial to all the persons including public servants who are entitled to such medical reimbursement. It is relevant to submit that several Ministers and employees of the State received similar benefit that was given to the Chief Minister. The allegation of personal dis-honesty made against the Chief Minister in this regard is baseless and unwarranted.

89. To suit his personal business interest he contrived a procession led by his own son alongwith Sri Rama Jogayya who was the then Information Minister and submitted a memorabum on behalf of theatre owners regarding the slab system and immediately a G.O. was issued as if to show that he has acted on the representations submitted to him. He changed the slab system for the earlier system which is of great personal advantage to him. He proclaimed in a public meeting that the theatre owners' are selling jewellery of their wives to pay the taxes. This was clearly established from the affidavit of the former Chief Minister in the earlier writ petition mentioned above.

The allegation is not true. The A.P. Film Chamber of Commerce made a representation to the Government on 13th June, 1983. This representation was examined by Commissioner of

Commercial Taxes and a detailed note on the review of the Entertainment Tax was submitted by Commissioner on 22nd October, 1983. This matter was examined by the Government at length. In this connection meetings wered held at various level with Film Chamber of Commerce and Film Exhibitors Association and Film producer at the level of Commissioner of Commercial Taxes, Principal Secretary to Government, Revenue Department and latter the then Finance Minister and Chief Minister. This was before November, 1983. The Government have taken a decision to introduce the slab system of tax as on option to the ticket system on and from 1st January, 1984 by promulgating A.P. Entertainment Tax (Amendment) Ordinance, 1983 on 29th December, 1983 (Ordinance 31 of 1983). The Government have decided to replace the system of levy of tax on ticket basis by levy of tax on per show basis and accordingly a decision was incorporated in L.A. Bill No. 14 of 1984 which was introduced in the Assembly on 16th March, 1984. This dicision was taken by the Council of Ministers. This bill has not come up for consideration in the Assembly within the stipulated period of six weeks from the date of convening of the Assembly Session and to maintain continuity of levy of taxes under A. P. Entertainment tax Act, an ordinance was promulgated by the Governor on 21st March, 1984 (A.P. Ordinance No. 9 1984) and the same was published in the A.P., Gazette, dated 21st March, 1984. The provisions of the ordinance are the same as contained in L.A, Bill No. 14/84. This ordinance was given effect to from 23rd March, 1984 and this ordinance was later replaced by Act No. 24 of 1984 after being duly passed by the A.P. Legislature and receiving the assent of the Governor on 20th May, 1984.

From the above, it is submitted that the allegations in the affidavit are not true since no Government order was issued introducing slab system to the benefit of any exhibitor and the entire normal legislative process was followed in introduced the slab system under Entertainment Tax Act.

90. It is significant to note that in the Andhra Pradesh

Assembly on 20th July, 1987 it is revealed that waiver of entertainment tax was given to M/s. Ramakrishna 70 MM owned by Sri N.T. Rama Rao, the Chief Minister and his family members. This is revealed by Sri D.K. Samarasimha Reddy, MLA who produced in the Andhra Pradesh Assembly copy of the A. P. Gazette, dated May 28, 1987 (page-379).

Ans. :- It is a fact that an M.L.A. Sri D. Samarasimha Reddy raised the issue of an order of the Government waiving the Entertainment Tax under section 19-A of the A. P. Entertainment Tax Act for not holding shows during the periods covered by Curfew and also the period covered by the demise of Smt. Indira Gandhi. It is submitted that the Government by G.O. Ms. No. 752, Revenue, dated 4-7-1985 laid down guidelines for the purpose of considering representation from exhibitors under section 19-A of the A.P. Entertainment Tax Act, 1939. If any exhibitor could not conduct the shows under any of the following circumstances such exhibitor could claim exemption from payment of tax.

1. Natural calamities (cyclones, floods, epidemics etc)
2. Break down in Law and Order (curfew, bundh calls, strikes etc.)
3. National calamities (death of National Leader any high dignitary etc.)
3. National calamities (death of National Leader any high dignitary etc.)
4. Social Customs (death of owner, proprietor partner of the theatre or any employee or important persons such as artist, director etc.)

Pursuant to the above, several theatres in the State were granted exemption based on their individual representations. In the twin cities alone about 19 theatres were given exemption. (Statement is enclosed) Thus it is not correct to state that

Entertainment Tax exemption has been given only to the exhibitors (i.e. the threatres) belonging to the family members of Chief Minister. These two theatres were only two among a total of 19 theatres in the twin cities alone which were given relief under the rules. The statement of the Minister (Revenue) on the floor of the House on 21-7-1987 was also to this effect and it is filed.

It is also relevant to submit that J. K. Trades of Ramakrishna 70 MM. by their letter dated 6-3-1986 addressed to the Commissioner of Police, Hyderabad submitted the particulars of shows which were not screened giving the reasons for not screening the shows and requested for the issuance of the Certificate for claiming the exemption of payment of Entertainment Tax. After obtaining the necessary certificates from the Sub-Inspector, Abids Police Station, the Commissioner of Police by Memo. No. L & O/A3/572/86, dated 24th July, 1986 sent the Certificate for submitting to the concerned Entertainment Tax Officer, Likewise S.K. Trades of Ramakrishna 35 MM submitted a letter dated 6-3-1986 for the same purpose. The Commissioner of Police issued a Memo. No. L & O/A3/576/86 dated 29-7-1986.

Further such certificates are also issued to the Licensees of other theatres viz. Zamrud, Venkatesha, Prabhat, Surya, Parameshwari, Dilshad, Vikranti, Maheshwari, Milan, Krishna, Royal Noble, Palace, Prabhat, Regal, Shaam etc., on the basis of verification reports issued by the Police authorities. The petitioner has indulged in false and sweeping allegations and also has suppressed material facts to suit his convenience.

The allegation that illegal exemption were given to the family of the Chief Minister by way of Entertainment Tax to a tune of Rs. 1.50 lakhs is without any basis. The exemption granted cannot be characterised as illegal.

91. The illegal exemptions given to the family of Sri N. T. Rama Rao by himself by way of entertainment tax is to the tune of Rs. 1 1/2 lakhs. That apart cardless telphone Letter of Inetnt

worth Rs. 300 crores was given to his castemen as was revealed by Sri D. K. Samarasimha Reddy alleged in Assembly that all posts including the office of the Advocate General are given only to his casteman.

Ans. :- The petitioner has merely quoted the allegation of Sri D. K. Samarasimha Reddy in the Assembly. There is nothing illegal or unconstitutional in appointiong persons belonging to the caste of the Chief Minister if they are otherwise meritoricus. The petitioner is not a contender to the posts.

The accusation of the M. L. A. about giving cardless telephone letter of intent to the Chief Minister caste men is also unwarranted. The allotment of the cardless telephone letter of intent to the Non-Resident Indians has already been dealt with.

92. "Sri D. K. Samarasimha Reddy, M. L. A. further revealed that the Government owned Allwyn have made at Rs. 5 lakhs cost of Chaithanya Radham for the use of Sri N. T. Rama Rao, the Chief Minister."

Ans. :- The allegations are baseless and false. The petitioner cannot place any reliance on the allegations made by certain persons belonging to the Congress-I Party in the Assembly which are all politically motivated to tarnish the image of the Ruling Party.

93. It is further submitted that the entire administration has become a dumping ground for the party men of Telugu Desam by way of providing political patronage and spoils system. The first respondent has used the entire administration and the state machinery and resources for rehabilitation of his party men with a view to capture power by way of party infiltration into all Corporations, Socities, trustees, semi-Government and quasi-Government authorities. This is clear from the following instances resulting great loss to the administration and public exchequer without any corresponding utility except politicalising the administrative machinery.

Ans. :- It has been policy of the Telugu Desam Government to appoint people of proven competence, integrity and ability to head various public sector undertakings. Competent public representatives with their immense knowledge of public affairs and what is more, management of people are not to be kept out of holding such responsible offices. It is in this light that public men of known integrity and competence have been appointed to head various public enterprises. Even here the accent has been on appointing people with appropriate professional background to head such public enterprises. As a matter of fact, as against a total number of 42 enterprises, as many as 31 have professional background.

It is also not unusual to draw Chairman of Public Enterprises from among people in the political field. At any rate since 1979-80 with an increase in the number of State Public Enterprises a number of people who were M. L. As. etc., were appointed to head public enterprises. In the year 1979-80 the Andhra Pradesh Payment of Salaries and Removal of Disqualifications Act, 1963 was amended to provide for M. L. As. holding offices of Chairmen.

With a number of measures taken by the Government to improve the efficiency of State Public Enterprises including the appointment of men of competence and ability as Chairmen of these Organisations, there has been a consistent and perceptible improvement in their performance. During the year 1984-85 while all State Public Enterprises put together incurred a loss of Rs. 47 crores, a year latter in 1985-86 they made a profit of Rs.74.43 crores. The loss-making enterprise actually came down from 24 to 17. The improvement in the performance during the year 1985-86 was also continued in 1986-87.

In this view it may not really be necessary to meet the various allegations made. All these paragraphs refer generally to the system that was prevailing prior to the year 1983 and that has been prevalent after 1983. All these issues can never be the

subject matter of a Writ Petition or an enquiry by the Court in a proceeding under Article 226 of Constitution of India. The Accountability and justifiability of the expenditure incurred on the Chairman and other functionaries have always been to the appropriate body in the Government. The Courts jurisdiction does not extend to these spheres.

The allegation that the entire administration has become a dumping ground for the party men of the Telugu Desam by way of providing political patronage and spoils system and that the Chief Minister has used the entire administration and the state Machinery and resourses for rehabilitation of his party men with a view to capture power by way of party infiltration into all Corporations, Societies, Trust Boards, semi-Government and quasi-Government authorities is not only false but baseless. Out of 41 Corporations Public Sector Undertakings 30 are manned by professionals and I. A. S. Officers and 11 non-professionals are heading organisations. The entire administration of all the 43 organisations is controlled by either professionals or service personnel. There is no question of party people infiltrating into these organisations. All recruitments and appointments either ministerial or otherwise are controlled by the regulations of the Corporations. The other appointments in these Public Sector Undertakings are made by the Public Enterprises Selection Board which was for the first time created after the Telugu Desam Government has assumed office. This was done with the sole aim of eliminating patronage, favouritism and arbitrariness in the matter of appointments to the various posts in the organisations.

94. Sri N. T. Rama Rao, the Chief Minister, at that time also directed the departments to reconstitute the boards with official directors only and to designate the Managing Director, who was invariably an official, as Chairman. In the State Housing Board and the five urban development authorities, the posts of Chairmen were abolished and the Vice-Chairman took over as person-in-charge.

Ans. :- Orders were issued in G. O. Ms. No. 492, General Administration Department, dated 12th October, 1982 whereby the Government have reconstituted the composition of Board of Directors giving broad guidelines for the constitution of Board of Directors which provides for nomination of non-officials, officials and professionals. While constituting Board of Directors a practical approach has to be taken and the Government have always been doing so in the best interests of the organisation.

95. A proposal was also mooted by the Telugu Desam Government in March, 1983 to regroup and merge the Government - owned corporations in a bid to streamline and revamp their functioning. The Chief Minister, Sri N. T. Rama Rao felt that regrouping was necessary in view of a plethora of public undertakings in existence. some with overlapping jurisdictions and even with conflicting roles. Out of the 48 corporations existing then, 13 were sought to be abolished and others merged into 13 corporations.

Ans. :- Orders were issued in G. O. Ms. No. 168, dated 16th March, 1983 regarding regrouping and reducing the number of Government enterprises. However in practice, problems had arisen when certain writ petitions were filed by the employees of Andhra Pradesh State Construction Corporation, etc., against the abolition and other actions which had driven the Government to reconsider these proposals.

96. However, with the passage of time, the Telugu Desam Government saw very little merit in its own precepts and promises and decided to make some exceptions in view of the 'exigencies' of the situation. Thus the APCO was the first to have a non-official, in fact, a Telugu Desam Legislators M.L.A. as its Chairman. A few more Telugu Desam Legislators were nominated to the Government Under-takings and Boards. When Sri N.T. Rama Rao returned to power after the brief toppling drama enacted by Mr. Bhaskara Rao he did nominate as many as 32 of his party M.L.As. as Chairmen of the various corporations and accommodated posts of the remaining legislators as

Directors on these Boards in October, 1984. It was felt that this was done in a bid to keep the legislators in good humour in the wake of the 'indiscipline' generated by Mr. Bhaskara Rao and Company a little while ago.

Ans. :- The allegation that M.L.As. were appointed as Chairmen and Directors of various corporations to keep them in good humour is baseless. The majority of the Corporations are headed by Professionals and I.A.S. Officers. There is nothing arbitrary or illegal if some of these posts are occupied by people in the political field. It has been the practice in the previous Governments to appoint only the M.L.As. and M.Ps as the Chairmen for all the Corporations. A list of Chairmen of Public Sector Undertakings prior to 1983 is given in the Material Papers. This would show that all the non-official Chairmen belonged to the Party in power. The list of Chairmen of various Public Enterprises since 1983 upto date is also given in the Meterial Papers. A look at this list would show that the present Government has appointed professionals and I. A. S. Officers as Chairmen to most of the Corpotions.

97. The Telugu Desam Government has hit upon another bright idea. All the Boards are reconstituted for only one year term these days, as against the earlier practice of three-year term, so that more and more people could be accommodated in these bodies by rotation the present incumbents would always have need to circle around the powers that be and play to the tune of the extraconstitution centres of power.

Ans.:- There is nothing illegal in reducing the term of the Members. This was done by G.O. Ms. No. 230, dated 5th April, 1984 in order to curb any vested interests, in cases where they have contributed for the working of the Enterprises the same Member of the same Chairman are being renominated.

A policy decision was taken by the Government to nominate the Board of Directors initially for one year to see the work, efficiency and contribution by the nominees. In cases where their

working was efficient, the same Board and the Chairman are being renominated.

98. Moreover the non-official Chairmen and Directors are being appointed even to such companies which hitherto, never had any history of having non-officiale on their boards. A case in point in the Hyderabad Allwyn Limited, which now has a Telugu Desam functionary as its Chairman. Another such company is the Republic Forge Company which also has a Telngu Desam man as its Chairman. There is a feeling that even Singareni Collieries Company would soon have its first non-official Chairman.

Ans. :- The Chairman of the Hyderabad Allwyn Limited, Sri Atluri Venkateswara Rao is a professional and qualified engineer. He was in the service of the Andhra Pradesh State Electricity Board. After his retirement he was appointed as the Chairman of Hyderabad Allwyn Limited. The Chairman of the Republic Forge Company is yet another competent professional hitherto working in the Life Insurance Corporation of India Services. There is no basis to presume that they are all Telugu Desam functionaries. Even if it be so, there is nothing illegal in such appointments. The petitioner is not a contender for any of these posts.

99. Other methods are also being tried out by the Telugu Desam to locate more secure posts for its members. Though the Andhra Pradesh State Road Transport Corporation exists as a single corporate body, the Government has appointed Regional Advisory Boards for the seven administrative divisions of this undertaking. The Regional Advisory Councils for Raja Raja Narendra, Krishna Devaraya, Golconda, Bhagyanagar, Satha-vahana, Kakatiya and Vikramasimha regions of R.T.C. are now headed by Telugu Desam Party M.L.As.

Ans. :- The State Road Transport Corporation Act Contemplates the creation of Regional Advisory Councils for better

management of the Corporation. It is a fact that these Regional Advisory Councils are headed by Telugu Desam Party M.L.As. The petitioner has not shown how they are not qualified to head these Bodies.

100. Another interesting and disturing fact is that invariably all non-officials being appointed to various bodies and corporations by the State Government these days belong to Telugu Desam Party or they have close links with the Telugu Desam functionaries. This is a striking departure from the earlier practice that prevailed during the Congress-I days when some opposition members were also nominated to the board and a few of them were even appointed as Chairman, there by making these appointments as non-partisan.

Ans. :- The Chairman and other Members of the Board are only part-time functionaries. The Chief Executive of the Organisation is invariably a professional or drawn from the services. He controls the entire administration.

101. The District Rural Development Agencies (DRDAs) are an important institution for planning and execution of rural development programmes and schems. However the Telugu Desam Government has converted the DRDAs in to Telugu Desam outfits for nominating all non-officials members on the governing bodies of these cies from among the party ranks. The two MLAs., two local body functionaries, one MP., one representative from weaker sections, one member from minorities and one representative from women on the governing board of each of the 22 DRDAs in the State are invariably from Telugu Desam ranks.

Ans. :- The District Rural Development Agency is an autonomous body registered under the Socities Act, one for each District. Each Agency has a Governing Body with District Collector as its Chairman and Zilla Praja Parishad Chairman as its Vice-Chairman. The Project Director is the Member Secre-

tary. Besides the representatives of Government of India and State Government in the Rural Development Department, all the District Official of Development Department and all the M.L.As., M.Ps, are Ex-Officio Members of the Governing Body. Select bankers and Corporations are also represented on the Government Body apart from two representatives of weaker sections and no representative of women nominated by Government. Previously two samithi Presidents were also members of the Governing Body. Revised orders nominating president Body. Revised orders nominating president of Mandal Praja Parishads in their place are not yet issued.

102. Two years ago, the Telugu Desam Government even constituted the District selection Committee for 3 Districts with two Telugu Desam M.L.As., members on each DSC for the purpose of making recruitment to the posts of Group-IV Services which were taken out of the purview of the APPSC. However after an advertisement Judgment from the High Court, the DSCs ceased to exist. But other existing bodies continu to be burdened with only Telugu Desam nominees as non-official members.

Ans. :- It is a fact that the District Selection Committee as originally constituted consisted of 2 MLAs., apart from official members and the Chairman, Zilla Parishad. The High Court held that the MLAs. cannot be the members. Hence, the Government reconstituted the District Selection Committees only with officials. It is incorrect to state that the District Selection Committees ceased to exist.

103. The Temple Trust Boards have also been converted into Telugu Desam outposts with all non-officials nominated these days hailing from the Telugu Desam bosses. There are 114 temples with an income of Rs. 1 lakh and above per annum and 762 temples with an income of Rs. 20,000 and above per year. Since these major temples have and annual income aggregating Rs. 100 crores it is obvious why the Telugu Desam wants to keep

them under its direct control by this method of party nominations.

Ans.:- The allegation that the Temple Trust Boards have also been converted into Telugu Desam out-posts with non-officials hailing from Telugu Desam Party or having close links with Telugu Desam bosses is not only vague but baseless and incorrect.

104. Agricultural market committees, urban Development Authorities, various commissions, cultural bodies, cooperative apex institutions, advisory boards and committees and government companies and corporations are all having only Telugu Desam men and women as non-official members.

Ans. :- The allegations that all the Market Committees Urban Development Authorities and other bodies were all having only Telugu Desam men and women af non-official members is baseless and in-correct.

105. The university bodies (academic councils, executive councils and planning boards) these days are also packed with Telugu Desam nominees. Establishirg party control over the various government controlled bodies seems to have become an obsession with Telugu Desam Leaders. Dumping partymen on these bodies has been refined into a fine art by Telugu Desam functionaries. As one opposition leader complained "it is the government of Telugu Desam, by Telugu Dasam and for Telugu Desam, aud so corporations and boards are no exception".

Ans. :- The allegation that the University bodies are packed with Telugu Desam Nominees is also baseless and incorrect. The academic council, executive council and planning board are composed of Professors and other academicians.

106. The non-official Chairmen and non-official Directors more often than not turn out to be a drain on the meagre resources of the corporation and boards, since many of these bodies are already running at a loss. But Telugu Desam Seems to take an

indifferent attitude towards this glaring fact. Losses or no losses, Telugu Desam men are going to stay on boards. This appears to be the intention.

Ans. :- A turn around of the Andhra Pradesh State Public Enterprises in Andhra Pradesh is given in the material papers. This would show that during the year 1984-85 all the State level Public Enterprises in Andhra Pradesh put together incurred a loss of 47 crores, but during the year 1985-86 they made a profit of Rs. 74.43 crores. The figure was arrived at after setting off the losses made by the loss making corporations from the profit made by the other corporations. The loss making enterprises came down from 24 to 17. The improvement in the performance recorded during the year 1985-86 also continued in 1986-87. The overall performance and turn over also improved considerably. All effective measures to check the losses have been taken by the Government.

107. The Statement of the President of Andhra Pradesh Self Employment Enterpreneurs Association Sri Jayaprokash would clearly show how mini-steel units which are banned by the Industrial development Bank of India for being nonviable are given to party men and relatives. This is nothing but a clear case of abusing governmental power and requires clear investigstion by the Central Government.

The Andhra Pradesh Self-Employment Enterpreneurs Association President Sri Jayaprakash has charged the A. P. State Financial Corporation (S F C) with showing favourtism in the sanction of nine mini-steel plants of which about five have been sanctioned to friends and relatives of the Chief Minister and other T. D. P. leaders.

Mr. A. Jayaprakash, President of the Association at a press conference here on Friday said that the mini-steel plant unit was included in the 'ban' list of the IDBI which considers such units to be not economically viable.

Consequently the APSFC sanctioned the amount to the nine units from its own funds at its own risk. According to the APSFC rules can sanction amounts as its own risk there by eliminating the risk factor to the financial institutions. State Financial Institutions should not approve units which are in the 'banned' list of IDBI as it involves the risking of meagre resources of the state apart from violating the financial norms of the premier central Financial Institutions which is highly improper and motivated.

Ans. :- The allegations made against the APSFC regarding the sanction of loans to Mini-Steel Plants and showing favouritism to the friends and relatives of the Chief Minister of Andhra Pradesh and other members of the Telugu Desam Party are untenable.

As a matter of fact, Andhra Pradesh State Financial Corporation received 39 proposals for setting up Mini-Steel Plants The Screaning Committee of the Corporation comprising of the Chairman, the Managing Director, the nominee Director of Industrial Development Bank of India and the Nominee Director of Government of Andhra Pradesh approved 12 proposals of the total 39 for sanction of loans. Only cases where the applicants possessed valid S. S. I. registration were considered for assistance by the Corporation strictly following the eligibility criteria without any prejudice or favouritism.

The decision to consider financing Mini Steel Plants was earlier referred by the Corporation to IDBI, to which the IDBI, replied that the matter was under active consideration and that their technical wing was examining the same.

The Board of the Corporation, in anticipation of clearance from Industrial Bank of India, accorded sanction of financial assistance to the proposals while stipulating a condition that in case of refusal of re-finance by Industrial Development Bank of

India, a higher rate of interest shall be charged. This is the normal procedure followed by the Corporation.

After sanction of the proposals, Andhra Pradesh State Financial Corporation received a communication from Industrial Development Bank of India in January, 1987 stating that this line of activity was not eligible for refinance.

Notwithstanding Industrial Development Bank of India's refusal to extend refinance for Mini Steel Plants, Andhra Pradesh State Financial Corporation took a conscious and informed decision to promote steel plants in the State from out of the Corporation's own funds on the following considerations :—

(I) The State's ingot requirement are being obtained primarily from outside the State.

(II) Availability of power within the State.

(III) Availability of scrap from Karnataka and Tamil Nadu where, because of power cut. Mini Steel Units, have been shut down.

Andhra Pradesh Financial Corporation, being a financial institution, sanctions loans to the eligible units only after obtaining necessary registration/licences from the concerned licensing authorities. In all the Mini Steel Plants cases where sanction of term loans was made, the units had obtained valid S. S. I. registrations from the Commissioner of Industries.

All the Mini Steel Plant loans were sanctioned purely on the basis of technical feasibility and economic viability of the schemes without any regard to personalities much less showing any favour to any particular person or his political affiliations. The selection of enterpreneurs was made on purely objective criteria. As per the records no units were considered for setting up of Mini Steel Plant at Guntakal or at Eluru was alleged.

108. Mr. Jayaprakash said that one of the Mini-Plants was sanctioned to the youngest son-in-law of the Chief Minister, Mr. Naren of Coimbatore in just one day without complying with any formalities.

Another unit was sanctioned to Mr. Venkateswara Rao, the auditor of Mr. Chandra Babu Naidu at Guntakal, one to Mr. Vijayamohana Reddy, M. P. in Mahabubnagar district one to Telugu Desam Parliamentary leader Mr. P. Upendra's son, Mr. Muralikrishna in Eluru one unit to a nephew of the former Managing Director of the A P S F C Mr. Swaminatha Reddy. Amounts to Mr. Upendra's son Mr. Venkateswara Rao have already been released. Mr. Jayaprakash stated publicly.

The Association President alleged large scale corruption in the A P S F C and demanded a C B I enquiry into assets of a General Manager (Technical) Sri G. Narayana Rao and some of the top officials. He said that a General Manager Sri G. Narayana Rao and a former Nellore Branch Manager had started a leasing company and alleged that lakhs of rupees had been deposited by beneficiaries of A P S F C in the company by coercion. He also levelled similar charges of corruption against several of the employees and said the association would write to the IDBI and the Union Finance Ministry about the state of affairs in the A P S F C. He stated that the A P S F C finances were under the control of just a handful of Industrialists. Citing another case of particisan attitude he said the Bihar Ads. were not sanctioned loans for putting up electronics display systems even after showing 100 per cent market, but the same display system was sanctioned to another company in just three months, he alleged. The situation was such that prespective enterpreneurs were afraid of approaching the A P S F C which he claimed was doing more harm to the small scale units than good. He enumerated various policy matters of the A P S F C which were detrimental the growth of small scale industries like low seed capital assistance high ratios of Debt Equity and Debt Service Coverage and other facilities.

It is really a clear case of rank nepotism and favouritism where within 24 hours and even without even a formal application the applicants got funds ( SFC ) were gifted the powerful Telugn Desam Leaders relatives and friends and monies were being given upto Rs. 75 lakhs to each beneficiary eventhough IDBI has banned the financing of Mini-steel plants as they are found not viable.

Ans. :- The allegation that one of the mini steel plants was sanctioned to the youngest son-in-law of the Chief Minister. Mr. Naren of Coimbatore in just one day without complying with any formalities is also false and baseless. He was not sanctioned any such Licence.

The Selection of enterpreneurs was done on purely objective consideration. If they happen to be relate or connected to persons in power it is only accidental.

The charges against Shri Narayana Rao, General Manager of the Corporation are the subject matter of a writ petition. The matter is therefore sub-judice.

The proposal of Binary Ads for setting up of computerised electronic moving display systems was referred to I D B I to ascertain whether giving financial assistance can be considered for such industries and for which, the reply from I D B I was in the negative. Hence, the proposals of the party were not considered.

The allegations are not based on facts. Sanction of loans are made after careful consideration of the applications filed in the prescribed format. It is relevant to submit that the sanction of loans for Mini Steel Plants was the subject matter of the complaint before the Honourable Lok Ayukta of Andhra Pradesh vide complaint No. 1989/87 and 2154/87. In his orders dated 11th October, 1987 the Honourable Lok Ayukta had observed that "he was unable to see any irregularity in the conduct of the affairs by the Corporation and that he was, therefore, not able to agree with the complaint in his criticism of the Corporation's

decision. The Honourable Lok Ayukta categorically said that he did not see any misconduct on the part of the Corporation.

109. The Government of Sri N.T. Rama Rao is ridden with corruption and is communally oriented, and has extreme favourtism and nepotism to the relatives and their own castemen and Telugu Desam Party men as per the whims of Sri N. T. Rama Rao, the Chief Minister. This government has been using all the power, resources of the government only for furthering Sri N. T. Rama Rao's interests. This is illustrated from the following instances :

Sri N. T. Rama Rao is utterly communal minded and is only concius of caste. On July 17, 1985 in Prakasam District, Karamchedu village several hundreds of harijans were masacred at the instance of one Sri Butchi Ramaiah the relative in law i.e. Son-in-law's father, a wholesale massacre of harijans was done and the harijans there were put to untold difficulties and harassment and torture and their houses were burnt, women raped and men killed. In the several criminal cases that are found, it is submitted that Sri Rama Rao harboured his relatives in his own house and prevailed on the police not to prosecute the said Mr. Butchi Ramaiah and hence the harijans were constrained to file a private complaint against the relatives of Chief Minister and the matters are still pending. This shows that Chief Minister has neither concerned for scheduled castes nor is a believer in the Rule of Law.

Ans. :- The allegations that the Government of Sri N. T. Rama Reo is ridden with corruption and is communally oriented and has extreme favouritism and nepotism to the relatives and their won catsemen and Telugu Desam Party men and that the Government has been using all the powers and resources only for furthering Sri N. T. Rama Rao's interest is wholly baseless and incorrect.

The allegation that the Chief Minister has no concern for

the scheduled castes and that he is not a believer in the Rule of Law to say the least is not only false but baseless. Karamchedu incident is the subject Matter of Crime No. 77/85 U/s 147, 148, 307, 327 and 449 IPC and 395 r/w Section 149 IPC and Section 7 of PCR Act was registered in the Parchur Police Station. Now the case is pending before the Principal Sessions Judge, Guntur. The Police have arrested the accused and sent for remand. Police pickets were arranged at the village and necessary protection was arranged to the Harijans. Relief, rehabilitation Measures were taken by the Government. Ex-gratia was sanctioned to the next of kin of the deceased, the injured and those whose property was damaged. Widow and old age pensions have been sanctioned to the eligible persons Works were created under different schemes like N.R.D.P. to create livelihood to the villagers. The children of the victims were accommodated in the hostels. The Government have also instituted judicial enquiry into the incident. Sri Justice D. P. Desai, retired Judge of Gujarat High Court has been appointed as Commission of Inquiry on the recommendation of the Supreme Court. The Commission had conducted the Inquiry and submitted its report to Government on 31st August, 1987 which is under examination.

110. The position has deteriorated to such an extent that on 4th June, 1987 the Chief Secretary had the sudacity to issue a circular to all the Ministers that the Ministers have no power to call for a file of any other department, it is submitted that these directions debilitate against the cardinal constitutional creed and joint responsibility of the cabinet government.

Ans. :- It is submitted that according to Clause (a) Subrule (3) of Rule 28 of the Andhra Pradesh Government business Rules "A Minister instead of calling for any papers/files from any Department not under his charge may, if he is desirous of putting forward his views to the Minister incharge, may do so at a discussion with the said Minister and if the two Ministers do not agree on any point or points, the matter shall be referred to the Chief Minister for his decision thereon." The provistons

in the Business Rules are issued by the Governor, in exercise of the powers conferred by Clauses (1) and (2) of the Article 166 of the Constitution of India.

Instructions have been isuued in U.O. Note No. 580/ Ser. e/82-1 G.A.D., dated 12th May, 1982 to all the P.Ss. and P.As. to Ministers, to ensure that files of the departments not connected with the Ministers are not called for by any Minister, but his views made known to the Minister concern through discussion with them. Further instructions have also been issued in U. O. Note No. 1116/Ser.C/85-1, G.A.D., dated 10th June, 1986 stating that it is not proper for a Minister to interfere in the matters which relate to another Minister, as it will be embarrassing for the Minister who is actually in charge of such matters. In the interest of smooth running of the administration, a Minister may at best make endorsements in the petitions presented to him and send them to the concerned Minister or the Secretary of the concerned Department of Secretariat for pursuing action.

Instructions have also been issued in U.O. Note No. 154/ Ser.C/87-1, G.A.D. dated 4th June, 1987 on a question whether a Minister can refer any petition directly to A.C.B. for enquiry against an employee who is not working in the Department, under his control that petitions involving reference to A.C.B. have to be routed through the concerned Departments of Secretariat under whose administrative control the emplyoee is working after prior clearance of the Chief Minister, invariably without any deviation. These instructions have been superseded and the position has been clarified in Governmedt Memo. No. 551/ Ser.C/87-1 General Administration (Ser.C) Department, dated 26th June, 1987 that "whenever a Minister receives a petition containing allegations against a employee who is not working in the Department under his control, such petitions with endorsement of the Minister will be circulated to Chief Minister. The papers will be routed to the Chief Minister through the concerned Department of the Secretariat, under whose administrative control the employee is working."

As the above said instructions have been issued for the smooth running of the administration based on the provisions of Andhra Pradesh Government Business Rules, it cannot be said that the said instructions debilitate against the cordial constitutional creed and joint responsibility of the Cabinet as alleged in the Writ petitions.

111. The Chief Minister has created a mighty propaganda machine by way of Telugu Samacharam a publication by government the cost of which Rs. 1.50/per copy but the same is being sold at 25 ps. per copy and it contains nothing but colour pictures of Sri N. T. Rama Rao, the Chief Minister and personal propoganda at the expense of government.

Ans. :- The main function of the Information and Public Relations Department is to publicise the programmes, policies and developmental activities of the State Government through multimedia viz., Press, Publication, Radio, Television, Song and Drama and exhibitions etc.

The Department of Information & Public Relations was publishing since three and half decades, the journal of Andhra Pradesh in which the policies and developmental activities were published. In the place of Andhra Pradesh Journal, Telugu Samacharam fortnightly is being published as the publication of Andhra Pradesh Journal was stopped due to various reasons.

The Telugu Samacharam Journal which commenced its publication in March, 1987 has become very popular in the masses and is enjoying the circulation of 11 lakhs every fortnight. Besides programmes, Policies and Developmental activities of the State Government, interesting and useful topics like agriculture, animal husbandary, education, literature, music, dance and archealogical intsrests to suit to the various readers are published.

The Chief Minister as the Head of the State during his discharge of functions has to involve himself in various develop-

mental programmes and public meetings. The photographs of the Chief Minister which are published in Telugu Samacharam Fortnightly are only of functions and meetings etc., wherein the Chief Minister participated. Therefore, it cannot be said that Telugu Samacharam Journal is created to propogate and used for the personal propaganda of the Chief Minister, Sri N. T. Rama Rao.

Telugu Samacharam is a Fortnightly published in Telugu by the Department of Information and Public Relations through Special Officer, Telugu Samacharam. It is a Governmental function to high light the programmes, policies and developmental activities undertaken by the Government from time to time. In Telugu Samacharam Journal various useful subjects like Agriculture, Animal Husbandry, Health, Literary and Fine Arts are published besides policies and programmes of the Government.

The Telugu Samacharam Fortnightly is published in the normal course as a part of the activities of the Department of Information and public Relations to apprise and keep the public duly informed of the various schemes, policies, programmes and achievements of the State Government headed by the Chief Minister.

Besides advertisements of Corporations and Government, Private concerns are also coming forward to publicise their advertisements in the journal. Very shortly it will become self-suffieient supported by advertisements.

The price of the copy is fixed at 0.50 paise to make it available to the common man.

The photos published in Telugu Samacharam are all action photos concerning various developmental programmes, The photos of the Prime Minister and Central Ministers are also published in the journal whenever they are visiting the State.

Nothing is being done to boost the personal image of the Chief Minister at the expense of the Government.

As it is the duty of State Government to keep the people duly informed about the various programmes and policies of the State Government the fortnightly cannot be published on commercial lines. As this job is not being done adequately by other journals, it is the duty of the State Government to keep the people duly informed of the programmes, policies and the benefits extended to the people specially to the weaker sections, which is being done through this journal. The cost of production stated by the petitioner is not correct.

112. Unfortunately as reported on July 8, 1987 "Hindu" the village Dechavaram near Narasaraopet has witnessed large scale violence, looting and destruction of property, dividing the village into hostile camps along caste lines i. e., Reddy's and Kammas. The Reddy community is persecuted and harassed. Their houses were burnt, property like paddy, haystacks were destroyed with the support of Kammas coming from, neighbouring surrounding villages. One Mr. Kasu Venkateswara Reddy who had gone to save his cattle was ambushed and killed while his daughter-in-law as watching the grusum tragedy dumbfounded. The Kammas, attacked the house of Mr. I. Govinda Reddy, who owns 10 acres of land and set fire to 200 bags of paddy. The walls of his pucca house cracked under the impact of bombs and fire. All his belongings were looted.

Ans. :- It has been a factious village since a long time. Though factious feelings have been dormant, the factions used to come to surface usually during election. On the polling day, a mob of 100 persons armed with deadly weapons and country bombs raided polling booth and took away ballot boxes along with the polling material by scaring the polling staff by exploding country bombs. The Police personnel posted on bundobust duty have opened fire in the air to quell the mob. A case in, Crime No. 16/87 under Sections 332, 380 IPC read with Section 34 IPC

and 136 (1) (F) of Representation of Peoples Act and Section 3 of the I. E. S. Act was registered at Nakrekal Police Station and the case is under investigation.

On 26th June, 1987 at about 8-00 A. M. the political parties armed with deadly weapons prepared for a free fight in the village Taking advantage of the situation, one party attacked the houses of the other party by exploding country made bombs in the street. Some people received injuries. A case in Crime No. 57/1987 under Section 147, 148, 307, 395, 452, 453, 436, 318, 324 and 302 IPC read with Section 3 of I. E. S. Act was registered in Nakrekal Police Station. The C. B. C. I. D. has taken over the investigation and it is in progress.

113. The artocities and violence against weaker sections with particular reference to harijans so demonstrably clear under the Government of Sri N. T. Rama Rao, the Chief Minister. After Padirikuppam atrocities in Chittoor district wherein the poor helpless harijans were subjected to massacre, looting, rape and torture followed by the atrocities of Karamchedu in Prakasam Dirtrict where the Chief Minister's relatives are responsible for large scale violence and massacre of harijans.

Ans. :- Government had instituted a Judicial Inquiry into the incident at Padirikuppam in Chittoor District by appointing Sri Y. Venkateswara Rao, retired Judge of High Court as Commission of inquiry. The Commission held that the local political rivalry accumulating over years between two groups, one led by Sri S. V. Gopala Raju and the other by Sri Narayana Swamy which gained momentum with the issue of Notification for General Elections on 16th December, 1982 was generally responsible for the fire mishap that occured on 5th January, 1983, the polling day at Padirikuppam. The Commission has held that the incident has not caste or communal colour. The C. B. C. I. D. investigated the matter and identified the persons responsible and committed to Sessions Court on two different cases on the above

incident registered in Crime No. 13/83 and 14/83 of Karvetinagaram Police Station. The two cases ended in acquittal. Government have sanctioned Rs. 10,000/- as ex-gratia to each of the next of the kin of the deceased and Rs. 1,000/- to those who lost their houses in the incident.

114. The latest being the incidents in Neerukonda village, Mangalagiri Mandalam, Guntur District where thn brothers of Sri M.S.S. Koteswara Rao, former Minister in Sri N. T. Rama Rao's cabinet and his close associate let a gang of Kamma and killed a poor harijan Mr. Mannem Seshaiah and several other harijans were injured. Almost 40 people were seriously injured. The attacked the harijans with axes, spaces, sticks and mercilessly bet the poor harijan women and children. When one Mr. Mannem Seshaiah took shelter in a house. Its doors were broken and he has speared to death. As per the version of a poor harijan women Smt. Sanam Ratnamma on Tuesday the 14th July, 1987 night all Kammas met in the house of the brother of the minister Sri Madhala Gopaiah and conspired to make this attack. The persons who have leg this attack is Madhala Gopaiah, Chandraiah brothers of the former minister, Mr. Muparapu Rosaiah, his son Mr. Bulli Abbai, Mr. Maddala Venkatramaiah, Mr. Madhala Sambasiva Rao, Mr. Madhala Bullaiah, Mr. Nannapaneni Sambaiah, Mr. Nannapaneni Veeraiah, Mr. Nannapaneni Venkataiah, Mr. Nannapaneni Siva, Mr. Vattikuri Subbarao, Mr. Vattikuri Ramaih, Mr. Utharadhi Nagaiah son Mr. Seen and several others.

"Neerukonda incident"

III-feelings between two groups existed right from the year 1952. The disputes erupted again in 1981 on the eve of the elections to the Gram panchayats. On 22nd April, 1981, police had to open fire in air when the two groups clashed with deadly weapons and hurled stones on the police Criminal cases were filed against the persons belonging to both the groups. During the Parliament elections in 1984 and Assembly elections in 1985,

the Harijans alleged that they were not allowed to cast their votes. An agreement was arrived between the two groups for the withdrawal of pending cases. Rumours spread in the Village that Harijans broke coeonuts in the Church in jubiliant mood after hearing the news of dropping of Dr. M.S.S. Koteswara Rao from the Cabinet. This led to clashes resulting in the death of one Manne Seshaiah and injuries to four others. Injured persons were removed to the Hospital for treatment. Police pickets were arranged to maintain Law and Order and food packets were supplied to the families of the Harijans in the cheri and to those in hospitals. Rice and clothes were supplied. Foodgrains and other items were supplied to the Harijans. An ex-gratia of Rs. 10,000/- has been sanctioned to the son of late Seshaiah and he was appointed as worker in S. C. Corporation, Guntur. The injured persons were given Rs. 1000/- each as a relief measure. Other persons who received minor injuries were also sanctioned monetary relief at Rs. 250/- each. Under N. R. E. P. work relating to laying of roads has been taken up in the village to provide livelihood to the Harijans.

On 25th July, 1987, 78 houses were destroyed in fire accident. No one was found to be responsible for this. Government have sanctioned monetary relief at Rs. 250/- to the house owners. Hayrick was supplied by the Government. The following are the decisions taken by the Government :

(a) One pucca housing colony for, the victims families be constructed with all necessary basic amenities.

(b) All the affected families shall be covered under various economic support schemes as found suitable to them.

The Government is committed to the cause of the welfare of the people especially Harijans and all possible measures shall be taken to rehabilitate the poor victims. A House Committee has been constituted to go into the incidents.

115. It is further submitted that as per the Janata Party leader in the Assembly on July, 15th, 1987 for the last four years the levy of taxes and duties in the State had increased by 2 1/2 times. The revenue from taxes and duties, which was Rs. 808 crores in 1982-83 before the Telugu Desam Party Government came to power, had been increased to Rs. 2,105 crores in 1987-88. The tax burden on every citizen of Andhra Pradesh has increased by 2 1/2 times in four years of TDP Government from 1983.

Ans. :- State taxes have increased from Rs. 808 crores in 1982-83 to 2105 crores as shown in the Budget 1987-88. But the increase on account of levy of additional taxes with effect from 1983-84 is only Rs. 393.82 crores. The balance increase is only on account of buoyancy, better collection and increase in prices and sales, at the same rate of taxes existing at the end of the year 1982-83. Thus the percent of increase in tax receipts in 1987-88 on account of levy additional taxes levied from 1983-84 to 1987-88 is only 48.72% over receipts in 1982-83 and it is only 18.70% in total receipts estimated in Budget Estimate 1987-88.

116. The city police are caught on the horns of dilemma whether or not to serve summons on the newly appointed Labour Minister Mr. P. Siva Reddy for appearing before a court to face charges of attempt to murder, rioting with peadly weapons and assulting a police officer on duty during the municipal corporation elections on February 15, 1986.

Ans. :- It is not true that the City Police are caught on the horns of dilemma whether or not to serve summons on the newly appointed Ministers. The offence took place on 15th February 1986 and the investigation was completed and charge sheet was filed on 30th January 1987 long before A-1 Sri P. Siva Reddy became a Minister. Due to reorganisation of the City Courts, this case has been transferred to XII Metropolitan Magistrate where the case was taken on PRC. No. 10/87 dated 4th June 1987. The case is at committal Stage. In order to commit the case to Sessions, the presence of all the accused in the Court is essential.

So the XII M. M. Court has issued summons to accused from time to time for their appearance on the following dates, so that it would facilitate to commit the case to Sessions.

Proceedings of the Court Case :

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. | 26th June 1987. | The summons could not be served on the accused person as the C. C. S. Team members were deputed to districts in view of Andhra Pradesh Co-operative Societies Elections. Hence it was posted to 24th July 1987 for the appearance of accused. |
| 2. | 24th July 1987. | P. Cs. 4133 and 2216 who were deputed to serve the summons, could not do so as the accused were out of station and as such the case was posted to 11th August 1987 for appearance of the accused. |
| 3. | 11th August 1987. | As the staff of Team-II C. C. S. was engaged in another case in Cr. No. 7/85 in serving the summons, the summons of this case could not be served. The case was posted to 10th September 1987 for appearance of accused. |
| 4. | 10th September 1987. | Out of 11 summons, only two summons could be served on A-3 Upendranath and A-4 R. C. Sanjeeva Rao and the remaining could not be served as they were out of station. A-3 and A-4 appeared in the court and they were bound over. The case was then posted to 19th October 1987 for the appearance of remaining accused. |
| 5. | 19tn October 1987. | Of tne 9 summons, only one summon in respect of A-2 Sri Nooka Raju, M L A., Nakkapally was served on 3rd October, 1987 and the |

remaining eight summons could not be served. However, A-1 Sri P. Siva Reddy, A-6 Md. Rafi, A-7, A-9 and A-10 attended the Court and they were bound over. The case is posted to 19th November, 1987 for the appearance of remaining three accused A-5, A-8 and A-11.

The police were pre-occupied in various law and order duties and other urgent investigations. The main handicap was that though the incident took place in the City, the accused were outsiders and natives of far off places. However summons were served on A-2 and A-1, the Minister, A-6, A-7, A-9 and A-10 attended the court on 19th October, 1987. In respect of A-2 to A-4 summons were served on different dates and they were bound over and they are appearing in the Court. A-1 the Minister, A-6, A-7, A-9 and A-10 attended the court on 19th October, 1987 and they are bound over. Summons in respect of A-5, A-8 and A-11 have yet to be served All out efforts are being made to serve the summons on them. All the allegations obout the dilemma etc. are unfounded and not true.

117. The unceremonious transfer of the then city police Commissioner Mr. R. Prabhakar Rao and Inspector Murtuza for registered the cose against the two MLAs is still fresh in the minds of the police. Subsequently the DCP of South Zone, Mr. K. S. N. Murthy. was also transferred.

Ans. :- Sarvasei R. Prabhakara Rao, I.P.S. Commissioner of Police and K. S. N. Murthy, Deputy Commissioner of Police, South Zone were transferred in the routine course on 5th March, 1986 and 5th January, 1987 respectively. It is not true to allege that they were transferred from city police, as they had taken cognizance of the above case. Sri Gulam Murtuza, was transferred to C. B., C. I. D. on the request of the special Inspector General (Crimes) vide the letter, dated 23rd April, 1986. Accordingly by orders, dated 7tn May, 1986 of the Commissioner of Police he was transferred from Chandrayanagutta Police Station to C. B., C. I. D., Hyderabad.

118. Moreover the case against the Minister and the MLA cannot be withdrawn as it is based on a private complaint and not registered suo motu by the Police. The Government has power to withdraw a case from court provided it has been filed by the police. In the present case, the complainant Mr. Ashifaq Ahmed 18, is unlikely to oblige the Government as he is stated to be a follower of Mr. Mohammed Amanulla Khan, M L A belonging to Majlis-Ittehadul Muslimeen which is inimical to the ruling party now.

Ans. :- The contents are irrelevant. The case was registered by police on the complaint of Mr. Ashifaq Ahmed and was investigated by the Police on behalf of the State and the case charged. The question of withdrawing the case by the complainant has not arisen.

119. Meanwhile the police are learnt to have deleted from the charge sheet the names of four constables of the Cuddapah District Armed Reserved Police who allegedly intimidated voters at the point of 303 rifles while accompanying the TDP legislators on their bomb throwing spree.

Ans. :- All the (11) persons who figured as accused in F. I. R. No. 17/86 of Chandrayanagutta Police Station are charge sheeted. The police constables did not figure as accused and therefore the question of deletion of their names from the charge-sheet did not arise.

120. The four constables were provided as body guards to Mr. Siva Reddy in the faction-ridden Cuddapah district. Normally an M L A is entitled to only one gunman for protection and ministers can ask for several bodyguards.

Ans. :- The scale on which the police personnel have to be provided is prescribed only in respect of each, Prisoners escort and guards of V I Ps. Regarding security for individual whose life is under threat the number of men provided depends upon the circumstances, nature and magnitude of threat.

121. Yet another concession given to the present Minister was the retention of his gun licence despite police booking him under Section 20 of the Indian Arms Act for violating the conditions of the licence. Subsequently the court ordered the return of the 8 mm telescopic rifle, the 12-bore gun and the caetridges which were seized by the police from Mr. Siva Reddy and his accomplices.

Ans. :- The seized fire arms were returned to A-1 on the orders of the Court.

122. The charge sheet filed by the police before the XII Metropolitan Magistrate against Mr. Siva Reddy and his ten accomplices runs into 120 pages. The Magistrate has committed the case to a sessions conrt under PRC. No. 10/87 since incident involving charges of attempt to murder are triable only by a Sessions Judge.

Ans. :- In fact the charge sheet runs into 3 pages only. The case in P R C 10/87 is pending in XII M. M. Court for committal, to Sessions Court and posted to 19th November, 1987 for appearance of all the accused persons.

123. The New Home Minister is involved in a caste conflict recently at Dechavaram village in Guntur District and Mr. K. Siva Prasada Rao led the said attack. The new Home Minister earlier beat and manhandled a Police Officer in Narasaraopet. From this it is clear that this is more a cabinet criminals.

Ans. :- In the incident relating to Dechavaram referred to in this paragraph (Crime No. 77/87) Dr. K. Siva Prasada Rao's name does not figure as an accused, either in the F. I. R. or in the record of investigation. With regard to manhaudling of Police Officer at Narasaraopet by Dr. K. Siva Prasada Rao, a case was registered in Crime No. 193/14 and investigated into. This case was withdrawn, in public interest on 12th November, 1984 vide C. R. M. P. No. 2023 long before he was inducted into the Cabinet.

124. Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy speaking to the press on 19th July, 1987 former Chief Minister gave the particulars of the crime numbers that are registered against Sri K. Siva Prasada Rao the present Home Minister. In 1984 itself 7 crimes were registered against him and no charge sheets were filed in two matters. Two cases were recorded. Out of this two crimes were registered under Indian Explosives Act. In another crime after the charge sheet was filed the State Government directed the concerned authorities to withdraw the case. Against Dr. K. Siva Prasada Rao and 15 others in 1984 crime No. 227 was registered and non-bailable warrant for his arrest is still pending against him as per the statement of the former Chief Minister Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy. Again in 1983 crime No. 273 was registered against him but no charge sheet was filed. Similarly crime No. 8 of 1984 and crime No. 93 of 1985 though registered against him the CBCID though investigated, after investigation filed charge sheet. Similarly in 1984 crime No. 280 and crime No. 79 of 1985 though registered against the said Dr. K. Siva Prasada Rao the State Government directed the cases be withdrawn. In 1984, C. C. No. 199 was investigated and the A. S. P. Sri R. P. Meena submitted his report but the State Government directed the case be withdrawn. This apart from the large scale rioting, looting and arson by Sri K. Siva Prasada Rao on June 26, 1987 in the village Dechavaram near Nakrekal and a case was registered against him in this raid, and arson in which 40 houses and 80 hay-stacks were destroyed, apart from causing one death.

Ans. :- With regard to the contents in this para, which is a gist of the Press statement issued by Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy the facts are that during 1984, nine (9) cases were registered in Narasaraopet Police Station against Dr. K. Siva Prasada Rao, all of which happen to be incidents connected with the Parliament elections held in December, 1984. As the complaints were the outcome of acute political faction, they were carefully investigated into. One case in Crime No. 55/84 was referred as "undetected". In three cases in Crime Nos. 271/84, 272/84 and 273/84, after investigation, the name of Dr. K. Siva Prasada Rao was deleted

from the list of accused as it was found that he was falsely implicated. In 4 cases in Crime Nos. 279/84. 280/84, 8/84 charge sheets were filed against him but were withdrawn in public interest long before he was inducted into the Cabinet. Only one case in Cr. No. 277/84 is pending trial against him.

His alleged involvement in the case of large-scale rioting and looting in Dechavaram, occurred on 26th June, 1987, is not true as his name does not figure as accused either in the FIR or in the record of investigation in Crime No. 77/87 of Nakrekal Police Station relating to this incident.

125. While Mr. P. Siva Reddi, M. L. A. from Jammalamadugu has taken the political circles including the TDP camps by surprise, after became a ruling party M. L. A., he raided a police station and forcible took away his followers from the lock-up. He is also known as "Bombula Siva Reddy" in Cuddapah district and he created a flutter in the twin cities during the elections to the Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad in February, 1986. He was detained by the Charminar police for hurling a crude bomb at polling booth in the Charmirar area and that man is now made Cabinet member.

Ans. :- The incident of raid on Jammalamadugu Police Station by Sri P. Siva Reddy on 6th March, 1983, in a bid to rescue his party men, who were in police custody, is the subject matter of Crime No. 26/83 of Jammalamadugu Police Station. Sri P. Siva Reddy was arrested on 7th March, 1983 and charged in this case but the case ended in acquittal on 26th April, 1985 long before he was inducted into the Cabinet.

His involvement in the incident of throwing of a bomb in Hyderabad city, in connection with Corporation election has already been mentioned.

126. A stinging indictment is made by the Lok Ayukta against the abuse of power against the Minister for Roads and

Buildings Mr. K. Janareddy and the Chairman of the State owned Road Transport Corporation Mr. Areti Kotaiah which was released to the press on Tuesday as reported in 28th June, 1987 in the press. The Lok Ayukta found that the Transport Minister and the R T C Chairman are guilty of abusing the authority and that the Minister and his staff were using the Corporation vehicles even in the absence of the Minister and heavy amounts were being debited against the Corporation. The Lok Ayukta sent a report to the Chief Minister for taking such action as he deems fit and proper. The Lok Ayukta held that these people are guilty of malpractice. Yet no action is taken against them.

Ans. :- The Honourable Lok Ayukta, during the course of an enquiry into Complaint No. 4897/86 had made certain observations regarding the use of motor vehicles of Andhra Pradesh State Road Transport Corporation by Ministers and Secretaries to Government incharge of Transport Department and Chairman, A. P. S. R. T. C. The Lok Ayukta, inter-alia held that besides there being no orders either from the Government or by the Board of the A. P. S. R. T. C. allotting Corporation vehicles to the persons concerned, the use of such vehicles by them was abnormal. He desired that Government, may take such further steps as they deem fit and proper in the light of the information collected by him.

After careful consideration of the report of Lok Ayukta, Government decided that it will be just and proper if the propulsion charges as prescribed by A. P. S. R. T. C. for use of its vehicles are recovered from all those persons who had used the Corporation's vehicles. Accordingly, all the persons who had used the vehicles without proper authorisation were asked to cause payment of the propulsion charges for the mileage covered by them in A. P. S. R. T. C. vehicles as per the rates of the Corporation.

The following individuals who were Ministers incharge of Transport portfolio or Prl. Secretaries / Secretaries have to pay the propulsion charges as per the decision taken by Government.

Ministers of Transport (past and present)

S / Sri 1. Chebrolu Hanumaiah, 2. A. Vengal Reddy, 3. K. Rosaiah, 4. C. Jagannadha Rao, 5. M. Baga Reddy, 6. S. Satyanarayana, 7. R. Rajagopala Reddy, 8. K. Jana Reddy, 9. D. Satyanarayana.

Transport Secretaries

S / Sri 1. B. N. Jayasimha, I. A. S. (Retd.), 2. M. Chandramouli Reddy, I. A. S. (Retd.), 3. Khalid Ansari, I.A.S 4. K. V. Natarajan, I. A. S., 5. K. S. R. Murthy, I. A. S.

Sri K. Jana Reddy, formerly Minister incharge of Transport has since paid Rs. 19,296 towards propulsion charges for the vehicles of A. P. S. R. T. C. provided to the peshi between the period April, 1985 and March, 1986. Sri Khalid Ansari, I. A. S.' formerly Secretary, Transport, Roads and Buildings has also sent a D. D. for Rs. 3,291.75 as required from him. Other officers to whom the requisition has been sent, are yet to pay the amounts demanded from them and some of them have sought clarifications in this regard. Some of the former Ministers have also sought clarifications.

Government have also issued instructions in July, that no State Public Sector Undertaking should spare any of its motor vehicles for the use of officials including Ministers, who have been provided with official car by Government. Where, however, rules permit the use of the Corporations' vehicles by a Government Official, for specified purposes, such use should not only be properly indented for but also properly exhibited in the Log book of the vehicles and such use should also be on payment of charges as prescribed by the Corporations. The above instructions have been communicated to all State Public Enterprises for strict adherence. It is, therefore, not correct to say that Government have not taken any action on the basis of the report of Honourable Lok Ayukta.

127. Several instances of printing of Sri N. T. Rama Rao's photo picture on one rupee note was brought to the notice of the parliament members in the Parliament. Mr. Banwari Lal Purohit said that this widespread phenomenon causing great hardship to the people. Mr. Purohit said forget one rupee notes worth more than Rs. 2 crores were being circulated in Andhra Pradesh. A one rupee note with N. T. Rama Rao's photo also shown in the Parliament on April 29, 1987.

Ans. :- The Chief Minister is not aware of the alleged printing of his photos on one rupee notes.

The allegations are baseless and incorrect.

128. It is significant to note that with a view to gain total monopoly and having regard to earlier professional rivalry Sri N. T. Rama Rao, the Chief Minister is taking vindictive, malafide and enemical steps of persecution and harassment of the famous telugu film artiste Sri Akkineni Nageswara Rao and Sri Krishna. He has been attacking their studios and causing enormous hardship by using his governmental power to takeover the land of Annapurna Studio belonging to Sri Akkineni Nageswara Rao and causing obstacles to Padmalaya Studios of Sri Krishna only with a view to harass them. The famous and popular actors were driven to courts to protect their own rights. The relevant particulars and the details of the said harassment are annexed.

Ans. :- In Government Memo No. 2504/Q2/75-1, Revenue, dated 2-7-1975 the Collector, Hyderabad was permitted to handover possession of the land measuring 22 acres in S. No. 403 in Banjara Hills area of Shaikpet village of Hyderabad District to Sri A. Nageswara Rao, the proprietor of Annapurna Enterprises subject to the condition that he should pay the value of the land at Rs. 5,000 per acre. The other condition was that the applicant should give written undertaking to the effect that the land should be used only for the construction or the Film Studio and other purposes connected there-with and not for any other purpose.

Though the land was made over to the allottee in the year 1975, the report was that till about May, 1985 the allottee had not utilised the land to the extent of 7 acres and 3 guntas. Therefore a show cause notice was given by the Collector, Hyderabad for cancellation of the allotment of the land and resumption to the extent of non-utilisation. On this the allottee had submitted his explanation stating that the vacant land is required for the second phase of the studio i.e for providing various facilities like a shopping complex, a temple, a police station, a post office, a court and a hospital. The allottee had explained that there is need for the construction of a saw mill for cutting timber for construction of sets in the land available Government after examining the representation of the studios have decided to resume tne land of 7 acres 3 guntas allotted to Sri Akkineni Nageswara Rao, Proprietor, Annapurna Studios, in view of (i) the policy of the Government to mop up as much land as possible for the present and future needs of the Government, (ii) on the non-utilisation of land given for too long and (iii) the attempt on the part of the party to use it for certain unauthorised activities and also keeping in view the scarcity of the Government land for public purpose needs like house sites for the poor and the Government Departmental needs. Accordingly orders were issued in G. O. Ms. No. 1116, Revenue, dated 3-10-1987 for resumption of the land when the Collector, Hyderabad proceeded for taking over of the land, the party filed a W. P. No. 12694/85 in the High Court and obtained stay orders. The matter is still pending in the Court.

In G. O. Ms. No. 1512, Revenue, dated 3rd November, 1983 Government directed that out of the total extent of Acrs. 50.00 resumed from A P S F D C Ltd. an extent of Acrs. 9.518 in S. No. 403 of Banjara Hills area, Shaikpet village, Golconda taluk, Hyderabad District be allotted to Padmalaya Studios Private Ltd., at the rate of Rs. 8,500 per acre for the purpose of studio complex. In the said order the above extent was also exempted under Section 20(1) of the Urban Land (C & R) Act, 1976. In Government Memo No. 1430/Q1/85-5, Revenue, dated 21st June

1985 Government authorised the Collector, Hyderabad to issue a detailed show cause notice to M/s Padmalaya Studios against the cnancellation of the allotment of land made in G.O. Ms. No. 1512 dated 3rd November 1983 and resumption to Government as the land is required for public purpose. The Collector, Hyderabad accordiagly issued a detailed show cause notice in his ref. C3/2825/80 dated 22nd June, 1985. The Chief Executive of the Studios had given his representation dated 2nd July, 1985 to the Collector, Hyderabad. The matter is still under consideration of the Government and so far not issued any resumption orders.

129. It is Congress (I) culture to resort to any method to retain its power. It is a wonder that the Telugu Desam Party also is going the same way. To make the Telugu Desam Party Chairman of Cuddapah Zilla Parishad remain in power 9 M. L. Cs. of different districts had been nominated to Cuddapah Zilla Parishad at the eleventh hour. By the sheer misuse of power and with a penstroke from Hyderabad the Chairman of an elective post was, thus made to stay in power. Similarly the Krishna Zilla Parishat Chairman was prevented from being voted out by nominating 5 M. Ps. and 4 M. L. Cs. to the Krishna Zilla Parishat from other districts. This corrupt practice has been inherited by the Telugu Desam Party from the Congress (I).

Ans. :- The allegation is that the Telugu Desam Party Chairman of Zilla Parishad, Cuddapah was allowed to remain inpower by nominating 9 M. L. Cs. of different districts at the eleventh hour. The factual position in this regard is that according to Section 4 (1) of the repealed Andhra Pradesh Panchayat Samithis & Zilla Parishads Act, every Panchayat Samithi shall consist among others the Sarpanch of every Gram Panchayat, the members of the Legislative Assembly of the State representing the constituency which comprises the Block, the members of the Legislative Council and members of the Rajya Sabha and the Lok Sabha who have been specified by the Government, having regard to their choice expressed in the prescribed manner. In pursuance of this provision and the Rules framed there under 10 M. L. Cs.

and one Rajya Sabha member have expressed their choice to be the member of the different Panchayat Samithis in Cuddapah district. In accordance with their choice the Government issued orders specifying them as members of various Panchayat Samithis in Cuddapah district as detailed below :—

| Name of the member specified. | Name of the Panchayat Samithi to which he is specified. | Reference of the G. O. |
|---|---|---|
| Sarvasri : | | |
| 1. Dr. T. Ratnakar, MLC. | Jammalamadugu. | G. O. Rt. No. 1146, PR. (Elec.) Dept., dated 22-10-1984. |
| 2. Rao Gopala Rao, MLC. | Proddatur. | Do. |
| 3. A. Uma Shanker Reddy, MLC. | Porumamilla. | Do. |
| 4. L. Narsing Naik, MLC. | Kodur. | Do. |
| 5. Dr. K. Srinivas, MLC. | Muddanur. | Do. |
| 6. T. Lakshma Reddy, MLC. | Rayachoti. | Do. |
| 7. M. Gangadharam, MLC. | Lakkireddipalli | Do. |
| 8. T. N. Sadalaxmi, MLC. | Rajampet. | Do. |

| Name of the member specified. | Name of the Panchayat Samithi to which he is specified. | Reference of the G. O. |
|---|---|---|
| 9. K. Veera Raghava Rao, MLC. | Sidhout. | G. O. Rt. No. 1147, PR. (Elec.) Dept., dt. 23-10-1984. |
| 10. K. Vital Rao, MLC. | Kamalapuram. | Do. |
| 11. T. Cnandra-sekhar Reddy, MP. Rajya Sabha | Cuddapah. | Do. |

Consequently they have become the members of the Zilla Parishad, Cuddapah under section 36 (3) of the Act. The Government cannot withhold the specification of the members of the M. L. Cs. and M. Ps. who have expressed their choice in the prescribed manner and having found that the choice is also in order. The specifications of the 10 M L Cs. and one member of Rajya Sabha was done in October, 1984 and these members also include Dr. T. Ratnakar, M.L.C. and Sri T. Chandrasekhar Reddy, Member of Rajya Sabha who belong to Congress (I) Party. Thus it can be seen that the Government have specified all the M. L. Cs. and M. Ps. who expressed their choice in the prescribed manner irrespective of party affiliations. Under this Act, the Government have no power to withhold the orders of specifications once the choice is expressed in the manner prescribed and the same is found in order. Therefore, the orders of specifications were issued by the Government as soon as the Members of the Legislative Council and the Members of the Rajya Sabha have expressed their choice irrespective of party affiliation. The specifications

under reference were also challenged in the W. P. No. 14479/84 on the grounds of political motivations. The High Court after considering the various issues challenged in the W. P. have dismissed the same with costs in their judgment dated 6-3-1985. In view of the fact that the allegations of similar nature were considered earlier by this High Court in W. P. No. 14479/84 and that they were not upheld, the allegations made in this writ petition cannot be sustained.

The second allegation is that the Chairman of Zilla Parishad Krishna was prevented by nominating 5 M. Ps. and 4 MLCs. to Zilla Parishad Krishna from other districts and that this corrupt practice is inherited from the Congress (I).

In this regard it is stated that Sri S. Satyanarayana, M L C was elected as Chairman, Zilla Parishad Krishna on 4-4-1983 in the casual vacancy caused by the resignation of Sri P. Koteswara Rao, Sri P. Koteswara Rao resigned to the office of the Chairman, Zilla Parishad Krishna with effect from 16-2-1983 on his election as MLA. Sri S. Satyanarayana, M. L. C. on his election as Chairman, Zilla Parishad Krishna has resigned as M. L. C. has continued as Chairman, Zilla Parishad Krishna. After his election a motion of No Confidence was moved against him in accordance with the provisions under section 33 read with section 57 of the Act. The General Body meeting of the Zilla Parishad Krishna was convened on 4-5-1984 to consider the motion of no confidence against Sri S. Satyanarayana, Chairman, Zilla Parishad Krishna. The motion of no confidence against the Chairman has failed as the meeting was not held for want of quorum as required under Sub Section (4) of section 33 read with section 57 of the Act. The strength of Zilla Parishad on the day of meeting was 56 including 5 Rajya Sabha Members and 4 M. L. Cs., who were specified as Members of different Panchayat Samithis in the district as per the orders issued in G. O. Rt. No. 559, P. R. (Elections) Department, dated 2-5-1984. On specifications as members of Panchayat Samithis the M. L. Cs. and the M. Ps. will automatically become the members of the Zilla Parishad concerned as per

the provisions under sub-section (3) of section 36 of the Act. The orders of specifications were issued by the Government in exercise of the powers conferred under sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Act. They are legally valid.

130. Charge Sheet No. 36 of the B. J. P. shows the atrocities of police on women.

"The T. D. P. Leaders boastingly declared that it was the aim of their party to enable the women to move freely without fear even during dead of nights. But what is the present situation The women could not dare to move alone on the roads in the day light, let alone their moving in the dead of nights. Despite of T. D. P's. guarantees for the safety and welfare of the women folk, assaults on women are on the increase during T. D. P. rule as indicated by the following figures :

Cases reported :

| in 1982 | 1983-84 | 1984-85 | 1985-86 | upto June |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Rapes | | | | |
| 229 | 320 | 372 | 470 | exceeded |

Ans. :- This is a general allegation about increasing attrocities on women during the T. D. P. rule. It is based merely on statistics but the truth lies in the fact that on account of Government's strict directives in this regard, cases of attrocities against women, even of a simple nature, are being freely registered to book the offenders in order to curb this evil. It is relevant to mention that rapes are on the decline with only 254 cases reported up to August, 1987 as against 396 reported during 1986 and 368 cases reported during 1985.

A Women's Protection Cell was constituted in the Crime Branch, C. I. D. and a senior women I. P. S. Officer of the rank of Superintendent of Police is kept incharge of this cell to monitor investigation of such cases against women all over the State. In

all cases of rape, dowry deaths, and other grave offences against women an Officer not below the rank of a Sub-Divisional Police Officer is made responsible to investigate all such cases. Inquests are invariably held in all suspicious dowry death cases by Executive Magistrates. Post-mortem in all such cases is being conducted by two Medical Officers instead of one. All cases of physical or mental harassment caused by the husband or in-laws are being taken cognizance of under section 498-A I. P. C. even on complaints lodged by the kith and kin of the victims. In cases of suicide by women, on account of mental harassment or dowry demands, the husband or in-laws are being charged for abetment of suicide, under section 304-B and 306 I. P. C. also, besides the more stringent provisions under section 498-A I. P. C. Therefore it can not be said that the State is indifferent to attrocities on women.

131. In T. D. P. rule even police are indulging in rape cases with impunity. The police of Achampet Police Station assaulted the modesty of Smt. Santamma who went there for seeking their protection. Mr. Veeraiah, S. I. of Atmakur Police Station in a intoxicated state raped Smt. Laxmi.

Ans. :- The incident relating to rape of Lakshmi by Sri Veeraiah, S. I. of Atmakur P. S. was not reported to Police at any time.

132. On 18 August 84 the wife of a Journalist was raped near Cherla, Khammam District by the police.

Ans. :- In the case of rape of K. Laxmi Devi wife of Journalist by name Ramesh, on 14 June, 1984 at Cherla Police Station of Khammam district, P. C. 1390, S. K. Kareem of Cherla Police Station, who was complained against was charged in Cr. No. 54/84 under section 376 I. P. C. of Cherla Police Station but the case is ended in acquittal by Assistant Sessions Judge, Khammam on 17 March, 1986.

133. On 27th October, 1984 it was alleged that S. I . and constables had raped Smt. R. Anjamma.

Ans. :- With regard to this para, it is true that Smt. Ragavarapu Anjamma, was detained in Narasaraopet Police Station and interrogated in Cr. No. 202/84 under section 302 I. P. C. wherein she along with her 3 paramours, had killed her husband Sri Bhadra Kali Das. She was ckarged in this case. In connection with her interrogation in the Police Station she preferred a complaint of rape, torture and theft by Narasaraopet Police. A case was registered on her complaint, in Cr. No. 257/84 under Section 376, 348, 324, 323 and 379 I. P. C. against the Police. The investigation of this case was transferred to C. B., C. I. D. Investigation disclosed that the allegations of rape, torture and theft were false. As a case of illegal detention was made out, departmental action was initiated against the concerned Assistant Superintendent of Police, S. I. and other Police men. During the departmental enquiry, the Inquiring Authority has exonerated the Assistant Superintendent of Police and Government have accepted his report.

134. On 26th October, 1984 in Yellandu Police Station police had unclothed Smt. Karla openly and assaulted her.

Ans. :- The incident of disrobing Smt. Kamala and assaulting her in Yellandu Police Station on 26th October, 1984, is not correct as no such incident was reported either in Yellandu Police Station or anywhere else either on 26th October, 1984 or even later.

135. On 31st August, 1985 a constable of Shamsheergunj Police Station in Hyderaban raped a 18 years old girl who was returning home from cinema theatre perhaps having faith in 'Annas' promise of safety for women in the mid night.

Ans. :- P. C. 6359 Nagender who was responsible for the rape of Safia Banu on 31st August, 1985 night in Chatrinaka

Police Station limits of Hyderabad city, was arrested on 1st September, 1985 and sent for remand. He was placed under suspension and the case was charged against him on 6th December 1985 and it is pending trial. This was stray incident of misdemeanour for which the P. C. was adequately dealt with.

136. On 21st April, 1984 one women by name Sambavi Ramana was the victim of police out-rage.

Ans. :- The contents of para 48 relate to the death of Sambavi Ramana, daughter of Ramulamma, resident of Vijayawada, which occurred on 20th April, 1984. Investigation revealed that in a private quarrel, P. C. 801, D. Jayachandra had assaulted her with a strick. She died 4 days after the assault. A case in Cr. No. 61/84, under section 302, 354 and 326 I. P. C. was registered against the P. C. and it was transferred to C. B., C. I. D. for further investigation.

137. On 2nd February, 1984 a police constable named Prabhakar Rao raped a maid servant.

Ans. :- The incident referred to in this para pertains to Cr. No 10/84 under Section 376 I. P. C. of Nandigama Police Station P. C. 1823 K. V. Prabhakara Rao of Nandigama Police Station was prosecuted in this case but it ended in acquittal on 23rd February, 1985 vide S. C. 75/84 on the file of Additional Assistant Sessions Judge, Krishna District.

138. This is an endless catalogue of tragic events making the plight of women in the State more and more miserable. In Annas rule rapes and tonsures of women have become common events.

Ans. :- With regard to the contents of this para the facts are that on 3rd February, 1986 Smt. Padma, B. Vijaya, G. Lakshmi and R. Lakshmi all prostitutes of Vijayawada were booked u/s 294 I. P. C., in petty case Nos. 74 to 77/86 and they were fined Rs. 30/- each by the II Class Magistrate, Vijayawada.

in STC No. 177/86, dated 3rd February 1986. Their complaint, that they were tortured by the Police after their release from the Court was enquired into and the Additional Superintendent of Police, Vijayawada Urban and the S. I. were placed under suspension. Departmental proceedings were also initiated against them and the enquiry is in progress. A private complaint made by the women is also pending in the Court.

139. In Cuddapah district a woman complained to the Ministers while they were attending the District Planning Board meetings that her modest was outraged by a Revenue Officer of the district. In a Revenue Mandal Office in Mahaboobnagar district one woman complained that she was criminally assaulted. It is a recent episode that a woman who went to work in an office at Hyderabad during the N. G. O'. strike period was assaulted by the officers on duty.

Ans. :- The allegation that Sri Venkata Subbaiah Mandal Revenue Officer of Rayachoti of Cuddapah district outraged the modesty of a woman on 6th March, 1987 was enquired into by the Revenue Divisional Officer, Cuddapah and he gave a finding that the allegation was false and motivated.

The case of criminal assault, committed in Mandal Revenue Officer's Office in Mahaboobnagar, referred to in this para, relates to Cr. No. 133/86 under section 376 I P C of Mahaboobnagar Rural Police Station. The accused in this case are the Mandal Revenue Officer and Mandal Revenue Inspector. They were arrested on 24-9-86 and remanded to Judicial custody. The case was charged on 20th July, 1987 and it is pending trial.

It is a fact that Mr. Younus Ali and Mr. M. Tulasidass, Assistant Pay and Accounts Officers of P. A. O. Office, Hyderabad solicited the company of Smt. B. Nagaratnam, Asst. Accounts Auditor of the same office, for immoral purpose, when she attended the office on 18th December, 1986, during the N.G.O's. strike in order to permit her to register her attendance from 5th Decem-

ber, 1986. On her complaint a case was registered in Begum Bazar Police Station in Cr. No. 221/86 and the accused Officers were placed under suspension, and sent for remand. The case was charge sheeted on 28th February, 1987 and it is pending trial.

140. While lock-up deaths are mentioned in charge No. 37A.

## LOCK-UP DEATHS

It is a sad reflection on T. D. P. rule that there are cold blooded murders in police stations which have become torture chambers from 1983 to 1985 there are more than 50 lock-up deaths. Even the courts and Lok Ayukta were critical about the Government attitude in preventing deaths in police lock-ups. B. J. P. and other political parties in the State have lead agitations protesting against the Government's failure in this regard. Between August and September, 1986 there were six lock-up deaths.

Ans. :- Comprehensive instructions were repeatedly issued to Police Officers not to unauthorisedly bring suspects to Police Stations and to strictly observe the provisions of 160 Cr. P. C. They were time and again instructed not to use third degree methods while interrogating suspects or accused. All precautions are being taken not to give scope to the suspects to harm themselves while in police lock-ups by taking care to thoroughly search the prisoners before they are put in the Police lock-ups and not allowing unauthorised persons te pass on food or other articles to prisoners in Police lock-ups. Police Officers of all supervisory ranks have been instructed to surprise lock-ups to preclude unauthorised detentions of suspects in Police Stations.

In this para it is averred that 50 lock-up deaths had occurred during the period from 1983-85. In Annexure-I to the affidavit 25 cases of lock-up deaths are listed out as having occu-

rred from January, 1985 to September, 1986. It is true that in 1984 seven deaths occurred in Police custody. Similarly 11 cases occurred in 1985, and 12 cases in 1986. During 1987 up to 15-7-87 11 cases of lock-up deaths have occurred. Although all the cases are technically termed to have occurred in poliee custody actually only in 4 cases persons died in the Police Station premises. Even in those 4 cases no torture was inflicted by police For example in Nalgonda district the deceased Padmanabham and an other persons who clashed with each other near their residenee came to the Police Station on their own accord and while they were sitting in the Police Station one of them collapsed and later died in the Hospital. In a case of East Godavari district the deceased Mangatayaramma who was in Police custody. as per the Orders of Court, jumped into a well in the Police Station premises while washing her face and committed suicide. In yet another incident which occurred in the limits of Kachiguda Railway Police Station, the deceased who was being escorted by a H. C. and 4 P. Cs. jumped out of the running train when he was allowed to go to toilet. In a case of death of Sri M. Sekhar Reddy in the lock-up of Nagar Kurnool Police Station, the Commission of Enquiry gave a clear finding that the deceased who was alcoholic had died due to excessive drinking. In yet another case of death of Yerra Sailu in Police custody on 9/10-9-86 the Commission of Enquiry held that the death did not take place while in Police custody and that the deceased jumped into a well and committed suicide In many cases the circumstances which tead to the death of persons were beyond the control of Police. In as many as 11 cases Government have ordered judicial enquiries and in 8 more cases Retired High Court Judges were appointed as Commissions of Inquiry. In all cases of death in Police custody magesterial enquiry was conducted. The erring Police Officers, in all such cases, were placed under suspension. In quite a few cases on the findings of the Commission of Enquiry or Judicial Inquiry criminal cases were registered against the erring Police Officers and investigated into by tne C. B. C. I. D. to bring

them to book. In fact, in the case in which Dr. Gupta of Vizianagaram died in Police custody, a case was registered against 10 Police men and investigation was taken up by the C. B. C. I. D. In this case an Inspector of Police and 1 H. C. and 7 P. Cs. were sentenced to undergo life-imprisonment. This case bears eloquent testimony to the impartiality with which police are pursuing cases against erring police men.

141. How elections are being postponed is clear from charge No. 44 of B. J. P.

T. D. P. election Manifesto (Page 34) says that it is Coneress (I) culture to make tall promises, misuse Government machinery and arrange ministerial tours before elections for electoral gains. But the T. D. P. after assuming power is treading the same path of tne Congress (I). In all the elections the T.D.P. out matched the Congress (I) in the misuse of power. Several foundation stones were laid just before elections, tall promises were made, Government vehicles used for election propaganda, Rs. 2 kilo rice was promised to all. During election campaign for Hyderabad Corporation Elections, Chief Minister promised several crores of rupees for the development of Hyderabad city. Keeping the coming Mandal elections in view and the Chief Minister recently declared some concessions to the farmers. Chief Minister recently started election propaganda in his official tour in Krishna district before the Government officials. It is the policy of the T. D. P. Government to large advertisements in the press just before elections.

Ans. :- The allegations are vague, baseless and incorrect. The allegations are also general in nature. In so far as the promise alleged to have been made by the Chief Minister during the election campaign for the Hyderabad Municipal Corporation Elections for the development of Hyderabad City, it is submitted that the Government have taken interest in the development of the city and allocating the funds for taking up specific developmental programmes. Increased assistance is being continued year after

yer and it is not confined only to a particular year in which elections to the M. C. H. were held. The amounts allotted by Government for development of Hyderabad City every year during the past 3 years as indicated below will speak the facts :-

| | Year | Amount allotted (Rs. in lakhs) |
|---|---|---|
| Municipal Corporation of | 1983-84 | 187.70 |
| Hyderabad | 1984-85 | 293.30 |
| | 1985-86 | 1,515.00 |
| | 1986-87 | 1,515.00 |
| Quli Qutub Shah Urban | 1983-84 | 175.00 |
| Development Authority | 1984-85 | 350.00 |
| | 1985-86 | 400.00 |
| | 1986-87 | 600.00 |

Thus there is no truth in the allegation that the Chief Minister, made promises of allocating crores of rupees on the eve of elections to the Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad.

142. While the deaths due to starvation are mentioned in charge No. 87.

List of persons reported to have died on account of starvation :

25-10-1986 Sri Nambi Krishnamurthy of Jammichedu village situated at 3 Kms. from Gadwal, Age : 45 years.

Harijan Shantamma (25 years) - Mummadam village of Pebberu Mandalam. Died of starvation and as she could not breastfeed her child. The male child also died 12 days after that.

Gummadam Dasthagiramma (7 years) old age and starved.

Harizana Masamma (25 years) of Pannagallu village Died of starvation and ill-health.

Another harijan Masana (62 years) of Raja Nagaram village in Anaparthy Mandal died.

Harijana Bollamma (60 years) of Bollaram village in Panagallu Mandal.

Surigiri Krishnamma (40 years) of Thummakunta village near Bollaram.

Harijan Vallamma (50 years) of Malkapur village, Nagarkurnool Mandal.

Harijan Papamma (50 years) of Malkapur village.

Harijan Chandraiah died of hunger and ill-health in Viyyalavari village of Nagarkurnool Mandal.

Yellamma (80 years) of Patel Road in Shadnagar town.

Borepalli Butchaiah (25 years) when he was in helpless condition and suffering from ill-health and hunger Minister in charge of the district Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy along with 3 M. Ps. visited him and had issued instructions to the Mandal Officer in the presence of press people to move him immediately to Hyderabad for treatment. But as the Government acted carelessly, the individual died.

Ans. :- There is no basis for the charge of starvation deaths. Government has been taking all the necessary care and relief measures wherever necessary.

143. Again in the handloom society after Rs 4 crores were mis-appropriated as reported in Eenadu first page on 21—6—1987.

The bogus members and paper socities have mis-appropriated Rs. 4 crores of governmental funds. After crores of rupees were mis-appropriated the societies were being liquidated. The District Collector of Warangal was ordered an investigation after admitting that as per the available information a prima facie enquiry and investigation should be handedover to the CBCID.

Ans. :- A news item was published in Eenadu daily news paper on 21-6-1987, alleging that certain middlemen of Warangal District have misappropriated an amount of about Rs. 4.00 crores in the last few years. It was also mentioned in the report that out of the sum of Rs. 4 crores, Rs. 3.00 crores relate to the loans obtained from District Cooperative Central Bank, Warangal and a sum of Rs. 1.00 crore relates to the subsidies given by the Government. In the affidavit it is mentioned that a sum of Rs. 4.00 crores of Government funds was misappropriated. This is not correct.

With reference to the news item published in the Eenadu, the Commissioner for Handlooms and Director of Handlooms & Textiles had suggested to Government for a comprehensive enquiry into the affairs of the Handloom Weavers' Coop. Societies in Warangal District by the C. B. C. I. D. Government have accepted this suggestion and referred the matter to C. B. C. I. D. for their investigation.

State Government in G. O. Rt. No. 1165, Industries and Commerce Department, dated 26-8-1981 have constituted an Advisory Committee for Handloom Industry to go into the problems confronting the industry and advise the Government, on the measures to be taken to ameliorate the conditions of Weavers under the Chairmanship of Sri G. Nageswara Rao, the then Minister for Textiles.

The Committee discussed the subject on the re-organisation of societies into viable units and have given their suggestions and recommendations. The State Government keeping in view these suggestions and recommendations, have approved the guidelines

for reorganisation in G. O. Ms. No. 233, Industries and Commerce (HL) Department' dated 13-5-1983.

With reference to the averment about the liquidation of certain socities after misappropriation of funds, it may be stated that in pursuance of policy decision taken by Government as stated above, in consultation with NABARD, orders were issued for restructuring the Primary Weavers' Cooperative Societies in the State with a view to enable them to become self-sufficient and economically viable units by merging the non-viable societies with the nucleus societies. It was also proposed to liquidate such of the societies which were in dormancy continuously for a period of three years and more. Certain guidelines were issued by Government in 1983 as mentioned above for the re-organisation of the socities. The programme was taken up for implementation during the years 1983 and 1984 based on the financial particulars of the societies relating to year 1981-82 and as per the guidelines given by Government.

Prior to reorganisation of weavers' cooperative societies, there were 199 weavers' cooperative societies in Warangal District as per the norms laid down by the Government for implementation of viability programme, 72 societies were retained as nucleus societies and 80 non-viable societies were merged with nucleus societies, 47 societies were ordered for liquidation in the year 1983 and liquidators were also appointed as per rules. The process of re-organisation of Primary Weavers' Cooperative Societies was taken up for implementation in all districts in Andhra Pradesh and not in Warangal district alone. Thus liquidation and merger of the societies was undertaken in implementing the viability programme. The contention in the affidavit that after crores of rupees were mis-appropriated the societies were liquidated is not correct.

144. The mischief and mis-appropriations relating to Plam Oil is too well known. About 500 tankers relating to plam oil that were allotted to Kareemnagar district were diverted and sold

out in different places at heavy premium. The allegations being that crores of rupees are being mis-appropriated with the help of the ruling party men. The modus operandi is reported in all newspapers on July 1st and 2nd 1987. The irregularities and diversion of these essential food products is very grave and requires no comment.

Ans.:- In Karimnagar District, there are, in all 15 Stockists points for Palmolein Oil. Of these, 6 were run by private persons while 9 were handled by the Cooperatives. When it was brought to the notice of the Government in May, 1987, through a news-item on 15-5-1987 in 'Udayam' daily news-paper that Palmolein Oil stocks were not reaching Karimnagar district due to diversion and black-marketing, Government ordered on 15-5 1987 immediate verification of accounts and stocks in all these stockist points in Karimnagar, district. Accordingly the Managing Directors, Andhra Pradesh State Essential Commodities Corporation Limited with staff audited the accounts of Karimnagar district. During this verification, a shortage of 258.60 M. Ts. of edible oils (246.40 M. Ts. of P. Oil plus 12.2 M. Ts. of Soyabean Oil) as shown below was detected at 9 stockist points.

| Sl. No. | Name of the Stockist Point | Qty. in M. Ts. | Variety | Status. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | Karimnagar | 122.16 | P. Oil | Private |
| 2. | Gangadhara | 89.60 | P. Oil | Private |
| | | 12.20 | Soyabeam Oil | |
| 3. | Huzurabad | 0.15 | P. Oil | D. C. M. S. |
| 4. | Sultanabad | 3.27 | P. Oil | Private |
| 5. | Mahadevpur | 2.60 | P. Oil | D. C. M. S. |
| 6. | Manthani | 27.09 | P. Oil | D. C. M. S. |

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 7. | Mulkanur | 0.79 | P. Oil | Mulkanur Coop. Rural Bank. |
| 8. | Pedapalli | 0.30 | P. Oil | Private |
| 9. | Ramagundam | 0.44 | P. Oil | D. C. M. S. |
| | Total : | 258.60 | | |

These shortages were computed on a stock verification ordered from June, 1982 to May, 1987 during which a total quantity of 13,800 M. Ts. of P. Oil was despatched to Karimnagar district. The shortages were found at 9 out of the 15 stockist points. Of these 9 stockist points, 4 were private and 5 were cooperatives. Maximum shortages were, however, noticed with the stockists of Karimnagar and Gangadhara.

Sri K. Amarnath was the stockist for P. Oil in Karimnagar and Smt. Radhamani, was the stockist for Gangadhara. However, Sri V. Vidyasagar Rao, who was holding the power of Attorney, was acting on behalf of Smt. K. Radhamani.

Immediately after coming to know about the shortages the Government took prompt and effecttve action against all the concerned. All the 9 stockist-ships were eancelled and the stockist points are now being run departmentally by the A. P. State Essential Commodities Corporation Limited (ESCOM). In respect of Karimnagar aud Gangadhara Stockists, the security deposit of Rs. 1,01,000/- and Rs. 35,000/- respectively war forfeited. Further, the Collector issued orders of detention of M/s. K. Amarnath and V. Vidyasagar Rao under the provisions of the Prevention of Black Marketing and Maintenance of Supplies of Essential Commodities Act, 1980. Since they were absconding and evading arrests, action was initiated to attach their immovable and movable properties under the provisions of the Revenue

Recovery Act. Both these persons were, however, later arrested and detained. But Sri K. Amarnath has been released by the Advisory Board on 28-8-1987 and Sri V. Vidyasagar Rao has been released by the High Court on 17-9-1987. The matter relating to taking further action against both these individuals is being examined in consultation with the Special Inspector Genaral of Police, Vigilance Cell (CS) Department.

Since the private stockist at Karimnagar was also the Transport Contractor in whole or in a partnership with the others in Warangal district, the following amounts have been forfeited / ordered to be encashed and all the pending bills were with-held.

| Sl. No. | District | Name of the Transport Contractors. | Security deposit. | Bank guarantee. | Pending bills. | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | Karimnagar. | M/s. Vijaya Vijeta Transport Co. | 50,000 | 1,50,000 | 1,00,000 | 3,00,000 |
| 2. | Warangal | L. Mukund Das (Sri K. Amaraiah P. Oil Stockist is partner of Mukund Das) | 50,000 | 1,50,000 | .... | 2,00,000 |
| | | | 1,00,000 | 3,00,000 | 1,00,000 | 5,00,000 |

In addition the, Commission payable to the stockists to an extent of Rs. 69,051/- for Karimnagar and Rs. 60,440/- for Gangadhara has also been ordered to be with-held.

For the remaining 7 stockists-points all the shortages noticed were fully recovered.

The Collector, Karimnagar had submitted his report through his letter dated 29-5-1987. It was received on 30-5-1987. The Government after considering the report of the Collector, immediately instructed the Collector, Karimnagar on 1-6-1987 to take action against the officials held responsible for the irregularities. Accordingly the following Mandal Revenue Officers were suspended on 2-6-1987.

1. Sri B. Anjaiah
2. Sri D. Mallaiah
3. Sri J. Narsingam
4. Sri B. Parashuram Gowd
5. Sri A. Nandaiah
6. Sri A. Komaraiah and
7. Sri S. Sudhakar Rao.

The following District Supply Officers were placed under suspension on 27-6-1987.

1. Sri A. Pattabhirama Rao
2. Sri P. Waman Rao and
3. Sri Mohd. Mahmood Shareef.

The facilitate proper enquiry, the Joint Collector was transferred.

The Government entrusted the entire matter to the Anti-Corruption Bureau for detailed enquiry on 1-6-1987 itself and it is now being investigated by the Anti-Corruption Bureau.

Very elaborate procedures and detailed checks have now been prescribed to monitor the movement of P. Oil tankers. An advance telegraphic intimation is given by the District Manager, Civil Supplies Corporation, Visakhapatnam to the District Supply Officer, District Manager, Civil Supplies Corporation and the Mandal Revenue Officer concerned and on arrival of tanker at the stockist point, its receipt is acknowledged by the stockist and this is countersigned by the Deputy Tahsildar concerned. Unless this acknowledgement is filed in the District Manager's Office, Visakhapatnam next load will not be given for that tanker. Now the Police wireless is also being utilised for the monitoring of movement of P. Oil tankers. In view of this, the possibility for diversion of P. Oil tankers as alleged in the news-paper reports is highly improbable. If there is any attempt, the Vigilence Cell (CS) catches them redhanded. So far, the Vigilence Cell (CS) caught 3 cases of P. Oil tankers meant for Public Distribution system. The details of these cases are as follows :

1) Tanker meant for Ranga Reddy Dist. caught in East Godavari district on 10-10-1986 at Mandapeta.

2) Tanker meant for Karimnagar district caught in West Godavari distric on 16-4 1987 at Davarapalli.

3) Tanker meant for Medak district caught in East Godavari district on 17-5-1987 at Prathipadu.

This shows that the attempted diversions were the handwork of the drivers with or without the connivance of the transport contractors. These are Isolated cases which cannot be connected to either Telugu Desam Party or much less to the Chief Minister.

The total shortage of P. Oil found in all stockist points of Karimnagar district, was 258.6 M. Ts. or about 26 tankers. The allegation made in the news item dated 1st July, 1987 that 500 tankers were diverted is, therefore, baseless. The total value of

the edible oil stocks involved in Karimnagar district at purchase price was only Rs. 26.82 lakhs. Hence, the allegations that crores of rupees were being mis-appropriated with the help of Ruling Party is totally baseless, as the shortages were found with different stockist points which included Co-operative institutions also. The fact that the stockist points were run by different private individuals and Co-operative institutions itself demolishes the contention that there was any NEXUS between Ruling Party and Stockists. The allegation that the department had not taken any action is absolutely baseless. In fact, very prompt and effective action was taken by Government not only against the officials involved but also against the stockists, which included the detentions of two stockists under the provisions of Prevention of Blackmarketing and Maintenance of Supplies of Essential Commodities Act, 1980 as already stated. The allegations made in the new-items, dated 1st July, 1987 and 2nd July, 1987 are general in nature and vague, woven around cases booked by the Vigilance Cell, Civil Supplies Department and on the result of Audit conducted in Karimnagar, by the Andhra Pradesh State Essential Commodities Corporation Limited. The news item carried imaginary deductions in respect of other districts. No diversions of Public Distribution System P. Oil have come to the notice of the Government other than the cases booked by the Vigilance Cell of the Civil Supplies Department. The Collector Karimnagar reported that the allegation of adulteration of P. Oil was not correct. Enquiries revealed that non-recording of the entries in the Checkposts of P. Oil tankers can not be taken as a conclusive proof of diversion, as the checkpost staff do not make entries of vehicles carrying non-taxable commodities. Only one case of P. Oil diversion connecting Mandapeta was detected by the Vigilance Cell of the Civil Supplies Department. In that case also effective action was taken. The merchant who purchased the oil, the driver and the transport were detained under Prevention of Blackmarketing and Maintenance of Supplies of Essentional Commodities Act, 1980. The contention that there was large scale diversion of P. Oil in all the districts is also not true. The

allegations regarding irregularities in giving transport contracts is baseless. The procedure followed in finalising tenders is as per the guidelines formulated by the Andhra Pradesh Essential Commodities Corporation Limited. The interests of the Corporation are paramount while finalising the tender rates. The negotiations are ordered only to reduce tender rates quoted, if they were un-economical. No malafides can be attributed to these actions as these negotations are done by the joint Collectors. Such allegations are obviously motivateb to bring disrepure to the Government. However, the entire matter has been referred to the Vigilance Cell of the Civil Supplies Department for detailed and thorough enquiry in all the districts. In any case, any shortages here and there,cannot be attributed or conneted either to Telugu Desam Party or the Chief Minister.

145 Today the nepotism and favourism of Sri N.T.Rama Rao is obvious from the fact that on 12th July, 1987 he appointed his own another son-in-law Sri D. Venkateswara Rao as Minister for Health with cabinet rank. This was done with a view to protect that family of his son-in-law from Criminal collusion in Karamchedu Harijans attrocities.

Ans:-There is no basis for the allegation that with a view to protect the family of his son-in-law from criminal collusion in Karamchedu case that Sri D. Venkateswara Rao was made a Minister on 12th July, 1987.

146 As is reported in 22nd June, 1987 Andhra Prabha in Warangal District 48 handloom societies have mis-appropriated the cash credit granted by District Co-operative Central Bank to the tune of Rs. 79,86,000/- and the District Co-operative Central Bank, Warangal direected the Deputy Registrar, Co-operative Societies to take action. But nothing is done till now. Out of this 48 co-operative societies Hasanparthi Mahila Navar Co-operative society has taken Rs.4,73,000 Kasturiba Terricotton Karimabad Ursu Rs. 3,08,000/- Padmasri Handloom Co-operative Society, Yellamma Bazar Warangal Rs.3,82,000/ -Powerloom Handloom Co operative Society,

Penchikalpet Rs. 3,51,000/- Navar Weaver Co-operative Society, Cherlapalli Rs. 2,96,000/- Shathranj Weaver Co-operative, Bandhalakunta, Warangal, Rs. 2,89,000/- Venkateswara Terricotton Peddapendyala Rs. 2,79,000/-. In this manner several societies the particulars of which are furnished herewith have mis-appropriated several lakhs of rupees. For example: Bhumatha Terricotton Society, Rangasaipet, Managing Committee is said to have mis-used Rs. 5,16,000/-. The President of the said society is the leader of the Telugu Desam Party. But no action is taken to realise these sums.

Ans :- In the affidavit it has been mentioned that in Andhra Pradesh daily news paper, dated 22nd June, 1987, it was reported that 48 Weavers' Co-operative Societes in Warangal district were alleged to have misapproated the cash credit sanctioned by the District Co-operative Central Bank, Warangal to the tune of Rs. 79,86,000/- and the Co-operative Central Bank, Warangal directed the Deputy Registrar of Co-operative Socities to take action. It is mentioned in the affidavit as examples, names of 8 soceities and the amount alleged to have been misappropriated. It was also indicated that the President of Bhumatha Terricotton Society, Rangasaipet is the leader of Telugu Desam Party.

It may be stated that what is contended in the affidavit is not correct. The District Co-operative Central Bank, Warangal has shown in its report as on 31st March, 1987 that several Handloom Weavers' Co-operative Societies have over due amounts payable to the Central Bank. As a result, the District Co-operative Central Bank has not renewed the credit limits and shown the entire amounts as over dues.

It is mentioned in the affidavit that the District Co-operative Central Bank has instructed the Deputy Registrar of Co-operative Societies to take action for recovery of the overdues. So the contention made in the affidavit that no action is taken for the recovery of its dues by the District Co-operative Central Bank, is not correct.

As mentioned against Para-55, Government have entrusted the investigation into the working of the Weavers' Co-operative Societies in Warangal District to the C.B.,C.I.D., and their report is awaited.

147. Another person Mr. J. R. Pushparaj, an MLA from Tadikonda reserved constituency in Guntur district. He was also involved in a Criminal case of manhandling and assaulting a government official (Tahsildar) in Mangalagiri in 1985. He allegedly beat up one Tahsildar following complaints about some irregularities in the distribution of essential commodities. This has resulted in a situation where in Assembly lobbies after the induction of the new ministers it is said "Beat an official and become a Minister".

Ans :- Sri J. R. Pushpa Raj was charge-sheeted in Crime No. 143/84 of Mangalagiri Police Station. Later on, the case was withdrawn against him on 16th October. 1984, in public interest in accordance with G. O. No. 2696, dated 28th September, 1984. The withdrawal of the case was ordered long before he was inducted into the Cabinet.

148. The tragedy of starvation deaths caused by an uncaring government which does not even recognise it resulting in the swelling of the ranks of the naxalites in Mahbubnagar as is reported by Mr. P. V. Thomas, in News Time, Sunday the 28th June, 1987.

Mahbubnagar, the largest district in Telangana, today lies ravaged by hunger an death. The Government agencies glossover it and continue to put down on paper that "old age and illness" have caused the death. This a damn lie.

Ans :- It is a fact that Mahbubnagar District is reeling under drought for the fourth year in succession that is from 1984-85 to 1987-88 due to non-receipt of sufficient rains in the district.

In order to mitigate the hardship caused by serve drought conditions the Government have released the following amounts under employment generation schemes that is Minor Irrigation, Medium Irrigation Road Works, Check Dams, Community Irrigation wells etc., and for provision of relief works like drinking water facilities etc., in rurral and urban areas.

149. There are well documented stories of starvation deaths, deaths by diseases caused by malnutrition, mass migration of distrerssed agricultural labourers leaving behind the old, sick and infirm to fend for themselves.

Ans :-

| Sl. No. | Year | Amount released by State Government |
|---|---|---|
| 1. | 1985-86 | Rs. 544.934 lakhs |
| 2. | 1986-87 | Rs. 723.475 lakhs |
| 3. | 1987-88 (1-4-87 to 30 6-87 | Rs. 224.979 lakhs |

This apart the Govenment have released Rs. 3-00 crores to take up works under Shrama Shakthi in Priyadarshini Jurala Project to provide employment directly to the labourers during the year 1986-87. The entire amount has been utilised and 5,82,742 mandays generated. This apart the Govenment have released 20.00 crores to priyadarsini Jurala Project where the construction work is in progress. 1,000 labourers are working daily without the media of contractor. Wide publicity has been made that any number of labourers can be provided work at Dam site. Arrangements have also been made to open (5) labour camps at work site. The facilities of drinking water, under Public Distribution System, Rice and other essential commodities are also provided at Camps to the labourers. At present 1,000 labourers are engaged at the dam site, it is also proposed to engage 1,500 more labourers from 1-11-1987 by which time

all the khariff crops will be harvested. Labour oriented works have been taken up on massive scale under RELGP during 1984-85, 1985-86 and 1986-87 and an amount of Rs. 562.934 lakhs spent to provide employment to the affected agricultural labourers in almost all Mandals. During the current year 1987-88 an expenditure of Rs. 1209.823 lakhs has been incurred under RLEGP, NREP and DPAP by creating 22,30,000 man days.

Further deepening of irrigation wells by way of inwell bores was taken up to enable the small and marginal farmers including Scheduled Caste and Backward Classes to take up I. D. Crop cultivation with the additional water potential created. Till now 2761 wells have been deepend creating addl. area of 13,000 acres.

Necessary care has been taken about the destitutes and sanctioned gratuitous relief of Rs. 30/- per month to 10,000 persons. This apart, pensions have been sanctioned to 28,900 Agricultural labourers and also 12,500 old Age Pensions, 2,200 Widow Pensions. An amount of Rs. 37,158 lakhs was spent under supplementary Nutrition Programme for peoviding ready made food (Nutritious food) supplied by REPRON FOOD FACTORY, to the children of the age group 1-6 years, pregnant women and lactating mothers of poorer sections.

The essential commodities allotted to this district are being lifted fully. There are 1,471 Fair Price Shops functioning in the District regularly. A quota of 8,500 M. Ts. Rice, 400 M. Ts. Wheat, 1,057 M. Ts. Sugar, 1,034 M. Ts. P. Oil is being allotted and lifted every month. Effective arrangements have been made to reach the commodities at the door step of Fair Price Shop. The essential commodities are being made available to all the Card holders in all the villages, especially Rs. 2 kg. rice.

In the above circumstances it is clear that the Government are taking every care to provide employment to the affected population in the district. The contention of the petitioner that activities of naxalites are on the increase

due to drought is not correct. Naxalite activities in the District are dormant and quite negligible. Their activities cannot be attributed due to drought.

The journalists have published the news highlighting certain deaths of poor people as starvation deaths. The District Administration promptly took cognizance of the reports appeared in a section of the press. The Revenue authorities have enquiry into each of such death. Enqniry revealed that the deaths which took place or not on account of starvation but to due prolonged illness, old age etc.

It was observed from the enquiries that 12% to 15% of labour in this district would be migrating to other areas for various works even in the best of the seasons. PALAMCOR LABOUR is very well known throughout the State and also in the country for their hard work. Therefore, this kind of migration of labour of this District is nothing new and cannot be treated as mass migration.

150. The pictures taken in Ramaddula, as tiny village situated at a distance of some 20 kms. from Wanaparthy town gives a mysterious silence enveloped the village at the 3-30 p. m. in the evening. An old man, aged about 85 years was sleeping in his one-room shack. The old man slowly opened his muddy-brown eye, sunk deep in the sackets. He is the fact of starvation. His picture is worst than all the photographes of Ethippia or Kalahandi (Orissa). He has no food to eat. He stood up on his thin legs. Bending his brittle body, he waded throught the room searching for something. He was naked, but for a piece of rag around his shrivelled waist. The oldman picked up a tumbler filled it with water, poured it is an alumiuium plates and mixed with a handful of brown powder (ragi floru) with it. He drank the mixture in almost one gulp.

Ans :- The picture comparing Ramaddula village in Nagarkurnool division to that of Ethippia and Kalahandi (Orissa) is

not correct. Ramaddula village is not a tiny village compared to the standard of habitation, obtaining in present day India. Its population is about 4,000. The village is connected with R. T. C. regular buses as well as private buses. So this village is not an Island disconnected from the rest of the world.

In Ramaddula village 2 Fair price Shops are functioning and the regular supplies of Rs. 2, a kg. rice to green card holders, Sugar, Palmolien Oil is being supplied to the consumers in the village regularly.

As per record the following types of pensions are being sanctioned in the village Ramaddula :—

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | Old Age Pensions | ... ... | 29 |
| 2. | L. A. W. P. Pensions | .... ... | 11 |
| 3. | Window Pensions | .... ... | 4 |
| 4. | Gratuitous Relief | ... ... | 14 |
| | | Total : | 58 |

As per the enquiry report of his level officers in the village and also as stated by Sri M. A. Jabbar, Village Secretary of the C. P. I. (M) Party, there has been no starvation deaths in the village. Hence, the contention expressed in the para is not correct.

151. The gruel camps run by CPI (M) are the only source of some sort of humanitarian concern expressed to these miserable people. While there are thousands of tonners of food grains in Food Corporation godowns these people neither have the food to sustain their lives nor the purchasing power for buying the basic rations. All the 16 gruel centres run by the CPI (M) gruel is served only in a day i. e., in the morning with the help of some contribution from people and philanthropists. The Government of Andhra Pradesh does not care to come to the help of the starving millions of people. On the other hand

it denies the very existence of this cruel realities of starvation misery and deaths in Mahboobnagar district.

Ans :- The contention that 16 gruel centres are run by CPI (M) to feed the needy is not correct. It is informed that in the past no gruel centres were functioning nor has any come to the notice of the administration. Recent verification in the specific villages reveal that no such camps are being run.

As per the supply of food grains are concerned, as explained in the foregoing paras there is no scarcity of the food grains in villages since regular supplies are being made through Public Distribution System. Works are being provided through normal programmes like N R. E. P., R. L. E. G. P., D. R. D. A. Telugu Grameena Kranthi Pathakam. Priyadarshini Jurala Project, besides drought works. Old, infirm, destitutes are being covered by different pension schemes. The Government has also taken steps to suspend the collection of Land Revenue arrears and rescheduling the loan arrears will automatically follow :

Government are making all out efforts to increase purchasing power of the Agricultural Labourers, Landless' poor, especially belonging to weaker sections by sanctioning number of employment general schemes, providing different kinds of pensions to old infirm, widows, Agricultural Labourers, etc., Public distribution system is functioning effectively. Hence drought situation is adequately met. Therefore, the contention raised that Government did not care to alleviate the suffering of the people is not correct.

The allegation of break down of constitutional machinery in the state of Andhra pradesh isbaseless, false, mischievous and motivated. This Hon'ble Court has rejected the Writ Petition filed for the imposition of the President Rule.

152. The several deaths in police custody and various killings in the name of "Encounter" deaths reflect the social

disease of State terrarists vandalism which reflect the necessity of Central Government's intervention under Article 355, 356 in view of the breakdown of constitutional machinery in the State of Andhra Pradesh. The central intervention is not only mandatory but is an extremely urgent constitutional duty and necessity. Not to do so would lead to grave constitutional crisis.

It is further clear that the Supreme Court itself had ordered on July 5th, the Justice M. M. Dutt, vacation Judge in Supreme Court directed the Inspector-General of Police of Andhra Pradesh to enquire into the alleged disappearance of Chukka Paul after his arrest reportedly by the Bapatla Police on June 5th. These orders were made by Justice M. M. Dutt, Vacation Judge gave this direction on a habeas corpus petition from the wife of Mr. Paul. According to the petition, the Bapatla Police raided gamblers on the outskirts of Stuartpuram Erukala Settlement and recovered heavy cash on June 5th. Chukka Paul a graduate and two others who were merely standing there as onlookers at that time, were chased by the police.

Ans :. The averments in respect of lock-up deaths which are repeated in this para hae already been answered against allegation No. 140.

Left-wing extremist violence has been a running feature for the last several years in the State of Andhra Predesh. From 1982 onwards extremits of various groups in the State have stepped up violence to an unprecedented degree. From 1982 onwards they commited 212 murders, 65 dacoities robberies and 1766 other offences (Total 2043 offences), During 1987 itself, they committed 53 murders, 4 dacoities robberies and 146 other offences. In order to combat this menace police had to launch stringent measures to control their activities. Describing these measures as acts of State terrorism is but a gross distortion of facts. In fact. the extromists have been engaging the police in number of encounters having made them their prime target. During 1986 there were 31 encounters with extremists resulting

in the death of 19 extremists and 4 police personnel, including one Inspector rf Police. During 1987 so far, there were 21 encounters, resulting in the death of 25 evtremists and 18 police personnel including one Inspector and two S.Is. The offensive launched by extremists against the police is well demonistrated by the two recent encoonters which occurred on 30th July, 1987 and 18th August, 1987 in which 6 poliee personnel and 10 police personnel lost their lives respectively, yet Mattam Bhimavaram in Chintapalli Po ice Station limits of East Godavari District and at Addala Timmapur of Kollapur Police Station limits of Adilabad district. These incidents prove that it is the extremists that are resorting to terrosrism and not ine Police.

It is not correct to say that the whereabout c f Sri Chukka Paul are not known. He is presently lodged in Sub-Jail at Kandukur (Prakasam) as he is an accused in Cr. No. 24/87 under section 397 IPC of Chirala Town Police Station. He was arrested on 15th June 1987 and since then he has been in judical custody. He is also in volved in other cases of Prakasam and Guntur Districts.

153. One Mr. Narasimha was killed in Sanjeevareddy Nagar, Thungathurthi, Macherla Anjaiah was killed and in Macherla one Mr. Devara Nagulu was killed. In Bellampalli one Mr. M. Srinivas was killed In Vijayawada T. Muralidhara was killed and in Khammam one Mr. David Raju was found dead in lock-up. The look-up deaths have become order of the day as the killings of the police in the name of encounters with extremists. Though in reality people were summoned. To police stations and killed and later reported victims of fake encounters. Again in Vijayawada one Mr. Goddalla Rama Rao died in lock-up. Similarly in Chirala Mr. Angadi Prabhakara Rao pied in police custody.

Ans :- In the case of death of Mr. Narasimhulu in the look-up of Sanjeeva Reddy Nagar Police Station a Commission of Inquiry was appointed and on the basis of its findings an

Inspector of Police, three S.Is. two H.Cs. and 3 P.Cs. were placed under suspension. A case has been registered against them by the C. B. C. I. D. and they will be proscuted soon after the investigation is completed.

(2) Withregard to the death of Macherla Anjaiah on 6th September, 1986 in Tungaturthi Police Station of Nalgonda District, a Commission of Inquiry was ordered and as recommended by the Commission, departmental enquiry has been initiated against the S.I.

(3) The death of Devara Nagulu of Macherla, referred to in this para, actually relates to the death of Dasari Venkateswarlu of Macherla on 15th September, 1986. A commission of Inquiry was ordered and basing on the finding of Inquiry 2 S.Is., 1 H.C. and 1 P. C. of Macherla Police Station were placed under suspension. Departmental Action was recommended by the Commission against the above Officers, besides two Medical Officers who were also held guilty of certain lapses.

(4) With regard to the death of M. Srinivas at Bellampalli of Adilabad District a Commission If Inquiry was appointed and the enquiry is completed. Government orders on the findings of the Commission of Inquiry are awaited. A case has already been registered in this regard by the C. B. C. I. D. and it is under investigation.

(5) In the case of death of T. Muralidharan at Vijayawada 5 Town P.S., Government have ordered a Commission of Inquiry and the enquiry is in progress. 1 S.I., 1 A.S.I., 1 H.C. and 2 H.C.s. who were responsible for his death were placed under suspension.

(6) With regard to the death of David Raju at Khammam -1 (T) P. S., a Commission of Inquiry was ordered by the Government and on the findings of the Commission, one Sub-Inspector and one Police Constable responsible for the death were

placed under suspension. A case was also registered by the C. B. CID. and investigation is in progress.

(7) With regard to the death of Goddella Rama Rao, in Vijayawada. II Town Police Station a Judicial inquiry was ordered. On the findings of the Commission of inquriy, five Police Officers including the Sub-Inspector were placed under suspension. A case was also registered against them and it is under investigation by the C. B. CID.

(8) In the case of death of Angadi Prabhakar Rao at Chirala P. S. on 27th April, 1985 a judical enquiry was ordered by the Government. On the findings of the enquiy, Inspector rnd 1 S. I. were placed under suspension and a case has also been registered by the C.B. CID. for prosecuting them.

154. The death of Editor of 'Encounter' Mr. Pingalli Dasaradharam is too well known in the State. While in Warargal in broad day light Dr. Ramanantham of Andhra Pradesh Civil Liberties Council was killed in his own clinic on 3rd September, 1985. Till now neither the culprint was found nor any information is available regarding the cause of that public murder.

(a) Ans :- The allegation that police are responsible for the death of Pingali Dasaratha Ram, Editor of Encounter Magazine of Vijayawada is not correct. It is a case of murder and two out of the three accused in this case Viz., T. Ramulu and Benerjee were arrested on 26th October, 1986 and 25th October. 1985 respectively. The case was transferred to C. B. CID. and is pending trial.

(b) Dr. A. Ramadadham, a Medical Practioner of Warangal Town was shot by unknown assailants and no one had seen the accused. Though he was alive for sometime and under treatment in the hospital, he could not give any details of the Assailants. The investigation of this case was transferred to C. B. CID in view of irs public importance. The case is still under investigation.

155. It is reported in Andhra Pradesh Civil Liberties Council authoritative book published in September. 1986 as is reported and with the ources mentioned it is clear that on Thursday the 20th February, 1986 night Smt. Adilakshmi was brought to the police station and was assaulted with belt after disrobing her. This is published in Udayam February 26, 1986.

Ans :- The contents of this para are based upon a news items published on 26th February, 1986 in 'Udayam' daily. A reference has also been made that this incident was published in the September issue of the Andhra Pradesh Civil Liberties Council's publication. Exhaustive enquires made in this regord did not yield either the full details or the identity and whereabouts of Smt. Adi Lakshmi.

156. In Hyderabad Addagutta area on 23rd February, 1986 there were classes between CPI and CPI workers and when certain people were locked-up one Lakshmi took meals to one of the persons detained, but she was beaten black and blue by Clrcle Inspector Mr. Prabhakara Rao.

Ans :- It is true that Waddar Krishna and his associates (CPI) were reported to have assulted Kasinath, Damodar and other members of MCPI group on 23rd February, 1986 at Addagutta in Secunderabad. In this connection, Tukaram gate Police registered a case in Cr. No. 32/86 Us 147, 148 and 307 r/w 149 IPC. The incident that Lakshmi, who bronght food for one of she accused detained in police lock-up, was beaten up by Inspector Sri Prabhakar is not true as no complaint to this effect was lodged in the PS or elsewhere either on the date of incident or even later.

157. Again one young woman aged twenty years, Pentamma was also beaten with lotjies as reported in Udayam February, 26 th.

Ans :- The Alleged beating of Pentamma by Police as reported in Udayam daily, dated 26th, February, 1986 is vague and hence does not call for remarks.

158. Again in Vijayawada-Three Town Police Station one Mudigonda Padma, along with four other allegedly charged under prostitution and was produced before a Magistrate who fined them for Rs. 30.

Ans :- With regard to the contents of this para the fact are that on 3rd February, 1986 Smt. Padma B. Vijaya, G. Lakshmi and R. Lakshmi, all prostitutes of Vijayawada were booked under section 294 IPC in petty case Nos. 74 to 77/86 and they were fined Rs. 80 each by the IInd Class Magistrate, Vijayawada in STC No. 177/86 dated 3rd Eebruary, 1986. Their complaint that they were tortured by the Police after their release from the court was enquired into and the Additional Superintendent of Police Vijayawada Urban and the Sub-Inspector were placed under suspension. Departmental proceedings were also initiated against them and the enquiry is in progress. A private complaint mape by the women is also pending in the court.

159, The rapes committed by police are reported as under .

On 6th August, 1985 one girl aged 16 by name Raisetty Padma came to Jammikunta alongthwith her sister-in-law in Jammikunta for buying cloths and after 9-30 p. m. while returning from a picture she was going to bus-stand and when some body wanted to assault her, she sought for help and one old gentleman, who with a view to protect her, took her to police station. The two persons in the police station were home guards and one of them Mr. Prabhakara Reddy raped her while the other home guard was keeping a watch.

Ans :- Gopi Prakash Reddy, Home Guard of Jammikunta (Karimnagar) and another. a tea stall owner of the same village, who were responsible for the rape of Rayasetty, Padma on 6th August, 1985 were arrested and remanded to judicaj custody on 8th August, 1985 in Cr. 68/85 Us 376 IPC of Jammikunta Police Station. The second Home Guard was not involved

in this offence as alleged. Prompt action was taken to bring the offenders to book in this case, but the case ended in acquittal on 24th February, 1986.

160. Similarly on 31st August one lady Safia Banu was going by an auto and the Chathrinaka A.C.P. Mr. Jayaram Reddy who came in jeep alongwith two constables Mr. Nagendar and Shaik Kasim Velu suspecting of her something asked the constables to take her to police station. Two constables took her in the same auto to an area called Bibika chasma and raped her.

Ans :- P. C. 6359 Nagender who was responsible for the rape of Safia Banu on 31st August, 1985 night in Chatrinaka Police Station limits of Hyderabad city, was arrested on 1st September, 1985 and sent for remand. He was placed under suspension and the case was charged against him on 6th December, 1985 and it is pending trial. This was stray incident of misdemeanour for which the P. C. was adequately dealt with.

161. Again on December 15th, 1983 the Achampet C.I. Mr. Satyanarayana Reddy called one Mangamma to his house and molested her. Despite the complaints made by all these persons and despite the criminal law amending Act of 1983 when a complaint of this type is made, prima facie the burden of proof rests on the accused.

Ans :- Inspector P. Satyanarayana Reddy of Ahampet Police Station of Mahabuhnagar District who was responsible for raping Chouta Mangamma on 15th December 1985 was arrested on 22nd December, 1985 and remanded to judical custody in Cr. No. 149/85 of Achampet Police Station. He was also placed under suspension, on 21st December, 1985. This was also a stray incident of individual aberration.

162. On May 12th, 1985 one Mr. Nageswara Rao of Achiah Nagar who is allegedly involved in the theft case of cycles was arrested by Chikkadapalli Police. The High Court

after enquiry held that the Chikkadapalli S. I. or the State Government should give Rs. 4,0000/- compensation.

Ans :- Accused Nageswara Rao, who is it was alleged, was spirited away by Chikkadpally police, after his arrest on 12/13th May, 1985 in fact returned to Hyderabad from Bangalore on 1st July, 1987. Certain political parties, exploited the situation during the absence of Sri Nageswara Rao and unnecessarily maligned the police. His voluntary .e-appearance on 1st July, 1987 exposed the designs of the political parties to denigrate the police.

163. It is significant to note that in 1984 itself out of the 24 persons who died in police look-up only one person was allegedly involved in the case of murder and another in robbery. All other persons i. e., 22 persons were accused of offences of drunkenness, debauching, thefts and such offences which do not merit major punishments even if found guilty by courts. Similrarly in 1985 out of 19 persons who died in police look-up, only only one was involved in a dowry death case. While all others were involved in minor offences while nothing was even alleged against 4 of them.

On May 25th, 1985 in Yellanur village Tadiparti taluk, Anantapur district the Upasarpanch aged 65, Mr. Kamal Peeran and his son Mr. Nabeerasool were arrested and Kamal Peeran fell down on the way as per the police version. But in reality at 2.30 in the noon both father and son were arrested and they were beaten severely even on the road itself and were forcibly taken to police station. There they were subjected to torture till 5 'o' Clock in the evening. When Thadipathri MLA complained to DSP, he said he will look into the matter. When the Tadipathri Agricultural Co-operative Bank Vice-President went to the police station and enquired about this affair the S.I. threatened him with a gun and on the night at 2-30 a. m., after the MLA Tadipathri woke-up the DSP and enquired about this, the DSP telephoned in turn to the Police Station and Police

constable informed that Kamal Peeran died. When the body was seen it was revealed bore heavy injuries caused by hitting by heavy boots and he died after vomiting blood.

Ans :- The contents of this para are the continuation of the points made out in answer to allegation No 140 on look-up deaths which has been answered in detail. As already explained therein, the deaths had occured in circumstance beyond the control of the police. Therefore, the reasons for which the suspects or accused were brought to the Police Station have hardly any bearing on the circumstances which led to their eventual death. In all these cases of deaths the erring police men have been dealt with deterrently by instituting Judicial Enquiries, Commissions of Inquiry, besides Magisterial Inquiries. The erring policemen were also prosecuted by registering specific cases against them. None of the earring police officers was shielded as alleged.

In the case of death of Kamal Peeran in Yellanur PS on 23/24 May 1985, the S.I. of Police and PCs. responsible for the death were placed under suspension and a Magesterial inquiry was ordered. A specific case was also registered agaiest them which is committed to Sessions on 29th July 1987.

164. Similarly a resident of Gonulapalem village in Lakkireddypalli Samiti, Cuddapah district one Venkata Ramana was arsested by Raichoti Police on 18-4-1986 on the suspicion that he may be involved in an explosives matter and he was subjected to severe torture by the result of which he died on 20th Appril, 1986 in the Madras Appolo Hospital despite earlier reatments in Raichoti Government Hospital, Tirupati Ruia Hospital and Madras Appolo Hospital.

Ans :- Sri Uppu Venkataramana of Gondlapalem of Lakka reddypalli Samithi did not die at the hands of police as described herein. He was being brought to Rayachoti PS on 15th April 1986 in a Police Jeep for examining his complit

city in Cr. No. 42/84 u/s 5 of Explosive Substance Act of Rayachoti P. S. On the way, in a bid to escape, he jumped out of the jeep and sustained injnries. He was removed for treatment from Government Hospital, Rayachoti to Ruia Hospital at Tirupathi and from there to Appolo Hospital at Madras for expert treatment. He expired on 20th April 1986 while undergoing treatment a Appolo Hospital Madras. A case was registered in this connection in Cr. No. 18/86 u/s 174 Cr. P.C. of T. Sundar PS and a Magisterial enquiry was also held RDO, Cuddapah, who conducted the enquiry, gave fiinding that police were not responsible for the death of Venkataramana.

165. If the police lock-up deaths are properly investigated apart from the question of bringing the guilty to the book, at least one can establish the circumstances under which these attrocities have happened. Even recently the State Government itself hold an enquiry on police lock-up death regarding two cases. One is the death of Mr. Angadi Prabakara Rao on 27th April 1985 at Chirala Police Station and another regarding Goddalla Rama Rao who died Vijayawada-2 town police station on 6th February, 1986. In these two cases the enquiry reports established that the deceased died because of the torture in lock-up and the observations and finding of Justice Sriramulu Commission are significant to note.

Justice Sriramulu Commission observed, "that even if we believe all that is said above. the nature of the deceased death, the police disclaiming the responsibility for his death is not justifiable since however depraved one may be the police have no right to use violence on him. If a man dies in Police Station who is young, healthy and his death happens within few hours of his arrest and if the police are unable to explain the injuries on his body any reasonable person would come to a conclusion that the police are connected with the said death. If the police cannot explain the injuries they are presumed to be prima facie guilty. It requires enormous courage to depose against the police and only brave persons who are prepared for any consequences

can alone do it. The police have "managed" the Tahsildar because of which the Tahsildar gave Panchanama Report saying that there are no injuries on the body. The commission farther felt that the attitude of Tahsildar in suppressing the case in giving Panchanama report is deplorable.

All the requests and efforts of the relatives of the deceased persons to police and Joint Collector io hold an enquiry against such lock-up death of Angadi Prabhakara Rao are in vain.

Ans :- Judical enquiry was held by Sri Justice Sreeramulu. The Commission held that the death of A. Prabhakara Rao was unnatural and it was a case of homicide. Based on the report the C. I. and S.I. were placed under suspension pending prosecution. The M.R.O. was also placed under suspension pending departmental acti n. The C.B. CID is investigating into the mater further to initiate prosecution agalnst the C. I. and S.I. concerned.

166. The Ellendu MLA Sri Gummadi Narasaiah wrote a letter to the Chief Minister wherein he brought to the attention of the Chief Minister that in Teekulapalli Mandalam 15 villages, in Gundala Mandalam 15 villages, in Bayyaram Mandalam 6 villages weae subject to police attrocities. Hundreds of villages of the above mentioned villages were arrested and tortuned and not only they were sent to jail but also the villagers are subjected bonded labour. In the above villages from every village 50 to 100 villegers did bonded labour to the police. The details of which were furnished by the said MLA Sri Gummadi Narasaiah. They were in a systematic way demolishing the furniture, properties and the houses. The vandalism of the police is further seen when in September 1985 first week and August month last week the police rendered the villagers of Donthapur' beerpur, Narsimpalle, Thigela, Dharmaram, Kelvyai, Rekulapalle Jaina in Jagityala Taluk where they demolished 33 houses while in Peddapall, Kolanur, Mangapeta, Adavi Sreerampur, Kukkala Gundur, Thakkella palli, Polakurthi, Brahmanapalli villages 48 houses were destroyed by the police. The eye witness account of some of these incidents are mentioned below,

In Donthapur village a weaver caste youngester Sri Chandraiah's house was demolished and his small wayside hotel was destroyed.

In Thigeladharmaram the police raided the house of Memula Sankari and destroyed even the cost of the house and after removing the 8 day old baby and the mother, they destroyed the furniture including the cost and later the entire house was destroyed,

In Kelvio seven farmers were beaten in the open bazar with reversed axes, Their houses were destroyed.

In Adilabad district from February, 1986 the police atrocities in trubunal villages are in human. They have burnt 20 tribunal villagers and later transported these girijans in trucks and left them off in the Maharastra border in the forests.

In Adilabad district, both taluk, Manikyapur village one eye witness says, one day the Collector, Forest Conservator and DSP came in two jeeps and lorries alongwith lot of goondas and saying that the girijans black and blue and all their houses were burnt by setting the fire with kerosene and they were all taken away trucks and left all of them in forest area.

Similarly in agency area in the East Godavari District Police have burnt 60 girijan villages as proclaimed by the police themselves.

Ans :- The illegal encroachment of vast tracts of Reserve Forest land by Samantha migrant tribals from Orissa State, since quite sometime, has been causing much concern to the forest Department. Forest officials had earlier served evietion notices on the encroaching tribals u/s 20 of Indian Forest Act. but with no result. The Samantha tribals were not only denuding the Reserve Forest but were also hand in glove with extermits and creating total lawlessnecs in the agency area of Chintapally. In view of the Law and Order problem posted by them, a concerted

drive was lauched jointly by the Police and Forest Officials to evict them from their illegal encroachments. Their eviction by forest officials was exploited by the Extremist leaders giving it a colour of tribal opperession by the forest and police officials alike. In a fact of a result of the concerted drive, many Samantha tribals abandoned their houses and left the reserve forest area. On the advise of the extremists, while leaving their abodes, some of them of them appear to have demolished their houses and set them on fire to give it a colour of police vandalism. Even in Plain areas of Karimnagar and Khammam districts (at Yellandu and Kothagudem area) the running feud between the rival groups of CPML (PW group and PV group) has resulted in acts of mutual extermination of rival cadres besides arson and destruction of their properties. The eventual demoltion and burning of houses has been conveniently laib at the doorstep of the police by clever Civil Liberties Organisations ever ready to discredit the police In face 19 cases were registered by Karimnagar police id connection with these incidents of demolition and arson of houses perpertrated by the rival CPIML factions. Details of some of these cases are recounted hereunder to illustrate the point.

(1) In Cr. No. 78/86, which occured on 12th November 1986 at Venkataraopalli village of Konaraopet PS of Karimnagar district, the houses of three persons belonging to CPIML (CP group) were demolished by the members of PW group whose identity could not be established. Similarly in Cr. No. 82/86 u/s. 448, 427 IPC of Manthani PS which occurred on 11th November 1986 at Parlapalli village. the house of Vidugu Bannayya belonging to PW group was demolished by members belonging to CP group who could not be indentified.

(2) In Cr No. 146/86 u/s 147, 427 IPC of Peddapalli PS, which occurred on 14th November 1986 at Raghavapur village the houses of Dagga Balaraj and Mallaiah belonging to PW group were demolished by a group of about 25 persons belonging t o CP group.

(3) In Cr. No. 141/86 u/s 147, 427 IPC of Peddapalli PS, which occured on 10th Nevember 1986 at Brahmanapalli village, the house of Hanumanla Rajanarasu, an erstwhile extremist, was attacked by a group of extremists, as he had left the party.

(4) In Cr. No. 84/86 u/s 147, 427 and 186 IPC of Manthani PS which occurred on 13th November 1986 at Adavi Srirampur, the house of Ch. Gattaiah of PW group was demolished by a group of persons belonging to CCPE group.

167. Particulars of deaths in Police lock-up from January 1985 till September, 1986 :

| Sl. No. | Date of death and Police Station | name of the person | Reason for arrest |
|---|---|---|---|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| 1. | 21-1-1985 Mills Colony Warangal | Sarangapani | No accusation Suspecting as radical they have beaten him till death. |
| 2. | 7-4-1985 Kamareddy Nizzamabad | Lambadi Kishan | No accusation. Suspecting of giving shelter to naxalites they have beaten him till death. |
| 3. | 16-4-1985 Nakrekal Nalgonda | Parvathubeth | No accusation. Arrested some group of people who are selling bangles. He is one among them. |

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
|---|---|---|---|
| 4. | 16-4-1985 Eldhurthi, Medak | Pamu Hanumanth | Raiding case. |
| 5. | 27-4-1985 Chirala, Prakasam | Angada Prabhakar Rao | To get information about some thefts they arrested him. |
| 6. | 30-4-1985 Santosh-nagar, Hyderabad. | D. Narasinga Rao | Quarreled after consuming drinks. |
| 7. | 1-5-1985 Momabad, Rangareddy. | Jnaneswar | Murder case. |
| 8. | 5-5-1985 | Mohamad Razak. | Theft case. |
| 9. | 15-5-1985 | Inderthi Amarendhar-reddy | No occusation. Suspecting as radical they have beaten him till death. |
| 10. | 16-5-1985 Khammam. | --- | --- |
| 11. | 24-5-1985 Yellanur, Anantapuram. | Kamal Peeran | Raiding case. |
| 12. | 8-6-1985 Vijayanagaram Tq. P. S. | D-. Ramamohan Rao Gupta. | Robbery. |

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
|---|---|---|---|
| 13. | 30-7-1985 Vadavalur Nellur. | Vadlamuri Veera Raghavaiah | Refused to wash the clothes of Harijan people. |
| 14. | 26-6-1985 Yelleswaram E. G. Dist. | Kodugula Sankaraiah | Stolen a bunch of bananas. |
| 15. | 17-8-1985 Kushaiguda, R. R. Dist. | 10 months old baby | To arrest the people involved in the raiding case they in search killed the baby under their, boots. |
| 16. | 14-9-1985 Gudivada, Krishna. | Pavuluri Raja Chowdary | After consuming drinks he misbehaved with one lady. |
| 17. | 6-2-1986 Vijayawada 2-Town. | Gaddala Rama Rao | Rape case. |
| 18. | 22-3-1986 Gopalapatnam. Krishna. | Idithabaina Chinna Rao | Beaten the R. T. C. Depot Manager with sticks. |
| 19. | 11-4-1986 Machillipatnam. | Posina Mallikharjuna Rao | Quarrelled with neighbours and beaten a lady. |
| 20. | 18-4-1986 Raichoty Cuddapah. | Uppu Venkata Ramana | Manufacturing explosives. |

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
|---|---|---|---|
| 21. | 14-4-1986 Gurajala, Guntur. | Upullolla Venkateswarlu | No reason. With the help of landlords, the police have raided on Goli village and they have arrested poor people and beaten them. In that incident he died. |
| 22. | 18-6-1986 Rajahmundry, I-Town. | Pachakula Krishna | No reason. They arrested him on the grounds that he is on old criminal. |
| 23. | 10-7-1986 | Narasimha | Theft. |
| 24. | 20-7-1986 Sullurpet, Nellure. | Parisudharam | Theft. |
| 25. | 17-8-1986 Penagauchiprolu. | Dellithi Anjaneyulu | Murder. |

-: ANSWERS :-

The Collector and District Magistrate. Warangal has ordered Magistreial Enquiry by the Sub-Collector and S. D. M. On the recommendations of S.D.M. the matter has been entrusted to CC. CID for further investigation in the matter:

2. The Collector has ordered Magisterial Inquiry into the incident.

3. As the death took place in the premises of Police Station, the M.R.O. held inquest over tha dead body. The Panchas opined that the death was due to old age chronic blood pressure.

4. The Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Medak, who conducted Magesterial Enquiry opined that the death was due to cordiac arrest. However, the C. I. has been awarded punishment of postponement of his next increment for his gross negligence.

5. Remarks offered vide E-20 to 22.

6. D. Narasinga Rao was beaten by P. Satyanarayana and others as per the complaint given by his brother-in-law but not Police All the accused were arrested and sent to remand. The case is pending.

7. The R. D. O. and Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Chavella conducted magesterial enbuiry. Action against the S. I. and other police personnel for keeping the deceased in illegal custody from 6th April, 1985 till his death on 1st May, 1985 has been taken.

8. Vhe Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Visakhapatnam held magesterial enquiry. Government have decided to keep the S. I. concerned under suspension and launch prosecution.

9. The Medical Officer who conucted the autopsy opined tha the death was du eto shock is a result of injury. This is not a case of torture by police but the victim died due to injuries caused by the irrate mob which could not tolerate the high-handeu behaviour of Amarnatha Reddy in assanlting a police man on duty.

10. No such oase was reported.

11. Remarks afferrd vide E. 18.

12. The Police Officers responsible for the death of Dr. Ram Mohan Gupta viz., the C.I., two H. Cs. and seven P. Cs· were convicted for life imprisonment by the Dist. and Sessions Judge, Srikakulam.

13. The Sub-Divisional Magistrat, Kavali held Magestarial Enquiry. The S. D. M. held that none of the police personnel is responsible for the death of Sri Veera Raghavaiah· An ex-gratia was paid to the wife of the deceased.

14. Judicial Enpuiry was held. It was held in the Inquiry that the death was the direct consequence of the physical violance meted out to him by on ommaK Reddy Veera Raghavulu, a landlord. The Government have asked the D. G. & I. G. P. to prosecute him. The P. C. No. 1605 and the Medical Officer concerned wer placed under suspension 'dending enquiry anp action for the irregularities committed by them'

15. No such case was reported.

16. A team of two doctors 'conducted Post-Morten examination and 'opined that the death was due to asphyxia due to alcoholismd.

17. Judicial Inquiry was held. The Commission opined that Goddala Rama Rao deid as a result of physical force applied at one of the vital parts of the body like stomach. The five police personnel named by the Commission were placed under suspenssion, pending, prosecution. The matter is under investigation for initiating prosecution.

18. The Medical Officer who treated Chinna Rao in the hospital opined that the death was due to, Cardio Respiratory arrest As such no enqairy was held.

19. Mallikharjuna Rao has been beaten with large stick and with hands and trampled with legs by one Kutumba Rao and another person. He died due to injuries. The accused are facing trail in the Sessions Court from 21st October, 1986.

20. The Collector has ordered Magestarial Enqniry by the R.D.O and S.D.M,, Cuddapah and the report is awaited.

21. The Magesterial Enquiry has been ordered.

22. The doctors who conducted autopsy opined that the death may be construed as natural death. Magestarial Enquiry ordered.

23. Judicial Enquiry was held. The Commission has found that U. Narasimha was kept in the lock-up without a case being registered against him and he was harassed and beaten constantly in order to extract confession regarding the stolen articles of one Jayaraj. Due to injuries sustained by him, he developed scepticemia, bilateral pleural effusion as a result of which he has developed fever and died in the early hours of 10th July 1986. Six police personnel were placed under suspension pending prosecution, Further investigation is in progress to initiate prosecution. Departmental actien has been ordered against the six police personnel for their lapees

24. A Magestarial Enquiry was held by S.D.M., Kavali. On the recommendation of the S.D.M. further investigation was entrusted to CB. CID.

25. Magesterial Enquiry was held by the S.D.M., Vijayawada, The S.D,M., held that it seems Probable though not certain that the deceased was beaten at some stage prieor to his death. The Medical Officer who conducted the Autopsy over the dead body could not give their correcet conclusion whether the injuries received by the deceased were the cause of death despite the presence of seven injuries on the body of the deceased. The autopsy is incomplete and failed to give corre. eonclussion, The police personnel were placed under suspension and Departmental action is initiated for the violence metod out on the body of the deceased. It is proposed to initiate departmental action against the two Medical Officers who conducted the autopsy over the dead body of the deceased.

Particulars of Encoanter Deaths Bill September 1986.

168. Mr. Khairi Gangaram of Pembatla village, Jagityala taluk, Karimnagar District has died on 21-1-1985 in police encounters. On 21st January morning Mr. Gangaram along with three others went to Pochampally and staying in one house, At about 11 'O' clock Mr. Jagan Mohan Reddy, S.I. along with some constables went to the Village. The other three people who are with Mr. Gangaram are escaped. Then the police killed him.

On 28th March 1985 in the village of Veera Bhadrachalam in Bhadrachala n Taluk. Khammam District in the encounter with police people Mr. Arsapalli Venkateswara Rao died (Chandranna)

On April 10, 1985 in Venkataraopet village friends five Naxalites died in police encounters, They are Mr. Gotti Raghupati, Pani Satahaiah, Banga Sataiah of Kandukur village when they come to Venkataraopet and Mr. Uppala Lakshmi Rajyam and Thadu Rajaiah of Venkataraopet village,

On Ist May, 1985 in Basvapur village in Donakonda taluk Nizamabad District in the after noon 2 p. m. terrorists died in poliee encounters,

On 6-5-1987 in Bommireddipally of Karimnagar District police people killed an innocent villager Mr. Rajaling, 30 years youngman and one more naxalite Mr. Siddaiah.

On 13-5-1285 in Lodvelli village, Adilabad Dist. people killed Mr. Madhunaiah and Ramesh.

On 25-5-1985 in Chinnanakkalapet of Karimnagar district police people killed one Mr. D. Naraiah but Mr. Lakshminarayana escaped from there and after sometime he narrated this incident to Indian Express Reporter, Through him only this incident has come to light.

Ans :- Whenever the police opened fire under the provision of Cr. P.C. a Magesterial Enquiry by a S.D.M. is invariably

ordered by the Collector & District Magistrate concerned. The S.D.M. conducts a fact-finding enquiry into the circumstances of opening of fire by police with a view to find out whether the firing is justified and the force used was in excess of the requirement of the situation. In all the incidents mentioned under the above paras, Magesterial Enquiries were held and the S.D.Ms justified the opening of fire by police and it was held that the force used was not in excess.

169, On 26-5-1986 in Narsapur village of Waranga l district in the morning one Mr. Saraiah of Challvai village came to Waran gal to meet his laywer Mr. Prabhakar Reddy. Mr. Reddy has given his house keys to Mr. Saraiah saying that he is going to Hyderabad. At night 10 'O' clock one Mr. Bhupathi came. They both prepared their supper and were getting ready to eat. At that time police people eame and searched their house and asked them to get into the van and taken them to Narsapur and killed there.

Ans :- Magesterial Enquiry ordered.

170. On May 28, 1985 Police people came to Jagtyala village in Karimnagar district suspecting that one terrorist is hiding in one house in that village. They told when they wanted to catch him, he escaped on a motor cycle and fired at them. Only to save themselves police opened refiring at him and he died.Police also told his name as Pavankumar. After some days slowly the truth has come out. The person who died in the police firing is not Pavankumar, but one Mr. Govind Reddy of Chithradurga district in Karnataka district. He worked in the Alr Force for some days and resigned. After that he worked as a Manager in some hotel at Nizamabad. Afterwards he joined in Naxalites.

On 10th June. 1985 in the village Dharoor of Karimnagar district one Naxalite encounter.

On September 2, 1985 in Kammaripet village of Karimna r gar district in one enconuter Mr. Ramaswamy political worke-

died. This Kammaripet is situated on the highway of Karimnagar to Nizamabad. There is no chance of happening encounter here. What is actually happened being, that when Ramaswamy was in one house police people forcefully entered ip the house and fired at him. He tired to escape but because of the wounds he was not able to escape and died.

On September 4, 1986 in Burugupakala village in Visakhapatnam district police killed five Naxalites. They are Lotha Rajeswari (17) Alias Jyothi, Vokko Venkata Rao (18), Anand and Kumar. The District Medical Officer has ordered to conduct the Post Mortem on the same night of encounter. But Dy. Civil Surgeon Dr. A. Appaiah has refused for that. The next day Dr. Krishnaveni and Dr. R. Suseela conducted the Post Mortem along with Dr. Appaiah. The Naxalities died with not only bullet injuries but also with some other injuries also.

Ans :- Magesterial Enquiries were held in all the incidents refferred in the said paras. The S.D.Ms. justified the opening of fire by police and it was held that the use of force was not in excess.

171. On 12-9-1985 in Pedalanka village, East Godavari District in the agency area in an encounter one Mr. Pullaiah was killed. Another Girijan person who escaped in that encounter wrote a letter to Indian Express to publish the same in which he described the cruelty of the police people.

Ans :- The S.D.M. held Magesterial Enquiry. The matter is entrusted to the CB CID for further enquiry and the report is awaited.

172. On 5-10-1985 in Kondapur village, Karimnagar district three people died in the police encounter. All are political workers. They are Madhava Reddy, Lakshma, Gangaram.

On 6-10-1985 in Doomala village, Karimnagar district Mr Swamy died in the encounter. No details were available.

On 7-12-1985 in Malapuram village, Karimnagar district, political worker Mr. Sayana Prabhakar. Ramakantha Rao, Narasaiah died in the police encounter. Some other workers have escaped from this encounter.

On 16-12-1985 in Nellela Villiage, Warangal district police people claims that they killed one Mr. Moganti Babu Rao in the encounter. Actually the local people say the police arrested Mr. Babu Rao long back and killed him in the police station much earlier than 16th December. On December 20th, 1985 in Rebbadevipalli village, Sultanbad Taluk, Karimnagar in the police encounter Mr. Tugani Rajaiah, Sreen died. They are talking rest in one house and police light the fire that house. When they came out, they opened the fire and killed them. But in the encounter one police also died. From the begining of 1984 till September 1986 in Karimnagar district this only one police death in the encounter.

Ans :- In all the cases rsferred in the said paras Magesterial Enquiries were held. The S.D.Ms. held that the openining of fire by the police was justified and the force used was not in excess.

173. On the 3rd January night in Utlamatvada village, Warngal district one Mr. Narasaiah and his friend are going by the side of the police people ran away. Then police opened fire and Narasaiah died in the hospital. His friend escaped. Then police told that by mistake they have suspected Mr. Narasaiah as Naxalite and killed him. They paid Rs. 2,000/- as compensation

Ans :- Magesterial Enquiry was held. The S.D.M. held that opening of fire by the police is only under the influence of alcohol add sheer neglegence on the part of police and such opening of fire at an innocent person is not justified. Government have accepted the findings of the S.D.M. and decided to prosecute the person who opened the fire and aslo to initiate Departmental action against the other police personnel.

174. On 9-2-1986 in Gowraram village, Nizamabad district police killed on person Mr. Avusala Narasaiah and proclaimed that he died in the encounter.

Ans :- Magisterial Enquiry ordered.

175. On 26-2-1986 in Kovelapalem village, East Godavari district police claims that they have killed four people in the encounter in the forest area of Addatheegala. But actually they were killed by them two seperate incidents at two different places. They killed Mr. Tirupati Patnayak and Sivaram on 26th February, 1986 in Kovelapalem forest area.

Ans :- Magisterial Enquiry was held. The S.D.M. Peddapuram justified the opening of fire and held that the force used was not in excess.

176. On 27-2-1986 after the above incident occurred next day they arrested Mr. Satyanarayana and Raju and killed them in Aidalabad wich is 20 Kms. away to Addathegala.

Ans :- No such case in reported.

177. On May 20-21, 1986 night Mr. Nageswara Rao and Ramakrishna died in the police encounters as per the version of the police. Bnt actually the police arrested there two students on 20th May itself and killed them.

Ans :- Magisterial Enquiry has been ordered and the report is awaited.

178, On 10-6-1985 one Mr. Ramesh died in the encounter with police in Kamareddy of Nizamabad district. But no body heard the sound of any firings or shorts in the so called firing.

On 25-6-1986 in Nagaram village, Nizamabad district one unknown terrorist died in an alleged encounter with police. But

nobody heard the sound of any shots in the so called firing. There is no chance for encountering in that place.

On 27th early morning in the Arepalli village in Nizamabad one unknown terorist died in the encounter with police. No details

Ans ., Magisterial Enquiries ordered.

179. Apart from the above, in the month of March in Adilabad district, Nirmal village one Mr. Bhaskar died in an alleged en counter with the police. Thc news came in the daily papers. Bui no detials were available.

Ans :- Magisterial Enquiry was held. The S.D.M. Nirmal has justified the opening of fire by police and held that the force used was not in excess.

180. It is submitted that all these encounters are only fake and if the version of the police regarding the name is verified by any man of even average intelligence, the absurdity and the fabricated natur of these alleged encounters would become obvious and clear and it can be demonstrated that these are nothing but ruthless police killings and cold blooded State murders for alleged radicalism.

Ans :- It is a vague and sweeping allegation. The extremist have been resorting to acts of violence including murders against the landlords whom they treat as class enemies, poliee informants and also police personnel. In well laid ambushes., they have attacked and killed policemen recently. No encounter can be called a faked one, when there were casualities on the side of the police also also. There have been incidents where the extreemists have attacked and burnt down RTC buses private vehicles etc.,

181. From the above incidents, it is clear that the Government headed by Sri N. T. Rama Rao has restructured the police organisation from an instrument of supporting law and order and

order and protecting the public and prevent crimes and prosectte the guilty to one of an oppressive machinery of harassment and prosecution and an instrument of State violence.

Ans :- The accusation of the petioner that the Governmen is using the police organisation as an instrument of oppression etc is unjustified, unwarranted and false.

POLICE BURNING OF VILLAGES - CRIMINAL ASSAULTS OF OPPONENTS :

182. It is further submitted that is only in Andra Pradesh the police burnt down the villages of tribals' on the allegations of sheltering Naxalites. The poor and helpless tribles Samantas hamlets which were burnt that were situated in the Chintapalli forest area and thousands of persons were rendered homless after their houses officially burnt down. This is said to be in retalisation for the death of a head-constable one K. Mohan Rao who was leading a police party in an ambusy the extremists.

During the end of April 1987 a police party combing the agency area near Chintapalli was fired upon. The Head-constable died later at the Government headquarter,s hospital.

Before the end of the last week of April, 1987 a combing party roamed the trible areas setting fire to hamlets irhabired by Samanta tribals. Over 600 houses were burnt in this fashion. As is clear from Andhra Pradesh Civil Liberties Committee which sent a probe team into the Chintapalli forest area. They obtained a photostat copy of the message signed by "HC 163' on behalf of he station house officer of Cnintapalli police station reads as :

" To Sri .....combing party incharge. This is to inform you that under the direction of the Chintapalli D.S.P., villages where Begata and Gadaba are living should not be disturbedand you are allowed to burn only the villages where the Kodu tribals (Samantas) are living, These directions were given by the DSP following representation made by the Sankada village Pres-

dent. As I was instructed to convey these directions to you, I am informing you of the same."

The State Director-General of Police, Sri Rema Mohan Rao after a tour of the agency areas of the districts after the police action, whe pinned down by newsmen on this point, was of the view that, "It may have becn done beeause they i. e. tribles were sheltering Naxalites."

After having burnt down the villages and hamlets of Samantas strangely and ironically the Prl. Secretary, Social Welfare and the Commissioner, Trible Welfare visited the victims to offer them token eompensation.

While the Goverıment's principal duty is to protect and preserve law and order if the police themselves resort to burning the hamlets and houses of helpless tribles, such Government cannot be said to function with the four corners of the Constitution and the rule of law. Sri N. T. Rama Rao heads this Government where the cops have burnt down the villages officially as is clear from various reports emanating from Visakhapatnam and the Indian Express report dated 2nd July, 1987 which gives a clear picture of the gruesome events.

Ans :- The CPI-ML (People's War Group) led by Kondapalli Seetharamaiah has been on the rampage during this year and extremists belonging to this group have been responsible for killing many people, and their targets of attack have been mainly the landlords, exstremist sympathisers turned police informants and the policemen. Of the ten groups of extremits functioning in this State, this group has been the most militant and has been responsible for the majority of the offences committed in the State by the extremists.

In Chintapalli agency area, the thatched roofs of the huts of some 'Samanthas' (Triblas are located away from the main villages of the traibles. The far flung hntments located away from the main villages of the tribles in the fores ereas are

suspected to be providing shelter to the extremists, and unless the dwellers of these hutments are persuaded to join the main villages it would be utterly difficult to contain the extremist menace. In that direction steps were taken by the police to make them join the main villages. To say that this has been done by the police in retaliation to the murder of a policeman is far from true and the petitioner is put to stric proof of the allegation made herein.

Several measures have been taken for the amelioration of the displaced tribles in the Chintapally agency area and vigorous steps are being taken to rehabilitate them, It is true that Senior Officers have visited the affected areas, and after taking stock of the prevailing situation, they have given spot instructions to the authorities concerned for the rehabilitation of the displaced tribals. No motives can be attributed to the Government on this account, the Government is wedded to the policy of giving an uplift to the weaker sections and in pursuance of this policy the Government have been taking steps to wean away the tribals from the influence of the extremists.

183. In cases of encounters with Naxalites the police are picking up people and they are being shot dead mercilessly by the police. Police are hauled up from their houses and are being killed. Citizens simply disappear and are found dead later. According to Andhra Pradesh Civil Liberties Committee, Dr. Balagopal has given the various instances where the people were killed. In the booklet published by them the total deaths in police look up are given in the annexure from January, 1985 till September, 1986. The number 25, similarly the particulars of killings made in the case of encounter are also given in the annexure II. But these figures are correct upto 1986 only.

On June 21, 1987 the press statement issued by Dr. K. Balagopala has been given instance where ten CPI-ML workers have simply disappeared and persons who are arrestad on 12th October 1986 by Vijayawada police and they are not traceable till today is

one Sri D. Krishna Prasad (Prakash). Similarly Hanumakonda police arrested on 18th April 1987 one Velupula Venkateswarlu. Till now that person is not traceable.

Ans :- The allegations are sweeping, baseless and false. The allegation that the state of lawlessness is wide spread and terrorism is prevailing is alo baseless and unjustified. The petitioner is trying to make a mountain out of mole hill.

184. CONDEMNATION BY SUPERIOR COURTS : The constitutional conventions requires that when grave illegalities and improprieties have resulted because of the actions of high democratic office holders, to inspire the public confidence and as a part of healthy democratic constitutional conventional requirement, the holder of the high democratic office should resign.

Not to do so would be highly undemocratic, unconsititue-tional and illegal.

Ans :- The allegation that the High Court and the Supreme Court has condemned the action of the Government is only an imagination on the part of the petitioner. The petitioner has quoted some passages out of context from the decision and is trying to state that the Government was condemned by the court. It is submitted that merely because certain executive actions of the Government have been set aside, it cannot be said that the Chief Minister should resign.

185. Various instances are there where even for minor lapse, publicmen had to resign their offices for alleged involvement of the said persons in any scandal of illegalities or improprieties. When compared to the charges made against Sri N. T. Rama Rao, the Chief Minister, these allegations seem even silly and trivial.

Ans :- The allegation that charges were made against the Chief Minister is false and baseless. Excepting making a sweeping remark, the petitioner has not chosen to give details of any charges that are alleged to have been made against the Chief Minister.

186. The compared to various strictures and finding made by superior courts and authorities in the cases with reference to Sri N. T. Rama Rao, the Chief Minister, these pale tnto insignificance. His involvement in Income-Tax, Forigen Exchange Regulation Act violations shcuild have resulted in prosecution in the normal course of events, but because the Central Government is hesitant to take any action against him for political reasons, no purpose would be served by citizens' representing to the Central Govenrnment as the entire State is terrorised by the activities, of Sri N. T. Rama Rao, of the Chief Minister.

Ans :- The contentions are baseless and unsustainable. No strictures were passed against Sri N. T. Rama Roa by superior courts. The allegation that entire State is terrorised by the activities of the Chief Minister is also scandalous, false and defamatory in character. The fact that in all the elections conducted from 1983 onwards, the people have given a clear mandate in favour Telugu Desam Party would falsify this allegation of the petitioner.

187. To gain cheap popularity the first respondent recently wanted to distribute 183 acres of land to poor claiming that property to be his personal property as Nagireddypalli village in Maheswaram mandal. Under curious circumstances as is clear from the press report dated 14th July, 1986 which reada as follows :

" The controversy regarding the land 'owned' by Chief Minister Sri N. T. Rama Rao at Negireddypalli village in Maheswaram Revenue Mandal on Monday took an interesting turn with the Land Reforms Appellate Tribunal granting the stay against takeover to the surplusland."

The Chief Minister and his seven sons owned 337 acreas and 23 guntas of dry and kancha land in the Village Maheswaram situated about 30 Kms. from the city. Nearly 183 acreas of this land was declared excess under the Land Reforms Act during 1975. Earlier by means of a general Power of Attorney an aba-

tive attempt was made to Surrende these lands by, Sri N. T. Rama Rao and his sons with a view to retain the properties in Pra kasam district which is rever recognised in law.

BOLT FROM THE BLUE.

Mr. Sathaiah Yadav, Mr. Parameswar Yadav and his family members developed the land into a beautiful orchand after investing several lakhs of rupees. However, one fine morning like a bolt from the blue, a notice was received from the Office of the Land Reforms Tribunal stating that an extent of 182 acres and 2 guntas of the land was in excess of the legitimate holding. The notice was addressed to Sri N. T. Rama Rao, who was stated to have readily agreed to surrender the surplus land despite the fact that a dispute has arisen and pendig in the Land Reforms Tribunal with Mr. Sathaiah Yadav and others claiming ownership of the land.

Ans :- In the paragraphs the petitioner has merely extract-ed certain passages from the press reports. He does not seem to any have personal knowledge about the facts of the case. The order of the Land Reforms Tribunal, Rangareddy district, dated 4th July, 1986 in C. C. Nos. 1/2713/75, 1/2714/75 and 1/2716/75 was upheld by the Land Reforms Appellate Tribunal-cum-District Judge, Ragareddy District, Hyderabad in L. R. A. Nos. 22/86 and 24/86 dated 1st October, 1986. The agreement holders from the declarants have filed C. R. P. Nos. 2785 and 2799/86 in this Hon'ble Court and the same are pending. The lands that have been surrendered by the declarants have vested in the Government which have been distributed to the landless poor personl and they were also put in possession of the lands assigned to them.

188. In the writ appeal No. 701 of 1983 (K. Narayan vs. Government of Andhra Pradesh) which is now pending before the Hon'ble High Court of AndhraPradesh being admitted on 30th August, 1983 against W. P. No. 5934 of 1983 wherein the first respondent obtained illegality by abusing his powers through G. O. Ms. No. 501, Revenue (U. C. II) Department dt. 9th March, 1983

by which exception is granted in favour of Sri Ramakrisna Cine Studio owned by the first respondent for 16,440.50 square metres in Survey Nos. 197/IB, 198/B, 199/B 206/IB which is worth erores of rupees for developing Horiticultural Garden for the Studio for out door shooting. This was done with dishonest motive by the first respondent by abusing his power and the permission was granted for the benefit of his son Sri N. Hari Krishna, Managing Partner SriRamakrisha CineStudio,where the first respondent kept all Telugu Desam MLAs as captives in August, September, 1984. Starngely the Writ Petition was dismissed by the Hon'ble Justice Smt. Amareshwari at the admission stage on the ground the petitioner has no locus-standi to file the Writ Petition.

Ans :- It is a fact that challenging the orders of the Government in G. O. Ms. No. 50, Revenue Department, dated 9th March, 1983 grantnig exemption in favour of Sri Rama Krishna Cine Studios of certain agricultural lands which have become vacant lands under the Urban Land Ceilings Act for the purpose of developing horticultural garden for outdoor shooting was challenged by certain persons belonging to certain political parties in W. P. No, 5934/83 and a learned signle Judge have, with great respect, rightly dismissed. The petitioners filed W. A. No. 701/83 and it is pending in this Honourable Court.

189. The Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh in W. P. No. 105338 of 1984 (Zion Social Educational Agricultural Development Society (Reg. No, A41/81), Cuddapah, represented by K. Rajaratnam Issac Vs. State of Andhra Pradesh) dated 25th February, 1986 by His Lordship Justice Sri Anjaneyulu commented regarding the partiality and political favourism shown to one Telugu Desam MLA Sri Ramana Reddy in granting permisssion to start Physical Education College in Rayalaseema ignoring better claims of the writ petitioner therein. In this regard it is necessary to pointout the observations made by the Hon'ble Justice Sri Anjaneyulu in the above said writ petition which reads as folloWs :

It is a amazing that the application by the Trust should

have been circulated for the orders of the Minister for Education and Chief Minister without the slightest reference to the consideration being given by the Government at the point of time to the case ofthe petitioner for opening a Physical Education College at Cuddapah. When the file was circulated to the Minister for Education he sought the advise the Chief Minister in the matter. When the file reached the Chief Minister on circulation on 29th March 1984 he endorsed that permission may be granted to the Trust as there was no PET Institute in the Rayalaseema ares."

The following observations made in the above said writ petition, clearly goes to how the government is granting the permission to start Physical Education College to Telugu Desam M. L. A.

" If respondent No. 3 did not intervene in February, 1984 through the good office of a MLA it was almost clear from the first respondent's record that permission would have been granted to the petitioner to start a Physical Education College at Cuddapah."

While the first respondent considered it expendient to process the petitioner's application and caused inumerable enquiries to be made over a period of three years to reach the satisfaction that the permission could be granted to the petitioner, all such formalities were dispensed without the slightest justification while considering the application of respondent No. 3.

Ans :- The order of the Government granting permission to start Physical Education College in Rayalaseema Region was legal and valid. But, a learned single Judge by order dated 25th February, 1986 set aside the order of the Government. He made it clear that the judgement would not prevent the Government from considering any fresh application for starting the College of Physical Education at Proddatur on merits. Government field W. A. 600/86 and the same is pending in the Hon'ble Court.

190. It is further submitted that the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh adversely commented upon the State Government in W. P. No. 6495 of 1986 and 6982 of 1986 dated 9th July, 1986 by His Lordship Justice Sri P. Rama Rao for failure to hold elections in Panchayat Samithies and Panchayats and further ordered that the State is bound to hold elections within three months. However against the said judgement a Writ appeal No. 739 of 1984 is pending before a Division Bench. To get over the judgement of the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh, mentioned above and to avoid facing the electorate at gross root level of Panchayat on 23rd July, 1985 and the second Amendment Act of 1986 whereby the life of Panchayats if further extended for six months from June 11, 1986 for the existing Panchayats thereby defeating the very purpose of basic democratic limit, and the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court of Andra Pradesh. The Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh by his Lordship Justice P. Rama Rao in W. P. Nos.6495 and 6982 of 1986 dated 9th July, 1986 observed as follows :

" The Government is not expected to be lethargic in holding the elections to Gram Panchayats which is profusely blesses by the Constitution. As stated above the Government is justified to defer to the elections to the first and second floors of Panchayat Raj i.e. samithies and zilla parishads as the restructuring is in contemplation under the new Act. There is absolutely no indication of trimming the Gram Panchayat system and it is unequivocally stated in the counter affidavit that the existing system will continue. There is no plausible reason for postponing the election to Grame Panchayats or extending the present terms of the Boards, The electors should have opportunity to their say periodically in the giverance of Gram Panchayats and the working of democratic machinery should not be suspended and the extension of the terms of the present Board canot be a reasonable substitute for holding the elections. The first respondent is directed to take steps to hold the elections of Gram Panchayats within three months from today."

Ans :- W. P. Nos.6495 and 6982/86 were filed to direct the Government to hold elections to Panchayat Samithis and Panchayats. After the expiry of the term of office, elections could not be held for these bodies in view of the drastic restructuring of the Panchayati Raj Institutions and change in the law relating to the elections. A learned Single Judge upheld the action of the Government in not holding elections to Panchayati Samithis but directed that elections to Panchayats shall be held. It is submitted that elections to Panchayats and Panchayat Samithis were not held for valid and justifiable reasons and no mala fides can be imputed to the Government or the Legislature.

191. The Honourable Supreme Court of India in a batch of cases in W. P. No. 5447 of 1985 and batch (B. Prabhara Rao and Others etc., Vs. State of Andhra Pradesh) dated 19th August 1985 in the matter of superannuation, Justice Sri O. Chinnappa Reddy found that :

It it amazing that the same Deputy Secretary to Government representing the same Government, should have sworn to two such contradictory affidavits it reveals a total sense of irresponsibility and an utter disregard for varacity. It shows that the deponent has signed the affidavits without even reading them or that he signed them to suit the defnce to the particular Writ petition without any regard for truth. In either case, it is reprehensible and totally unworthy of he spokesman of a Government and most unflattering to the Government on whose behalf he spoke.

We would have contemplated severe action against the deponent had we not the feeling that the responsibility for his statements lies with undisclosed higher echolons and we need not make a scapegoat of him. In fact, in a case life this involving the entire-body of Government servants in Andhra Pardesh, we would have expected the Chief Secretay of a Principal Secretary to file the counter. But they have chosen to keep themselves back."

Ans :- Under the Business Rules, the Deputy Secretary is empowered to sign the affidivits on behalf of the Government. May be that in the affidavits filed by the Deputy Secretary certain contradictions have crept in. But, no presumption can be drawn that the action of the Government was either deliberate or motivated. The remarks of the learned Judges cannot be construed as passing strictures against the Government.

192. Again the first respondent given solemn undertaking in the village Officer's case before the Honourable High Court of Andhra Pradesh and the Honourable Supreme Court of India by a letter dated 10th January, 1984 which reads asfollows:-

" Please recall the discussions held in your chambers on 9th January, 1984 with Principal Secretary Revenue, Law Secretary, Commissioner of Land Revenue, Secretary, Revenue and Joint Secretary, Revenue. At the meeting it was pointed out that the Tamilnadu Government had given an assurance before the Supreme Court that such of the Village Officers who are qualified and eligible for appointment as Village Administrative Officers would be cosidered by Government. You had enquired whether a similar assurance, it is found necessary, may be given to the Court when the Writ Petition came up for hearing.

This poin was placed before the Chief Minister when all of us met him in the afternoon. The Chief Minister has agreed to the suggestion and the required qualifications are prescribed and otherwise found suitable will also be considered for appointment as Village Assistants, subject to the availability of posts."

Based on the above undertaking of the first and second respondents the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India trusted that the State Government will give due regard for the above said statements while making appointments. It was also further observd by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India as " Statement contained in affidavits to be honoured " but the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh found that instead of giving effect to such assu-

rance given in the Hon'ble High Court of AndhraPradesh and the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, the Goverrment was found to have twisted the whole thing as an after thought the Hon'ble Court of Andhra Pradesh found in W. P. No. 5786 of 1985 and batch (B. Hanumantha Rao and Others etc., Vs. State of Andhra Pradesh) dated 4th February 1986 by his Lordsnip Chief Justice K. Bhaskaran, and the Hon'ble Sri Justice Lakshmana Rao found as follows :-

" If we may say so, as an after though the Government has decided to give a twist to what was stated before this Court and Supreme Court, casting aside the spirit behind the statement and the conte t in which it was made."

The Honourable High Court of Andhra Pradesh alos regreted to say that the Government has no bonafiedes and the observations in the above said judgement by their Lordship is as follows :

" We regret to say, did not succeed in convinecing us of the bonafieds of the Government, on the other hand it has only added to our surprise at the rather untenable stand that is being taken by the Government. This attitude in the background of all what has been said and done, is not only extremely unreasonable but also demonstrative of the eagerness of the Government to wriggle out of a solemn commitment already made, and to make the whole thing a mere joke."

The Governmet of Andhra Pradesh further claimed that they are entitled for spoils system in distributing the political patronage by given jobs to their own people by having their own party M. L. A. in Selection Committee. This is clear from findings of the learned Judges in the above judgement which reads as follows :

" The fact that in all the 23 districts the M. L. As. belonging to the ruling party along have been nominated is pointed out as the proof of motive behind the inclusion of the M. L. As. on the Committee."

" According to him there was no harm in having an M.L.A. on the Committee, he being an elected representative of the people He further submitted that in spite of all our idealogical thetorics the Spoin system is slowly creeping into the matter of 'appointed in our country also."

" We therefore, hold that Rule 5 of the Adhoc Rules to the extent it empowers the Government to nominate an MLA on Special Distrct Level Committee for selection of candidates for recrutment to the posts of Village Assistants is invalid."

Ans :- It Is submitted that the observations of this Honourable Court in the Village Officers' case should construed in its right perspective and cannot be construed as passing strictures aga inst the Government.

193. The abuse of sovereign Government power in giving paroles on the ground that they were supporters of Telugu Desam Party, the Chief Minister in exercise of such power was round to be arbitrary and motivated for political partisam consideration and has resorted to spoils system of wide spread patronage as is clear from the Judgment in W. P. No. 12150 of 1984 (Veeramachineni Raghavendra Rao Vs. Government of Andhra Praeesh) dated11th December, 1984 by his Lordship Mr. Justice Kodanda Ramayya, reported in 1985 (1) A. P. L. J. page 383. In that judgment the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Padesh found as follows:

" Thus there eannot be grater deriliction of statutory duty as evidenced in this case. It is not a mare statutory function. It is a sovereign function excuting the sentence of imprisonment. Atmost care must be bestowed by this State in executing the sentence awarded by a Court of Law. It is the executive that prospectus the criminal and it is that executes the sentence awarded by the Court. Snch a function cannot be allowed to be exercised casually. The proceedings in the instant case disclosed gross abuse of statutory power. The power conferred under the Criminal Procedure Code enjoins the statutory duty. It is walliknown that power exercised for a purpose or with an intention be to the scope of or not justified by the Instrument creating the power ultravites."

" It is pertinent to note that one Telugu Desam President of Guntur Distrct represented to the Chif Minister and orders were passed by the Chief Minister on 12th January, 1984, exten ding the period for one month and accordingly the Special Secretary (Home) granted extension of time for a further period of one month on 16th January, 1984. Then the present petitioner submitted a representation to the Chief Minister stating that the release of the these accused in this fashion in fraught with danger and the accused are creating terror and requested him not to exte nd the parols any further. However on 17th Feruary, 1984 further applications were made for extension on behalf of six accused till 25th April, 1984. The reasons stated in those applications that are the grounds on which the parols is granted could not be fulfilled and so extension may be granted. Even then theprisioners did not surrender. On that the Chief Minister passed orders extending the parole till 31st March, 1984 under the Secretary issued orders on 22nd February, 1984 accordingly. Even the prisioner did not surrender. However, on a single application made on behalf of all signed on 6th June, 1984 by one Babba Pagidamma, recommended by a Member of Legistative Assembly the parol is extended upto 30th June, 1984. Again an order was issued by the Chief Minister extending the period upto 30th June, 1984 and accordingly the Secretary issued orders on 1st June, 1984. Now it is stated in the affidavit that after that no orders were passed and the contention of the learned Government Pleader is that this Writ Petition has become infructous because the impugned orders of release have worked themselves out. I need not comment about the very serious situation created as the facts themselves reveal the way in which the great sovereign function is being discharged in such a casual way."

" It must say that the Chief Minister is not properly appri - sed of the correct legal position and the necessary assistanee was not given to him by the responsible officers when he was called upon to discharge this statutoro duty in the present case. Execution of sentence is a sacred duty of State."

From the above findings it is clear that the first responden abused hir powers for colleateral and partisar and political considerations, though the High Court covertedits comments courteously.

Ans :- The action of the Chief Minister in granting and extending the period of parole was legal and valid and it was not actuated by any motives. Against the Judgement in W. P. No. 12150/84 dated 11th December 1984, Government filed W. A. No. 53/55 and the same is pending in this Honourable Court. The allegation of the petitioner that the first respondent abused his powers for collateral, partisan and political considerations is baseless and incorrect.

194. That the worse from the first respondent's favourtism and nepotism is found in the Judgdment in W. P. M. P. No. 11148 of 1985 in W. P. No. 8111 of 1985 (E. S. Reddy Vs, State of Andhra Pradesh) dated 2nd September, 1985 by his Lordship Justice Shri Ramanjaneyulu Naidu of the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh wherein an identical charges one person Shri T. V. Chowdary was not suspended and no disciplinary action was taken against him and another Shri S. M. Rao Chowdhary even though A. C. B, case was same against them.

Even though the Minister one Sri M, Narasimhaloo held as follows :

" Basing on the report of the Ditector, A.C.B. it is fair and wise to suspend Shri T. V. Chowdhary present Managing Director AndhraPradesh Mining Corporation till that total enquiry is completed."

Even though inspite of the above said remarks Shri T. V. Chowdhary is not being suspended but was protected by the first respondent and that is clear from the extract of the Chief Ministers endorsement in the matter, which is as follows :—

" For the reasons set out in the last para of the reports Sri Chowdhary may be immediately, relieved of his responsibilities as Managing Director of the Andhra Pradesh Mining Corporation, After withdrawing his services from the Corporarion he could be posted as Managing Director of Andhra Pradesh Textile Develop ment Corporation relieving G. K. Reddy, I. A. S. of additional charge. Sri A. K. Goyal, I.A.S., Deputy Secretary, Industries & Commerce Department could hold additional charge of the post of Managing Director of Andha Pradesh Mining Corporation."

The Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Praderh also found in the above said case as follows :

That the conclusion drawn by the Chief Minister in jurisdiction of the impugned order is wholly unwarranted one that it derives little support either from the preliminary report of the the Diracter, A. C. B. or from the first information report lodged in the criminal case. A perusal of the prelimnary report and the first information report reveals that Sri T. V. Chowdhary and Sri S. M. Rao Chowdhry impleaded as A-3 and A-4 in the criminal case had a lion's share in the alleged irregularities. Yet no disciplinary action was initiated against either of them. What is more Shri S. M. Rao Chowdhary is still retained in the Andrha Pradesh Mining Corporation. There is also noindication in the impugned order of the Chief Minister for ignoring the recommendation of the concerned Minister or place Sri T. V. Chowdhary under suspension."

From this it is very clear that the first respondent acted in clear partiality and favourtism regarding Sri T. V. Chowdahry. This matter was also carried to the Honourable Supreme Court and it further said that the Government should also suspend and prosecute all the officers including Shri T.V. Chowdhary and Shri S.M Rao Chowdhary along with Shr. K. S. Reddy. But the petitioner submits that he does not know what rurther action has been taken in the matter upto the date.

Ans :- It is fact that challenging order of the Government Shri E. S. Reddy field W. P. No. 8111 of 1985. The learned single Judge allowed the petition holding that the equality clause is violated is not suspending the other officers of the Corpcrotion etc., In W. A. No. 891/85 filed by the Government, the judgment of the learned single Judge was set aside. The writ petition S.L.P No. 14045/85 in the Hon'ble Supreme Court and the same is pending. The order of the Government was actuated by any partiality or favourtism exhibited by Shri N. T. Rama Rao towards any office.

195. The Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh has further in W. P. No. 961 and 1169 of 1986 (Multi Cooperative Association, Hyderabad, represented by its Secretary Vs the State of Andhra Pradesh) dated 16th April, 1986 by his Lordship Justice K. Ramaswamy adversely commended the State of Andhra Pradesh for failure to hold elections to Cooperative Bc dies involving more than 8000 societies throughout the State. The Honourable High Court of Andhra Pradesh also opined in the said Judgment as follows :—

" In such a contingency the democratic functioning remai ins suspended on all this has happened because of these remains of the remissness of the executive."

" By not conducting the elections, the executive is depriving the members of the society of their free will in the common pursuit and services of their elected representatives."

As stated earlier the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh directed that the State Government should continue the earlier elected representative bodies and in these directions observed as follows :—

" As stated earlier. this court directed when the elections were not held, to continue the elected committee till the elections are held. That direction was nullified by the legislative annahilation which is yet an other regular feature in the State of Andhra pradesh by enacting in this case."

The Andhra Pradesh Government has nullified the Hon'ble Court Orders ts clear from the following extract in the above said judgement."

" This order of this court was nullified by legislative judgment and the continuity of the committee after the expiry of the term of the extended term was put an end to."

The Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh further found that the State was animated in dislodging the elected representatives as is elear from this extract.

" The legislative animated that having dislodged the elected representatives from office under the first clause of Section 36 of the Amendment Act from continuance in office intended to provide an elected committee to man the affairs of the societies and directed the the Registrar notwithstnading any thing contained in Section 31 (2) (b) to conduct elections within six months from the date viz. September 21, 1985. Considered from these circumstances. I hold that although the special provision may not reveal Section 123, it restricted the exercise of the general power and directed the Registrar to conduct election to the class of societies to whom the election have not been conducted after the expiry of the term and whose elected members were dislodged by the legislative act under first clause of Section 36 of the Amendment act Section36 is a special statute and it prevails over the general power under Section 123. Therefore, I have no hesitation to hold that the exercise of the power under Section 123 on July 4th, 1985 through G. O. Rt. No. 1196 is unavailable after September 21, 1985 to the State to postpone the conduct of elections."

The Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh further hoped as follows :—

" It is in the and hoped that speedy and effective steps would be taken for holding fresh elections to that the electorate of Simla are not deprived, for a day longer than is absolutly necessary, of the exercise of their cherished democratic right of fran-

chise to elect their representatives to manage their own local affairs, Aribitrarily depriving them of this right, seems to me to be a great violation of their basic statutory right tolerated only in the state which pay more lip homage to democracy, Such violation in this Republic and are an afferent to the wisdom and and labour of the farmers of the constitution. Mere drafting of constitution, it may be remembered does not make the State democratic. It is the people who have to exert themselves to enforce the constitution and to adopt the principales enshrined therein in their day-to-day life. To this end, the Government departments the local bodies as well as every patriotic citizen must strive, if we have to sureve as free domocratic nation. Before closing I should point out the truism that it is the close watchful eye of the public that makes both Administrator and the Statesman virtuous."

Ans :- These paragraphs relate to the holding of elections to the Cooperative Societies in the State. It is only by virtue of Amendment Acts that the elections were postponed as there was delay on the part of the Central Government to give clearance for the introduction of Single Window Scheme proposed by the State Government as it was beneficial to the Cooperatives. After the the scheme was cleared, the Societies were reorganised and the elections were held.

196. It is submitted that in the annals of Judicial and political history of democratic consititutions no single person received so numerous and sever strictures from the highest courts in any modern democratic country as Shri N. T. Rama Rao and yet he neither cares for them nor has any regard, concern for them. On the contrary, he seems to have utter contempt and disregard for the same. It is really a sad day for the democracy when its highest court's verdicts are treated with scant regard by a Chief Minister elected under the same constitutional system by which the judiciary also is constituted.

Ans :- The allegations are baseless and denied. The allegations that strictures were passed against Sri N. T. Rama Rao by the Courts is false and incorrect.

Cheif Minister has the utmost respect for the judiciary and the judicial system which is the bedrock of democracy.

# Material Papers

# accompnpying the statement

## PARTICULAS OF MAJOR HEADS OF DEPARTMENTS

| Sl. No. | Name of the Post | Name of the Officer | Community |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Director of School Education | Kum. K. Sujatha Rao, I.A.S., | Hindu (Brahmin) |
| 2 | Director General of Higher Education | Dr. (Smt.) A. Vanajakshi, I. A. S., | Hindu (Kamma) |
| 3 | Director General of State Archives | Sri T. Balakrishna, I. A. S., | Hindu (Brahmin) |
| 4 | Director of Telugu Academy | Sri V. Kondala Rao | Hindu (Velama) |
| 5 | Director of Text-Book Press | Sri V. V. Naidu | Hindu (Naidu) |
| 6 | Secrtary, Board of Intermediate Education | Sri B. Madhava Reddy | Hindu (Reddy) |
| 7 | Director of Archaeology & Museums | Dr. V.V.Krishua Sastry | Hidnu (Brahmin) |
| 8 | Commissioner of Sports & Youth Service | Sri D. Ramakrishnaiah, I.A.S., | S. C. |
| 9 | Director of Treasuries &Accounts | Sri Abdul Quasim | Muslim |
| 10 | Bureau of Economics & Statistics | Sri S. Lakshminarayana | Hindu (Kamma) |
| 11 | Commissioner for Small Savings & State Lotteries | Sri N. K. Suroya, I.A.S., | S. C. |
| 12 | Commissioner & Dir. of Agriculture | Sri S. K. Arora, I.A.S., | Punjabi |
| 13 | Commr. for Co-operation & Registrar of Coop. Societies | Sri Mohan Kanda, I.A.S. | Hindu (Brahmin) |

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
|---|---|---|---|
| 14 | Commissioner of Civil Supplies | Sri G. P. Rao, I.A.S., | Hindu (Kapu) |
| 15 | Spl. I. G. Vigilence Cell | Sri V. Appa Rao, IPS | Hindu (Kamma) |
| 16 | Commisioner for Development of Marketing & Dir. of Marketing | Sri R. V. Krishanan, IAS | Hindu (Bramin) |
| 17 | Director of Horti-culture | Sri Balagurunatham | Hindu (Others) |
| 18 | Principal Chief Conservator of Forests | Sri K.V.S. Babu, IFS | Hindu (Kamma) |
| 19 | Director of Animal Husabandry | Dr. K. Hanumantha Rao | Hindu (Kapu) |
| 20 | Commissioner of Fisheries | Sri M. C. Mahapatra, IAS | Oriya |
| 21 | M. D., A.P. Dairy Devp. Coop. Fedn. | Sri K. Sadasiva Rao, IAS | Hindu (Kamma) |
| 22 | Director of Fisheries & E.D.A.P. Fisheries Devep. Corporation | Sri D. Janardhana Rao, IAS | Hindu (Others) |
| 23 | D. G. Vigilance & Enforcement Dept. | Sri S. Chandrasekharan, IPS | Hindu (Others) |
| 24 | Commisoner Instt. of Admn. | Sri K. M. Ahmed, IAS | Muslim |
| 25 | Director General of A. C. B. | Sri Suseel Kumar, IPS | Hindu (Kayastha) |
| 26 | Commissoner, I. & P. R. | Sri V. Saidulu | (Kamma) |
| 27 | Director of Tourism | Sri J. C. Mohanthy, IAS | Oriya |
| 28 | D. G. & I. G. P. | Sri P. S. Ramamohan Rao IPS | Hindu (Kamma) |

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
|---|---|---|---|
| 29 | Dirictor of Printing, Stationery & Stores Purchase | Sri T. Venkata Reddy, IAS | Hindu (Reddy) |
| 30 | Dir. General of Prisions Dir. of Correctional Services | Sri P. V. Rangaiah Naidu IPS | Hindu (Naidu) |
| 31 | Director of Fire Services | Sri Krishna Murthy | Hindu (Kalinga) BC |
| 32 | Prl. Civil Defence & Emergency Relief Training Institute | Sri M. V. Thomus, IPS | Christian |
| 33 | Director of Town & Country Planning | Sri N. Venugopala Reddy | Hindu (Reddy) |
| 34 | C. E. Public Health | Sri Krupa Rao | (Hindu) (Kapu) |
| 35 | C. E., Hyderabad Metropoliten Water Works | Sri Subbaramaiah | Hidnu (Others) |
| 36 | Commissioner of Industries | Sri T. Gopala Rao, IAS | S.C. |
| 37 | Commissioner for Handlooms & Textiles | Sri S. Narayanan, IAS | Hindu (Brahmin) |
| 38 | Director of Sericulture | Smt. Ashy Murthy, IAS | Hindu (Others) |
| 39 | Commissioner of Commerce and Export Promotions | Sri S. Anwar, IAS | Muslim |
| 40 | Director of Mines and Geology | Sri Sastry | Hindu (Brahmin) |
| 41 | Controllor of Weights & Measures | Sri P. V. Pavitran, IAS | (Hindu) |

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
|---|---|---|---|
| 42 | Director of Sugar & Cane Development | Sri P, Ramakanth Reddy IAS | Hindu (Reddy) |
| 43 | Chairman, APSEB | Sri N. Tata Rao | Hindu (Kamma) |
| 44 | Engineer-in-Chief Irrigation | Sri Rajendra Kumar | Hindu (Others) |
| 45 | Director of Ground Water | Sri Subrahmanyam | Hindu (Bramin) |
| 46 | Director of Technical Education | Smt. Chaya Ratan, IAS | S. C. |
| 47 | Director of Employement and Training | Sri R. S. Goel, IAS | Hindu (Others) |
| 48 | Diractor of Women & Chaild Welfare | Smt. Janaki Kondapi IAS | Hindu (Brahmin) |
| 49 | Kommissioner of Labour | Dr. J. S. Sarma, IAS | Hindu (Brahmin) |
| 50 | Director of Medical Education | Dr. Krishna Rao | Hindu (Baliji) |
| 51 | Director of Health & Family Welfare | Dr. Sundar Rao | Hindu (Brahmin) |
| 52 | Director Indian Medicine & Homoeopathy | Sri N.V.H. Sastry, IAS | Hindu (Brahmin) |
| 53 | Commissioner of P. R. and R. D. | Sri Sanarjit Ray, IAS | Hindu (Others) |
| 54 | Commissioner for Rehabilitation | Sri Narendra Luthar, IAS | Punjabi |
| 55 | Commissioner of Land Revenue | Sri K, V. Natarajan, IAS | Hindu (Brahmin) |
| 26 | Commissioner of Commercial Taxes | Dr. E.A.S. Sarma | Hindu (Brahmin) |
| 57 | Commirsioner of Land Reforms & U. L. C. | Sri R. Kuppu Rao, IAS | Hindu (Brahmin) |

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
|---|---|---|---|
| 58 | Commissioner of Survey Settlements and Land Records | Sri P. Sitapathi, IAS | Hindu |
| 59 | Commissioner for Relief | Sri S. Santhanam, IAS | Hiudu (Brahmin) |
| 60 | Commissioner of Excise | Sri. PVRK. Frasad, IAS | Hindu (Brahmin) |
| 61 | Director of Distillers and Breweries | Sri J. Satyanarayana IAS | Hindu (Brahmin) |
| 62 | Commissioner of Hindu Religious & Charitable Endowments | Sri T. Ramamohan Rao IAS | Hindu (Kamma) |
| 63 | Inspector General of Regn. Stamps | Sri K. Kosalram, IAS | Hindu (Others) |
| 64 | Director of Social Welfare | Sri K. V. Parmahamsa, IAS | Hindu (Brahmin) |
| 65 | Director of Back ward Class Welfare | Sri Ramamohan | Hindu (Otherr) |
| 66 | Director of Tribal Welfare | Sri A. K. Tigidi, IAS | S. T. |
| 67 | Transport Commissioner | Sri M. S. Rajajee, IAS | Hindu (Brahmin) |
| 68 | Engineer-in-Chief R. & B. | Sri V. Raghavan | Hindu (Brahmin) |
| 69 | Commissioner of Family Plg. | Sri P. K. Agarwal, IAS | Hindu (Others) |
| 70 | Commissioner, MCH Hyderabad | Sri H. S. Brahma, IAS | Hindu (Others) |
| 71 | Director of Municipal Administration | Sri K. Satya Raju, IAS | Hindu (Others) |

Telex : Tirupathi 403-202
Tirumala 403-208
Grams : DEVASTHAN

Sri G. KumaraSwami Reddy, IAS.,
Executive Officer

OFFICE OF
the Executive Officer
T. T. DEVASTHANAMS
TIRUPATI.

R.O.C. No. G4-44523/83
To

The Secretary to Government

Dated : 29-2 84

Revenue (Endowments-III) Department
Government of Andhra Pardesh
HYDERABAD.

Sri

Sir,

Sub : T. T. Devastanams - General Section - Overseas temples construction completion and renovation scheme - approval request - regarding.

Ref : Res. No. 25 dt. 18-2-84 of the Board of Trustees, T. T. Ds.

I have to state that many Hindu Societies organised in foreign countries are asking for financial aid by way of grant as well as loan from TTDs for construction completion and renovation of Hindu Temples in their countries and localities. Now the common good fund of Andra Pradesh State Gouernmet is beiag used for renovation of the temples in Andhra Pradesh area only. And

there is no other agency in India which is in a position to give or extend financial aid to to the temple committees in foregin countries for the purpose of construction completion and renovation of Hindu temples in foregin countries.

In this connection it may mentioned that there is no provision in TTDs Act 20/79 enabling the TTD Management Committee / Board by itself to spend its surplus funds for giving financial aid for the above purposes, But sec, 23 (6) of the TTD Act, 20 / 79. empowers the Managment Committeee / Board of TTDs to spend its surplus funds for charitable or relegious purposes with the prior approval of the Government.

It is highly desirable and also necessary to extend required financial aid to the temple committees in foreign countries for the purposes of construction completion and renovation of Hindu temples in foreign countries as it will go a long way to propagate Hindu Dharma in foreign countries.

The Management Committee of TTDs had considered the above subject and passed resolution No. 460 dt. 28-11-83 approving in policy giving of financial aid to the temple committee in foreign countries for the above subject purpose and resolved to prepare a scheme and the said sceme is to be approved by the Government.

The scheme to that effect was prepared and placed before the Management Committee and the Management Committee TTDs in its Resolution No. 577 dated 2-2-84 resolved recommend the suject proposals to the Boagd of Trustees, TTDs.

Accordingly, the scheme has been placed before the Board of Trustees, TTDs and the Board of trustees and its resolution No. 25 dated 18-2-84 resolved to accept and adove project with one crore of initial investment(wherever the word Manager ocuers it should be construed as Board of TTDs). True copies of the Resolution of the Management Committee anp Board of Trustees, TTDs together with thd draft scheme are enclosed herein for kind perusal and reference.

In view of the above, I request that the Government may kindly approve the above subject, scheme and communicate relevant orders thereto for taking necessary further aetion in the matter.

Enc : As above.

Sd/-
G. Kumaraswamy Reddy
Executive Officer

/ t. c. b. o. /

Sd/-
DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER (G)

Copy to file/spare
sjk/23

కాపీ ఆఫ్ :

జి. 44523/83

జనరల్ విభాగము

450 విషయము : తితిదే జనరల్ విభాగము - విధులు - మిచిగాన్ - ఫింట్‌లో వుండు శ్రీ పశ్చిమ కాశీ శ్రీ విశ్వనాధ ఆలయ - అభివృద్ధి కార్యక్రమమునకు నిధులు - మంజూరు చేయుట - గురించి.

సూచన : శ్రీ హనుమంత మరూరు, ప్రెసిడెంటు, భారతీయ ఆలయములు, ఫింటు లేఖ తారీఖు 10-10-1983

ఫింటులో వున్న భారతీయ ఆలయ అధ్యక్షుడు శ్రీ హనుమంతయ్య మరూరు వ్రాసిన లేఖను తా. 10-10-83 మేనేజ్‌మెంటు కమిటీ వారికి నివేదించడమయినది.

తన విన్నపములో, ఆతడు ఆలయ నిర్మాణమునకు, పశ్చిమ భూభాగములో హిందూ సంస్కృతికి ప్రతీకగా వుండుటకు నిధులు వడ్డీ లేకుండా మంజూరు చేయవలసిందిగా కోరెను.

యిందుమూలముగా నివేదించడ మేమనగా విదేశాలలో వుండు హిందూ సంఘములు నిధులు వడ్డీ లేక కంతులవారిగా కట్టు విధానమున

అడుగుచున్నాము. న్యూయార్క్‌లో, పిట్స్‌బర్గ్‌లో వున్న ఆలయము లకు సహాయము చేసినందున యిటువంటి విన్నపములు వచ్చుచున్నవి. కావున మేనేజ్‌మెంటు కమిటీవారు యీ విషయమై ఒక నిర్ణయము తీసికోవలసిందిగా కోరడమైనది.

తీర్మాణం నెం. 450 తేది 28-11-83

"పై వుదహరించిన కోరికను సూత్రముగా ఆమోదించి అన్ని విదేశ దేవాలయాలకే వర్తించేలాగా ఒక ప్రణాళిక తయారు చేయవలయునని నిర్ణయించడ మయినది".

సం. వి. కె. డి. వి. ఎస్. రాజు
అధ్యక్షులు

/ ట్రూ కాపీ /

Sd/.....
P. A. to EXECUTIVE OFFICER

STAMP

Copy of

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
ABSTRACT

TIRUMALA TIRUPATI DEVASTANAMS - OVERSEAS TEMPLES : CONSTRUCTION, COMPLETION AND RENOVATION - Financial aid scheme - approved - orders issued.

REVENUE (ENDOWMENTS-III) DEPARTMENT

G. O. Ms. No. 827 Dated : the 23rd May, 1984
Read the following.

From the Executive Officer, TTD letter Roc. No. G4/44523/83, dt. 29-2-1984.

***

ORDER :

Government have been receiving several representations from many Hindu Societies organised in Foreign countries for

sanction of financial aid by way of grant or advance from TTD, for construction. completion and renovation of Hindu Temples. As the Common Good fund of A. P. State is issued for renovation of the temsles in A. P. State and as section 23 (6) of the TTD Act. 20 of 1979 empowers the TTD management Committee. Board by itself to spend its surplus funds for charitable or religious purposes with the prior approval of the Government and with a view to propagate Hindu Dharma in Foreign countries and as it is considered highly desirable to extend financial and to temple committees in foreign countries, the TTD Board considered the above proposal and resolve to evolve a scheme for giving financial aid to the temple committees in foreign countries for the above purpose and accordingly submitted a draft scheme for creation of revolving fund of rupees one crore for the said purpose for approval of the Government.

2) Government have carefully examined the draft scheme received with the letter read above and approve the T. T. Devastanams overseas temples construction Financial aid scheme. A copy of the scheme as approved by the Government is appended to this order.

3) He is requested to maintain separate accounts for the regulation of this scheme.

4) The Executive Officer, Tirumala Tirupati Devastanams is requested to get the scheme as approved by Government printed in the form of a brochure and furnish 100 copies to Government.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

D. V. S. R. Rajasekhara Rao,
DEPUTY SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

TO
The Executive Officer, Tirumala Tirupati Devastanams,
Tirupati (W. E.)

Copy to the Commissioner of Information and Public Relations, Hyderabad (w. e.)
Copy to the Director of Local Fund Audit, Hyderabad (w. e.)
Copy to the Commissioner of Endowments Department, Hyderabad (w. e.)
Copy to the P. A. to Chief Minister.

/ forwarded by order /

Sd/-
Section Officer.

/ true copy /

Sd/-
SUPERINTENDENT.

## OVERSEAS TEMPLES CONSTRUCTION : COMPLETION AND RENOVATICN SCHEME

WHEREAS many Hindu societies organised in foregin countries are asking for financial aid by way of grant as well as loan from the T. T. Devastanams for construction, completion and renovation of Hindu Temples in their countries and localities ;

WHEREAS the Andhra Pradesh State Government Common Good Fund can be used for renovation of the temples in Andhra Pradesh are only.

WHEREAS there is no other Agency in India which is in a position to give financial aid to the Temple committees in foreign countries, for the purpose of construction, completion and renovation of Hindu Temples in foreign countries.

WHEREAS there is no provision in TTD. Act, 20 of 1979 enabling the TTD. Management committee by itself to spend its surplus funds for giving financial aid for the above purposes.

WHEREAS Section 23 (6) of TTD, Act. 20 of 1979 empowers the management Committee of TTD to spend its surplus funds for charible or religious purposes, with the prior approval of the Government.

WHEREAS it is highly desirable and also necessary to give required financial aid to the Temple committee in foreign countries for the purposes of construction, completion and renovation of Hindu Temples in foreign countries, as it will go a long way to propagate Hindu Dhara in foreign countreis.

WHEREAS the Management committee of TTD has considered this subject and passed resolution No. 460 dated 28-11-1983 approving in policy giving of financial aid to the temple committees in foreign countries for the above purpose and resolved to parepare a scheme for that purpose.

WHEREAS the Management committee approved this scheme in resolution No. 577, dated 2-2-1984 and the Board approved tt in its resolution No. 25, dated 18-2-1984.

And WHEREAS the particulars of this scheme are furnished to the Government and the Government has approved the cheme in G. O. Ms. No. 827, Revenue (Endowments, III) Department, dt. 23-5-1984.

Now this scheme is framed by the T. T. Devastanams, Tirupathi.

1. This scheme will be called as "T. T. DEVASTHANAMS OVERSEAS TEMPLES CONSTRUCTION FINANCIAL AID SCHEME."

2. This scheme is applicable to all the foreign countries all over the world.

3. The Board of Trustees, TTD, Tirupati shall be the Manager of this scheme.

4. TTD. Board of this scheme will initially deposit an amount of Rs.1 crore as revolving Fund in any Schedueld Co-operative Bank or Scheduled Bank of Nationalised Bank to the credit of this scheme. The TTD. Board may deposit any more amount to the credit of this account and when the TTD. Board deems it necessary.

5. It will be in the discretion of the TTD. Board to decide whe ther the amount is to be deposited in current account or fixed deposit account and in which bank it is to deposited.

6. The Executive officer / Financial Advisor and Chief Accounts Officer of T. T. Devasthanams, Tirupati, will be the authority to operate on this account and he will operate according to the instructions of the TTD Board. He shall not withdraw any amount for any purpose from this account wirhout the approval of the TTD Board. All deposits and receipts the this account shall be imediately reported to the TTD Board,

7. The TTD Board, from out of the above amount, will be entitled to give financial aid to any temple committee, in foreign countries in the form of outright grant or advance for construction, completion or renovation of Hindu Temples in foreign countries,

8. Before, the financial aid is give, the TTD Board shall be satisfied about the bonafides of the request. Further, the applicant committee must be a registered committee under the provisions of the law obtaining in their country.

9. Before sanctioning the financial aid, the TTD Board may call for any further information from the applicant committee, and may also cause further enquires made by sending persons or calling for remanks or otherwise be satisfy itself regarding the bonafides of the request.

10. In any one given case, the financial aid shall not exceed Rs. 15,00,000/-

11. The financial aid thus sanctioned either by way of outright grant or advance may be in kind namely, by way of Vigrahams (Idols) supply of structures etc., required for the temple and sparing the services of Stapathi including transport charges.

12. The financial aid thus sanctioned, if it is sanctioned in cash,

will be paid to the applicant committee in three equal instalments watching the progress of the work for which the aid is given and after submission of the utilisation certificates and reporting the progess of construction of repairs made by the applicant.

13. If the TTD. Board feels that the amount given is not properly spent the TTDBoard may cancel the further amount still to be paid to the applicant committee, and may also back the amount already paid by caneelling the Financial Aid order in which case interest is payable at 14% per annum from the date of payment.

14. The financial aid thus sanctioned, if it is by way of advanee will be without interest and the temple committee shall be liable to repay the amount given to it, either in case or in kind like Hospital equipment etc., where the repayment is in kind the fund would be replenished to the extent of the value received in kind by TTD so that, the revolving fund could be operated upon regularly.

15. The financial aid amount given by way of advance to an temples committee is repayable in fiveannual instalments, the first instalment failling due in the month of January in the third calendar year after the year in which the said aid was sanctioned and the subsequent instalments failling due in the January months of the sucecеding calenar years.

16. If any instalment is not repaid in time the temple committee will be liable to pay that instalment amount with interest at 14% per annum from the first day of February, fallowiong the month of January in which the said instalment was due.

17. If the amounts due are not repaid inspite of issue of notices, it shall be lawful to the TTD Board to recover the amounts by talking legal steps, and the members of the temple committee at the time of the sanction of the aid will also be personally liable for the repayment in addition to the liability of the

Temple Committee when the amounts are found due. Chan ges in the members of the Temple Committee shall be repor ted to the TTD Board from time to time.

18. For the purposes of jurisdiction, the Courts at tirupati will be the courts having jurisdiction to entertain any claim by the TTD Board for recovery of the amounts due from the applicants as the applications are entertained and the aid amounts are sanctioned at Tirupati

19. When the aid is sanctioned by way of advance and before the first instalment is paid, the Temple Committee shall be bound to furnish such security as the TTD Board may required, for repayment of the amount and also an undertaking for proper spending of the amount and submitting utilisation certificate and shall also furnish such other information as may be called for by the TTD Board regarding the amounts spent for the purpose.

20. If the aid is sanctioned by way of outright grant the applicant Committee must furnish utilisation certificate within a period of one year from the date of release of the Grant.

21. It shall be lawful for the members of the Board of Trustees, TTD or any Officer of T. T. D, deputed by the Board of Trustees to inspect the work in progress at any time for satisfying themselves regarding progress of the work. The expenses for sending anyone for this purpose, shall be borne by the Applicant committee, if the TTD Board so desires, otherwise it will be borne by the TTDs.

22. It shall be lawful for the Board of Trustees of TTD to add, delete or amend any of the clauses of this scheme without notice to the Committee to whom the aid is given, and the scheme thus amended shall be binding on all the Committees to whom the aid is given, though notice of the amendment of the scheme is not given to them in advance.

Statement placed on the Table by Chief Minister re : Allegations made against C. M.

Sd/-
D. V. S. R. Rajasekhara Rao
DEPUTY SECRETARY TO GOVT.

/ forwarded /

Sd/-
SECTION OFFICER.

/ true copy /

Sd/-
SUPERINTENDENT.

## RULES

1. These rules are framed under the T. T. Devasthanames Overseas Temples Construction, Completion or Renovation Financial Aid Rules.

2. These rules are framed under the T. T. Devasthanams Overseas Temples Construction. Completion or Renovation Financial Aid scheme approved by the Government of Andhra Pradesh in G. O. Ms. No. 827 Revenue (Endowments - III) Department dated 23-6-1984. If any doubt arises, the said scheme should be referred for understanding these rules, and these rules are only supplemental to the said scheme.

3. All applications for aid under this scheme should be submitted to the Executive Officer, T. T. Devasthanams, Tirupati, Chittoor district, Andhra Pradesh, India. The applications must be sent in Triplicate.

4. The applicants must clearly mention in the application whether their request is by way of advance or by way of outright grant. The applicant must be registered Committee.

5. The applications should be made on behalf of the Temple Committee concerned. Along with applicaton, the Temple Committee shall send the names and addresses of all the Office bearers of the Committee, the byelaws of the Committee and also

true copy of the certificate of registration of the Temple Committee.

6. The application for the aid under the scheme must be signed by all the Office bearers besides Chairman Secretary and Treasurer of the TempleCommittee duly authorised in this behalf.

7. If the aid under the scheme is sought for construction of a temple, the temple committee should own a suitable site for the Temple purposes, and should furnish the details of the site in the application.

8. With the application, the temple Committee should submti plans the details of the idols of the dieties require the financial capacity of the Temple Committee and other relevant information.

9. Aid can be given under this scheme upto a sum of rupees 1s lakhs to any Temple Committee for the construction, completion or renovation of any Hindu Temple.

10. The aid may be in the form of making available the services of Stapathis, Silpies, expert persons and other technical personnel for erecting structures and also the services of Archakas. The aid may also be in kind viz., by way of Vigrahams and stone structures. The temple Committee should furnish in their applications, the manner in which aid require is sought for.

11. The scrutiny of plans and estimates, silpi work and preparations of Vigrahams shall be executed by the T. T. D. and or Endowment Department of Andhra Pradesh.

12. Before sanctioning the Financial aid, the T. T. Devastanams, Boad may call for any further information from the Applicant Committee, and may also cause further enquiries made by sending persons or calling for remarks or otherwise to satisfy itself regarding the bonafides of the request. The expenditure for this enquiry will be borne by the T. T. D. Board only.

13. All applications received for the aid, shall be scrutin-

nised by the Sub Committee constituted by the Board and placed before the T. T. Devasthanams Board.

14. In the event of the aid being it the form of supply of services or idols, the monetary value there of shall be got fixed by the Executive Officer.

15. The applicant Committee shall submit the Utilisation Certificate and shall report the progress of construction or repairs etc., to the Executive Officer from time to time or whenever called upon.

16. The release of the instalments of the loand will depend on the submission of the utilisation certificates for the instalments already released and in the proceedings sanctioning the aid, the details of the utilisation certificates to be submitted by the applicant Committee and the period when they are to be submitted must be clearly mentioned.

17. Tne T. T. Devasthanams Board should open a separate account for this scheme and should initially deposit an amount of Rs. 1 crore in this account. The Executive Officer or Financial Advisor and Chief Accounts Office of T. T. Devasthanams will be authority to operate on this account. He will operate this account according to the instructions from the T. T. D. Board, and every withdrawal and every deposit into this account, shall be reported to the T. T. D. Board (as and when the aid is sanctioned and funds are released) the Financial Advisor and Chief Accounts Officer should submit proposals to the Board for replashing the account.

18. If the financial aid is sanctioned by way advance, it is repayable without interest. The Temple Committee concerned shall be liable to repay the amount either in cash or kind like the Hospital equipment etc., as decided by the T.T.D. Board.

19. If the amount is repayable in cash, it should be repaid in 5 annual instalments as stipulated in the scheme, and also with

interest if there is any default in payament as stipulated in the scheme.

20. If the T. T. Devasthanams Board feels that some security is required from the applicant Committee, they can eall for the necessary information from the Temple Committee, and decided the nature of security required.

21. If the T. T. Devasthanams Board wants to get the progress of any work with the aid sanctioned to be inspected, it can depute any member of the Board or any member of the Offieer of T. T. Devasthanams to inspect and report about the progress of the work. The future instalments should not be released till such inspection and receipt of the report if the T.T.D. Board so desires The Executive Officer should report to the Board if any such instruction is required.

22. The proceedings sactioning the aid shall contain all the details about the nature of the aid and the repayment if any. Acknowledgement of the proceedings by the Temple Committee concerned is sufficient and no formal agreement is required. Unless the Temple Committee concerned objects for any condition in the said proceedings within one month from the date of the proceedings by informing the same to the Executive Officer, it shall be presumed that the Temple Committee had accepted for all the conditions in the proceedings.

23. These rules are only illustrative, but not exhaustive. It is open to the T. T. Devasthanams Board to frame any additional rules or to impose any other conditions while sanctioning the aid for any other purpose for implementing the scheme.

( APPROVED )

Sd/-
G. KUMARASWAMY REDDY,
EXECUTIVE OFFICER.

Statement placed on theT able
by Chief Minister
re : Allegations made against
C. M.

Feburary 22, 1985

A. LAXMINARAYANA, I.A.S.,
Chief Executive Officer,
Tirupati Devasthanam,
TIRUPATI.
A.P. India.

Dear Sir,

I have received your letter dated January 5, 1985. On behalf of Governing Body of Hindu Temple of Grater Chicago (HTGC). I thank you very much and our sincere apprecaiation for approving the loan to HTGC. In accordance with the rules established by the TTD, a local committee of HTGC was found at Hyderabad, shared by Honorable Justice C. Kondaiah. The Committee also includes Special Secretary to A.P.S. Government. Honorable B. N. Jayasimaha, I.A.S; Stapathi Ganapathi,Mr. Surya Rao, Deputy Commissioner ofEndowments and Mr. Galla Naidu who was one of the founding memburs of Hindu Temple, now settled in Tirupati.

Honorable Justice C. Kondaiah was also authorised by the Governing Body of HTGC to handle the stone carving being done in India and transporation of Silpis to U. S. A. He is also authorised to withdraw the granted loan money from TTD for expenses incurred in carving and transportation of details and transportation of Silpis to U. S. A.

We will appreciate if you could contact Honorable Justice Kondaiah and immediately make arrangements to transfer the TTD loan to HTGC Local Body Account being established at Hyderabad State Bank.

Sincerely yours,

Sd/-
Krishna P. Reddy, M. D.
President.

Sd/-
M. R. Anne, M, D.
Secretary.

THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
TTD DEVASTHANAMS : TIRUPATI

R. C. No. G4/16287/84. Dt. 27-7-1985

" PROCEEDINGS "

Sub :- TTDs - General Section - Temple - Temples at overseas - construction Sri Rama Temple at Lemont Illinois 60439 P. O. Box No. 99 - Rs. 5/- lakhs sanctioned as loan - orders - issued.

ORDER :

Sanction is hereby accorded for a loan of Rs. 5/- lakhs (Rupees five lakhs only) to the Chairman, Sri Rama Temple. Lemont Illinois 60439, for construction of Sri Rama Temple sub-, ject to the condition that the temple committee should abide by the rules in the scheme, besides submitting necessary application with the required documents as envisaged in the rules. The sanctioned amount should be repaid in five annual instalments as stipulated in condition No. 19 of the rules.

The P. A. to Exe. Officer (G), TTDs. will draw the sum of Rs. 5/- lakhs (Rupees five lakhs) and arrange early payment to the Chairman of the Temple Committee.

The F. A. & Chief Accounts Officer, TTDs, is requested to admit the bill in Accounts Section and to operate and maintain seperate accounts in this regard as per G. O. Ms. No. 827, Revenue (Endt.III) Department, dt. 23-5-1984.

The Chairman, Sri Rama Temple, P. O. Box No, 99, Lemont, Illinois 60439 is requested to utilise the loan amount for the purpose for which it is sanctioned and also to send stamped receipt and utilisation certificate for the amount of Rs. 5 lakhs (Rupees five lakhs) at any early date besides sending the application as required.

Sd/-
S. LAXMINARAYANA,
Executive Officer

Statement placed on the Table by Chief Minister
re : Allegations made against C. M.

Sd -

/ forwarded /
SVPERINTENDENT.

To
The Chairman, Sri Rama Temple, C/o. The Hindu Temples of Grater Chicago.
P. O. Box No. 99, LEMONT, Illinois 63439 (BY AIR MAIL)
Copy to the P. A. to E. O. (G), TTDs, Tirupati (2)
Copy to the F. A. & C. A. O., TTDs, Tirupati
Copy to Sri U. B. Raghavendra Rao, IAS., Special Secretary to Hon'ble Chief Minister, Government of A. P. Hyderabad.
Copy to Sri Gangaiah, Mandal Officer, Tirupati Uraban, Tirupati
Copy to C. C. to E. O., TTD, Tirupati
Copy to the Chief Accouuts Officer, TTDs, Tirupati
The Accounts Officer, TTDs, Tirupati
File / Spare.

* *

Copy of :-
General Section :
Roc. No. G4/16287/84,

24. Sub :- TTDs - General - Section - Financial aid to Sri Rama Temple at Lemount Illinois 60439 - P. O. Box No. 99 - Rs. 5 lakhs sanctioned as loan in the first instance - approval and ratification - requested.

The Chairman. Sri Rama Temple C/o. The Hindu Temple of Grater Chicago, P. O. Box No. 99 Lemount, Illinois 60439 has sought for T. T. D. financial aid to an extent of Rs. 25 lakhs for constructions of Sri Rama Temple in Lemount as in the case of Bhadrachalam which is in a grate replica and reknowned temple and that it would alwas remain as a masterpiece reflecting our culure, tradition and architecture in a great country lake Chicago.

The Honorable Chief Minister of Andhra Fradesh has laid floundation stone for this temple on 17-6-1984 and promised them

in providing needed help in accomplshing the above temple porject and also given valuable suggestion for construction the temple with Vastu sastra.

The Government of Andhra Pradesh, has approved the scheme for granting financial aid by the TTDs for construction completion or renovation of Overseas Temples vide G. O. Ms. No. 827, Revenu (Endowments III) Department, dt 23-5-1984. As per rule 7 in the Scheme, T. T. D. could give financial aid to any temple committee in foreign countries as an advance for construction, completion or renovation of Hindu Temples upto a sum not exceeding Rs. 15 lakhs.

In view of the fact, that the Hon'ble Chief Minisier of Andhra Pradesh, has already laid the foundation stone for this temple complex and promissed them necessary assistance and pretexting that the TTD Board will not raise any objction, an amouni of Rs. 5 lakhs as an a loan was sanctioned and released in the first instance towards construction of Sri Rama Temple at Lemount, vide proceedings G4/16287/84, dt. 27-7-85 in anticipation of the approval of TTD Board.

Hence the above subject matter is placed before the T T D Board for thair approval and to ratify the action of the Executive Officer, TTD in having issued orders sanctioning the amount of Rs. 5 lakhs as a loan in the first instance to the above temple organisation for construction of Sri Rama Temple at Lemount.

Resolution No. 24/17-9-1985.

RATIFIED

Sd/-
V. K. D. V. S. RAJU,
Chairman.

SUPERINTENDENT

/ true copy /

Tclex : Tirupati 403 - 202
Tirumal 402 - 208

Grams : DEVASTHAN

From
SRI S. LAKSHMINARAYANA, I.A.S,
Executive Officer.

The Executive Officer
T. T. Devasthanams
TIRUPATHI.

R. O. C. No. G4/16287/84 Date : 13-8-85.

To
The Chairman,
Sri Rama Temple,
C/o. The Hindu Temples of Grater Chicago,
Post Box No. 99,
LEMONT, ILLINOIS - 60439.

/By Regd. Post ack due-by Air Mail/

Sir,

Sub :- TTD - Geneaal Section - Temples at Overses - construction of Sri Rama Temple, at Lemont, Illinois - 60439, P. O. Box No. 99 - Rs. 5 lakhs - sanctioned as loan - sending of cheque regarding.

Ref :- Proceedings Roc. No. G4/16287/84, dt. 27-7-85.

I am herewith enclosing the cheque bering No. 419206, dated 6-8-1985, on Andhra Bank, for Rs. 5 lakhs, bearing the loan amount sanctioned for construction and completion of Sri Rama Temple at Lemont, Illinois - 60439,

I request you kindly to utilise the loan amount for the purpose for which it is sanctioned and also to send stamped receipt on 'A' cheque invoice and utilisation certificat for the amount of

Rs. 5 lakhs besides submitting the application as requested in the cited proceeding at an early date.

Sd/-
S. LAKSHMINARAYANA,
EXECUTIVE OFFICER.

/ t. c. b. c. /

Sd/-
DY. EXECUTIVE OFFICER (G).

Encl : As above.
Copy to Chief Accounts Officer, TTDs, Tirupathi.
Copy To Sri U. B. Raghavendra Rao, IAS., Special Secretary to Hon'ble Cheif Minister, Govt. of A. P. Hyderabad.
Copy to the C C. to Exe. Officer.
Copy to the Sri A. V. Surya Rao, B. Sc., H L., Secretary, A. P. Endowments Commissioner and Member of the Hindu Temple Greater Chicago, C/o. Endowments Dept. Hyderabad.
Copy to the M. M. Jayasimha, IAS., Chief Electoral Officer and Special Chief Secretary and Member, Hindu Temple of Greater Chicago, Hyderabad
File and spare

—o—o—o—

BY REGD. POST ACK. DUE
Letter No. LC/HTGC/Hyd/CC/85 Dated : 6-9-85

From : A V. SURYA RAO, B. Sc., B. L,
Member,
Local Committee of Hindu Temple of Greater Chicago at Hyderabad,

To : The Executive Officer,
T. T. Devasthanams,
TIRUPATHI.

Sir,

Sub : Local Committee of H.T.G.C. - Construction of Sri Rama Temple at Lemont - Loan Rs. 5 lakhs sanctioned - Return of Cheque bearing No. 419208, dt/ 6-8-85 - Regarding.

Ref : 1. Chairman's D. O. Letter dt/ 2-8-85 addressed to the Executive Officer' T. T. Devasthanams, Tirupathi.
2. Your Letter in Roc. No. G4/16287/84, dt. 13-8-85

— — —

I am to state that the Trust Board of H. T. G. C. have constituted a Local Committee at Hyderabad under the Chairmanship of Justice Challa Kondaiah, Chairman of A. P. Endowments Commission, Sri B N. Jayasimha, I. A. S., Chief Electoral Officer and Special Chief Secretary to Government, Sri A. V. Surya Rao, Member Secretary, A. P. Endowments Commission' Sri S. M. Ganapathi. Stapathi Anumuri Golla Ramachandra Naidu of Tirupathi have been appointed as Members of the Local Committee. The Local Committee has already started functioning, Presently the preparation of Rama Lakshmana, Seetha and Hanuman idols are in progress. After this work the work relating to structure of the temple will have to be taken up. For all these works the funds sought for the loan from T. T. Devasthanams will have to be utilised. In this connection I may mention that the H. T. G. C. have also written to you to make arrangements to transfer the T. T. D' Loan to H. T. G. C. of Local Committee at Hyderabad (copy enclosed).

Therefore, I request you to kindly issue fresh cheque in favour of "The Chairman, Local Committee of Hindu Temple of Greater Chicago at Hyderabad" so as to enable the Local Committee to proceed further in preparation of idols etc , as authorised by the Trust Board of the H T G C I am herewith returning the cheque bearing No CA/29 419208, dt 6-8-1985 for Rs. 5 lakhs issued in favour of the Chairman of Sri Rama Temple The Hindu Temples of Greater Chicago, Post Box No. 99 Lemont Illinois.

Encl : One Cheque.

Sd/-
MEMBER,
Local Committee of Hindu Temple of Greater Chicago at Hyderabad.

Telex : Tirupati 403 - 202
Tirumal 402 - 208

Grams : DEVASTHAN

From
SRI S. LAKSHMINARAYANA, I.A.S,
Executive Officer.

Offiee of the
The Executive Officer
T. T. Devasthanams
TIRUPATHI.

R. O. C. No. G4/16287/84

Date : 14-11-85

/By Regd. Post ack due/

To
Sri A. V. SURYA RAO, B. Sc., B. L.,
Secretary,
A. P. Endowment Commission and
Member of the Hindu Temple,
Greater Chicago,
C/o. Endowments Department,
Government of Andhra Pradesh,
Hyderabad.

Sir,

Sub : T. T. Ds. General Section - Temples at Overseas - construction of Sri Rama Temple at Lemont, Illinois - 60439 - P. O. Box No. 99 - Rs. 5/- lakhs sanctioned as loan - sending of cheque - regarding.

Ref : 1. Roc. No. G4 16287/84 dt. 13-8-85
2. Lr. No. LC/HTOC/Hyd/OC/85, dt. 6-9-1985
3. Lr. No. LC/HTOC/Hyd/OC/85, dt. 5-11-1985

In reply to the letter (2) and (3) cited above, I am herewith sending the Cheque bearing No. 419208, dt. 6-8-1985 on Andhra Bonk for Rs. 5/- lakhs being the loan amount sanctioned for construction and completion of Sri Rama Temple at Lemont, Illinois -60439.

I request you kindly to utilise the loan amount for the purpose for which it is sanctioned and also send stamped receipt on 'B' Cheque invoice and utilisation certificate for the amount of Rs. 5/- lakhs early.

Encl : As above.

Sd/-
For EXECUTIVE OFFICER

Copy to Sri B. N. Jayasimha, IAS., Chief Electoral Officer and Special Chief Secretary and Member, Hindu Temple of Greater Chicago, Hyderabad

Copy to the Chairman, Sri Rama Temple, C/o. The Hindu Temples of Greater Chicago, P. O. Box No. 99 - Lemont, Illinois - 60479.

Copy to file.

* *

Sri Venkateswara Swamy Temple of Greater Chicago
( Balaji Temple )
(a Non Profit Organisation - Est. 1983 ; Tax ID 436 - 3294997)
1721 N. Randall Road. P. O. Box 2789
Aurora, IL 60507
(312) 844 - 2252

Board of Trustees August 27, 1986

To
The Executive Officer
Tirumala Devasthanams
Tirupathi.
(A. P.)
INDIA

Dear Sir,

Regarding, disbursement of the balance loan, granted to our Temple.

Apropos our personal discussion on 8-17-86. I am enclosing a certified statement of expenditure incurred in India by our Temple. We would appreciate it if you disburse the balance of Rs. 10 lakhs before the 5th of September '86 to enable us to meet the commitments.

Thanking You,
Yours sincerely,

Sd'-
Chairman of the Board of Trustees
CC : Raghavendra Rao.

Utilisation Certificate along with Expenditure particulars has been handed over to C.C. & E. O. for Commission purpose.

— — —

V TIRUMALAI AND COMPANY
CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS

V. TIRUMALLAI, B. com., F. C. A.,
No. 6, IInd Street,
Dandayuthapani Nagar,
Kotturpuram, Madras - 85.
Phone . 413346
24th August, 1986.

Reg : Report on the expenses incurred by SRI VENKATE SWARA SWAMY TEMPLE of GREATER CHICAGO 1721 - N, Randall Road, Post Box 2789, AURORA, IL-60507, U. S. A. for the period from 1st March, 1986 to 30th June, 1986.

CERTIFICATE

| Details | | Amonnt |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Travelling and other expenes (Schedule 1.) | | 3,47,967 - 00 |
| 2. Idols, Vessels, Vastrams and other pooja articles (Schedule II.) | | 2,01,876 - 35 |
| 3. Transporation and other expenses on Idols, etc., (Schedule III.) | | 2,54,713 - 20 |
| 4. Payment to Silpis (Schedule IV) | | 2,50,000 - 00 |
| 5. Miscellaneous and other expenses (Schedule V) | | 39,050 - 00 |
| Total : | Rs. | 10,93,606 - 00 |

Sd/-
(V. TIRUMALLAI)
Proper.,
Chartered Accountant.

Vt : it
Madras - 85.

— — — —

THE HINDU TEMPLE OF GREATER CHICAGO
P. O. Box No. 99, Lemont, IL 60439, (312) 972-0300-0301
A Non-Profit Organisation-Est 1977 Tax ID EIN 36-2968334.

EMBLEM Oct. 15, 1986

To
The Executive Officer,
T. T. D. Devastanams,
Tirupathi A. P.

Dear Sir,

On behalf of Hindu Temple of Greater Chicago I wish to thank you our deep appreciation of help given to H. T. G. C

during the construction of our Temple. The Rs. 5,00,000 that were released from granted Rs. 15,00,000 were used to procure materials for Kumbhabhishekham and for the transport of the dieties, Priests and silpis. In addition to that we have spent Rs. 3,47,501 mainly from funds from Chicago. a copy of the audit report is enclosed.

We request you to please released emaining Rs. 10,00,000 which is urgently needed to pay for the salaries of the 21 Silpies who are working in Chicago.

Please send the check made payble to the Local Committee of Hindu Temple of Greater Chicag as soon as posible.

Thanking You.

Yours sincerely,
Sd/-
Secretary H. T. G. C.

)( )( )( )(

THE LOCAL COMMITTEE OF
HINDU TEMPLE OF GREATER CHICAGO,
HYDERABAD,

REPORT ON THE AUDIT OF ACCOUNTS FOR THE PERIOD ENDED 15TH AUGUST, 1986.

We have conducted the audit of the books of accounts for the period ended 15th August, 1986 and report as under :
Scope of the Audit :

Scope of the Audit :
We have verified the particulars of payments and receipts with reference to the supporting documents produced for verification.

We state that

a) We have obtained all the information and explanation

which to the best of our knowledge and beleief were necessaary for the purpose of our audit.

b) In our opinion, proper books of accounts have been kept by the Committee so far as appears from our examinations of the books :

c) The Receipte and Payments account dealt with by this report is in agreement with the books of accouuts.

Dt. 10th SEPTEMBER 1986
HYDERABAD.

For K. VIJAYARAGHAVAN & ASSOCIATES,
CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS.
Sd/-
(K. VIJAYARAGHAVAN)
Membership No. 23387.

x x x x x

Tirumalu Tirupathi Devasthanams, Tirupathi.

Roc. No. G4-16518/84 Date . 2-3-1986.

To The Deputy Controller
Exchange Control Department,
Reserve Bank of India.
Hyderabad.

Sir,

Sub :- T. T. D. General Section - financial assistance by way of loan to Overseas temple . Permission of Package and foreign exchange - orders - regarding.

I write to state that the T.T.D. has launched a scheme extending aid by way of loans for construction, completion and renovation of Ovrseas temples with a view to propagation Hiund Dharma in foreign countries. Necessary rules have been framed in this regard. The Government of Andhra Pradesh, had also approved the scheme vide its order Ms. No. 827 Rev. (Endow-

ments III) Department, dated : 23-5-1984, A copy of the instructions is enclosed. The T. T. D. is providing loan for construction of temples in Chicano, Sydney, Sanfransisco, etc., The temple in Southern California is als one among them under the aided scehme. The loan amount of Rs. 5 lakhs sanctioned to the President, Hindu Temple Society of Southern California· Glondal wss sent to them by way of Demand Drafts. They in turn sent those demand drafts to Andhra Bank., T. T. D. Administrative Branch, with a request to open their account by crediting those drafts. It is not correct to open the foreign society accounts without the prior permission from Reserve Bank of India. The idols, Vigrahams, etc., are also to be sent to them for the temples.

Under the above circumstances, I request you kindly to arrange to accord necessasy package permission in general and also foreign exchange fecilities to T. T. D. on the above subect at an early date.

Sd/-

Encl: Above file.

EXCUTIVE OFFICER

COMMISSION OF ENQUIRY
( T. T. D. AFFAIRS )

AT : HYD. 1

Ex. No. : C 187 (a) of

D Ced by : CWI
10-11-86

Checked for : Correct
10-11-86

XXXXX

10-12-86 Signature.

Telex : Tirupati 403 - 202
Tirumala 402 - 208

Grams : DEVASTHAN

From
SRI S. LAKSHMINARAYANA, I.A.S,
Executive Officer.

Office of
The Executive Officer
T. T. Devasthanams
TIRUPATHI.

R. O. C. No. G4/16518/84

Date : 21-4-86

To

The Deputy Controller,
Exchange Control Department,
Reserve Bank of India,
HYDERABAD.

Sir,

Sub : T. T. Devasthanams - General Section financial assistance by way of loan to overseas temple - permission of package and foreign exchange - orders - Regarding.

Ref :- Lr. Roc. No. G4 - 16518/84 dated 2-3-86

— — — —

I invite your kind attention to the reference cited (copy enclosed) and request you to expedite early orders on the above subject.

Encl : As above

Sd/-
A. AUDINARAYANA
For EXECUTIVE OFFICER

Copy to file.

Sd/-
SUPERINTENDENT

/ t. c. f. b. o. /

— — —

Andhra Bank
(A Government of India Undertaking)

T. T. D. Administrative Buildings
TIRUPATHI-517501

Sri S. K. DURAIRAJ,
President,
Hindu Temple Society of Southern California
1600, Las Virgenes Cangon Road,
CALABASAS Ca. 91302.

Lr. No. 131/15/40
Date : 15-10-1985

Dear Sir,

Ref : Deposit of Rs. 5 lakhs by T. T. Devasthanams to the Account of the Hindu Temple Society of Southern California through Cheque No. 419202, dt. May 3, 1985

Ref : Your Letter dated 20-8-1985 and also subsequent letter dt. Nil.

Our Letter 131/15/369 dt. 25-9-1985.

Further to our above letter, we wist to inform you that Reserve Bank of India, Hyderabad (A. P.) has raised strong objection for the drafts issued in your favour for Rs. 5 lakhs drawn on Madras without their prior approval, as it amounts to clear violation of Exchange Control regulations.

Now we request you to permit us to refund the drafts amount of Rs. 5 lakhs to T. T. D. Authorities by cancelling them. After obtaining permission from T. T. D. authorities, we will again apply to Reserve Bank of India, Hyderabad, to permit us to issue a foreign draft in your favour.

We would also like to mention that if you wish to open a N. R. E. account with us, you should fulfill the following formalities.

i) The first remittance should come from your Country for both the N R E or N R O accounts to be opened.

ii) The constitution, Bye-laws of your Society and Residential Certificate from a Chartered Accountant relating to the

percentage of participation of Non - rcsident Indian in a our Society.

iii) Whether you want to feed the account regularly with funds from your country and how you purpose to utilise the funds in your account, if opened with us.

If yon are having an account with State Bank of India First Line Beach, Madras, what is the necessity for you to open another account with us in India.

We may be permitted to mention that we cannot hold any responsibility for the loss of interest on the amount of Rs. 5 lakhs or all these days.

Please reply immediately regarding all the matters mcntioned above.

Yours faithfully,

Sd'-

MANAGER.

Copy submitted to the Executive Officer, Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanams, Tirupathi, with reference to their letter ROC G4/16518/84, dt. 17-7-85.

COMMISSION OF ENQUIRY

( T. T. D. AFFAIRS )

AT : HYDERABAD.

Ex. No. : C 187 (m) of

D Ced by : CWI

On : 10-12-86

Marked for : ... ... ...

On : 10-12-86

XXXXX

Date Section Officer.

THE HINDU TEMPLE

OF

GREATER CHICAGO

P. O. Box 99, Lemont, Illinois 00439.
(A Non Porfit Organisation - Est 1977, Tax ID EIN 36-2958334)

Honourable Chief Iustice
KONDAIAH,
Hyderabad. A.P. India.

16th November 1984

Dear Sir,

The Board of Trustees of Hindu Temples of Greater Chicago have authorised me to write to you as President of this Organisation about securing your guidence in co-ordinating some aspects of Sri Rama Temple work at Hyderabad as well as Tirupathi.

Aa you are probaly aware, Hindu Temple of Greater Chicago has plans for construction of Sri Rama Temple at Lemont a suburb of Chicago, Illinois. During this year, the site development plans have been accomplished and a 3900 square foot Ganesh Temple has been constructed.

Foundation stone for Rama Temple has been laid by Honourable Chief Minister Sri N. T. Rama Rao of Andhra Pradesh on Jnne 17, 1984. Sthapathi Ganapathi has completed the architectural plans for the Temple and is closely involved in this project we have made arrangemegnts for the necessary dieties and Stapathi Ganapathi is overseeing the project.

Further the Board of Trustees of Hindu Temple having made a resolution naming you as the Chairman of Ad Hoc. Committee at Hyderabad overseeing the Temple project and executing the policies as approved by the Board of Trustees of Hindu temple of Greater Chicago. The said resolution has been unanimously passed by the Board here, and it is my pleasure to inform

you and request you to accept to be the Ad Hoc Committee Chairman.

Mr. Galla Naidu is an industrialist at Tirapathi. He is one of the founding members of HTGC and was secretary of HTGC in 1983. He and his family members are very much involved with the Temple project here. They are, also, life members and Mr. Galla Naidu would, also, be able to serve as one of the Ad Hoc Committee.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely Yours,

Sd/-

KRISHNA P. REDDY, M. D.
President, Hindu Temples of Greater Chicago
P. O. Box 1106
KPR : SJ
cc : Sthapathi Ganapathi
Shankar N. Planjery
Galla Naidu.

)( )( )( )(

EMBLEM

ANDHRA PRADESH ENDOWMENTS COMMISSION

Justice CHALLA KONDAIAH
(Retd. Chief Justice, Andhra Pradesh High Court,
CHAIRMAN

Secretariat Premises
Hyderabad - 500002
Date : 9-2-1985

My Dear Krishna P. Reddy,

I am in receipt of your letter of 16th November, 1984.

I thank you and the other members of the Board of Trustees for Hindu Temple of Greater Chicago to have nominated me as Chairman of Ad Hoc Committe at Hyderabad to look after the temple project, as per the policies approved by the Board of

Trustees. However, I suggest that instead of Ad Hoc Committee. it may be named as The Local Committee at Hyderabad On the authorisation given by the Secretary of the Hindu Temple of Greater Chicago. I hereby nominate the following members on the Local Committee.

1. Sri B. N. Jayasimha, I.A.S;
   Ex. Officio Chief Secretary, Govt. of A.P.
   and Chief Electoral Officer, Govt. of A.P. Hyderabad-22.
2. Sri Galla Naidu. Industrialist, Tirupathi.
3. Sri A. V. Surya Rao,
   Joint Commissioner, Endowments Department and
   Member-Secretary, A.P. Endowments Commission.
   Hyderabad-22.
4. Sri S. M. Ganapathi Stapathi, Endowments Department,
   Hyderabad - 500 001.

These names may please be plaeed before the Board of Trustees and seek their ratification so that further action is taken.

Yours sincerely,
Sd/-
( CHALLA KONDAIAH )

To

Shri Krishna P. Reddy,
President,
The Hindu Temple of Greater Chicago.

)( )( )( )(

EMBLEM

ANDHRA PRADESH ENDOWMENTS COMMISSION

Justice CHALLA KONDAIAH
(Retd. Chief Justice, A.P. High Court,
CHAIRMAN

Secretariat Premises
Hyderabad - 500002
Date : 15-2-1985

Statement placed on the Table by Chief Minister re : Allegations made against C. M.

My Dear,

The Hindu Temple of Greater Chicago have requested me to be the Chairman of a Local Committee in Hyderabad to assist them in regard to getting various sculptural works executed here tor the construction of Sri Rama Temple in Chicago. I want you to be on the Committee and assist me. This is purely a volantary work in the service of our religion.

Kindly send me your consent.

Yours sincerely,

Sd/-
( CHALLA KONDAIAH )

To

1. Sri B. N. Jayasimha, I.A.S;
   Ex. Officio Chief Secretary,
   and Chief Electoral Officer, Govt. of A.P. Hyderabad-22.
2. Sri Galla Naidu. Industrialist, Tirupathi.
3. Sri A. V. Surya Rao, B.Sc., B.L.,
   Joint Commissioner, Endowments Department and
   Member-Secretary, A.P. Endowments Commission.
   Hyderabad-22.
4. Sri S. M. Ganapathi Stapathi, Endowments Department,
   A.P. Hyderabad - 500 001.

* *

HINDU COMMUNITY AND CULTURAL CENTRING

Registered Non-Profit Organisation
1395-Heathter Lane, Livermore, CA94550
(415) 449-6255
February 28, 1987,

The EXECUTIVE OFFICER
T. T. D.
Tirupathi.
Dear Sir,

Sub : Accounts advanced by TTD - Accounting.

---

Your letters requesting the attached forms be completed and sent, were delivered too late, because our P. O. Box address at Walnut Creek was closed some time ago.

Our new address is at the top of this letter which may be noted for all future correspondence.

As requested, we attach the deed duly executed and a romplete accounting of the monies received from TTD.

We are also requesting to release the balance of the loan, approximately Rs. 3 lakhs at earliest opportunity.

If you have any question, please contact us or our agent, Mr. G. Rajaratnam of Mass International, Madras.

Thanking You.
Sd/-
( M. S. IYER )
CHAIRMAN.

X X X X X

EMBLEM

SRI VENKATESWARA TEMPLE ASSOCIATION

All correspondence to the Secretary, Australia. Box 59, P. O. Ramsgate N. S. W. 2217

Our Ref : AB/DB 1st March 1987
Your Ref : A11/13154/FA & CAO/84

Mr. Sri S. Lakshminarayan, I.A.S.,
Executive Officer,
Tirumala-Tirupathi Devasthanam Board,
TIRUPATHI.
Andhra Pradesh,
India.

Dear Mr. Lakshminarayana,

With reference to your letter dated 19th December 1986 requesting a utilisation certificate of the funds that was loaned to oru association, I am enclosing herewith such a certificate.

I am sorry for this long delay in doing so.

Thanking you with best wishes.

Yours Sincerely,
Dr. A. Balasubramaniam
President.

— — — —

EMBLEM

NEW ENGLAND HINDU TEMPLE INC.
President,
S. S. Raghavan (617) 655-7327
Secretary
T. A. Balasubramaniam (617) 862-8725
Treasurer
Ranjini Ramaswamy (617) 235-6200

P.O. Box 157
Wellesley Hills,
MA 02181
(617) 881-5775

Sri Ch. Venkatapathi Raju, IAS,
Executive Officer.
T. T. Devasthanams
Tirupathi. Andhra Pradesh.

Dear Sir,

Sub : TTDs - Accounts Section, Tirupathi - Financial aid by way of laon of Rs. 5 lakhs released.

Ref : 1. Your Lr. Roc No. A11/29755/FA & CAO/84, dt. 31-8-1987.

2. OurLr. document and status report dated Dec 2, 1986 requesting release of Rs. 10 lakhs sanctioned to New England Hindu Temple by TTD.

Please find enclosed Utilisation Certificate for the loan amount of Rs. 5 lakhs duly certified by Public Accountant Mr. Narian D. Bhatia, C.P.A. as per the specimen copy sent by you. We are also sending a copy of our financial statement for the year ending Dec. 31, 1986 audited by the accountant. In our document sent to you on Dec. 2, 1986, we have provided an itemized statement of construction expenses and a copy of the check for 45,000 paid to Parekh Construction Inc. for their contract in completing their assignment. We utilised the loan from Tirupathi for making this payment.

Regarding repayment schedule, let me bring to your kind attention our letter dated June 12, 1986. Although you granted as the loan for Rs 5 lakhs in 1985 and sent a check for this amount on July 31, 1985, this was returned for the purpose of converting to dollar equivalent with permission from Reserve Bank of India. Subsequently, we received a check for U. S. 40,525 being the equivalent of Rs. 5 lakhs only in May 1986 for our utilisation We understand that as per clause No. 15 of Overseas and Scheme this loan is repayable in five annual instalments, with the first instalment falling due in the month of January in the third calendar year after which the money was made available to us. Therefore, our first instalment falls due on January 1989 and we will honour our repayment schedule from this date.

Meanwhile, we request you to release the additional Rs. 10 lakhs asper your original loan of 15 lakhs granted to us. We wrote to you already on Dec. 2, 1986 to honour this request for Rs. 10

lakhs. Along with their request we had sent you detailed Progress Report with colour Photographs and plans for the completion of themple· We hope to receive this Rs. 10 lakhs from you very soon as originally approved.

Sincerely Yours,
Sd. President.

— — — —

TIRUMALA TIRUPATHI DEVASTHANAMS, TIRUPATHI

UTILISATION CERTIFICATE

It is certified that the grant of loan of Rs. 500,000,000 (Rupees five hundredthousand) approved by the TirumalaTirupathi Devastanams for temple construction has been utilised asper details givenin the attached statement in accordance with the terms and conditions laid down bythe Govt. of Andhra Pradesh, in its G.O. Ms No· 327, Rev. (Endts III) Dept, dt 23-5-1984 and that all these terms and conditions have been fullfilled by the New England HinduTeuple, Inc. (temple name) and the grant of loan has been. utilised for the purpose for which it is approved.

It is further certioied that inventories of permanent or semipermanent assets acquired wholly or mainly out of the amounts given by the TTD as indicated above and being maintained in the pr.scribed form and as being kept upto date and those assets have not been disposed of, encumberred or utilised for any other purpose.

Signat re Sd.

Narain D. Bhatia C.P.A.
7 FEDERAL ST. 117 DANNERS MA 01293
Signature Sd. xx
With Seal of the temple

N. B. : The Utilisation Certificate accompanied by audited statement of accounts indicating expenditure on various items.

NARIAN D. BHATIA
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANT
15, SILVER BROOK ROAD
BOXPORD, MASSACHUSEETS 01921.

TELEPHONE : 567-8074

April 15, 1987.

Executive Committee,
New England Hindu Temple. Inc.
P. O. Box 157,
Wellesley Hills, Mass. 02181.

Gentlemen,

I have examined the accompanying Balance Sheet of New England Hindu Temple, Inc. as of December 31, 1986 and 1985 and the related Statements of Income and Expenses for the years then ended. My examination was made in accordance with the Generally Accepted Auditing Standards, and accordingly included such tests of the accounting records and such other auditing procedures as I considered necessary under the circumstances.

As reported in the footnotes, the Temple's policy to report it's financial transactions on cash basis, rather than on accrual basis and to charge expenditures to acqure property and equipment against income of the period in which those expenses are incured, though followed by most nonprofit organisations, is not in accordance with the Generally Accepted Accounting Principals. A schedule of such expenses has been attached to the statements.

In my Opinion, except for the deviation from the Generally Accepted Accounting Principles as mentioned in the preceding paragraph, the accompanying financial statements present fairly the financial position (cash basis) of the New England Hindu Temple. Inc. as at December 31, 1986 and 1985 and the amounts of cash receipts and expenditure for the periods then ended on a basis consistant with that of the preceding years.

Sd/-
NARAIN D. BHATIA.

## NEO ENGLAND HINDU TEMPLE, INC,

## COMPARATIVE BALANCE SHEET

| | December 31 | |
|---|---|---|
| | 1986 | 1985 |
| **Assets** | | |
| Cash in hand | $ 10,348 | $ 16,435 |
| Cash in Banks | 51,034 | 114,786 |
| Deposits with Utility Companies | 500 | |
| TOTAL ASSETS | $ 61,882 | $ 131,221 |
| **Liabilities And Fund Balance** | | |
| Loan Payable Tirupathi and Tirumala Devasthanam | $ 40,525 | |
| Loans payable others | 60,366 | 76,503 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES | $ 100,894 | 76,503 |
| FUND BALANCE | (39,012) | 54,718 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE | $ 61,882 | $ 131,221 |

Accompanying footnotes are an integral part of this statement
See accountant's report.

)( )( )( )(

## NEW ENGLAND HINDU TEMPLE INC.
## COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF INCOME AND EXPENSES ( CASH BASIS )
## FOR THE YEARS ENDING

| | December 31 1986 | 1985 |
|---|---|---|
| **Income** | | |
| Donations | $ 53,398 | $ 66,613 |
| Membership dues | 35,158 | 32,347 |
| Pooja | 44,682 | 30,559 |
| Interes | 4,974 | 9,590 |
| Benefit shows | 21,159 | 736 |
| Other | 3,234 | 2,791 |
| Total Income | $ 162,605 | $ 142,635 |
| **Expenses** | | |
| Pooja | 6.232 | 4,655 |
| Interest | 6,569 | 7,693 |
| Benefit Show | 10,669 | 2,318 |
| Fund Raising | 1,837 | 3,716 |
| Publication | 3,367 | 1,780 |
| Administrative | 6,337 | 1,426 |
| Insurance | 1,704 | 1,047 |
| Idols | 2,808 | ... |
| Furniture | 2,148 | ... |
| Building construction | 214,664 | 153,018 |
| Total Expenses | $ 256,335 | $ 175,653 |
| Excess of (expenses) over income | ($ 93,730) | $ 33,018 |

Accompanying footnotes are an integral part of this statement.
See accountant's report.

## NEW ENGLAND HINDU TEMPLE. INC.
## SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

| | December 31 1986 | 1985 |
|---|---|---|
| Temple design and construction | $ 581,379 | $ 366,715 |
| Idols | 6,333 | 3,525 |
| Computer and Furniture | 5,730 | 3,582 |
| Total | $ 639,442 | $ 373,822 |

Accompanying footnotes are an integral part of this statement.
See accountant's report.

— — —

## NEW ENGLAND HINDU TEMPLE. INC·
## FOOTNOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 1986.

### 1. SUMMERY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES.

All financial transactions are recorded on cash basis and no accruals are made for receivable or payables.

Expenditures on capital assets are charged against income of the period in which the are incured. Therefore, no assests appear on the balance sheet and no charge for depreciations is made againrt income. This policy though followed by most non-profit organisation such as this temple differs from the practice of depreciating assefts over their useful lives by charge against income followev by commercial organisations woose purpose is to find the results of opertions by matching expenses for a particular period against iucome of the same period.

### 2. LOANS PAYABLE.

To financial costructions, the temple borrowed S 40,525 interest free from Tirupathi and Tirumal Devastanam on a five yearnote. No payment is due during thi five year period.

The Temple also borrowed $ 80,000 from varrous in 1985. The original rate of interest on these loans was 12% per annum in 1986, under a mutual agreement the rate of interest has been reduced to 8%. The current balance on these loans is $ 60,369.

See Accountant's report.

* *

THE HINDU TEMPLE OF GREATER CHICAGO
P.O. Box 99, Lemont, IL 60439, (312) 972-0300-0301.
A Non-Profit Organisation-Est 1977 Tax ID EIN 36-2968334,

Oct. 15, 1986

To

The Executive Officer,
T. T. Devasthanams,
Tirupathi. A. P.

Dear Sir,

On behalf of Hindu Temple of greater Chicago I wish to thank you and express our deep appreciation of help to HTGC during the construction of our Temple. The Rs. 5,00,000 that were released from granted Rs. 15,00,000 were used to procure materials for Kumbhabhishekham and for the transport of the dieties. Priests and silpies. In addition to that we have spent Rs. 3,47,501 mainly from funds from Chicago. A copy the audit report is enclosed,

We request you to please release the remaining Rs. 10,00,000 which is urgently needed to pay for the salaries of the 21 silpies who are now working in Chicago.

Please send the cheque made payble to the Local Committee of Hindu Temple of Greater Chicago as soon as possible.

Thanking You.
Yours sincerely,
Sd/-
Secretary HTGC.

Statement placed on theT able
by Chief Minister
re : Allegations made against
C. M.

Sri Venkateswara Swamy
Temple of Greater Chicago
( Balaji Temple )
(a Non Profit Organisation - Est. 1983 ; Tax ID 36,3294997)
1721 N. Randall Road, P.O. Box 2789
AURORA. IL 60507
(312) 844 - 2252

Board of Trustees

August 27, 1986

To
The Executive Officer
Tirumala Devasthanam
Tirupathi.
(A.P.)
INDIA.

Dear Sir,

Regarding, disbursement of the balance loan, granted to our Temple.

Apropos our personal discussion on 8/17/86. I am enclosing a certified statement of expenditure incurred in India by our Temple. We would appreciate it, if you disburse the balance of Rs. 10 lakhs before the 5th September '86 to enable us to meet the commitments.

Thanking You,

Yours sincerely,
Sd'-
Chairman of the Board of Trustees
CC : Raghavendra Rao.

Utilisation certificate along with Expenditure particulars has been hundred over to C.C. & E.O. for Commission purpose.

— — — —

HINDU TEMPLE SOCIETY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
(A Non Profit Organisation)
1600 Las Virgenes cannon Road, Calabasa, ca 91 302
Tet (818) 880-9902

December 29, 1986

To

The Executive Officer,
T. T. Devasthanams,
Tirupathi. Andhra Pradesh.
India.

Subjecet : Financial Aid for construction and completicn of Sri Venkateswara Temple by the Hindu Temple Society of So. California.

Dear Sir,

This is in continuation of our letter dated December 1, 1986 addressed to the Honourable Minister of Religious Endowments, Andhra Pradesh a copy of which was handed over to you personally by Mr. Premchand Duggirala.

We are indeed greatful to you for the aid of Rupees 5 lakhs advanced to us and sincerely request you to grant us another advance of Rupees 10 lakhs to complete the construction work. The construction of Rajagopuram and the silpi work at all the shrines are in progress.

We agree to abide by the rules and conditions eontained in your proceedings ROC No. G4/4685/82 dated 10-1-85 regarding financial aid for construction, completion and renovation of temples at overseas.

The following particulars needed by you are attached herewith :

1. Names and addresses of all office bearers.
2. Byelaws of the Temple Society.
3. Articles of Incorporation and tax exempt status.
4 Trust deed of the property.
5. Details of expenses for the Rs. 5 lakhs advance,
6. Estimate of expenses forthe Rs. 10. laksh advance.

7. Financial statements.
8. Pictures of construction progress.

We would very much appreeiate a quick compliance of our request, as the funds are urgently needed.

With our highest regards.

Yours sincerely,

Sd/-

Sk. Duraraj (President)

Sd/-

Vasan R. Srinivasan (Secretary)

Sd/-

V. Subramanian (Treasurer)

Sd/-

P. V. Ananthakrishan

Sd/-

Mysore R. Nagaraja

Sd/-

Sudathi Jeereddi

Sd/-

R. Narayanaswami

Sd/-

Naresh Saxena.

— — —

KING KING & ALLEMAN
ACCOUNTANCY CORPORATION
303 NORTH GLENOAKS BOULEVARD, SUITE 750
BURBANK, CALIFORNIA 91502.
February 6, 1986.

AVID F. KING C.P.A.
ENNIS V. KING C P.A.
HARLES R. ALLEMAN, JR., C.P.A

Board of Directors
Hindu Temple Society
of Southern California.

We have examined the balance sheet of the Hindu Temple Society of Southern California at December 31, 1985, and the related statements of revenues and expenditures, and fund balance for the year then ended. Our examination was done in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and, accordingly included such tests of the accounting records and other auditing prodeduces as we considered necessary under the circumstances.

In our opinion, the finacial statements refrered to above fairly present the financial position of the Hindu Temple Society of Southern Colifornia at December 31, 1985, and the results of its operations for the year then ended, in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles applied on a consistent basis.

KING, KING & ALLEMAN
Accountancy Corporation.

)( )( )( )(

COPY OF :

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
ABSTRACT

FURNISHING - Residenc of Ministers, Speaker, Legislative Assembly and Chairman, Legislative Council - Rules issued.

GENERAL ADMINISTRATION (ACCOMMODATION. A) DEPARTMENT

G. O. Ms. No. 628 Dated the 5th May, 1960

The following notification shall be published in the Andhra Pradesh Gazette :-

NOTIFICATION

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of Section 3 and sub-section (1-A) of Section 4 read with section 13 of the Andhra Pradesh Payment of Salaries and Removal of Disqualifications Act, 1953 (Andhra Act II of 1954), the Governor of Andhra Pradesh hereby makes the following rules.

RULES

1. (i) These rules may be called the Andhra Pradesh Residences of Ministers and Legislature Officers (Furnishing and Maintenance) Rules, 1960.

(ii) They shall come into force at once.

2. The residence of a Minister. the Speaker of the Legislative Assembly and the Chairman of the Legislative Council provided under sub-section (2) of section 3 and 4 of the Act. shall be furnished according to the scale laid down in the annexure to these rules.

Provided that the furniture supplied for the residence of the Chief Minister shall, as nearly as possible be 25 per cent more in number, in respect of each type of articles. then provied in the said scale.

3. A Minister, the Speaker of the Legistative Assembly or the Chairman of the Legislative Council, may purchase at Government cost, aud with the sanction of the Government miscellaneous articles required for furnishing his residence, provided that the expenditure on this account shall not exceed Rs. 500/ in the case of the Chief Minister and Rs. 300/- in the case of others.

Such articles shall remain as Govrenment property and form part of the furniture provided for the residence.

4. Maintenance of the furniture, washing and dry-cleaning

of linen, sofa sets and their covers supplied by the Government shall be at the expense of the Government.

5. Repairs, renewals and replacements, necessitated by normal wear and tear of the articles supplied by the Governmen shall be at Government's cost.

6. Notwithstanding the scale laid down in the annexure to these rules, the furniture crockery, cultey and similar other articles belonging to the Government and in use at the residences of Ministers, the speaker of the Lagislative Assembly and the Chairman of the Legislative Counsil as on the date of issue of these rules, shall continue to remain at those residence and shall not be decreased or in decreased (until the expiry of the terms of office of the Minister or the Speaker of the Legisltative Assembly or the Chairman of the Legisltative Council) except when the Minister concerned or the Speaker of the Legislative Assembly of the Chairman of the Lagislative Council specifically desires that the articles should be supplied entirely in accordance with the pre-cribed scale.

7. The provisons of these rules shall apply in relation to the holders on the following offices as they apply in relation to a Minister, namely :-

1. Chairman of the Telangana Planning and Development Committee;

2 Chairman of the Rayalaseema Planning and Deaelopment Committee.

3. Chairman of the Coastal Andhra Planning and Develop - ment Committee;

4. Deputy Speaker, Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly and Deputy Chairman, Andhra Pradesh Legislative Council.

5. Leaders of Opposition in the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly & Legislative Council, and

6 Chief Whip in the Assembly and the Chief Whip in the Council.

(Vide G.O.Ms. No. 367, G. A. (GH.I) Dept., dt. 22-5-1974)
G.O.Ms. No. 286, G. A. (GH.I) Dept., dt. 15 4-1980)
G.O.Ms. No. 185, G.A. (GH I) Dept., dt. 29-3-1982)

(a) The Electrical Applicances items 61 to 71 listed in the amended Annexure shall be kept in a 'General Pool' by the P. W. D. (R & B) and supplied to the Chief Minister, Ministers and the other dignitaries specified in G. O. Ms, No. 628, G, A. (Accom. A) Department, dated 5-5-1960 provided however, that the Appliances and any other Electrical items meant for the residece of the Chief Minister shall be as per the actual requirements, subject to a maximum limit of double the entitlement mentioned against the itmes above.

(b) The cost of wiring required for these electrical appliances, including strengthening of the existing service connections and switch greas shall be borne by the Government irrespective of whether the building is a Government building or a private building taken on rent, or a building owned and occupied by the dignitary.

(c) Ceiling fans and tube lights including wiring needed for fixing these fittings will be provided as per actual requirements irrespective of whether the building is Government building or a private building taken on rent or a building owned and occupied by the dignitary.

(d) Adequate security lighting according to the specifications approved by the Inspector General of Police will be provided around the Chief Minister's residence at Government cost, irrespective of whether the building is a Government building or a building owned and occupied by the Chief Minister, or a private building taken on rent.

(e) Replacement of consumable and fragile articles like

blubs flourescent tubes. Mercury Vapour lamps,plug of different capacities, lighting and fan control switches shall be carried out at Government cost whether the building belongs to Government or private rented or owned and occupied one by the said dignitaries.

f) This is without prejudice to the Special repairs additions alterations and improvements in respect of private rented residential building and maintenance in case of owned and occupied building as permissible in terms of G. O. Ms. No. 828. G. A. (GH.I) Department, dated 8-12-1977.

(g) The wiring carried out for connecting the electrical appliance and other electrical installations will be left within the building as it is normally not econmical to remove the same.

(vide G.O.Ms. No. 310, G.A. (GH.I) Department, Dated 9-4-1979

8. (1) The expenditure on all items of special repairs, additions, alterations or other improvements made and additional furnishing, including elecrtcal appliances, provided in respect of private buildings taken on lease and provided as residence to the Chief Minister, Deputy Chief Minister, if any, any other Minister and the Speaker or the Chairman or any of the Chairman of the Planning and Development Committees shall not exceed rupees ten thousand on each such residence, but in exceptional cases. the said amount may be enhanced by an amount not exceeding on third of the aforesaid amount.

(2) The additional furnishtngs including eleetrical appliances, provided at the said residences shall be as soon as the holders of the officers mentioned in sub-rule(1) vacant their offices.

(3) The Chief Engineer, and Roads and Buildings. Hyderabad, shall before proceeding with the work of making special repairs, additions, allerations or improvements :-

i) following theprovisions of section 19 of the Andhra Pradesh buildings (Lease, Rent and Eviction) Control Act. 1960 and of the Transfer Property Act. 1882,

ii) Consult the land lord and obtain his consent therefore' and

iii) also considered the feasibility of the landlord himself meeting the cost of such special repairs, additions, alterations or improvements.

(4) All expenditure on making special repairs, additions alterations and improvements to be building owned and occupied by the holders of offices mentioned in sub-rule (1) shall be borne by the concerned holders.

(vide G.O.Ms. No. 828 G.A. (GH.I) Dept. dated 9-12-1977)

9. (1) The maintenence of the private buildings taken on lease and provided as residences to the holders of offices mentioned in sub-rule (1) of rule 8 shall be at an expenditure, not exceeding Rs. 5,000/- per annum of which owner of the building shall bear an expenditure eqpivalent to one month's rent and the balance thereof shall be at the Government cost.

(2) The maintenance of the buildings owned and occupied by the holders of offices mentioned in sub-rule (1) of rule 8 shall be undertaken at the Government cost at an expenditure, not exceeding Rs. 5,000/- per annum.

(3) The additions and alternations to the Government building provided as residences to the holders of offices mentioned in sub-rule 1 of rule 8 shall be at an expenditure not exceeding Rs. 5,000/- per annum.

(vide G.O.Ms No. 682, G.A. (GH.I) Dept, dt. 29-10-1980:
G.O,Ms. No. 15 G.A. (GH.I) Dept., dt. 22-01-1983 and
G.O.Ms. No. 206, G.A. (GH.I) Dept., dt. 30-03-1983)

The Chief Engineer, Roads and Buildings, Hyderabad shall not undertake any work, either civil or electrical, at the residences of the holders or offices mentioned in sub-rule (1) of rule 8, without the prior sanction of the State Government.

(vide G.O.Ms. No. 828, G.A. (GH.I) Department, dt. 9-12-1971)

" TRUE COPY "

Sd/-

SECTION OFFICER.

ANNEXURE

| S. No. | Name of the article | Number |
|---|---|---|
| 1. | Hatstand with mirror | 1 |
| 2. | Doormats | As per requirements |
| 3. | Teapoys | 28 |
| 4. | Flower vases | 8 |
| 5. | Vats for palm tree | 2 |
| 6. | Brass Bowl for flower pet | 1 |
| 7. | Floor matting | 5 |
| 8. | Cane Chairs | 12 |
| 9. | Chairs | 26 |
| 10. | Revolving Chair | 1 |
| 11. | Easy Chairs | 9 |
| 12. | Dressing Chairs | 1 |
| 13. | Armless chairs | 12 |
| 14. | Cane Sofas | 2 |
| 15. | Sofa sets of 3 pieces each | 2 |
| 16. | Central Tables | 2 |
| 17. | Writing tables | 6 |
| 18. | Dining Tables for 12 persons | 1 |
| 19. | Dressing tables | 2 |
| 20. | Service Tables | 2 |
| 21. | Side board tables | 2 |
| 22. | Ash Trays | 9 |
| 23. | Book Rack | 1 |
| 24. | File racks | 6 |
| 25. | File trays | 8 |
| 26. | Durries | 2 |
| 27. | Stools | 6 |
| 28. | Benches wooden | 3 |
| 29. | Benches cushoin | 2 |
| 30. | Waste paper baskets | 5 |
| 31. | Box and locker for confidential papers | 1 |
| 32. | Telephone stand | 1 |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 33. | Dewan | 1 |
| 34. | Carpet pieces | 11 |
| 35. | Electrical Light stands | 5 |
| 36. | Decorative glass almirahs | 2 |
| 37. | Glass Almirah for cutlery | 1 |
| 38. | Almirahs | 3 |
| 39. | Corner peices of furniture | 2 |
| 40. | Dinner Wagon | 1 |
| 41. | Cost with Cushion mattresses | 10 |
| 42. | Wad Robes | 9 |
| 43. | Towel stand | 1 |
| 44. | Steel Towel Rack | 1 |
| 45. | Soiled lines box | 1 |
| 46. | Coat stand | 1 |
| 47. | Wooden Chowki | 1 |
| 48. | Wall Clocks | 2 |
| 49. | Meat safe | 1 |
| 50. | Chicks | As per requirements |

LINEN

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 51. | Mosquito curtains | 10 |
| 52. | Door and Window curtains | As per requirements |
| 53. | Table cloths | 2 per each table and teapoy 6 for dining table |
| 54. | Sofa covers | 2 per each cieces. |

CUTLERY AND CROCKERY

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 55. | Dinner set for 12 perosns | 1 |
| 56. | Cups and Saucers | 12 |
| 57. | Tea pots | 2 |
| 58. | Milk jugs | 2 |
| 59. | Tea trays | 2 |
| 60. | Sugar basins | 2 |

ELECTRICAL APPLIANCES

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 61. | Air conditioners | 2 Nos. (1 for use at the Office and the other at the residence |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | | as per the wishes of the minister and other dignitaries). (Vide G.O.Ms. No. 313, G. A· (GH.I) Dept., dt. 11-6-1981). |
| 62. | Water cooler | 1 No. |
| 63. | Geysers | 2 No. |
| 64. | Frigidaire (Large size) | 1 No. |
| 65. | Table Fans/Cabin Fans/pedistal Fans/ Wall/Rod Fans | 1 No. |
| 66. | Table Lamps | 3 Nos. |
| 67. | i) Double Hoı plate | I No. Ms. No. 474 |
| | ii) Hot case (operated on powder) | 1 No. GA(GH.I)Dept |
| | iii) Magic Chaff (Gas Cooking Range) | Dt. 1-10-1982 |
| 68. | Air Goolers | 1 No. |
| 69: | Pump sets (Ejecto/Centrifugal) | 1 No· |
| 70, | Call Bell Indicators | 1 No. |
| 71. | Gate Lights | 4 Nos. |

Sd'-
SECTION OFFICER.

)( )( )( )(

Copy of :

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
ABSTRACT

BUILDINGS - Residences of Ministers-Maintenance of the residence, owned and occupied by Chief Minister, by Govern - ment - Orders - Issued.

GENERAL ADMINISTRATION (GH.I) DEPARTMENT

G. O. RT. No. 2292. Dated : 20th March, 1984.
Read the following :—

G.O.Ms. No. 287, General Admn. (Elecs.A) Dept., dated : 10-5-1983.

Statement placed on the Table by Chief Minister
re : Allegations made against C. M.

ORDER:

1. The Government direct that in lieu of the relinquishment of the house rent allowance by the Chief Minister the building owned and occupied by Sri N. T. Rama Rao, Chief Minister, Government of Andhra Pradesh, shall be maintained at Government expense.

2. The above orders are deemed to have come into effect from 17-2-1984.

3. Necessary amendments to the Andhra Pradesh Residences of Ministers and Legislature Officers (Furnishing and Maintenance) Rules, 1960 will issue, separately.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

K. OBAIAH
SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.

To
The Chief Engineer (Buildings), Hyderabad.
The Pay and Accounts Officer, Hyderabad.
The P. S. to Chief Ministes,
The Accountant General, Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad
The Finance & Planning (Fin. Wing. Exp. HG) Dept.
The General Admn. (Elections.A) Dept.
The T. R. & B. Department.
The General Admn. (Poll. A) Department.
The Deputy Comptroller, Government House Dept., Hyederabad.
The Law Department.

/ True copy /

Sd,
SECTION OFFICER.

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
ABSTRACT

BUILDINGS - Maintenance of the residence, owned and occupies by Chief Minister, by Government - Orders - Issued.

GENERAL ADMINISTRATION (G.H.I) DEPARTMENT

G. O. Rt. No. 3787. Dt. 10-9-1985.

Read the following :

i) G. O. Rt. No. 2292, General Administration ( Guest House-1) Department Dt. 20-3-1984.

ii) G. O.Ms. No. 301, Genl. Admn. (Elections-A) Department, Dt. 1-7-1985.

ORDER :

1. The Government direct that in lieu of the relinquishment of the house rent allowance by the Chief Minister the building owned and occupied by Sri N. T. Rama Rao, Chief Minister, Government of Andhra Pradesh, shall be maintained at Government cost.

2. The above orders are deemed to have come into effect from 16-9-1984.

3. Necessary amendments to the Andhra Pradesh Residences of Ministers and Legislature Officers (Furnishing and Maintenance) Rules, 1960 will issue, separately.

4. This order issues with the concurrence of Finance and planning (Finance Wing) Department vide their UO. No. 2130/ Expr. H,G./85, dated 5-9-1985.

BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

Sd/-
S. KASIPANDIAN
SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.

To

The Chief Engineer (Buildings), Hyderabad.
The Superintending Engineer, R&B., PWD., Head Quarters Circle, Hyderabad.
The Executive Engineer (General) R&B, PWD, Hyderabad.

Copy to :
The Pay and Accounts Officer, Hyderabad.
The Accountant General, A. P, Hyderabad
The T. R. & B. Department.
The Finance & Planning (Expr. HG) Department.
The P. S. to Chief Minister,
The General Admn. (Elections-A) Department (2) copies)
The General Admn. (Political-A) Department.
The Addl. Comptroller, Govt. House Dept., Hyederabad.
The Law Department.

/ true copy /

Sd,
SECTION OFFICER.

)( )( )( )(

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
ABSTRACT

Guest House Department - Furnishing the Annexu Guest House of Chief Minister - Praposal for purcaase of Crockery items for Rs. 4,164-50 ps. Sanction - Accorded.

---

GENERAL ADMINISTRATION (GH.I) DEPARTMENT

G.O.Rt. No. 2631 Dated : 27-6-1983

Read the following :

1. From the D. C. GHD. Lr. No. 889/ST.I-2/83, dt. 23-5-83
2. From the D. C. GHD. Lr. No. 3202/ST.I/83/, dt. 9-6-83

ORDER:

1. Sanction is accorded for an expenditure not to exceeding Rs. 4,164-50 (Rupees four thousand one hundred sixty four and paise fifty only) towards purchase of crockery for use at the Annexe Guest House attached to Chief Minister subject to the conditions laid down in G. O. Ms. No. 122, Finance, dt. 2-4-1983.

2. The aetion of the Deputy Comptroller, Government House Department, Hyderabad in having purchased the crockery items in anticipation of the sanction of Government is ratified.

3. The Deputy Comptroller, Government House Department, Hyderabad, is directed to follow the prescribed procedure in making purchase.

4. The expenditure on the above estimate is debitable to "265, Other Administrative Services (55) Guest House Government Hostels etc. (01) Guest House (040) Office expenses 044) Other Office expenses.

5. This order issues with the concurrence of Finance and Planning (Fin.) Department vide their U. O. No. 2118/1279/A2/EDP/-HG/83-1, dated 21-6-1983.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OE THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

S. M. BALASUBRAMANIAN,
DEPUTY SECRETARY TO GOVRENMENT.

To
The Deputy Comptroller, Govt. House Dept. Hyderabad.
The Pay & Accounts Officer, Hyderabad,
The Accountant General, A. P., Hyderabad.
The Finance & Planning (Exp. HG.) Dept,
The Finance & Planning (BG) Dept. SF/SC.
SF/SC

/ true copy /

Sd/-
SECTION OFFICER.

Statement placed on the Table by Chief Minister
re : Allegations made against C. M.

Copy of :

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
ABSTRACT

Furnishings - Supply of Crockery, Cutlery, Napkins etc to the Annexe Guest House attache to Chief Minister's residence - Estimate for Rs 4,223-50 ps - Orders - Issued.

* *

GENERAL ADMINISTRATION (GH.I) DEPARTMENT

G.O.Rt. No. 2017. Dated : 7-3-1984

Read the following :

From the Deputy Comptroller, Govt. House Dept. Hyderabad Lr. No. 112/JH/1984, dated 13-2-1984.

ORDER :

Sanction is hereby accorded for incurring an expenditure not exceeding Rs. 4,223-50 ps. (Rupees four thousand two hundred twenty three and paise fifty only) towards purchase of crockery, cutlery, napkins etc. for use at the Annexe Guest House attached to Chief Minister's residence.

2. The Deputy Comptroller, Government House Department, Hyderabad is requested to follow the prescribed procedure in making purchase.

3. The amount sanctioned in para 1 abovies debitable to "265-Other Administrative Services (55) Guest Houses, Government Hostels etc. (01) Guest Houses (040) Office expenses (044) other office expences " during the current financial year 1883-84.

4. This order issues with the concurrence of Finance and Planning (Finance) Department vide their U. O. No. 783/452/A2/Exp. HG/84-1 dated 25-2-1984.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

S. M. PALASUBRAMANYAM,
DEPUTY SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

To
The Deputy Comptroller, Govt Horse Dept. Hyderabad.
The Pay & Accounts Officer, A.P. Hyderabad,
The Accountant General, A. P., Hyderabad.
The Finance & Planning (Exp. HG.) Dept,
The Finance & Planning (LG) Dept. SF/SC.
SF/SC

/ true copy /

Sd/-
SECTION OFFICER.

— — — —

GENERAL ADMINISTRATION (GH.I) DEPARTMENT
GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
ABSTRACT

FURNISHINGS-Purchase of crockery and cutlery for use at the residence of Chief Minister etc-Expenditure for Rs. 4,310-75ps Sanction - Accorded.

G.O.Rt. No. 3419 . Dated : 13-7-1983

Read the following :

1. From the DC. GHD. Hyd.Lr. No. 689/RTX/B3, dated 23-6 83.

ORDER :

Sanction is accorded for the expenditure not to exceeding Rs. 4,310-75 (Rupees four thousand three hundred ten and paise seventy five only) towards purchase of crockery and cutlery for use at theresidence of Chief Minister and for purchase of water and juice glasses at the Annexe Guest House of Chief Minister's residence subject to meeting the expenditure frm availble amount allotted to the 2dd quarter out of the Budget provision 2-4-1983 - 83.

2. The Deputy Comptroller, Government House Department, Hyderabad, is directed to follow the prescribed procedure in making purchase.

3. The expenditure sanctioned in para I above is debitable to"265, Other Administrative Services (55) Guest House Government Hostels etc. (01) Guest House (040) Office expenses (044) Other Office Expenses during the financial year 1983-84.

4. This order issues with the concurrence of Finance and Planning (Fin.) Department vide their U. O. No. 2579/A2/1544/ Exp..HG/83-1, dated 9-9-1983.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

M. V. S. PRASAD
DEPUTY SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.

To

The Deputy Comptroller, Govt. House Dept., Hyederabad.
The Pay and Accounts Officer, Hyderabad.
The Accountant General, Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.
The Fin. & Plg. (Exp. HG) Department.
The Fin. & Plg. (:G) Department
SF/SC

/ true copy /

Sd,
SECTION OFFICER.

)( )( )( )(

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH

ABSTRACT

VEHICLES - Purchase of Leyland - 166 "Wheel Base Chassis for construction of Special House type body for use of the Chief Minister at an estimated total cost of Rs. 4,21,000/- Expenditure - Sanctioned.

General Administration (G.H.I.) Department

G.O.Ms. No. 557. Dated : 17-11-1983

Read the following :-

1. Form MS. Hyd. Allwyn Ltd. Hyd. Lr. Ref. No. F/B2/16, dt· 6-8-1983.
2. Govt. Lr. No. 1322/GHI/83-7, GA (GH.l) Dept., dt 20-10-1983
3. From M/S. Ashok Leyland Ltd. Madras Lr. NO. SA /VA 1858, dt. 21-10-83
4. Form M/S. Ashok Leyland Ltd., Madras, Lr. No. SAL. NRS. 6684. dt. 1-11-1983.

O R D E R :

With a view to overcome inconveniences being caused to the Chief Minister during his tours and to ensure his tours in the mufasil areas properly organised and also to provide the required security, it has been decided to arrenge a built-in special coach house type on a Leyland-166 " Wheel base tó take care of the minimum needs of Chief Minister on tours at a total cost of Rs. 4,21,000/- (Rupees 1,68,257-44 towards the cost of chassis and Rupees 2,52,500/-towards construction of special house type coach)

2. Accordingly, Government accord sanction for incurring an expenditure not ceeding Rs. 4,21,000/-(Rupees fovr lakh twenty one thousand only) towards the cost of Leyland Chassis and towards the costof consrruction of special coach house type body on the chassis by M/s. Hyderabad Allwyn Limited.

3. The expeniditure shall be debited to "M. H. 265 Other Administrative Services - M.H. 055 Government House. Government Hostels etc - S H.01 Comptroller of Guest House - 043 Purchase of Motor Vehicles" As it is not an anticipated expenditure no provision could be made in the budget estimate for 1983-84 to meet the expenditure. Payment to M/S Ashok Leyland Limited for the supply of wheel base Chassis has however to be made immediately as the chasis is supplied. The expenditure of Rs.

1,68,257-44 towards the actual cost of Chassis shall initialiy be met by an advance from the Contingency Fund orders regarding which will be issued by Fynance & Planning (Fin.G) Department. The actual expenditure on the cost of body building by M/S Hyderabad Allwyns Limited on the chassis will be sanctioned and paid on delivery of the vehicle duly constructed as per plans and specifications.

4. The Deputy Comptroller. Government House Department is requested to obtain supplementary grant at the appropriate time for Rs. 4,21,000/- in due course towards recoupement of advance sanctioned from the contingency fund and the balance expenditure reuuired for the purpose.

5. This order issues with the concurrence of Finance & Planning (Fin) Department vide their U. O. No. 2560/JFS(M)/83, dated 10-11-1983 and U.O.No. 619/D.F.S.(R)/83, dated 15-11-1983.

(BY ORDER IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH

G. V. RAMAKRISHNA,
CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.

To
The Deputy Comptroller, Govt. House Department, Hyderabad.
The Pay & Accounts Officer, Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.
The Accountant General, Andhra pradesh, Hyderabad.
Copy to :
The Finance & Planning (Fin.EG/Exp.HG/B.E.II) Department.
The General Superintendent, P.W. Workshop, Hyderabad.
S.F./S.C.

// TRUE COPY //

Sd/-
SECTION OFFICER.

* *

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH

ABSTRACT

VEHICLES - Government House Department - Purchase of Hindusthan Contessa for use of Chief Minister - Expenditure - Sanctioned.

---

Cereral Administration (G.H.I.) Department

G.O.Rt. No. 301 Dated 21-1-1984

Read the following

From M/s. Autofin Limited, Secunderabad, Lettter Proforr a Invoice No. Nil, dated 21-1-1984.

— — —

O R D E R :

Sanction is accorded for incurring an expenditure not exceeding Rs. 98,642/- (Rupees ninety eight thousand six hundred and forty two only) towards the cost of a Hindusthan Contessa fou doors Saloon car for use of the Chief Minister.

2. The expenditure shall be debited to M.H. "265 Other Administrative Services - MH. 055 Guest Houses, Govt. Hostels etc - S.H. 01 Comptroller of Guest Houses-043 Purchase of motor Vehicles". As it is not an anticipated expenditure, no provision could be made in the Budget Estimates for 1983-84 to meet the expenditure. As the Vehicle has to be taken delivery immediately on payment of cost, it is proposed to meet the expenditure of Treasury Control subject to providing of funds in the supplementary grant.

3. The comptroller and Government House Department is authorised to draw the amount sanctioned in para on above and arrange payment to Messrs Autofin Limited, Secunderabad and take delivery of the vehicle immediately through General Superintendent, Public Works Department Workshops.

4. This order issucs with the concurrence of Finance and Planning (Fin. Exp. HG.) Department - vide their U. O. No. 235/140/A/Exp. H.G/84-1 dated 21-1-1984.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME THE OFGOVERNOR OFANDHRA PRADESH)

G. V. RAMAKRISHNA,
CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

To

The General Superintendent, P.W.D. Workshop, Hyderabad.
The Comptroller, Govt. House Department.
The Dy. Comptroller, Govt. House Department.
The Pay & Accounts Officer, Hyd/A.G. A.P., Hyd.
The Fin. & Plg. (Exp.HG/RG) Department

/ true copy /

Sd,
SECTION OFFICER.

)( )( )( )(

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
ABSTRACT

URBAN LAND (Celing and Regulation Act. 1976 - Exemption under Section 20 (1)(a) of the Act. - Guidelines for grant of exemption for the Agricultural lands situated within the Municipal limits from the provisions of Urban Land (Ceiling and Regulation) Act. 1976 - Issued.

REVENUE (U.C. II) DEPARTMENT

G.O.Ms. No. 1797. Dated : 24-10-1983
Read the following :-

1. G.O.Ms. No. 128. Revenue dt. 14-2-1977
2. From the Deputy Secretary to the Government of India Ministery of Works and Housing, Nirman Aur Awas Mantralaya, New Delhi, Lr. No. 2/31/77 UCU (i) dated the 19th December, 1977.

ORDER :

In the G.O. first read above as amended in Government Memorandum No. 1223/UC.II/77-1 Revenue dated the 1st August 1977 certain guidelines were issued for grant of exemption to the excess land owners in cases of individual hardship. These guidelines do not cover the agricultural lands included in the master plans and held by the land owners. However, on a request made by a large number of agricultural land owners holding lands outside the Municipal limits, but within the master plan in the Urban Agglomerations of Warangal, Guntur, Vijayawada and Visakhapatnam, the State Government have modified the master plan excluded the agricultural lands which were carmarked for future non-agricultural purposes, so as to exlcude them from the purview of the Urban Land (Celing and Regulation) Act. 1976 with a view to encouraging agriculture and mitigating the hardship to agriculturist. Consequently the agricultural lands mainly used for purpose of agriculture and situated within the Municipal limits are to be treated as vacant lands under the Urban Land (Ceiling and Regulation) Act.1976 if they have not been specified in the master plans for purpose of agriculture.

2. The Government of India in their letter second read above, have advised the State Governments to grant exemption for agricultural lands within the master plans also, subject to the following conditions :-

(i) that the land should actually be used only for agriculture purpose :

(ii) that whenever the land is proposed to be used for any other purpose, prior intimation should be given to the State Government and the Competent Authority concerned :

iii) that the land should not be transferred by sale, gift, lease or otherwise, without the previous permission of the State Government and that the land should not be subdivided and sold, (There is , however no objection to mortgage the land without possession, to obtain a loan from a bank or any financial institution) and

iv) that if, at any time, the State Government are satisfied are any of the conditions (i) to (iii) above, is violated, or if the State Government require the exempted land for their own use, then the State Government may withdraw the exemption under sub-section (2) of the section 20 of the Act. of 1976.

3. The Government of Andhra Pradesh having examined the matter, consider that exemption may be considered, in the public interest, under section 20 (1) (a) of the Urban Land (Ceiling and Regulation) Act. 1976, in respect of the lands which are entered in the land records before the appointed day was being used mainly for agricultural purpose and are being actually so used even though they are specified in the matter plans for a purpose other than agriculture. The exemption should however be subject to the following conditions: namely :—

1. that the land should actually be used only for agricultural purposes :

2. that whenever the land is proposed to be used for any other purpose, prior permission of the State Government in writing should be obtained :

3. that the land should not be sub-divided, or transferred by sale, gift lease or otherwise without the previous permission of the State Gouernment, there is, however, no objection to mortgage the land without permission, to secure a loan from a bank or any financial institution, for the sale purpose of agriculture : and

4. that if, at any time, the State Government are satisfied that any of the conditions (1) to (3) above is violated, or if the State Government require the exempted land for their own use, then the State Government, may withdraw the exemption under sub-section (2) of Section 20 of the said Act.

4. No exemption shall be granted in respect of any land

which is required for a public purpose and for which a requisition has been made or proceedings have been initiated under the Land Acquisition Act 1894 the requisitioning and Acquisition of Immovable Property Act. 1952 or any other corresponding law for the time being in force.

5. All the Special Officers, Urban LandCeilings are requested to process the exemption applications in the light of the above guidelines and submit their reports to the Commissioner for Land Reforms and Urban Land Ceilings, who will forward them to the State Government with his specific recommendations.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

N. RAMESAN
Second Secretary to Government.

To

The Speceial Officers, Urban Land Ceilings, Hyederabad. Warangal, Vijayawad, Guntur and Visakhapatnam.

Copy to :

The Commissioner Land Reforms & Urban Land Ceiling, Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.

The Health Municipal Administration & Urban Development Dept

The Vice-Chairman, Hyd. Urban Devel pment Authority, Hyderabad.

The Vicc-Chairman, Urban Development Authority, Visakhapatnam.

The Collectors, Hyderabad, Warangal, Krishna, Guntur and Visakhapatnam.

The Special Officer, Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad.

The Special Officer, Municipal Corporation of Warangal.

The Special Officer, Municipal Corporation of Vijayawada.

The Special Officer, Municipal Corporation of Visakhapatnam.

S.C./S.F.

/ true coyp /

Sd/-
SECTION OFFICER.

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH

ABSTRACT

URBAN LAND (Ceiling & Regulation) Act. 1976 - Grant of exemption under section 20 (1) (a) of the Act for the agricultural lands situated within the Urban Agglomeration from the provisions of the Act - Amendment - issued.

---

REVENUE (UC.I) DEPARTMENT

G.O.Ms. No. 12 Dated : 6-I-1987

Read the following :

1. G.O.Ms. No. 1797 Revenue (UC.II) Department, dated 24-10-1978
2. From the Housing, Municipal Administration & Urban Development U.O. Note No. 2726/1 (1)/85-2 dt. 8-1-1986.

* *

ORDER:

In G. O. Ms. No. 1797 Revenue (UC.II) Department dated 24-10-1978 orders were issued to the effect that applications for exemption for lands which are entered in the land records before the appointed dated as mainly used for agricultural purpose and continued to be used as such even through such lands are specified in the Master Plan for a purpose other than agriculture may be considered in public interest under Section 20 (1) (a) of the Urban Land (Ceiling & Regulation) Act, 1976 subject to certain conditions.

2. In order to ensure complaince with the recent decision that in respect of lands excmpted under G. O. Ms. No. 1797 Revenue dated 24-10-1978 the land owners will obtain a certificate from the concerned Mandal Revenue Officer to the effect that the lands covered by exemption continue to put to agriculture purpose and file the certificate so obtained before the concerned Special Offi-

cer & Competant Authority within 15 days of the expiry of each Fasli year, the Government have decided to impose an additional condition by amending the G. O. 1st read above.

3. The following amendment to the guidelines issued in G.O.Ms. No. 1797 Revenue (UC.II) Department dt. 24-10-1978 is accordingly issued

After condition (4) under para 3 of the G. O. Ms. No. 1797 Revenue dated 24-10-1979 the following additional condition No. (5) should be interested :

5. that in respect of the lands exempted under G. O. Ms. No. 1797, dated 24-10-1978, the land owners will invariably obtain a certificate from the concerned Mandal Revenue Officer to the effect that the lands covered by exemption continue to put to aricultural purpose, specifying therein the name of the particalars crop(s) raised and in case the lands could not be brought under cultivation for reasons beyond their contorl, the reasons therefor, and file the certificate so obtained before the Special Officer & Competant Authority within 15 days of the expiry of each Fasli year.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

P. K. DORAISWAMY,
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.

To

The Special Officer, Urban Land Ceiling. Hyderabad, Warangal, Vijayawada, Guntur and Visakhapatnam.

The Commissoner of Land Reforms & Urban Land Ceilings, Hyderabad.

The Housing, Municipal Administration & Urban Development Department.

The Vice-Chairman, Hyderabad Urban Development Department.

The Vice-Chairman, Visakhapatnam Urban Development Authority, Visakhapatnam.

The Collectors, Hyderabad, Warangal, Krishna, Guntur, Visakhapatnam and R. R. Dist.

Copy to U.C.II & U.C.III Sections.

Copy to SF/SC.

// FORWARDED BY ORDER //

Sd/-

SECTION OFFICER.

)( )( )( )(

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH

ABSTRACT

URBAN LAND (Ceiling and Regulation) Act. 1976 - Hyderabad Urban Agglomeration - Application for Exemption under Section 20(1) (a) of the Act by Sri Aedla Narayana Reddy for alieantoin of excessland in favour of M/.s Ramakrishna Cine Studio, Hyderabad for developing Horticultural garden - Exemption-granted - orders - issued.

* *

REVENUE (U.C. II) DEPARTMENT

G.O.Ms. No. 501. Dated : 9-3-1983

Read the following :-

1. From Sri Aedla Narayana Reddy application dt. I6-4-1981
2. From the Special Officer and Competent Authority, Urban Land Ceilings, Hyd. Lr. No. F1 5594/76, dt. 31 10-1981.
3. From the Commissioner, Land Reforms and Urban Land Ceilings. A. P., Hyderabad. L. Dis. No. UCI/8406/81 dated : 5-2-1982.

— — — —

ORDER :

In his application 1st read above, Sri Aedla Narayana Reddy has requested the Government for grant of exemption under section 20(1) (a) of the Act to the excess land measuring Ac. 5.00 in S. Nos. 197/1-B, 198/B, 199/B, 206/1/B held by him at Nacharam (Village) in Hyderabad Urban Agglomeration in terms of G.O.Ms. No. 1797 Revenue dated 24-10-1978, so as to enable him to sell an extent of Ac. 4.2 1/2 Guntas in favour of M/s. Rama krisha Cine Studio, as they intend to develop Horticulture garden for out door shootings. He has also filed sworn affidavit that he is owner of the above lands and has been cultivating the said lands for agriculture purpose and that the intending purchasers M/s. Ramakrisha Cine Studios are the owners of adjoining agricultural lands.

2. Sri N. Harikrishna, Managing Partner M/s. Ramakrishna Cine Studio, Bakaram has filed a separate sworn affidavit stated that they own a plot of land at Village Nacharam adjacent to the land held by Sri A. Narayana Reddy. The lands now occupied by them is not sufficient to their requirements and that they intend to develop a Horticultural Studio in the said lands, which will be of a new type and will be useful for out door shootings. The Managing Partner further stated that the land will continue to be used for agriculture (Horticulture) purpose in future also and will not be converted for non-agriculture purpose.

3. Government have examined the matter in consultation with the Special Officer and Competent Authority, Urban Land Ceilings, Hyderabad and the Commissioner, Land Reforms and Urban Land Ceilings, Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.

The Special Officer and Competent Authority, Urban Land Ceilings, Hyderabad has reported that on verification it is found that the applicant holds the following properties :-

| Item No. | Description of Property | Total extent | Area covered by the building | | Addl. Appt. land | Area Protected | Vacant land |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Plinth area. | Appurtenant land | | | |
| | | Sq. Mtr | Sq. Mtr | Sq. Mtr | Sq. Mtr | Sq. Mtr | Sq. Mtr. |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| DWELLING UNIT : AMBERPET. Hyderabad | | | | | | | |
| 2-2-1075/12/A | | 428.19 | 231.06 | 128.04 | 69.09 | 428.19 | ... |
| 2-2-1075/A3 | | 1119/67 | 432.37 | 500.00 | 187.30 | 1119.67 | ... |
| VACANT LAND : NACHARAM (V) | | | | | | | |
| 197/1/B | | | 4250.05 | ... | ... | ... | 4250.05 |
| 198/B | 5059.10 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 5059.10 | |
| 199/B | 3438.05 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 3438.05 | |
| 201/1/B | 7487.10 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 7487.10 | |
| | Total | 21782.16 | 663.43 | 628.04 | 256.39 | 1547.86 | 20234.30 |

An extent of 1547 Sq. Mtrs. is protected under Section 4 (11) of the Act. Thus the applicant holds an extent of 20.234.30 Sq. Mtrs. in excess of the Ceiling limit. The Special Officer & Competent Authority, Urban Land Ceilings, Hyderabad has reported that the agricultural lands at Nacharam (V) is specified in the master plan for the purposes other than agriculture and as such they are treated as vacant lands. under the Urban Land Ceilings Act. The Special Officer reported that the declarant intends to sell an extent of Ac. 4.2 1/2 Gunta i. e. 16,440.50 Sq. Mtrs in S. Nos. 197/1-B, 198/B, 199/B, 206/1-B situated at Nacharam in favour of M/s. Ramakrishna Cine Studio out of the 20,230.30 Sq. Mtrs. The Special Officer furthur reported that the above lands are entered in Revenue Records as agriculture lands and cultivated as such from the year 1973 - 74 to 1975 - 76 i. e. prior to Urban Land Ceilings Act came into force and are continued to be used for agriculture purpose. The Special Office, Hyderabad has requested the Government to consider exemption of the entire excess land measuring 20,234,30 Sq. Mtrs. at Nacharam held by the applicant Sri A. Naraya Reddy in terms of G. O.Ms. No. 1797 Revenue dated 24-10-1978 permitting him to sell 16,440-50 Sq.Mtrs subject to the conditions stipulated in the said guidelines.

4. The commissioner, Land Reforms and Urban Land Ceilings, Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad while recommending for exemption of the land proposed to be purchased by M/s. Ramakrishna Cine Studio stated that the request meets the requirements of GO Ms. No. 1797 Revenue dated 24-10-1978, and also recommended the case under section 20(1) (a) of the Act. as M/s. Ramakrishna Cine Studio propose to develop the land for horticulture and garden purpose for outdoor shooting and this would Cater to the needs of cinema industry, besides adds to the secnic beauty of the city. The lands are reported to be not covered by any Land Acquisition Proceedings.

5. The Government have carefully examined the matret, with reference to the provisions contained under section 20 (1)(a) of the Act, and they consider that since the land in question is

located adjacent th the lands purchased by M/s. Ramakrishna Cine Studio at Nacharam and that the land is proposed to be availed for development and raising of Horticulture garden, it would in turn add to the infrastructure of film industry, which is quite inadequate in the State and would contribute to its development. Government further consider that with a view to promoting the film industry, which required to be given inpetus and encourragement and felt it expedient in the public interest, to grant exemption in this case under section 20 (1) (a) of the Urban Land (Ceiling & Regulation) Act, 1976, to the extent of only 16,440.30 Sq. Mtrs. at Nacharam for alienation to the said Studio.

6. Now therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 20 of the Urban Land (Ceiling and Regulation) Act, 1976, the Governor of Andhra Pradesh hereby exempts from the provisions of Chapter-III of the said Act, the excess vacant land measuring 16,440.50 Sq. Mtrs. in S. Nos. 197/1-B, 198/B, 199/B, 206/1-B, situated at Nacharam village in Hyderabad Urban Agglomeration held by Sri Aedla Narayana Reddy, so as to enable him to sell the said land in favour of M/s. Ramakrishna Cine Studio, Bakaram, Hyderrbad for the purpose of developing Horticulture garden, by the said Studio for outdoor shootings.

7. The Special Officer, Urban Land Ceilings, Hyderabad is requested to take over the remaining exccss land measuring 3.793.80 Sq.Mtrs. held by Sri A Narayana Reddy under the provisions of Urban Land (Ceiling & Regulations) Act, 1976.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

B. N. JAYASIMHA,
Principal Secretary to Government.

To

Sri Aedla Narayana Reddy, H. No. 2-2-1075/A/3, Bagh Amberpet, Hyderabad.

Sri N. Harikrishna, Managing Partner, M/s. Ramakrishna Cine Studio, Bakaram, Hyderabad.

The Speceial Officer, Competent & Authoriy,Urban Land Ceilings Hyderabad.

The Commissioner Land Reforms & Urban Land Ceilings, Hyderabad.

The Inspector General of Registration & Stamps, Hyderabad.

S.C./S.F.

/ true copy /

Sd/-
SECTION OFFICER.

* *

Copy of :

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH

ABSTRACT

URBAN LAND Ceiling & Regulation) Act. 1976 - Hyderabad Urban Agglomeration - Application of Sri N. Jaya Krishna Parnter M/s. Ramakrishna Cine Studios, for grant of exemption of excess land situated at Nacharam Village-Exemption - Granted - Orders - Issued.

REVENUE (U.C. II) DEPARTMENT

G.O.Ms. No. 450. Dated : 3-5-1985

Read the following :-

1. G.O.Ms. No. 501 Revenue dated 9-3-83
2. From Sri N. Jaya Krishna, Partner, M/s. Ramakrishna Cine Studios, Representation to Government, dt. 23 3-84
3. Govt. Meme No. 723/UC.II/83-1, dated 24-3-84.
4. From the S.O. & C.A.ULC. Hyderabad, Lr.No. F1/121/83, dated 12-11-83.
5. From the S.O. & C A. ULC, Hyderabap, Lr.No. F1/121/83 dated 4-2-85.
6. From the CLR & ULC. Hyderabad Lr. No. UCI/5197/83, dated 20-2-85.

Statement placed on the Table by Chief Minister
re : Allegations made against C. M.

ORDER:

In his application 2nd read above Sri N. Jaya Krishna, Partner of M/s. Ramakrishna Cine Studios, Hyderabad has requested the Goverdment for grant of exemption of the land measuring Acs. 11.31 1/2 gts. situated at Nacharam Village, Hayatnagar Taluk, Ranga Reddy District for retention for agricultural purp se (for out-door shootings) u/s 20 (1) (a) of the Urban Land (Ceiling and Regulation) Act, 1976 in terms of G.O.Ms. No. 1797 Revenue 24-10-78.

1. In his letters 4th and 5th read above, the Special officer and Competent Authorty, Urban Land Ceilings, Hyderabad has stated that Sri N Jaya Krishna, has filed a statement in Form - 1 under Section 6 (1) of the Urban Land Ceiling Act before him on behalf of M/s Ramakrishna Cine Studios and that his property held by M/s. Ramakrishna Cine Studios is exclusive of the other properties held by the partners of the Studios, M/s. Ramakrishna Cinc Studios have purchased Ac. 7.29 Gts. of land in S. Nos. 200, 201, 202, 203, 197/1, 198, 199, 204, 206/1 and 207/2 of Nacharam Village fram Sri Syed Azam after obtaining 2 (0) certificate from the Special Officer and Competent Authority, Urband Land Ceillngs, Hyderabad, since they are agricultural lands and not covered by the Master Plan, on 24-2-79. Subsequently M/s Ramakrishna Cine Studios have also purchased Ac. 4.2 1/2 Gtn. of land in S. Nos. 197/1-B, 198/B, 199/B and 206/1-B, along with a shed, servan' quarters, farm house, a cattle shed and a compound wall as also barbed wire fencing from Sri A Narayana Reddy on 23-3-83 This sale was effected after Sri A. Narayana Reddy obtained exe nption order From the Government in G.O.Ms. No. 501 Revenue dated 9-3-83, since the Master Plan has been exteneded to this area by that time. It has been reported that the lands are recorded as agricultural lands in the village record. But they are earmarked for residential purpose in the extended Master Plan notified for non-Municipal area. Therefore the lands are treated

as vacant lands under the Act. The total area of the land is 47,702.00 sq. mtrs. out of which they have constructed the following :-

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. | Main building | 253.00 Sq. mts. |
| 2. | Garrages | 47 00 Sq. mts. |
| 3. | Servant quarter | 232.00 Sq. mts. |
| 4. | Servant quarter | 242.00 Sq. mts. |
| 5. | Tank | 20.00 Sq. mts. |
| 6. | Tank | 44.00 Sq. mts. |
| 7. | Well | 666.00 Sq. mts. |
| 8. | Pump house | 6.00 Sq. mts. |
| 9. | Servant room | 29.00 Sq. mts |
| 10. | Platform | 313.00 Sq. mts. |
| | Tctal | 4.673.00 Sq. mts. |

Apart from the above constructions, the entire land is being used for agricultnral purposes and they have not changed the land use. It is verified from the Pahanies that during the years 1972-73 to 1982-83. Paddy has been raised. The Vice-Chairman HUDA in his letter No. B 4891/84, dated 2-1-1985 has stated that the lands in question are not required by HUDA for any public purpose. The Special Officer and Competent Authority, Urban Land Ceilings, Hyderabad has declared, 46,702,00 sq. mts. as surplus land in this case. The Special Officer Urban Land Ceiling, Hyderabad has stated that the agricultural operations are being continued in this land and the application for exemption satisfied the guidelincs issued in G.O.Ms. No. 1797 Revenue dated 24-10-78 He has therefore recommended for considering the exemption application subject to the conditions stipulated in the G. O, Ms. No. 1797 Revenue dated 24-10-78.

3. In his letter 6th read above, the Commissioner of Land Reforms and Urban Land Ceilings. Hyderabad has stated that the land in quistion is used for residential as well as agricultural and horticulture purpose. He has stated that an extent of 4,673.00 sq. mts. is covered by main building, servant quarters, well garage

etc., 2 300 sq.mts. remains an non-cultivated area on the eastern side. Out of the remaining area also, 23,554.00 sq. mts. alone is ploughed for fresh crop for wet cultivation and there is open land of 2,768.00 sq. mts. around the well. The remaining area is covered by Mango trees and banana gardens. The Commissioner, Land Reforms and Urban Land Ceilings, Hyderabad has therefore felt that as contended by the applicant the entire land is not used for agricultural purpose and only a portion of the land is used for agricultural purpose. He has also taken the view that the agricultural or Horticulture in this land is for out-door shooting purpose and if that is the case the same may not fall in the definition of agricultural purpose, as out-door shooting for Cinema is Commercial purpose.

4. Government have examined the matter carefully and they have decided to comply with the request of the applicant for grant of exemption to the excess land measuring Acs. 11.31 1/2 guntas (46,702.31 sq.mts) in S. Nos. 198, 199, 200, 201, 202, 203, 204, 197/1, 206/2, 197/1-B, 198/B and 199/B and 206/1-B, situated at Nacharam Village, Hayatnagar taluk, Ranga Reddy District held by M/s. Ramakrishna Cine Studios for retention for agricultural purpose (for out-door shooting) in terms of G. O. Ms. No. 1797 Revenue dated 24-10-78, under section 20 (1)(a) of the Urban Land Ceiling Act.

5. Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 20 of the Urban Land (Ceiling and Regulation) Act. 1976, the Governor of Andhra Pradesh hereby exempts from the provisions of Chapter-III of the said Act, the excess vacant land measuring 46,702.31 sq. mts. in S. Nos 198, 199, 200, 201, 202, 203, 204, 197/1, 206/2, 197/1-B, 198/B 199/B and 206/1-B, situated at Nacharam Village in Hayatnagar Taluk, Ranga Reddy District held by M/s Ramakrishna Cine Studios for retention for agricultural purpose (for out-door shooting) subject to the following conditions :-

1. that the land should actually be used only for agricultural / Horticulture purpose.

2. that whenever the land is proposed to be used for any other purpose, prior permission of the State Government in writing should be obtained.

3. that the land should not be sub-divided, or transferred by sale, gift, lease or otherwise without the prior permission of the State Government. This is, however, no objection to mortgage the land without possession, to secure a loan from a bank or any financial institution, for the sole purpose of agriculture/Horticulture : and

4. that if, at any time, the State Government are satisfied that any of the conditions (1) to (3) above is violated, or if the State Government require the exempted land for their own use, then the State Government may withdraw the exemption under sub-section (2) of Section 20 of the said Act.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

R. KODANDA RAMA REDDY
JOINT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.

To

Sri N. Jaya Krishna, Partner,
M/s. Ramakrishna Cine Studios, Golkonda Cross Roads,
Musheerabad, Hyd. - 500 048

The Special Officer and Competant Authority, Urban Land Ceiling, Hyderabad

The Commissoner of Land Reforms and Urban Land Ceilings, Hyderabad.

SC/SF.

/ true copy /

Sd/-
SECTION OFFICER.

)( )( )( )(

Statement placed on the Table by Chief Minister
re : Allegations made against C. M.

## GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
## ABSTRACT

COMMISSIONS - Commissions of Enquiry under Commissions of Enquiry Act, 1952 (Centarl Act. 60 of 1952) to enquire into the acquisition of land in Gaddiannaram near Hyderabab - Appointment - Notified.

* *

## HOUSING MUNICIPAL ADMN. AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT (I-I) DEPARTMENT

G.O.Ms. No. 569 M.A. Dated : 22nd May, 1987

ORDER :

The following notification shall be published in an extra - ordinary issue of the Andhra Pradesh Gazette, dated 23rd May, 1987.

2. Specific approval of the Secretary to Government, Housing, Municipal Administration and Urban Development Department has been obtained for publication of the notification in the extra - ordinary issue of the Andhra Pradesh Gazette.

## NOTIFICATION - I

Whereas the decisions of the Government in permitting Hyderabad Urban Development Authority to acquire certain lands in Gaddiannaram village under the Land Acquisition Act instead of acquiring them under Urban Land (Ceiling and Regulation) Act, 1976, as also awarding enhanced compensation to A. S. Krishna & Company Private Limited under the Land Acquisition Act and family the transfer of acquired lands by Hyderabad Urban Development Authority to the Market Committee, Hyderabad have come for adverse criticism and motives have been attributed.

2. Whereas the Government of Andhra Pradesh are of the opinion that it is necessary to appoint a Commission of Enquiry

for the purpose of making an enquiry into the allegations mentioned in para-I above which are definite matters of public importance, hereinafter specified.

3. Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 3 of the Commission of Enquiry Act, 1952 (Central Act 60 of 1952), the Government of Andhra Pradesh hereby appoint a Commission of Enquiry consisting of single member namely Sri Koka Ramachandra Rao, Retired Chief Justice of Andhra Pradesh High Court.

4. The terms of the reference of the Commission shall be as follows :-

i) to examine the circumstances, under which in G. O. Ms. No. 552, dated 20 5-1981, the Hyderabad Urban Development Authority was permitted to acquire, among others, S.No. 124, 125 126,127 and 128 situated in Gaddiannaram village in relaxation of the executive instructions issued in Government Memo, No. 1647/-UC/76-C., Revenue, dated 2-9-1976, instead of acquiring surplus lands under Urban Land (Ceiling & Regulation) Act. 1976 at a cheaper rate.

ii) to verify as to whether the Government paid any compensation amount either directly or indirectly to A. S. Krishna & Company Private Limited in persuance of of the judgement of IInd Additional Judge, City Civil Court. Hyderabad, dated 30-1-1987.

iii) to examine whether the present Government could have withdrawn the land acquisition proceedings in view of the higher rate of compensation awarded by the City Civil Court, especially when the award by the Land Acquisition Officer was passed on 10-6-1982 and when possession of the land was taken over on22-6-'82.

iv) to enquire whether the present Government or Hyde-

rabad Urban Development Authority could have taken any other steps in respect of Civil Court's Judgement dated 29.8-1985 enhancing the compensation except filing a review petition and taking steps to file an appeal against the final judgement of the City Civil Court, dated 30-1-1987.

v) to enquire whether there is any illegality or impropriety in the transfer of lands vested in Hyderabad Urban Development Authority to the Agricultural Market Committee, Hyderabad for shifting the Fruit Market from Jambagh (Mozamjahi Market) on payment of Rs. 3.5 crores.

vi) to enquire whether the Government of Hyderabad Urban Development Authurity had shown any undue favour directly or indirctly to A. S. Krishna & Company Private Limited in regard to payment of compensation.

vii) to examine whether the Chief Minister was in any associated in fixing the price to be paid for the transfer of the lands vested in Hyderabad Urban Development Authority to the Agricultural Market Committee for establishment of fruit Market, at the new site.

viii) any other matter having relevance to the above issues.

NOTIFICATION - II

Whether the Government of Andhra Pradesh are of the opinion, having regard to the nature of the Enquiry to be made by the Commission and other circumstances of the case, that all provisions of sub-section (2) (3) (4) and (5) of Section 5 of the Commission of the Enquiry Act, 1952 (Centaal Act 60 of 1952) should be made applicable to the Commission in the Notification No. 1 abvoe.

Now therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 5 of the said act, the Government of Andhra Pradesh hereby direct that all the provisions of sub-section (2) (3) (4) and (5) of Section 5 of the said Act shall apply to the Commission aforesaid.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

C. ARJUNA RAO,
SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

FORWARDED TO:

Sri Koka Ramachandra Rro (Retired) Chief Justice, A. P. High Court, Hderabad.
The Registrar of the High Court, Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad
The Collector & District Magistrate, Hyderabad & Ranga Reddy Distcrict.
The Director of Printing, Stationery & Stores Purchase. Hyderabad, for publication of notification in the extra-ordinary issue of A. P. Gazette, dated 23-5-1987.
The Vice-Chairman, Hyderabad Urban Development Authority, Secunderabad,
The Commissioner for Development of Marketing and Director of Marketing, A. P., Hyderabad.
Commissioner for Information and Public Relations, Hydrabad
Copt to Food & Agricultural Department
Copy to General Administration Department
Copy to Finance & Planning Department
Copy to Accountant General, Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad
Copy to Pay & Accounts Officer, Hyderabad
Copy to Assistant Pay & Accounts Officer, Secretariat Branch, Hyderabad.

/ BY ORDER /

Sd,
SECTION OFFICER.

— — —

Statement placed on the Table by Chief Minister re : Allegations made against C. M.

Copy of :

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
FOOD & AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT

Memo No. 16854/Mktg. 11(i)84-4 Dated : 24-1-86

Sub : AMC., Hyderabad - Establishment of fruit market on land measuring 22.00 acres belonging to HUDA, in Gaddiannaram village Hyderarbad - Permission - Accorded.

Ref : 1) Minutes of the meeting held on 16-12-85 in the chambers of Prl. Secretary, HMA & UD Department.
2) D. O. Lr. No. 16854/84-3. F&A (Mktg.II) dated 6-1-86
3) From the CDM &DM, Lr. No. Rc. II (2)/205/85, dated 10-1-86.

* *

1. In the circumstances reported by the Commissioner for Development of Marketing & Director of Marketing, Hyderabad in his letter cited, Government hereby accord permission to the Agricultural Market Committee, Hyderabad for taking over the land measuring 22.00 acres at a cost of Rs. 3.50 crores in S. No. 124 to 128 at Gaddiannaram Village, Hyderabad district from Hyderabad Urban Development Authority for establishment of a fruit market by shifting the present fruit market at Jambagh.

2. The Agricultural Market Committee, Hyderabad, is permitted to pay an amount of Rs. 2 crores (Rupees two crores only) initially for the above purpose.

3. For the balance of expenses towards land cost, the Agricultural Market Committee, Hyderabad is advised to apply to Government for issue of necessary sanction orders.

D. AURORA.
Principal Secretary to Government

re : Allegations made against C. M.

To

Commissioner for Development of Marketing & Director of Marketing, Hyderabad (by name)

The Agricultural Market Committee, Hyderabad.

The Vice-Chairman, Hyderabad Urban Development Authority, Hyderabad.

Copy to the Secretary, Housing Municipal Administration & Urban Development Department.

Copy to S. F.

/ true copy /

వ్యవసాయ మార్కెట్ కమిటి కార్యాలయము

హైదరాబాదు

బండారు మోహన్ రెడ్డి
అధ్యక్షులు

6,200/ఎ/10, ఉస్మాన్‌గంజ్
హైదరాబాదు

D.O Lr. No. AMCH/LA-5/23/80-81/1365 18-6-1985

Sri

Sub : AMCH - Shifting of Fruit and Vegetable Markets in Hyderabad city under the AMC, Hyderabad in Sy. No. 124 to 128 - Sy.6/1 to 6/8 - Reg.

Ref : Meeting Convened by Chief Secretary on 28-5-1985.

Kindly recall the discussions that took place in the meeting on 28-5-1985 regarding shifting of Fruit Market to the site in Sy. No. 124 to 128 belonging to Hyderabad Urban Development Authoritiy and suggestion for Shifting to Sy. No. 6/1 to 6/8 at Saroor nagar Revenue Manal, District Ranga Reddy Adjacent to Victoria Memorial Home.

Subsequently, the Vice-Chairman, Hyderabad Urban Development Authority also convened a meeting and informed that the project will cost about Rs. 10 crores and it may take 2 years 3 months for completion. The Vice-Chairman, Hyderabad Urban Development Authority also wanted the Agricultural Market

Committee, Hyderabad to contibute 25% of the cost of the project which amounts to about Rs. 2.5 crores. The Vice-Cheirman further informed that the owner ship of the land will remain with Hyderabad Urban Development Authority only and the Agricultural Market Committee, Hyderabad will be the managing Agencies. This is a position not advantagious to thd Agricutural Market committee, Hyderabad.

As the matter stands, there is a need on the part of the Governmenf to take immediate decision in the matter either to ask Hyderabad Urban Development Authority to hand over the site to the Agricultural Market Committee, Hyderabad with absolute rights for develoment by the Market Committee, Hyderabad or consider to alienate the land in Survey No. 6/1 to 6/8 as proposed by me.

As the matter is being dragged on, I request you sir kindly take an immediate decision in the matter.

With

Yours sincerely,

Sd/-
( B. MOHAN REDDY )

To

Sri Shravan Kumar, IAS,
Chief Secretary to Govt.
Andhra Pradesh,
Hyderabad.
Copy to Secretary, Food & Agriculrure Dept.
Govt. of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.
Copy to Commissioner for Development of Marketing and Director of Marketing, Govt. of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad for favour of inf ormation and necessary action.

// true copy //

—)o(—

COPYOF

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
REVENUE DEPARTMENT

Lr. No. D5/14757/84

Office of the Collector
Rangareddy District,
Dated: 29-9-1985

To
The Secretary,
Food & Agricultural Department,
HYDERABAD

Sir,

Sub :- Marketing Committees - Wholesale Fruit Market in Hyderabad, presently located in a private land Jam Bagh area - Shifting the Fruit Market along with the Vegetable Markets - Reg.

Ref :- 1. Govt. D.O.Lr. No. 16854/MKG-1/84-1., dt. 5-9-85.
2. Govt. D.O.Lr. No. 16854/MKG-2/84-2., dt. 7-9-85.
3. MRO. Saroornagar Lr.No. B/1-27/85 dt. 12-9-1985.

I invite kind attention to the reference cited. I am to state that a meeting was held on 9-9-1985 at 11-00 A.M. in the Chamber's of Chief Minister in the Legislative Assembly to discuss the issues relating to shifting of wholesale Fruit Market along with Vegetable Markets in Hyderabad. Pursuant to the discussions held in the meeting a detailed enquiry has been got conducted by the Mandal Revenue Officer. Saroornagar for the lands bearing Sy. No. 6/1 to 6/8 situated at Saroornagar village which are in occupation of Victoria Memorial Home. The enquiry reveals that Victoria Memorial Home is functioning since 1903 and endowed by the then Nizam at the instance of the then resident of Hyderabad Sri David Barr. The trust was created in the year 1954. It is having a building wtih the land adjacent comprising Ac. 69-49 Cents and is registered in the book of Endowments. As per Revenue Records the patta stands in the name of Victoria Memorial Home (Yathim Khana) covered by the following Sy. Nos. and extents,

| Sy. No. | Extent |
|---|---|
| 6/1 | 16 33 guntas |
| 6/2 | 0.05 ,, |
| 6/3 | 0.03 ,, |
| 6/4 | 0.04 ,, |
| 6/5 | 10.32 ,, |
| 6/6 | 8.19 ,, |
| 6/7 | 18.19 ,, |
| 6/8 | 14.05 ,, |
| Total | 69.00 Acres |

Out of above lands an extent 27.27 guntas covered by Sy. Nos. 6/1 to 6/5 is covered with a building and compound wall. The remaining land to an extent or 41.03 guntas covered by Sy. Nos. 6/6, 6/7, 6/8 is situated on the National Highway Road No. 9. The National Highway Road No. 4 to Vijayawada is passing through this land covering an area of Ac. 5.20 guntas. There is another road also passing through this land covering an extent of 1.00 acre. Thus totally an extent of 34.23 guntas of balance land (open area) is available.

The Joint Commissioner of Endowment department earlier has informed to the Government in Revenue (Endowment) Department, that this land and building is registered in the Book of Endowment , hence it is governed by A. P. Charitable and Hindu Religious Endowment Act 17/66. They are exclusively vested in Victorial Memorial Orphanage which can not be alienated or transfered for any other pnrpose.

As regard to market value the sale transactions during the year 1985 were took place between Rs. 65/- to 135/- per Sq. Yard The local enquiry reveals that the prevailing market rate is ranging from 250/- to 300/- per Square Yard.

I enclose herewith a copy of registered certificate through which the property was endowed in Endowments Department, the Joint Commissioner, Endowments Department letter dt. 30-4-1981

addressed to Secretary to Government, Revenue (Endowment) Department, Bye laws of Institution and location sketch.

This is for favour of kind information and necessary orders

Encl : (1)

Yours faithfully,
Sd.
Collector,
Rangareddy District,

/ true copy /

)—( )—( )—(

Copy of

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH

వల్లపరెడ్డి శ్రీనివాసులురెడ్డి
రెవెన్యూశాఖామంత్రి

సచివాలయం
హైదరాబాదు
తేది: 12-6-1987

Letter No. 139/UC.I/87

To
The Hon'ble Speaker,
Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly.
HYDERABAD.

Sri

Sub :- ASSURANCE - L.A.Q. 6543 - Urban Land Ceilings List of exemptions granted under U.L.C. Act - Placing on the Table of the Hon'ble Speaker.

Ref :- From the Secretary, A.P. Legislative Secretariat Lr. No. 58/ Assurance dt. 2-5-1987.

During the discussions on the L.A.Q. No. 6543 (Starred) the Honourable Speaker of the House directed that the details of all

exemptions granted so far by the Government from the commencement of the Act, agglomeration-wise, year-wise be got placed in the Chambers of Honourable Speaker for perusal of Honourable Members of the House.

2. Accordingly, a copy of the list of exemptions granted under U.L.C. Act, 1976 from the date of the Act came into force (years-wise and agglomeration-wise) is sent to the Honourable Speaker for perusal of Honourable Members of the House.

3. i am also to enclose on abstract of exemptions granted from 17-2-1976 to 13-5-1987 and also a statement showing the orders in which the extents are not readily available.

Yours faithfully.
Sd/-

(N. Sreenivasulu Reddi)
(15-6-87)
Minister for Revenue

/True copy/

Sd/-
SECTION OFFICER.

(—) — (—)

1976

## LIST OF EXEMPTIONS GRANTED UNDER SECTION 20 (1) (a) AND 20 (1) (b) OF THE URBAN LAND (CEILING & REGULATION) ACT, 1976 FROM 17-2-1976 HYDERABAD URBAN AGGLOMERATION

| Sl. No. | Name of Exemptee | Extent | G. O. Ms. No. and Date | Purpose 20(1) (a) or 20(1) (b) | Section |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | 2. | 3. | 5. | 6. | 7. |
| | | Sq. Mts. | | | |
| 1. | M/s. Hotel Deccan Continental Secunderabad | 17,191.25 | 891/2-8-76 | 20 (1) (a) of the Act | UC ,, |
| 2. | M/s. Hotel Banjara Hyderabad. | 11,475.77 | 892/2-8-76 | 20 (1) (a) of the Act | ,, |
| 3. | M/s. Uniloids Ltd., Hyderabad. | 24,270.00 | 950/17-8-76 | 20 (1) (a) of the Act | ,, |
| 4. | M/s. R. G. Foundry Jeedimetla, Hyderabad | 32,400.00 | 1163/3-11-76 | 20 (1) (a) of the Act | ,, |
| 5. | M/s. Southern Movitone Ltd., Hyderabad. | 35,025.00 | 1258/25-11-76 | 20 (1) (a) of the Act | ,, |
| 6. | M/s. Bhagyanagar Studios, Hyderabad. | 22,854.00 | 1259/25-11-76 | 20 (1) (a) of the Act | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 5. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 7. | M/s. Indo-Van Engineering (P) Ltd., Hyderabad. | 3,778.72 | 1267/29-11-76 | 20 (1) (a) of the Act | ,, |
| 8. | Sri P. Ranga Rao M/s. Sri Venkateswara Charcoal Depot. | 1,626.00 | 1268/29-11-76 | 20 (1) (a) of the Act | ,, |
| 9. | M/s. Sri Bhooratna Industries, Hyderabad | 4,424.00 | 1269/29-11-76 | 20 (1) (a) of the Act | ,, |
| 10. | M/s. Derco Cooling Coils Ltd., Hyderabad. | 20,234.27 | 1270/29-11-76 | 20 (1) (a) of the Act | ,, |
| 11. | M/s. Gruhalakshmi Co-operative Housing Society | Ac. 6.00 | 1280/1-12-76 | 20 (1) (b) of the Act | ,, |
| 12. | M/s. Electro Photonic India (P) Ltd., Jeedimetla, Hyderabad | 10,112.50 | 1373/28-12-76 | 20 (1) (a) of the Act | ,, |

## 1976

### LIST OF EXEMPTIONS GRANTED UNDER SECTION 20(1) (a) AND 20(1) (b) OF THE URBAN LAND (CEILING & REGULATION) ACT, 1976 FROM 17—2—1976.

### VIJAYAWADA URBAN AGGLOMERATION

| Sl. No. | Name of Exemptee | Extent | G. O. Ms. No. and Date | Purpose 20(1) (a) or 20(1) (b) | Section |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
| 1. | M/s. Krishnaveni Engineering Produc | 0.82 | 1113/8-10-76 | 20 (1) (a) of the Act | UC |

# 1976

## LIST OF EXEMPTIS GRANTED UNDER SECTION 20 (1) (a) AND 20 (1) (b) OF THE URBAN LAND (CEILING & REGULATION) ACT, 1976 FROM 17—2—1976, VISAKHAPATNAM URBAN AGGLOMERATION

| Sl. No. | Name of Exemptee | Extent | G, O, Ms, No, and Date | Purpose 20(1) (a) or 20(1) (b) | Section |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
| 1. | M/s. Dolphin Hotels | 6,728.64 | 893/2.8.76 | 20 (1) (a) of the Act | ,, |

1977

HYDERABAD URBAN AGGLOMERATION 1977

LIST OF EXEMPTIONS GRANTED UNDER SECTION 20(1) (a) AND 20 (1) (b) OF THE URBAN LAND (CEILING & REGULATION) ACT, 1976 FROM 17—2—1976.

| Sl. No. | Section | Name of Exemptee | Extent | G.O.Ms.No. and Date | Purpose 20(1)(a)or 20(1)(b) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
| 1. | UC.I | Dr. T. S. Krishna | 3.00 | 31/10-1-77 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 2. | UC.III | M/s. Imex Engineering Works (P) Ltd | 40469.00 Sq. Mts. | 45/17-1-77 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 3. | UC.III | M/s. Gupta Plastic Cane Industry | 2023.00 ,, | 51/18-1-77 | ,, |
| 4. | ,, | M/s. Novocham Laboratories | 2023.00 ,, | 52/18-1-77 | ,, |
| 5. | ,, | M/s. Rama Foundry Works | 2007.00 ,, | 53/18-1-77 | ,, |
| 6. | ,, | Sri Saradhi Studios | 46808.00 ,, | 72/21-1-77 | ,, |
| 7. | ,, | M/s. Mahalakshmi Spun Pipes Co. Ltd. | 12150.00 ,, | 73/21-1-77 | ,, |
| 8. | ,, | Sri N. Bhagwandas, I.A.S., | 1392.02 ,, | 129/15-2-77 | ,, |
| 9. | ,, | M/s. Sunil Industries | 4000.00 ,, | 241/14-3-77 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 10. | UC.II | Mrs. Iftekarunisa Begam | 21.25 Sq. Ft. | 247/14-3-77 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 11. | ,, | Sri C. Srinivasa Sastry, I.A.S., | 0.82 Sq. Mts. | 256/18-3-77 | ,, |
| | | Hyderabad and Vijayawada. | 299.63 ,, | | |
| 12. | ,, | Smt. B. L. Maheswari | 494.74 ,, | 259/19-3-77 | ,, |
| 13. | ,, | Sri P. V. R. K. Prasad, I.A.S., | 145.45 ,, | 275/24-3-77 | ,, |
| 14. | UC.III | M/s. Pramod Packaging Agencies (P) Ltd., | 8093.45 ,, | 284/26-3-77 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 15. | UC.II | Institute of Little Sisters of the Poor | | 294/26-3-77 | 19 (1) (4) |
| 16. | ,, | Smt. Shiram Mukharji | 135.00 ,, | 295/26-3-77 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 17. | UC.III | M/s. A. P. I. D. C. Industrial Estate | 2118.00 ,, | 409/28-3-77 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 18. | ,, | M/s. Playcards Enterprises | 2409.00 ,, | 310/28-3-77 | ,, |
| 19. | ,, | M/s. Kutumba Rao Industries | 4050.00 ,, | 311/28-3-77 | ,, |
| 20. | ,, | M/s. Scientific Engineering House | 5255.20 ,, | 312/28-3-77 | ,, |
| 21. | ,, | M/s. H. L. M. Industries, (P) Ltd., | 1836.00 ,, | 313/28-3-77 | ,, |
| 22. | ,, | M/s. Assorchani Industries | 4762.56 ,, | 314/28-3-77 | ,, |
| 23. | ,, | M/s. Radha Madhava Engineerig Enterprises | 1385.00 ,, | 315/28-3-77 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 24. | UC.III | M/s. Delux Mechine Tools Corporation | 1839-00 Sq. mts. | 316/28-3-77 | ,, |
| 25. | ,, | M/s. Vani Chemicals & Intermediate | 4050.00 ,, | 317/28-3-77 | ,, |
| 26. | ,, | M/s. Narmaforulips Ltd. | 1297.00 ,, | 318 28-3-77 | ,, |
| 27. | ,, | M/s. Time Engineers Industrie | 1270.00 ,, | 319/28-3-77 | ,, |
| 28, | ,, | M/s.Synthopharm Industries | 2350.00 ,, | 320/28-3-77 | ,, |
| 29. | ,, | M/s. Utility Metal Works & Industrial Estate | 4633.00 ,, | 321/28-3-77 | ,, |
| 30. | ,, | M/s. Halva Optical & Glass Industries | 1568.00 ,, | 322/28-3-77 | ,, |
| 31. | UC.II | Sri K. G. Erade, I.P S., | 1216.33 ,, | 334/30-3-77 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 32. | ,, | Sri K. Srihari Rao | 135.00 ,, | 342/1-4-77 | ,, |
| 33. | ,, | Major Habeeb Rahman | 73.00 ,, | 361/5-4-77 | ,, |
| 34. | ,, | Srt Achuta Nagabhushana Rao | 196-47 ,, | 386/18-4-77 | ,, |
| 35. | ,, | Sri K. Mahipathi Rao | 16-00 ,, | 387/18-4-77 | ,, |
| 36. | UC.III | M/s. Balaji Industrial & Agrl. castings | 4905.00 ,, | 395/18-4-77 | ,, |
| 37. | ,, | M/s. Satyam Brothers & Co. | 6017.00 ,, | 396/18-4-77 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 5. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 38. | UC.III | M/s. Hyd. Chemicals & Supplies (P) Ltd. | 7582.76 Sq.mts. | 399/18-4-77 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 39. | ,, | M/s. Elco (P) Ltd. Industries | 7949.78 ,, | 400/18-4-77 | ,, |
| 40. | ,, | M/s. T. V. S. Company | 7116.00 ,, | 401/18-4-77 | ,, |
| 41. | ,, | M/s. Sundara Industries (P) Ltd., | 2346.00 ,, | 402/18-4-77 | ,, |
| 42. | ,, | M/s. Progressive & Packaging (P) Ltd. | 9148.77 ,, | 403/18-4-77 | ,, |
| 43. | ,, | M/s. Smt. D. Vijayalakshmi | 1368.00 ,, | 404/18-4-77 | ,, |
| 44. | ,, | M/s. Sagar Electrical & Gas Industries | 4457.00 ,, | 405/18-4-77 | ,, |
| 45. | ,, | M/s. Sarada Engineeing Company | 3823/00 ,, | 406/18-4-77 | ,, |
| 46. | ,, | M/s. Industrial Graphites | 7421.00 ,, | 407/18-4-77 | ,, |
| 47. | ,, | M/s. Vinod Machinary Manufacturing Co. | 2285.59 ,, | 408/18-4-77 | ,, |
| 48. | ,, | M/s. Backelite Allied Moulding Techno Crafts | 1147.00 ,, | 409/18-4-77 | ,, |
| 49. | UC.II | Sri K. Sadasiva Rao, I.P.S., | 49.00 ,, | 423/21-4-77 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 50. | UC.III | M/s. Best Abrasioes (P) Ltd. | 4046.00 ,, | 426/21-4-77 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 51. | UC.II | Sri Vijaya Ramachandran | 112.04 ,, | 442/23-4-77 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 52. | UC.III | M/s. Bhagyanagar Oil Industries | 6216.00 ,, | 464/21-4-77 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 53. | UC.II | Dr. Ram R. Vepa | 304.50 ,, | 523/7-5-77 | 20 (1) (b) |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 54. | UC.III | Sri H. Krishna Murthy Rao | 368.73 Sq. Mts. | 527/ 9-5-77 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 55. | ,, | M/s. Dr. Kasinath Pharma Chemical Industry | 2175.30 ,, | 528/ 9-5-77 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 56 | ,, | M/s Card Cane Industry | 2462.00 ,, | 529/ 9-5-77 | ,, |
| 57. | ,, | M/s. Alkali Chemical Corpn. of India Ltd. | 79666.00 ,, | 539/10-5-77 | ,, |
| 58. | ,, | M/s. Mezhar I.D.A. | 2381.00 ,, | 555/18-5-77 | ,, |
| 59. | ,, | M/s. Veerabhadra Trading Corpn. | 20647.00 ,, | 556/18-5-77 | ,, |
| 60. | ,, | M/s. Swastic Dall Mill | 5259.00 ,, | 568/18-5-77 | ,, |
| 61. | ,, | M/s. Velbrocs | 4648.20 ,, | 569/18-5-77 | ,, |
| 62. | ,, | M/s. Gopal Dall & Oil Mills, Kesavagiri | 9115.70 ,, | 570/18-5-77 | ,, |
| 63. | ,, | M/s. Hitch India Ltd. | 3715.00 ,, | 571/18-5-77 | ,, |
| 64. | ,, | M/s. Bharat Rice & Oil Mills | 6197.43 ,, | 578/20-5-77 | ,, |
| 65. | ,, | M/s. Bangudaric Super Foods & Fertiltsers (P) Ltd. | 20100.00 ,, | 579/20-5-77 | ,, |
| 66. | ,, | M/s. Venkateswara Rice Mills | 6069.00 ,, | 580/20-5-77 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 67. | UC.III | M/s. Swastic Oil Industries | 4984.00 Sq. Mts. | 603/23-5-77 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 68. | ,, | M/s. Madhu Engineering Works | 11285.55 ,, | 627/27-5-77 | ,, |
| 69. | ,, | M/s. Bio - Pharma Laboratory (P) Ltd. | 2273.80 ,, | 628 27-5-77 | ,, |
| 70. | ,, | M/s. Hi-lite Products (P) Ltd. | 1440.00 ,, | 629/27-5-77 | ,, |
| 71. | ,, | Sri K. Ramakrishna Industries | 1617.00 ,, | 630/27-5-77 | ,, |
| 72. | ,, | M/s. Mex - Pharma, Saidabad | 2491.00 ,, | 631/27-5-77 | ,, |
| 73, | ,, | M/s. Metal Industries | 2213.00 ,, | 634/27-5-77 | .. |
| 74. | ,, | M/s. Bharat Tubes & Tini Printers (P) Ltd. | 2239.31 ,, | 635/27-5-77 | ,, |
| 75. | ,, | M/s. Jubilee Industries Corpn. | 2810.85 ,, | 636/27-5-77 | ,, |
| 76. | ,, | M/s. Haicham (P) Ltd. | 2315.04 ,, | 637/27-5-77 | ,, |
| 77. | ,, | M/s. Envolop Manufacture Co. | 1241.00 ,, | 638 27-5-77 | ,, |
| 78. | ,, | M/s. Sai Commercial Enterprises | 2581.25 ,, | 639/27-5-77 | ,, |
| 79. | UC.II | Sri V. Subramanian Chetty | 446.50 ,, | 652/30-5-77 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 80. | ,, | Dr. I. Atchyuta Rao | 58.00 ,, | 653/30-5-77 | ,, |
| 81. | ,, | Sri V. C. Katoch | 219.00 ,, | 654/30-5-77 | ,, |
| 82. | UC.III | M/s. Southern Steels Ltd. | 40000.00 ,, | 671/ 1-6-77 | 20 (1) (a) |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 83. | UC.II | Sri Bh Bh. Sreerama Raju | 3.35 Sq. Mts. | 676/ 3-6-77 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 84. | UC.III | M/s. Ravera Engineering Co | 4328.63 ,, | 681/ 3-6-77 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 85 | ,, | M/s. Shiva Harsha Hotel Ltd | 6876.00 ,, | 682/ 3-6-77 | ,, |
| 86, | UC.II | Sri J. Vengala Rao | 138.80 ,, | 686/ 4-6-77 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 87. | ,, | Sri Ch. Raghava Rao | 403.03 ,, | 687/ 4-6-77 | ,, |
| 88. | ,, | M/s. Ritz (P) Ltd. | 9283.75 ,, | 734/18-6-77 | ,, |
| 88-A | ,, | Dr. B. Venkatappaiah | 126.00 ,, | 735/18-6-77 | ,, |
| 89. | UC.III | M/s. Sailaxmi Saibaba Engineering Works | 1025.00 ,, | 739/20-6-77 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 90 | ,, | M/s. B. Narayana Swamy & Sons. | 1138.00 ,, | 740/20-6-77 | ,, |
| 91. | UC.II | Dr. S. Ramachandra Rao | 419.00 ,, | 745/20-6-77 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 92. | ,, | Sri D. Suryanarayana Swamy | 1484.00 ,, | 749/20-6-77 | ,, |
| 93. | ,, | Smt. Ch. Padmavathi | 347.00 ,, | 750/21-6-77 | ,, |
| 94. | UC.III | M/s. The Associated Glass Industries | 13724.00 ,, | 751/21-6-77 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 95. | ,, | M/s Kedia Oil Mills | 4690.50 ,, | 755/23-6-77 | ,, |
| 96. | ,, | M/s. Veljen Hydrin (P) Ltd. | 8093.00 ,, | 756/23-6-77 | ,, |
| 97. | ,, | M/s. South India Chemical Industries | 1214.70 ,, | 757/23-6-77 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 98. | UC.III | M/s. Hyderabad Carbons & Chemical Ltd., Balanagar | 5624.23 Sq. Mts. | 769/24-6-77 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 99. | UC.II | Sri P. Venkata Ramana | 464.05 ,, | 772/25-6-77 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 100. | UC.III | M/s. Metal Engineering Co. Ltd. | 1756.00 ,, | 819/ 7-7-77 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 101. | ,, | M/s. Prozair Corpn. | 4407.00 ,, | 820/ 7-7-77 | ,, |
| 102. | ,, | M's. Sundardas & Co. | 4757.52 ,, | 821/ 7-7-77 | ,, |
| 103. | ,, | M/s. Reliable Sheet Metal Industries | 2484.00 ,, | 822/ 7-7-77 | ,, |
| 104. | ,, | M/s. Pumps India (P) Ltd. | 3156.73 ,, | 823/ 7-7-77 | ,, |
| 105. | ,, | M/s. Meco Tronics (P) Ltd. | 1676.18 ,, | 824/ 7-7-77 | ,, |
| 106. | ,, | M/s. Industrial Engineering Corpn. | 1341.00 ,, | 825/ 7-7-77 | ,, |
| 107. | ,, | M/s. J. Pharma Industrial Estate | 2584.00 ,, | 826/ 7-7-77 | ,, |
| 108. | UC.II | Major Mrs. C. Rajeswari | 226.59 ,, | 829/ 8-7-77 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 109. | ,, | Sri Vinod Kumar Puri | 336.12 ,, | 840/12-7-77 | ,, |
| 110. | ,, | Mrs. Sundari Sandhya | 226.00 ,, | 851/16-7-77 | ,, |
| 111. | ,, | Sri Mohd. Imamuddin | 438.00 ,, | 856/16-7-77 | ,, |
| 112. | ,, | Sri E. Somayajulu | 3.3 ,, | 857/16-7-77 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5: | 6: |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 113. | UC.II | Smt. N. Sharada | 362.89 Sq. Mts. | 858/16-7-77 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 114. | UC.III | M/s. Progressive Hotels (P) Ltd, | 3083.00 ,, | 859/16-7-77 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 115. | ,, | M/s. Alcap Industries | 2017.50 ,, | 865/18-7-77 | ,, |
| 116. | UC.II | Sri G. Jogi Reddy | 290.13 ,, | 869/19-7-77 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 117. | UC.III | M/s. Andhra Chemicals Co. | 2221.00 ,, | 870/20-7-77 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 118. | ,, | M/s. Rahmania Machinary Co. | 4180.00 ,, | 871/20-7-77 | ,, |
| 119. | ,, | M/s. Model Mital Industries | 2308.00 ,, | 872/20-7-77 | ,, |
| 120. | ,, | M/s. Indicor Laminator (P) Ltd. | 4723.00 ,, | 873/20-7-77 | ,, |
| 121. | ,, | M/s. Radiant Engineering Co. | 2221.00 ,, | 874/20-7-77 | ,, |
| 122. | ,, | M/s. Instrument Technics (P) Ltd. | 2455.00 ,, | 875/20-7-77 | ,, |
| 123. | ,, | M/s. Andhra lite Industries | 2097.00 ,, | 876/20-7-77 | ,, |
| 124. | ,, | M/s. Asok Plastics | 2221.00 ,, | 877 20-7-77 | ,, |
| 125. | ,, | M/s. Duncon Castings Ltd. | 20610.00 ,, | 878/20-7-77 | ,, |
| 126. | ,, | M/s. Srikrishna Pulvarizes Associates | 2197.13 ,, | 879/20-7-77 | ,, |
| 127. | ,, | M/s. Standard Industries | 2711.18 ,, | 880/20-7-77 | ,, |
| 128. | ,, | M/s. Auto Filters (P) Ltd. | 1632 60 ,, | 881 20-7-77 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 129. | UC.II | Srinivasa Co-op. Housing Society Ltd. | 4176.53 Sq. Mts. | 891/20-7-77 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 130. | ,, | Smt. D. Krishnaveni Sanjeevaiah | 440.00 ,, | 910/23-7-77 | ,, |
| 131. | ,, | Lt. Col. S. Basheeruddin Ahmed (Retd.) | 3759.55 ,, | 913/25-7-77 | ,, |
| 132. | ,, | Sri P. Mohan Rao | 398.93 ,, | 925/27-7-77 | ,, |
| 133. | ,, | Sri U. Govindappa | 261.00 ,, | 926/27-7-77 | ,, |
| 134. | ,, | Smt. Ella Kamala Bai | 486.91 ,, | 936/29-7-77 | ,, |
| 135. | ,, | Sri Aziz Tasaddiq Hussasn | 128.77 ,, | 946/30-7-77 | ,, |
| 136. | UC.III | Sri Amritlal | 5306.92 ,, | 948/30-7-77 | ,, |
| 137. | UC.II | Smt. N. Sukkamma & 3 others | 7507.13 ,, | 951/30-7-77 | ,, |
| 138. | ,, | Sri P. Manga Devi | 295.83 ,, | 959/ 2-8-77 | ,, |
| 139. | ,, | Sri T. D. Rama Murthy | 718.06 ,, | 960/ 2-8-77 | ,, |
| 140. | UC.III | Sri G. Lakshminarayana, M/s. Sree Venkateswara Rice Mill | 8070.00 ,, | 972/ 3-8-77 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 141. | ,, | M/s. Krishna Metal Industries | 22690,00 ,, | 973/ 3-8-77 | ,, |
| 142. | ,, | M/s. Intec Electronics (P) Ltd. | 6048.00 ,, | 974/ 3-8-77 | ,, |
| 143. | ,, | M/s. Vijaya Industries | 2050.00 ,, | 991/ 6-8-77 | ,, |

| I. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 144. | UC.III | M/s. Mimicon Insulated Wires (P) Ltd. | 4606.00 Sq. Mts. | 992/ 6-8-77 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 145. | ,, | M/s. Enkay Industries | 8858.55 ,, | 993/ 6-8-77 | ,, |
| 146. | ,, | M/s. Arvind Chemical Industries | 4045.00 ,, | 994/ 6-8-77 | ,, |
| 147. | ,, | M/s. Sri Samadri Bhopal of M/s. Wood Star Industries. | 3344.50 ,, | 995/ 6-8-77 | ,, |
| 148. | ,, | M/s. Binjusaria & Co. (P) Ltd. | 3349.28 ,, | 996/ 6-8-77 | ,, |
| 149. | ,, | M/s. Intraven Pharmaceuticals (P) Ltd. | 2508.00 ,, | 997/ 6-8-77 | ,, |
| 150. | ,, | M/s. Decoan Nitrate (P) Ltd. | 7200.00 ,, | 998/ 6-8-77 | ,, |
| 151. | ,, | M/s. Noor Dyeing & Printing Works | 1472.00 ,, | 999/ 6-8-77 | ,, |
| 152. | ,, | Smt. Jeelani Pasha | 1225.00 ,, | 1000/ 6-8-77 | ,, |
| 153. | ,, | M/s. Vepoon Oil & Chemicals (P) Ltd. | 4046.00 ,, | 1001/ 6-8-77 | ,, |
| 154. | UC.II | Sri V. B. Raju | 135.80 ,, | 1009/ 6-8-77 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 155. | ,, | Smt. Annapurna S Menon | 356 00 ,, | 1010/ 6-8-77 | ,, |
| 156. | ,, | Smt. B. Venkayamma | 1684.50 ,, | 1010/ 6-8-77 | ,, |
| 157. | ,, | Sri K. R. Sridharan | 107 ,, | 1013/ 6-8-77 | ,, |
| 158. | ,, | Smt. A. Raja Ratnamma | 254.18 ,, | 1014/ 6-8-77 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 159. | UC.II | Sri Jayanth Sridhar Kirtana Per GPA., Dr. Ramakrishna Satwalekar | 312.09 Sq. Mts.. | 1015/ 6-8-77 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 160. | ,, | Sri K. Udaya Bhaskara Rao | 195.39 ,, | 1016/ 8-8-77 | ,, |
| 161. | ,, | Smt. K. Sakuntala | 170.56 ,, | 1017/ 8-8-77 | ,, |
| 162. | ,, | Sri S. Rajyalakshmi Devi | 302.47 ,, | 1025 10-8-77 | ,, |
| 163. | ,, | Sri Singan Balaiah | 380-00 ,, | 1033 10-8-77 | ,, |
| 164. | UC.III | M/s. Iqbal Pickle Manufacturing | 2623.00 ,, | 1038 10-8-77 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 165. | ,, | M/s. Dies & Dies | 4047.00 ,, | 1039/10-8-77 | ,, |
| 166. | UC.II | Sri G. V. Reddiah | 309.36 ,, | 1044/12-8-77 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 167. | ,, | Dr. R. Subba Rao | 450.64 ,, | 1046/12-8-77 | ,, |
| 168. | ,, | Sri G. Narayana | 160.54 ,, | 1050/12-8-77 | ,, |
| 169. | ,, | Smt. Pasha Begum | 680.00 ,, | 1065/18-8-77 | ,, |
| 170. | ,, | Sri P. Venkataiah | 259.75 ,, | 1083/23-8-77 | ,, |
| 171. | ,, | Sri P. Ravinder Reddy | 63.00 ,, | 1089 26-8-77 | ,, |
| 162. | ,, | Smt. A. Lakshmibayamma | 114-18 ,, | 1107/31-8-77 | ,, |
| 173. | ,, | Sri S. N. Reddy | 221.00 ,, | 1110 1-9-77 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 174. | UC.III | M/s. Spiral Tubes (P) Ltd. | 7284.00 Sq. Mts. | 1120/6-9-77 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 175. | UC.II | Sri V. Lakshmana Swamy and Sri K. Vasudeva Rao | 6092.00 ,, | 1184/7-9-77 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 176. | ,, | Mrs. G. V. S. R. Rao | 517.00 ,, | 1142/8-9-77 | ,, |
| 177. | ,, | Sri C. Thiru Narayana | 3.35 ,, | 1143/8-9-77 | ,, |
| 178. | ,, | Smt. Ashima Chose | 3.36 ,, | 1189/22-9-77 | ,, |
| 179. | ,, | Sri Tenneti Venugopal | 240.00 ,, | 1198/23-9-77 | ,, |
| 180. | ,, | S. Moti Bai | 399.22 ,, | 1201/23-9-77 | ,, |
| 181. | ,, | Dr. Jonna Subbayya | 170.57 ,, | 1213 26-9-77 | ,, |
| 182. | ,, | Sri C. Rachaiah | 100. ,, | 1214/26-9-77 | ,, |
| 183. | ,, | Captain Thota Jawaharlal | 340.30 ,, | 1215/25-9-77 | ,, |
| 184. | UC.III | M/s. Chandra Tube Company | 16180.00 ,, | 1240/29-9-77 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 185. | ,, | M/s. Elmot Engineering Co. (P) Ltd. | 40500.00 ,, | 1289/3-10-77 | ,, |
| 186. | ,, | M/s. Papular Industries | 1486.00 ,, | 1290/3-10-77 | ,, |
| 187. | ,, | M/s. Placon Industries (P) Ltd. | 3204.00 ,, | 1291/3-10-77 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 188. | UC.III | M/s. Indo-Chem Industries | 2590.00 Sq. Mts. | 1292/3-10-77 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 189. | ,, | M/s. Exeel Scales (P) Ltd. | 5177.60 ,, | 1316/6-10-77 | ,, |
| 190. | ,, | M/s. Tools India Corporation | 3701.00 ,, | 1317/6-10-77 | ,, |
| 191. | ,, | M/s. P. Anjaiah Auto | 3149.00 ,, | 1318/6-10-77 | ,, |
| 192. | ,, | M/s. Varicon (P) Ltd. | 3045.00 ,, | 1319/6-10-77 | ,, |
| 193. | UC.11 | M. Venkat Rao | 528.44 ,, | 1333/10-10-77 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 194. | ,, | Srt Y. Satyanarayana | 151.59 ,, | 1335/10-10-77 | ,, |
| 195. | ,, | Sri K. Muralikrishna | 2482.47 ,, | 1336/11-10-77 | ,, |
| 196. | ,, | Sri T. V. R. Sarma | 170.58 ,, | 1339/11-10-77 | ,, |
| 197. | ,, | Major General Wag Pinto | 412. ,, | 1340/11-10-77 | ,, |
| 198. | ,, | Sri D. Arora, I.A.S , | 830.27 ,, | 1341/11-10-77 | ,, |
| 199. | ,, | Smt. Mohmmadi Fatima | 67.73 ,, | 134/211-10-77 | ,, |
| 200. | ,, | Sri G. Satyanayana Reddy | 269. ,, | 1343/11-10-77 | ,, |
| 201. | ,, | Smt. K. Lakshmi Rajaram | 208.19 ,, | 1344/11-10-77 | ,, |
| 202. | ,, | Sri M. Hanumantha Reddy | 22.94 ,, | 1345/11-10-77 | ,, |
| 203. | UC.III | M/s. Chitra Polypack Industries | 3427 00 ,, | 1349/11-10-77 | 20 (1) (a) |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 204. | UC.II | Sri C. V G Chowdary | 450:00 Sq. Mts. | 1396/11-4-77 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 205. | UC.III | M/s. Sunil Anil & Company | 4601.00 ,, | 1351/12-10-77 | 20 1) (a) |
| 206. | UC.II | Sri Y. S. K. Murthy | 242. ,, | 1355/12-10-77 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 207. | ,, | Smt. A. Suryalakshmi | 369.00 ,, | 1356/12-10-47 | ,, |
| 208. | ,, | Sri C. Srinivana Rao | 164.72 ,, | 1357/12-10-77 | ,, |
| 209. | ,, | Smt Bh. Janakamma | 286.52 ,, | 1358/12-10-77 | ,, |
| 210. | ,, | Sri V. Ramachandran | 291.14 ,, | 1359/12-10-77 | ,, |
| 211. | ,, | Sri C. Suryanarayana | 375.42 ,, | 1360/12-10-77 | ,, |
| 212. | ,, | Smt. Venu Rukkamma | 13.38 ,, | 1361/12-10-77 | ,, |
| 213. | ,, | Sri G. Gani Raju | 167.22 ,, | 1362/12-10-77 | ,, |
| 214. | ,, | Sri P. V. Ranga Rao | 440. ,, | 1363/12-10-77 | ,, |
| 215. | ,, | Sri M. A. Sattar | 58.00 ,, | 1364/12-10-77 | ,, |
| 216. | ,, | E. Balaram & Sons | Acs. 7.00 ,, | 1389/18-10-77 | ,, |
| 217. | UC.III | M/s. Bhagyanagar Body Builders & Engineers | 8658.55 ,, | 1393/18-10-77 | ,, |
| 218. | ,, | Smt. Farida Benu | 5113 00 ,, | 1394/22-10-77 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | | 5. | 5. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 219. | UC.II | Sri G. Nurasimhaloo | 170.58 | ,, | 1414/27-10-77 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 220. | ,, | Dr. Kuval Chandar | 474.85 | ,, | 1416 28-10-77 | ,, |
| 221. | ,, | Smt. Kamalamma | 129.54 | ,, | 1440/4 11-77 | ,, |
| 223. | ,, | Sri B V. Hanu rantha Rao | 275.09 | ,, | 1443/4-11 77 | ,, |
| 224. | ,, | Sri D. Sreeramulu | 171.00 | ,, | 1444/4 11 77 | ,, |
| 225. | ,, | Mrs. Saravankumar Khana | 456.48 | ,, | 1447/5-11-77 | ,, |
| 226. | ,, | Sri Krishna Kumar | 124.36 | ,, | 1451/7-11-77 | ,, |
| 227. | ,, | Sri Saravan Kumar | 121.83 | ,, | 1452/7-11 77 | ,, |
| 228. | ,, | Sri Prahlad Kumar | 164.12 | ,, | 1453/7-11-77 | ,, |
| 229. | ,, | Smt. Z Padmaja Rani | 324 23 | ,, | 1464/15-11-77 | ,, |
| 230. | ,, | Sri P Nallaiah | 472 39 | ,, | 1469/15-11-77 | ,, |
| 231. | ,, | Sri Shaik Ahmad | 154 16 | ,, | 1470 15-11-77 | ,, |
| 232. | ,, | Sri Sanjeeva Rao | 60 20 | ,, | 1471/15-11-77 | ,, |
| 233. | ,, | Sri V. V. Madhusudhan Rao | 153 85 | ,, | 1472/15-11 77 | ,, |
| 234. | ,, | Jemes D'Silva | 3 35 | ,, | 1573/15-11-77 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6: |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 235. | ,, | Sri G. R Nair | 3.35Sq. Mts. | 1474/15-11-77 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 236. | ', | I. Ramakrishna Rao | 88.90 ,, | 1475/15-11-75 | ,, |
| 237. | UC.II | General Secretary, Andhra Bank Employees Union | 477.00 ,, | 1476/15-11-77 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 238. | ,, | Smt. B. S. Bharathi | 517.55 ,, | 1477/15-11-77 | ,, |
| 239. | ,. | Smt. Ch. Balatripura Sundary | 289.09 ,, | 1478/15-11-77 | ,, |
| 240. | .. | Sri Dasu Achuta Rao | 153.85 ,, | 1479/15-11-77 | ,, |
| 241. | ,, | Sri M. Narsimhulu | 179.87 ,. | 1488/15-11-77 | ,, |
| 242. | ,, | Sri M. Kameswara Rao | 20.18 ,, | 1489/15-11-77 | ,, |
| 243. | ,, | Sri K. Raghava Reddy | 155.00 ,, | 1490/15-11-77 | ,, |
| 244. | ,, | Shaik Mahamood | 154.16 ,, | 1491/15-11-77 | ,, |
| 245. | ,, | Sri K. C. Narayana Swamy | 394.65 ,, | 1492/15-11-77 | ,, |
| 246. | ,, | Sri K. V. Rama Rao | 269.23 ,, | 1504/16-11-77 | ,, |
| 247. | ,, | Sri R. Ramachander | 251.50 ,, | 1507/16-11-77 | ,, |
| 248. | ,, | Sri B. Pratap Reddy | 457.75 ,, | 1508/16-11-77 | ,, |
| 249. | ,, | Sri Som Bhopal | 313.54 ,, | 1509/16-11-77 | ,, |
| 250. | | Sri S. Janardhan | 236.99 ,, | 1513/17-11-77 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 251. | UC.II | Sri T. Bhoja Reddy | 37.38 Sq. Mts. | 1514/17-11-77 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 252. | ,, | Sri M. V. Ratnam | 254.18 ,, | 1516/17-11-77 | ,, |
| 253. | ,, | Smt. M. V. Seshamma | 305.96 ,, | 1517/17-11-77 | ,, |
| 254. | ,, | Sri M. Bhimeswara Rao | 3.35 ,, | 1518/17-11-77 | ,, |
| 255. | ,, | Sri V. M. Srirama Murthy | 222.73 ,, | 1519/17-11-77 | ,, |
| 256. | ,, | Sri Gopalgir Cherla Harwargir | 88.83 ,, | 1522/17-11-77 | ,, |
| 257. | ,, | Sri M. Yadagiri Patel & Others | 561.70 ,, | 1525/17-11-77 | ,, |
| 258. | ,, | Sri J. P. Goyun, I. C. S. (Retd.) | 561.78 ,, | 1543/21-11-77 | ,, |
| 259. | ,, | Smt. Ramakuri Rukmini | 6784.24 ,, | 1547/23-11-77 | ,, |
| 260. | UC.III | M/s. Hindustan Engineering Works | 6676.76 ,, | 1558/24-11-77 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 261. | UC.II | Sri A. Ramulu | 152.00 ,, | 1566/26-11-77 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 262. | ,, | Sri P. Raja Babaiah | 139.84 ,, | 1567/26-11-77 | ,, |
| 263. | ,, | Smt. M. Urmila Reddy | 101.17 ,, | 1598/ 6-12-77 | ,, |
| 264. | ,, | Sri L. R. A. Naaayana | 21.2 ,, | 1599/ 6-12-77 | ,, |
| 265. | ,, | Sri Ganapathi Varaprasad | 254.19 ,, | 1603/ 6-12-77 | ,, |
| 266. | ,, | Sri K. N. Sharma | 114.53 ,, | 1604/ 6-12-77 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 267. | UC.II | Smt. M. Ranganayakamma | 476.68 Sq. Mts. | 1605/ 6-12-77 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 268. | ,, | Smt. Khaja Begum | 2.64 ,, | 1606/ 6-12-77 | ,, |
| 269. | ,, | Smt. Goula Lakshmamma | 23 00 ,, | 1607/ 6-12-77 | ,, |
| 270. | ,, | Sri C. Rambhupal Reddy | 763.76 ,, | 1608/ 7-12-77 | ,, |
| 271. | ,, | Smt. Tahazubunnisa Begum | 4180.62 ,, | 1617/ 9-12-77 | ,, |
| 272. | ,, | Sri C. Achaiah | 2.00 ,, | 1618/ 9-12-77 | ,, |
| 273. | ,, | Sri V. Venkata Ramaiah | 3.35 ,, | 1622/ 9-12-77 | ,, |
| 274. | UC.III | M/s. Alkali Metal & Ltd. | 20946.00 ,, | 1642/14-12-77 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 275. | ,, | M/s. Indian Express News Paper (Bombay) Ltd. Hyderabad. | 19263.73 ,, | 1643/14-12-77 | ,, |
| 276. | UC.II | Sri B. Sekharam | 364.55 ,, | 1650/16-12-77 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 277. | ,, | Miss. Y. Venkayamma | 279.24 ,, | 1651/16-12-77 | ,, |
| 278. | ,, | Sri A. Satyanarayana Murthy | 290.14 ,, | 1652/16-12-77 | ,, |
| 279. | ,, | Sri P. V. Chalapathy | 80.95 ,, | 1653/16-12-77 | ,, |
| 280. | ,, | Sri B. Venkata Reddy | 128.77 ,, | 1654/16-12-77 | ,, |
| 281. | ,, | Sri G. Bagi Reddy | 113.87 ,, | 1655/16-12-77 | ,, |
| 282. | ,, | Sri T. Ranga Reddy | 107.85 ,, | 1656/16-12-77 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 283. | UC.II | Sri Laxminarayana Kholey | 54.45 Sq. Mts. | 1657/16-12-77 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 284. | ,, | Sri P. V. Rao, I.A.S., | 496-67 ,, | 1658/16-12-77 | ,, |
| 285. | ,, | Smt. Y. Bharatamatha | 488.47 ,, | 1659/16-12-77 | ,, |
| 286. | ,, | Sri K. V. Raghavaiah | 137.45 ,, | 1660 16-12-77 | ,, |
| 287. | ,, | Sri Narasimha Rao | 87.77 ,, | 1671/16-12-77 | ,, |
| 288. | ,, | Sri Butchi Reddy | 205-00 ,, | 1672/16-12 77 | ,, |
| 289. | ,, | Sri A. K. V. Rao. | 71.03 ,, | 1674/16-12-77 | ,, |
| 290. | ,, | Sri P. Ganapathi Rao | 170-58 ,, | 1675/16-12-77 | ,, |
| 291. | ,, | Sri S. Pandurangacharya | 240.32 ,, | 1680/19-12-77 | ,, |
| 292. | ,, | Mohd Osman | 40.70 ,, | 1681/19-12-77 | ,, |
| 293. | ,, | Sri Nanduri Nuralikrishna | 140.47 ,, | 1682/19-12-77 | ,, |
| 294. | ,, | Sri M. Balakishore | 188.26 ,, | 1683/19-12-77 | ,, |
| 295. | ,, | Smt. Amrutala Narasimha | 164.00 ,, | 1684/19-12-77 | ,, |
| 296. | ,, | Ghaisuddin Babukhan and Sri Basheeruddin Babukhan | 7617.12 ,, | 1704/23-12-77 | .. |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 297. | UC.II | Sri N. Kameswara Rao | 59.40 Sq. Mts. | 1719/26-12-77 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 298. | ,, | Sri N. Satyarayana Rao | 229.00 ,, | 1720/26-12-77 | ,, |
| 299. | ,, | Sri Y. Ranganayakulu | 29.65 ,, | 1721/26-12-77 | ,, |
| 300. | ,, | Sri Gulam Dastagir | 179.71 ,, | 1722/26-12-77 | ,, |
| 301. | ,, | Sri N. V. Someswara Rao | 53.50 ,, | 1723/26-12-77 | ,, |
| 302. | ,, | Smt. ValluriAnnapurnamma | 40.00 ,, | 1724/26-12-77 | ,, |
| 303. | ,, | Sri P. Krishna Murthy | 143.81 ,, | 1725/26-12-77 | ,, |
| 304. | ,, | Sri R. Srirama Murthy | 21.00 ,, | 1726/26-12-77 | ,, |
| 305. | ,, | Mahboob Ali | 448.15 ,, | 1727/26-12-77 | ,, |
| 306. | ,, | Sri A. Janga Reddp | 578.05 ,, | 1728/26-12-77 | ,, |
| 307. | ,, | Sri. D. Ramakaishna Rao | 3.25 ,, | 1729/26-12-77 | ,, |
| 308. | ,, | Smt. Sundaralaxmi Ganesam | 2044.00 ,, | 1730/26-12-77 | ,, |
| 309. | ,, | Sri N. V. B. S. Dutt | 292.21 ,, | 1731/26-12-77 | ,, |
| 310. | ,, | Dr. Shanti Swaroop | 170.58 ,, | 1738/26 12-77 | ,, |
| 311. | UC.III | M/s. Patel & Company, Saw Mill and Timber Depot. | 2759.00 ,, | 1748/30-12-77 | 20 (1) (a) |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 5. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 312. | UC.III | M/s. Hindustan Suspensions Plot No. 48/C, I. D. A. Jeedimetla. | 1139.00 Sq.Mts. | 1749/30 12-77 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 313. | ,, | M/s. Setpal Steel and Press Works (P) Ltd , | 1836 00 ,, | 1750/30-12- 77 | ,, |
| 314. | UC.II | Smt. Goteti Mahalakshmamm | 426.30 ,, | 1754/30-12 -77 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 315. | ,, | Sri Udipi Radhakrishna | 131.25 ,, | 1755/30 12 77 | ,, |
| 316 | -, | C Nasimhacharya | 225.31 ,, | 1756/30-12-77 | ,, |
| 317. | ,, | Sri P. Balarama Murthy | 326·04 ,, | 1757/30-12-77 | ,, |
| 318 | ,, | Smt I. Jamuna Rao | 122 74 ,, | 1758/30 12-77 | ,, |
| 319. | ,, | Sri M. Kameswara Rao | 243 59 ,, | 1759/30 12-77 | ,, |
| 320. | ,, | Sri Prem Kamar | 92 44 ,, | 1760/30 12 -77 | ,, |
| 321. | ,, | Sri N. V. Subbaraidu | 80 00 ,, | 1761/30-12 77 | ,- |
| 322. | ,, | Sri P. R. Subrahmanya Iyer | 96 93 ,, | 1767/30-12-77 | ,. |
| 323. | ,, | Sri K. Gopaiah | 3.08 ,, | 1768/31-12-77 | ,, |
| 324 | ,, | Smt. Premalatha Reday | 399.30 ,, | 1769/31 12-77 | ,, |

## VISAKHAPATNAM URBAN AGGLOMERATION 1977

## LIST OF EXEMPTIONS GRANTED UNDER SECTION 20(1) (a) AND 20 (1) (b) OF THE URBAN LAND (CEILING & REGULATION) ACT, 1976 FROM 17—2—1976.

| Sl. No. | Section | Name of Exemptee | Extent | G.O.Ms.No. and Date | Purpose 20(1)(a)or 20(1)(b) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
| 1. | UC.III | M/s. Coramandol Precision Castings | 2007.00 Sq. mts | 202/4-3-77 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 2. | ,, | M/s. Ramakrishna Industries | 2007 00 ,, | 203/4-3-77 | ,, |
| 3. | ,, | M/s. Costal Chemicals (P) Ltd. | 32360.00 ,, | 204/4-3-77 | ,, |
| 4. | ,, | M/s. Visakha Steel Complex | 3072.00 ,. | 205/4-3-77 | ,, |
| 5 | ,, | M/s. Orient Marine Products (P) Ltd | 10112 25 ,, | 206/4-3 77 | ,, |
| 6. | ,, | M/s. East Coast Supn Pipe Co. | 12138.00 ,. | 207/4-3-77 | ,, |
| 7. | ,, | M/s. Srinivasa Fabrications | 4612-00 ,, | 208/4 3-77 | ,, |
| 8. | ,, | M/s Mohta Petro Chemicals Ltd. | 41704 00 ,, | 209 4-3 77 | ,: |
| 9. | ,, | M/s. Andhra Oxizen (P) Ltd. | 16180.00 ,, | 210 4-3-77 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 10. | UC.II | Sri B. S Sastry | 661. Sq. Mts. | 248/14-3-77 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 11. | ,, | Sri B. L. C. Sastry | 623. ,, | 249/14-3-77 | ,, |
| 12. | ,, | M/s. Sri Venkateswar Enterprises | 2294.77 ,, | 304/28-3-77 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 13. | UC.III | M/s. Comfort Industries Associate (P) Ltd. | 3071 00 ,, | 323/28-3-77 | ,, |
| 15. | ,, | M/s. Saphire Engineering Works | 2037.00 ,, | 324 28-3-77 | ,, |
| 16. | ,, | M/s. Technofab Industry | 2006.50 ,, | 325/28-3-77 | ,, |
| 16. | ,, | M/s. Zizag Tube Co. | 2257.00 ,, | 469/27-4-77 | ,, |
| 17. | ,, | M/s. Lalitha Metal Co. (P) Ltd. | 3373.00 ,, | 470/27-4-77 | ,, |
| 18. | ,, | M/s. V. V S. Iron Works | 2065.00 ,, | 471/27-4-77 | ,, |
| 19. | ,, | M/s. Vijaya Ice & Cold Storage | 4657.22 ,, | 472/27-4-77 | ,, |
| 20. | ,, | M/s. Aruna Rubber Industries | 1741.38 ,, | 473/27-4-77 | ,, |
| 21. | ,, | M/s. Sri Venkateswara Marble Industries | 1741.94 ,, | 532/9-5-77 | ,, |
| 22. | ,, | M/s. East Cost Foundaries | 3071.25 ,, | 533/9-5-77 | ,, |
| 23. | ,, | M/s. Laminated Packaging (P) Ltd. | 3727.80 ,, | 534 9-5-77 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 24. | UC.III | M/s. Padma Plate & Structural (P) Ltd. | 3721.00 Sq. Mts. | 557/18-5-77 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 25. | ,, | M/s. Sundaram Industries | 3343.00 ,, | 558/18-5-77 | ,, |
| 26. | ,, | M/s. East Coast Packaging (P) Ltd. | 3587.32 ,, | 559/18-5-77 | ,, |
| 27. | ,, | M/s. Gampadali Naidu | 704.00 ,, | 560/18-5-77 | ,, |
| 28. | ,, | M/s. Vizag Foundaries | 1986.35 ,, | 561/18-5-77 | ,, |
| 29. | ,, | M/s. V. R. Industries | 2447.17 ,, | 588/20-5-77 | ,, |
| 30. | ,, | M/s. Satyasai Little Metal Industries | 2345.82 ,, | 592/20-5 77 | ,, |
| 31. | ,, | M/s. Venkateswara Refractaries | 3977.00 ,, | 655/30-5-77 | ,, |
| 32. | UC.II | Smt. K. Appalanarasamma | 40350. ,, | 733/17-6-77 | ,, |
| 33. | ,, | Sri Kalid Ansari | 115.00 ,, | 798/1-7-77 | ,, |
| 34. | UC.III | M/s. Sujatha Seafoods (P) Ltd. | 8090.00 ,, | 886/20-7 77 | ,, |
| 35. | ,, | Sri K. Pattabhi Rami Reddy | 6780.00 ,, | 1047/12-8-77 | ,, |
| 36. | UC.II | Sri Varghese Kurtulla | 65.00 ,, | 1081/23-8-77 | ,, |
| 37. | ,, | Sri B. V. Adinayana for donation of the land infavaur of the+ Leprosy Relief Association | 5952.03 ,, 102.00 ,, | 1082/23-8-77 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 5. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 38. | UC.II | Sri V. V. L. Narasamma | 214.00 Sq. Mts. | 1094/27-8-77 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 39. | ,, | Sri N. Tirupati Raju | 145.00 ,, | 1202/23-9-77 | ,, |
| 40. | ,, | President, Govt. College Teachers Co-operative House Building Society | Ac. 1.92 Cents | 1221/27-9-77 | ,, |
| 41. | ,, | Sri N. Satyanarayana Raju | 78.00 Sq. Mts. | 1300/4-10-77 | ,, |
| 42. | ,, | Smt. D. Sarojini | 280.00 ,, | 1301/4-10-77 | ,, |
| 43. | ,, | Smt. Parvathamma | 444.00 ,, | 1302/4-10-77 | ,, |
| 44. | ,, | Dr. B. Krishna Murthy | 96.00 ,, | 1303/4-10-77 | ,, |
| 45. | ,, | Sri G. Bangaru Raju | 5796. ,, | 1304/4-10-77 | ,, |
| 46. | ,, | Sri P. Kanaka Rao & his sons | 194. ,, | 1313/6 10-77 | ,, |
| 47. | ,, | Sri P Raman | 63.00 ,, | 1314/6-10-77 | ,, |
| 48. | ,, | Sri Molleti Appa Rao | 137.00 ,, | 1315/6-10-77 | ,, |
| 49. | UC.III | M/s. Sri Kanaka Parameswari Picture Palace | 2006 71 ,, | 1331/10-10-77 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 50. | UC.11 | Smt. V. Suryakumari | 692.00 ,, | 1338/11-10-77 | 20 (1) (b) |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 51. | UC.II | Sri Dandu Venkata Subba Reddy | 106.88 Sq.Mts. | 1354/12-10 77 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 52. | ,, | Sri K. Pydi Raju | 338.00 ,, | 1409/26-10-77 | ,, |
| 53. | ,, | Sri P. Appala Swamy | 16. ,, | 1411/27-10-77 | ,, |
| 54. | ,, | Sri R. Rangaiah | 265.00 ,, | 1486/15-11-77 | ,, |
| 55. | ,, | Smt. C. Atchayamma | 154.00 ,, | 1515/17-11-77 | ,, |
| 56. | ,, | Sri S. Krishna Rao | 428 00 ,, | 1565/26-11-77 | ,, |
| 57. | ,, | Sri K. Gopala Rao | 140.00 ,, | 1601/6-12-77 | ,, |
| 58. | ,, | Sri M. Krishna Rao | 24.00 ,, | 1602/6-12-77 | ,, |
| 59. | ,, | Smt. Sarada Subba Rao | 500.00 ,, | 1662/16-12-47 | ,, |
| 60. | ,, | Sri Karri Appanna +(63,63 and 93) | 219.00 ,, | 1668/16-12 77 | ,, |
| 61. | ,, | Sri P. Venkayya | 282.00 ,, | 1711/24-12-77 | ,, |
| 62. | UC.III | M/s. Daspalla Enterprises | 14612.58 ,, | 1741/28-12-77 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 63. | UC.II | Sri Avasarala Ramachandra Rao | 397.00 ,, | 1766/31-12-77 | 20 (1) (b) |

## VIJAYAWADA URBAN AGGLOMERATION 1977

## LIST OF EXEMPTIONS GRANTED UNDER SECTION 20(1) (a) AND 20 (1) (b) OF THE URBAN LAND (CEILING & REGULATION) ACT, 1976 FROM 17—2—1976.

| Sl. No. | Section | Name of Exemptee | Extent | G.O.Ms.No. and Date | Purpose 20(1)(a)or 20(1)(b) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
| 1. | UC.III | M/s. Bharat Mechanical Works | 5735.00 Sq. Mts | 30, 10-1-77 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 2. | ,, | M/s. Metro Metal Works | 3145.00 ,, | 177/28-2-77 | ,, |
| 3. | ,, | M/s. Sunlight MetalWare Industries | 1672.00 ,, | 179/28-2-77 | ,, |
| 4. | ,, | M/s. Food & Allied Products Industries | 2760.00 ,, | 180/28-2-77 | ,, |
| 5. | ,, | M/s. Hindustan Bolts & Nuts Industries | 6379.62 ,, | 181/28-2-77 | ,, |
| 6. | ,, | M/s. Sri Sairama Enterprises | 1925 39 ,, | 192/4-3-77 | ,, |
| 7. | ,, | M/s. Andhra Torpalin Industry | 1672.24 ,, | 193/4-3-77 | ,, |
| 8. | ,, | M/s. Star Auto Services | 1672 26 ,, | 194/4-3-77 | ,, |
| 9. | ,, | M/s. Sunshine Metal Works | 1672 25 ,, | 195/4-3-77 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 10. | UC.III | M/s. National Steel Industry | 2334.55 Sq. Mts. | 196/ 4-3-77 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 11. | ,, | M/s. Sunlight Metal Works | 1672.27 ,, | 199/ 4-3-77 | ,, |
| 12. | ,, | M/s. Hindustan Bolts & Nuts | 2628.00 ,, | 200/ 4-3-77 | ,, |
| 13. | ,, | M/s. Vasu Industries | 1672.24 ,, | 201/ 4-3-77 | ,, |
| 14. | ,, | M/s. Form Steel products | 4034.28 ,, | 397/18-4-77 | ,, |
| 15. | ,, | M/s. J. S. Ramaiah & Sons | 1672.25 ,, | 398/18-4-77 | ,, |
| 16. | ,, | M/s. Kowtha Business Sindicates | 3827.00 ,, | 425/21-4-77 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 17. | ,, | M/s. Greenland Chemicals (P) Ltd. | 2505.40 ,, | 530/ 9-5-77 | ,, |
| 18. | ,, | M/s. Sri Subramanyeswara Cement Concrete Works | 5909.00 ,, | 531/ 9-5-77 | ,, |
| 19. | ,, | M/s. Srilaxmi Dall & Flour Mills | 2757.00 ,, | 562/18-5-77 | ,, |
| 20. | ,, | M/s. Mobile India | 3602.54 ,, | 563/18-5-77 | ,, |
| 21. | ,, | M/s. Asbestos & Cement Concrete Products | 7082.00 ,, | 567/18-5-77 | ,, |
| 22. | ,, | M/s. Venkateswara Boiled Raw Rice Mill & Ground nut Oil Mills | 6070.00 ,, | 581/20-5-77 | ,, |
| 23. | ,, | M/s. Krishna Dall & Flour Mills | 7986.00 " | 582/20-5-77 | ,, |
| 24. | ,, | M/s. Techno Engineering Complex | 1672.24 ,, | 885/20-7-77 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 25. | UC.III | M/s. K. Satyanrayana & Company | 3096.60Sq. Mts. | 975/ 3-8-77 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 26. | ,, | M/s. S. M. A. Khadar S/o. Sri S. M. Abdul Haq | 2404.85 ,, | 976/ 3-8-77 | ,, |
| 27. | ,, | M/s. Vijaya Dying Works | 1672.00 ,, | 1002/ 6-8-77 | ,, |
| 28. | ,, | M/s. The Vijayawada Steel-Re-rolling Mills | 8071.00 ,, | 1003/ 6-8-77 | ,, |
| 29. | ,, | M/s. Sripami Automobiles | 1672.00 ,, | 1004/ 6-8-77 | ,, |
| 30. | ,, | M/s. Andara Printers Limited | 4990.00 ,, | 1005/ 6-8-77 | ,, |
| 31. | ,, | M/s. Usha Cast-Steels, Vijayawada | 3511.00 ,, | 1006/ 6-8-77 | ,, |
| 32. | ,, | M/s. Vinod Soluextracts (P) Ltd. | 15985.00 ,, | 1007/ 6-8-77 | ,, |
| 33. | UC.II | Smt. S. Satyavatamma | 76.00 ,, | 1011/ 6-8-77 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 34. | ,, | Smt. N. Lakshminarsu | 68.00 ,, | 1018/ 8-8-77 | ,, |
| 35. | UC.III | M/s. Andhra Textiles | 1951.20 ,, | 1030/10-8-77 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 36. | ,, | M/s. Sri Pharma Sirinagar | 6515.23 ,, | 1040/10-8-77 | ,, |
| 37. | ,, | M/s. Padmaja Commercial Corpn. | 1773.00 ,, | 1041/10-8-77 | ,, |
| 38. | ,, | M/s. Calicut Tyre Re-trading Company | 2026.00 ,, | 1069-19-8-77 | ,, |
| 39. | UC.II | Sri Vallabhaneni Raghavendra Rao | 1.16 + 84. ,, | 1096/27-8-77 | ,, |
| 39 A | ,, | Smt. A. Lakshmibayamma | 114.18 ,, | 1107/31-8-77 | ,, 20(1) (b) |

| I. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 40. | UC.II | Sri Maddi Jwala Narasimham | 180.00 Sq. Mts. | 1132/ 7-9-77 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 41. | UC.III | M/s. Sri Bhaskara Motor Works Jawhar Autonagar | 1671.25 ,, | 1167/13-9-77 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 42. | ,, | M/s. Sri Gopal Krishna Auto Service Station, Jawahar Autonagar | 1672.24 ,, | 1168/13-9-77 | ,, |
| 43. | ,, | M/s. Sri Lakshmi Casting Industries Jawahar Autonagar | 1672.24 ,, | 1169/13-9-77 | ,, |
| 44. | UC.II | Pisipati Radhakrishna Swamy | 345.75 ,, | 1209/26-9-77 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 45. | UC.III | M/s. Sri Ramadas Motor Transport Ltd. | 3903.06 ,, | 1287/3-10-77 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 46. | ,, | M/s. South Eastern Railways Vijayawada | 4765.00 ,, | 1320/6-10-77 | ,, |
| 47. | ,, | M/s. Sri Sivaramakrishna Dyeing Work, Jawahar Autonagar | 1672.25 ,, | 1330/10-10-77 | ,, |
| 48. | ,, | M/S. Satyanarayana Automobile Works | 1672.35 ,, | 1332/10-10-77 | ,, |
| 49. | UC.II | Sri G. Y. Rama Rao | 4590.00 ,, | 1399/24-10-77 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 50. | ,, | Smt. K. Kasturi Bai | 222.00 ,, | 2445/4-11-77 | ,, |
| 51. | UC.III | M/s. The Andhra Cement Company Ltd. | 230300.00 ,, | 1448/5-11-77 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 52. | ,, | M/s. Sri Venkateswara Swami Auto Mechanic Works. Jawahar Autonagar | 1672.24 ,, | 1449/5-11-77 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6: |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 53. | UC.III | M/s. Maddineni Clinic, Dornakal | 1952.06 ,, | 1485/15.11.77 | ,, |
| 54. | ,, | M/s. Chennupati Auto Works Jawahar Autonagar | 1672.24 ,, | 1487/15.11.77 | ,, |

## GUNTUR URBAN AGGLOMERATION 1977

## LIST OF EXEMPTIONS GRANTED UNDER SECTION 20 (1) (a) AND 20 (1) (b) OF THE URBAN LAND (CEILING & REGULATION) ACT, 1976 FROM 17—2—1976.

| Sl. No. | Section | Name of Exemptee | Extent | G.O.Ms.No. and Date | Purpose 20(1)(a)or 20(1)(b) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
| 1. | UC.II | Sri Laxminarasimha Swamy Temple | Ac. 1.48 cents | 64/21-1-77 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 2. | UC.III | M/s. V. Satyanarayana Mirror Industry | 1890.80 | 178/28-2-77 | ,, |
| 3. | ,, | M/s. Sreenivasa Metal Industry | 2425.19 | 197/ 4-3-77 | ,, |
| 4. | ,, | M/s. Sreenivasa Nursing Home | 1576.39 | 198/ 4-3-77 | ,, |
| 5. | UC.II | Sri P. Hari Vithal Rao | 1188.83 Sq. Mts. | 352/ 4 4-77 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 6. | UC.III | M/s. Coastal Packings | 2143.00 ,, | 410/18-4-77 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 7. | ,, | M/s. Laxmi Agro Insectisides | 6049.99 ,, | 424/21-4-77 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 5. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 8. | UC.III | M/s. J. V. Krishna Rao & Sons | 10359.00 Sq Mts. | 465/27 4-77 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 9 | ,, | Sri Maddi Sudarsanam & Co. | 7082.00 ,, | 466/27 4 77 | ,, |
| 10. | ,, | M/s. Kollipara Subba Rao | 27761 00 ,, | 467/27-4 77 | ,, |
| 11. | ,, | M/s. Srilaxmi Tobacco Co. | 8093.45 ,, | 468/27-4-77 | ,, |
| 12 | ,, | Smt. Alapati Sulakshana | 7686.00 ,, | 540/10-5-77 | ,, |
| 13. | ,, | M/s. Motilal Hariprasad & Brothers | 29300.00 ,, | 564/18-5-77 | ,, |
| 14. | ,, | M/s. Marutiram Industrics | 16429.00 ,, | 565/18-5-77 | ,, |
| 15. | ,, | M/s Mohi, Ismail | 2132 00 ,, | 566/18-5-77 | ,, |
| 16. | ,, | M/s. Plant Protection Industries | 3836.95 ,, | 583/20-5-77 | ,, |
| 17 | ,, | M/s. Sri Bajrang Jute Mills | 60846.00 ,, | 584/20-5-77 | ,, |
| 18. | ,, | M/s. Maddi Sadasiva Rao | 5106.00 ,, | 585/20-5-77 | ,, |
| 19. | ,, | M/s. Padmavathi Metal Works | 5665.00 ,, | 586/20-5 77 | ,, |
| 20. | ,, | M/s. Srikrishna Sharma Harjan Indstrial School | 19755.00 ,, | 587/20 5-77 | ,, |
| 21. | ,, | M/s. Sri Bajrang Engineering Works | 25832.02 ,, | 632/27-5-77 | ,, |
| 22. | ,, | Dr. V. Ramnadh Janata Nursing Home | 3340.00 ,, | 633/27-5-77 | ,, |
| 23. | ,, | M/s. Chebrolu Hanumaiah & Others | 23633.67 ,, | 770/24-6-77 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 24. | UC.III | M/s. Agrimacor (P) Ltd. | 23126.00 Sq.Mts | 785/28-6-77 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 25. | ,, | M/s. East India Tobacco Co., | 30311.00 ,, | 790/29-6-77 | ,, |
| 26 | ,, | M/s. Vijayadurga Cotton Trading Ltd., | 49558.03 ,, | 791/29-6-77 | ,, |
| 27. | ,, | M/s. Shaik Ismail & Sons | 7244.18 ,, | 827/7-7-77 | ,, |
| 28 | ,, | M/s. R. S. V. Reverend & Sons Tobacco Exporters | 5766.00 ,, | 882/20-7-77 | ,, |
| 29. | ,, | M/s. Golden Tobacco Co , | 60185.00 ,, | 883/20-7-77 | ,, |
| 30. | ,, | M/s. Andhra Trade Development Corpn. | 14656.80 ,, | 884/20-7-77 | ,, |
| 31. | UC.II | Sri D. V. L. N Ramakrishna Rao, IPS, | 169.18 ,, | 902/22-7-77 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 32 | UC.III | M/s. Sri Krishna Ginning Mills | 5395.78 ,, | 1008/6-1-77 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 33. | UC.II | Sri Meka Ramakrishnaiah | 266.25 ,, | 1219/27-9-77 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 34 | ,, | Smt. Palaparti Koti Ratnam | 190.59 ,, | 1220/27-9-77 | ,, |
| 35. | ,, | Sri K. Gopala Rao | 436.49 ,, | 1222/27-9-77 | ,, |
| 36. | ,, | Sri K. V. Surya Prakasa Rao | 266.32 ,, | 1227/29-9-77 | ,, |
| 37. | ,, | Sri K. Veeraswamy | 266.34 ,, | 1228/29-9-77 | ,, |
| 38. | ,, | Sri K. Subba Rao | 266.35 ,, | 1404/25-10-77 | ,, |
| 39. | ,, | Sri Meka Manohar | 266.25 ,, | 1405/25-10-77 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 40. | UC.II | Sri Meka Satyadev | 266.25 Sq.Mts. | 1406/25-10-77 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 41. | ,, | Sri Meka Sndhakar | 266.25 ,, | 1407/25-10-77 | ,, |
| 42. | ,, | Sri Kapa Veeraiah | 204.47 ,, | 1439/4-11-77 | ,, |
| 43. | ,, | Smt. P. Palupulati Sitaravamma | 84.87 ,, | 1600/6-12-77 | ,, |
| 44. | ,, | Sri J. Padmanabham | 34.00 ,, | 1649/16-12-77 | ,, |
| 45 | ,, | Shaik Ahmed | 23.00 ,, | 1661/16-12-77 | ,, |
| 46. | ,, | Sri Vallamsetti Suraiah | 112.00 ,, | 1663/16-12-77 | ,, |
| 47. | ,, | Smt. Peram Nagendramma | 23.43 ,, | 1664/16-12-77 | ,, |
| 48. | ,, | Smt. Shaik Gduubi | 191.00 ,, | 1665/16-12-77 | ,, |
| 49. | ,, | Smt. Tiyyagura Ratnamma | 23.00 ,, | 1666/16-12 77 | ,, |
| 50. | ,, | Smt. Paidapu Nagaratnam | 114.00 ,, | 1667/16 12-77 | ,, |
| 51. | ,, | Sri Pattan Jchn | 23.00 ,, | 1669/16-12-77 | ,, |
| 52. | ,, | Sri Jetmal Rathi | 23.00 ,, | 1670/16-12-77 | ,, |
| 53. | ,, | J. Radhakrisha Murthy | 53.00 ,, | 1673/16-12-77 | ,, |
| 54. | UC.III | M/s. Chowdary Hotes (P) Ltd. | 10280.00 ,, | I716/26-12-77 | 20 (1) (a) |

## WARANGAL URBAN AGGLOMERATION 1977

## LIST OF EXEMPTIONS GRANTED UNDER SECTION 10(1) (a) AND 20 (1) (b) OF THE URBAN LAND (CEILING & REGULATION) ACT, 1976 FROM 17—2—1976.

| Sl. No. | Section | Name of Exemptee | Extent | | G.O.Ms.No. and Date | Purpose 20(1)(a)or 20(1)(b) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | | 5. | 6. |
| 1. | UC.II | Sri V. Manohar Rao | 670.00 | ,, | 1070/20.8.77 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 2. | ,, | M/s. Sri Annamaneni Sampath Rao & Co. | 6050.00 | ,, | 1102/29.8.77 | ,, |
| 3. | ,, | M/s. D. Eswaraiah Rice & Oil Mill | 6923.00 | ,, | 1166/13.9.77 | ,, |
| 4 | ,, | Sri Sid amsetti Ramanatham, Proprietor M/s. Krishna Rice Mill | 14128.71 | ,, | 1180/19.9.77 | ,, |
| 5. | ,, | Sri Vandalapu Ramaiah | 9920.00 | ,, | 1388/17.10.77 | ,, |
| 6. | UC.II | Sri K Venkata Rama Rao | 18.00 | ,, | 1523/17.11.77 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 7 | ,, | Sri Bobbala Raghava Reddy | 225. | ,, | 1739/28.12.77 | ,, |
| 8 | ,, | Sri D. Vaikuntam | 115.00 | ,, | 1740/28-12-77 | ,, |

## HYDERABAD URBAN AGGLOMERATION

## LIST OF EXEMPTIONS GRANTED UNDER SECTION 20(1) (a) AND 20 (1) (b) OF THE URBAN LAND (CEILING & REGULATION) ACT, 1976 FROM 17—2—1976.

| Sl. No. | Section | Name of Exemptee | Extent | G.O.Ms.No. and Date | Purpose 20(1)(a)or 20(1)(b) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
| 1. | UC.III | M/s. Crystal Plymers and Addilits (P) Ltd. | 21,802.55 Sq. Mts. | 3/ 2-1-78 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 2. | UC.II | Sri Laxman, R and Smt' S. Laxmi | 20,000.00 ,, | 5/ 2-1-78 | ,, |
| 3. | ,, | Sri B. Sarveswara Rao | 389.94 ,, | 13/ 4-1-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 4. | ,, | Sri C. V. Subrahmanyam | 86.00 ,, | 23/ 4-1-78 | ,, |
| 5. | ,, | Sri K. Ranga Rao | 3.35 ,, | 24/ 4-1-78 | ,, |
| 6. | ,, | Smt. Leela Devi | 3.356 ,, | 69/ 7-1-78 | ,, |
| 7. | UC.III | M/s. Indo Swing Ltd., Balanagar | 23,179.04 ,, | 91/11-1-78 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 8. | UC.II | Sri M. Venkateswara Rao | 3.00 ,, | 107/16-1-78 | 20 (1) (b) |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 9. | UC.II | Sri T. Venkateswara Rao | 499.55 Sq. Mts. | 108/16-1-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 10. | ,, | Sri P. V. S. Prassda Rao | 77.00 ,, | 138/17-1-78 | ,, |
| 11. | ,, | Sri A. Sudhakar Rao | 696.79 ,, | 139/17-1-78 | ,, |
| 12. | ,, | Smt. Vasantha | 3.35 ,, | 141/17-1-78 | ,, |
| 13. | UC.III | M/s. Maya Steel Furniture | 2675.20 ,, | 153/18-1-78 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 14. | ,, | M/s. Veena Wooden Packing Cases | 2675.20 ,, | 154/18-1-78 | ,, |
| 15. | UC.II | Sri B. S. Sudhir Reddy | 254.19 ,, | 156/18-1-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 16. | UC.III | M/s. Utilitronik Private Ltd. | 1949.00 ,, | 164/20-1-78 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 17. | ,, | M/s. Mohan Industrial Engineering & Trading Co. | 4045.00 ,, | 167/21-1-78 | ,, |
| 18. | ,, | M/s. Wooden Furniture | 2675 20 ,, | 168/21-1-78 | ,, |
| 19. | ,, | M/s. Joshna Cement Board Products | 2675.20 ,, | 170/21-1-78 | ,, |
| 20. | UC.II | Sri L. Umapathy (A.P. Agrl. University Coop. Housing Society Ltd.) | 32375.00 ,, | 171/21-1-78 | Society |
| 21. | UC.III | M/s. Pragathi Ceemical Industries Ltd. | 8090.00 ,, | 172/21-1-78 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 22. | UC.II | Sri Kotha Rama Swamy | 11.72 ,, | 179/21-1-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 23. | ,. | Sri M. Vengal Reddy | 265.33 ,, | 180/21-1-78 | ,, |

| I. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 24. | UC.II | Sri B. Venkata Reddy | 607.77 Sq. Mts. | 181/21-1-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 25. | .. | Smt. Varalasalakshmi | 528.00 ,, | 200/24-1-78 | ,, |
| 26. | ,, | Smt. K. Vasantha | 3 35 ,, | 204/25-1-78 | ,, |
| 27. | ,, | Sri P. S. Rao, I.C.S. (Retd.) | 125.00 ,, | 205/25-1-78 | ,, |
| 28. | ,, | Sri S. V. Ram Reddy | 231.33 ,, | 206/25-1-78 | ,, |
| 29. | ,, | Smt. Rajamal | 34.25 ,, | 207/25-1-78 | ,, |
| 30. | ,, | Sri R. Darama Rao | 238.10 ,, | 208/25-1-78 | ,, |
| 31. | ,, | Sri A. Subba Rao | 158.86 ,, | 209/25-1-78 | ,, |
| 32. | ,, | Sri V. Venkataramana | 254.00 ,, | 210/25-1-78 | ,, |
| 33. | ,, | Smt. B. G. Lucus | 145.00 ,, | 211/25-1-78 | ,, |
| 34. | ,, | Sri B. Laxminarayana | 527.60 ,, | 214/27-1-78 | ,, |
| 35. | ,, | Smt. I. Jayalakshmi | 63.55 ,, | 224/28-1-78 | ,, |
| 36. | ,, | Sri A. Nagendra | 220.73 ,, | 225/28-1-78 | ,, |
| 37. | ,, | Dr. K. B. Prasada Rao | 125.00 ,, | 226/28-1-78 | ,, |
| 38. | ,, | Sri T. Balagangadhara Sastry | 2.24 ,, | 228/28-1-78 | ,, |
| 39. | ,, | Dr. N. Ranga Rao | 94.46 ,, | 229/28-1-78 | ,, |
| 40. | ,, | Sri M. Shivraj | 137.51 ,, | 230/28-1-78 | ,, |
| 41. | ,, | Sri. S. M. H. Venkatesh | 40.97 ,, | 231/28-1-78 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 42. | UC.II | Sri T. V. Subbaiah | 143.01 Sq. Mts. | 232/28-1-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 43. | ,, | Smt. K. Saraladevi | 116.00 ,, | 233/28-1-78 | ,, |
| 44. | ,, | Sri A. Subba Rao | 119.01 ,, | 234/28-1-78 | ,, |
| 45. | ,, | Smt. K. Jayaprada | 11.70 ,, | 235/28-1-78 | ,, |
| 46. | ,, | Smt. Gangabhai Bhandavi | 216.72 ,, | 236/28-1-78 | ,, |
| 47. | ,, | Sri N. V. Srinivasa Rao | 86.96 ,, | 237/28-1-78 | ,, |
| 48. | ,, | Sri J. Sree Pathi | 86.95 ,, | 238/28-1-78 | ,, |
| 49. | ,, | Sri M. Yadagiri Rao | 137.57 ,, | 239/28-1-78 | ,, |
| 50. | ,, | Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy | 31.80 ,, | 240/28-1-78 | ,, |
| 51. | ,, | Sri K. V. Subba Rao | 11.72 ,, | 241/28-1-73 | ,, |
| 52. | ,, | Sri C. Sitaram Das | 170.58 ,, | 242/28-1-78 | ,, |
| 53. | ,, | Miss. Barbara T. Raberts | 3.35 ,, | 243/28-1-78 | ,, |
| 54. | ,, | Smt. C. Susheela | 590.00 ,, | 279/ 2-2-78 | ,, |
| 55. | UC.III | M/s. Aswani Industries | 777.50 ,,<br>260.55 ,, | 295/ 6-2-78 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 56. | ,, | M/s. Agro Chemical Industries | 1987.00 ,, | 296/ 6-2-78 | ,, |
| 57. | UC.II | Sri C. Venkateswara Rao | 76.92 ,, | 297/ 6-2-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 58. | ,, | Sms. P. Prabhavatamma | 389.06 ,, | 298/ 6-2-78 | ,, |
| 59. | ,, | Smt. Nazmeen Sultana | 475.88 ,, | 299/ 6-2-78 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 60. | UC.II | Smt. K. Anasuyamma | 254.19 Sq. Mts. | 300/ 7-2-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 61. | ,, | Sri S. Purnachandra Rao | 488.00 ,, | 301/ 7-2-78 | ,, |
| 62. | ,, | Dr. C. Ram Mohan | 86.97 ,, | 302/ 7 2-78 | ,, |
| 63. | ,, | Dr. A. K. Chattarjee | 747.53 ,, | 308/ 8-2-78 | ,, |
| 64. | ,, | Sri K. Rama Kistayya | 530.80 ,, | 310/ 8-2-78 | ,, |
| 65. | ,, | Smt. G. Ramulamma | 114.60 ,, | 311/ 8-2-78 | ,, |
| 66. | ,, | Dr. H. R. Narang | 254.19 ,, | 313/ 8-2-78 | ,, |
| 67. | ,, | Sri R. M. Reddy | 290.37 ,, | 314/ 8-2-78 | ,, |
| 68. | ,, | Sri N. S. Murthy | 281.00 ,, | 315/ 8-2-78 | ,, |
| 69. | ,, | Sri Syed Ahmed Hussain | 114.66 ,, | 316/ 8-2-78 | ,, |
| 70. | ,, | Sri V. Visweswara Rao | 416.40 ,, | 317/ 8-2-78 | ,, |
| 71. | ,, | Sri Yoganandam | 78.06 ,, | 318/ 8-2-78 | ,, |
| 72. | ,, | Sri Vedula Muralidhar Rao | 3.35 ,, | 319/ 8-2-78 | ,, |
| 73. | ,, | Sri P. V. V. Rangacharya | 128.79 ,, | 320/ 8-2-78 | ,, |
| 74. | ,, | Smt. Sarojamma | 120.40 ,, | 321/ 8-2-78 | ,, |
| 75. | ,, | Sri Jayakar Johnson | 347.00 ,, | 327/ 9-2-78 | ,, |
| 76. | ,, | Sri L. Bullaiah | 392.99 ,, | 328/ 9-2-78 | ,, |
| 77. | ,, | Sri N. Sudheer Reddy | 1000.00 ,, | 329/ 9-2-78 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 78. | UC.II | Sri Venkata Kishan Rao | 20.07 Sq. Mts. | 330/ 9-2-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 79. | ,, | Sri S. Nageswara Rao | 42.64 ,, | 331/ 9-2-78 | ,, |
| 80. | ,, | Dr. J. Farness | 25,113.44 ,, | 332/ 9-2-78 | ,, |
| 81. | ,, | Sri Zahir Ahmed | 2,169.72 ,, | 340/ 9-2-78 | ,, |
| 82. | ,, | Sri V. V. Sastri | 86.96 ,, | 346/13-2-78 | ,, |
| 83. | UC.III | M/s. Anil Prabhas Private Ltd. | 20,485 ,, | 350 15-2-78 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 84. | UC.II | Smt. K. Anantha Rajyalaxmi | 881.28 ,, | 369 16-2-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 85. | ,, | Sri MirPasheeduddin Ali | 44.27 ,, | 371/16-2-78 | ,, |
| 86. | ,, | Sri B. S. Krishna Murthy | 219.07 ,, | 372/16-2-78 | ,, |
| 87. | ,, | Sri Chandlas Motiram | 35.128 ,, | 373/16-2-78 | ,, |
| 88. | ,, | Kum. Dr. B. Karuna | 195.61 ,, | 374/16-2-78 | ,, |
| 89. | ,, | Sri K. S. Krishna Rao | 3.35 ,, | 375/16-2-78 | ,, |
| 90. | ,. | Sri P. V. Rao | 518.47 ,, | 376/16-2-78 | ,, |
| 91. | .. | Dr. T. Satyanarayana, | 2,701.51 ,, | 401/22-2-78 | ,, |
| 92. | ,, | Smt. K. Alangarvalli Swamy Sri N. S. Ramachandran | 6,263.00 ,. | 410 27-2-78 | ,, |
| 93. | ,, | Sri M. Raja Reddy | 63,334.85 ,, | 412/28-2-78 | ,, |
| 94. | ,, | Sri Prabhakar Rao | Ac. 8.00 | 413/28-2-78 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 95. | UC.II | Sri A. Venkateswar & Others | 15,050.34 Sq. Mts. | 414/28-2-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 96. | ,, | Sri Y. M. Prasad | 11,796.00 ,, | 416/28-2-78 | ,, |
| 97. | ,, | Sri M. Venkata Rama Reddy | 344.44 ,, | 433/ 3-3-78 | ,, |
| 98. | ,, | Sri L. Malakandaiar | 123.44 ,, | 434/ 3-3-78 | ,, |
| 99. | ,, | Smt. M. Shamala Devi | 3.00 ,, | 435/ 3-3-78 | ,, |
| 100. | ,, | Sri D. P. Kapoor | 104.52 ,, | 461/ 6-3-78 | ,, |
| 101. | ,, | Sri B. Nagabhushanam | 421.43 ,, | 465/ 8-3-78 | ,, |
| 102. | ,, | Sri Syed Hasamul Hassan | 63.58 ,, | 466/ 8-3-78 | ,, |
| 103. | ,, | Sri Shiva Kumar Reddy | 3.35 ,, | 467/ 8-3-78 | ,, |
| 104. | ,, | Sri K. B. S. Reddy | 209.85 ,, | 468/ 8-3-78 | ,, |
| 105. | ,, | Sri A. V. Ramana | 141.85 ,, | 469/ 8-3-78 | ,, |
| 106. | ,, | Srl Ashok Kumar | 341.63 ,, | 470/ 8-3-78 | ,, |
| 107. | ,, | Sri Venkata Rami Reddy | 47.30 ,, | 471/ 8-3-78 | ,, |
| 108. | ,, | Sri Md. Abdul Masay | 245.00 ,, | 472/ 8-3-78 | ,, |
| 109. | ,, | Sri K. Ramalingam | 187.00 ,, | 473/ 8-3-78 | ,, |
| 110. | ,, | Sri A. K. Varma | 65.00 ,, | 474/ 8-3-78 | ,, |
| 111. | ,, | Dr. A. Nagarajan | 447.11 ,, | 475/ 8-3-78 | ,, |
| 112. | ,, | Sri K. Vijaya Rama Rao | 204.34 ,, | 476 8-3-78 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 113. | UC.II | Sri M. Lakshmaiah | 182.28 Sq. Mts. | 477/ 8-3-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 114. | ,, | Sri V. V. Ramanadhan | 323.44 ,, | 478/ 8-3-78 | ,, |
| 115. | ,, | Sri Mangal Sen Chopra | 117.07 ,, | 528/16-3-78 | ,, |
| 116. | ,, | Smt. K. Jansi Lakshmi | 170.58 ,, | 529/16-3-78 | ,, |
| 117. | ,, | Maj. T. R. Naidu | 199.02 ,, | 530/16-3-78 | ,, |
| 118. | ,, | Sri V. Prabhakara Reddy | 79 00 ,, | 534/16-3-78 | ,, |
| 119. | ,, | Dr. P. Krishikesh | 173.70 ,, | 532/16-3-78 | ,, |
| 120. | ,, | Sri K. Rangaiah | 44.29 ,, | 533/16-3-78 | ,, |
| 121. | ,, | Sri C. M. Sundaram | 16.00 ,, | 534/16-3-78 | ,, |
| 122. | ,, | Sri N. S. Baxter | 173.70 ,, | 535/16-3-78 | ,, |
| 123. | ,, | Smt. P. Viswashanti | 173.70 ,, | 561/23 3-78 | ,, |
| 124. | ,, | Dr. D. Ramnath | 268.34 ,, | 562/23-3-78 | ,, |
| 125. | ,, | Sri B. Obul Reddy | 318.57 ,, | 563/23-3-78 | ,, |
| 126. | ,, | Sri Y. Subba Rao | 205.67 ,, | 577/28-3-78 | ,, |
| 127. | ,, | Sri S. V. Subba Rao | 95.00 ,, | 599/ 6-4-78 | ,, |
| 128. | ,, | Smt. P. Kanakadurga Devi | 208.12 ,, | 612/ 7-4-78 | ,, |
| 129. | ,, | Sri P. Rajalingam | 72.96 ,, | 614/ 7-4-78 | ,, |
| 130. | ,, | Smt. V. Padmavathi GPA of Smt. Dwaraki Bai | 71.91 ,, | 615/ 7-4-78 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 131. | ,, | Sri V. Lakshmi Reddy | 63.18 Sq.Mts. | 616/7-4-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 132. | ,, | Sri V. U. Umamaheswaram | 18.55 ,, | 620/7-4-78 | ,, |
| 133. | ,, | Sri A. V. Ramanujam | 477.00 ,, | 623/7-4 78 | ,, |
| 134. | ,, | Sri M. M. Durga Raju | 249.17 ,, | 625/10-4-78 | ,, |
| 135. | ,, | Sri K. Bhima Sankaram | 90.52 ,, | 620/11-4-78 | ,, |
| 136. | ,, | Sri K. Satya Murthy | 564.36 ,, | 632/12-4-78 | ,, |
| 137. | ,, | Sri Harinarayana Bhakta | 292.85 ,, | 646/13-4-78 | ,, |
| 138. | ,, | Sri Kanwal Krishan | 206.40 ,, | 647/13-4-78 | ,, |
| 139. | ,, | Sri S. M. Ashakhan | 54.00 ,, | 648/13-4-78 | ,, |
| 140. | ,, | Sri V. Sundara Rama Raj | 14.14 ,, | 649/13-4 78 | ,, |
| 141. | ,, | Sri D. M. J. J. Rao | 170·58 ,, | 651/13-4-78 | ,, |
| 142. | ,, | Sri N. Krishna Murthy | 246.29 ,, | 652/13-4-78 | ,, |
| 143. | ,, | Sri A. K. Shanmugam | 1,110.34 ,, | 653/13-4-78 | ,, |
| 144. | ,, | Sri K. Satyanarayana | 287.63 ,, | 654/14-4-78 | ,, |
| 145. | ,, | Sri G. Venkataiah | 466.29 ,, | 655/14-4-78 | ,, |
| 146. | ,, | Dr. Ram Mohan Todi | 192 31 ,, | 656/14-4 78 | ,, |
| 147. | ,, | Sri A. K. Murthy | 19.03 ,, | 657/15 4-78 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5: | 6: |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 149. | UC.II | Dr. A. V. B. Shanloram | 264.18 Sq.Mts. | 679/19.4-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 150. | ,, | Sri A. R. Muralidhar | 349.84 ,, | 680/19.4 78 | ,, |
| 151. | ,, | Sri K. S. M. Reddy | 368.72 ,, | 681/19-4-78 | ,, |
| 152. | ,, | Sri R. Raghava Rao | 260.44 ,, | 682/19-4-78 | ,, |
| 153. | ,, | Sri A. R. Mani Bhooshan | 83.86 ,, | 683/19-4-78 | ,, |
| 154. | ,, | Sri C. S. N. Rao | 386.03 ,, | 684/19-4-78 | ,, |
| 155. | ,, | Smt. K. Rama Subbamma | 358.96 ,, | 685/19-4-78 | ,, |
| 156. | ,, | Sri B. Tulasiram Prasad | 149.00 ,, | 686/19-4-78 | ,, |
| 157. | ,, | Sri R. Aniaiah | 349.59 ,, | 687/19-4-78 | ,, |
| 158. | ,, | Sri N. Ramachandra Raju | 138.81 ,, | 688/19-4-78 | ,, |
| 159. | ,, | Sri A. R. Jayaprakash | 274.34 ,, | 689/19-4-78 | ,, |
| 160. | ,, | Sri K. Veeraiah | 261.40 ,, | 690/19-4-78 | ,, |
| 161. | ,, | Sri S. Azhar Khan | 438.00 ,, | 691/19-4-78 | ,, |
| 162. | ,, | Sri V. Narayana Reddy | 343.80 ,, | 692/19-4-78 | ,, |
| 163. | ,, | Sri K. Jakab | 276.76 ,, | 693/19-4-78 | ,, |
| 164. | ,, | Sri J.K. Mehar | 158.03 ,, | 696/19-4-78 | ,, |
| 165. | ,, | Smt. Y. Rajeswari | 147.17 ,, | 697/20-4-78 | ,, |
| 166. | ,, | Sri T. Sreekrishna Murthy | 237.50 ,, | 698/20-4-78 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 5. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 167. | UC.II | Sri Sanjay Kumar | 3.35 Sq. mts | 699/20-4-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 168. | ,, | Sri T. Gopala Krishna | 13.35 ,, | 700/20-4-78 | ,, |
| 169. | ,, | Smt. Gopikabai Desbmuk | 12.00 ,, | 701/20-4-78 | ,, |
| 170. | ,, | Sri V. Lakshminarasimha Reddy | 195.66 ,, | 702/20-4-78 | ,, |
| 171. | ,, | Sri N. Sudhakar Reddy | 209.86 ,, | 703/20-4-78 | ,, |
| 172. | ,, | Sri Rayana Pratap | 156.18 ,, | 704/20 4-78 | ,, |
| 173. | ,, | Sri Murahari Rao | 96.51 ,, | 705/20-4-78 | ,, |
| 174. | ,, | Sri P. Subramanyam | 38.47 ,, | 706/20-4-78 | ,, |
| 175. | ,, | Sri K V. Subramanyam | 12.00 ,, | 707/20-4-78 | ,, |
| 176. | ,, | Smt. K. Bhagirathi Devi | 187.00 ,, | 708/20-4-78 | ,, |
| 177. | ,, | Smt. V. Sakunthala Devi | 72.93 ,, | 709 20-4-78 | ,, |
| 178 | ,, | Sri K. V. Raja Rao | 83.00 ,, | 710/20-4-78 | ,, |
| 179. | ,, | Sri V. Vasudev Reddy | 79.70 ,, | 711/20-4-78 | ,, |
| 180. | ,, | Sri K. Anjaneyulu | 177.68 ,, | 712/20-4-78 | ,, |
| 181. | ,, | Sri M. K. Reddy | 79.92 ,, | 713/20-4-78 | ,, |
| 182. | ,, | Sri R. Padma Reddy | 39.31 ,, | 714/20-4-78 | ,, |
| 183. | ,, | Srl G. Mallaiah | 122.75 " | 715/20-4-78 | ,, |
| 184. | ,, | Smt. Valian Bee | 46.31 ,, | 716/20-4-78 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 185. | UC.II | Sri C. Vishnu Bhopal | 626.67 Sq.Mts. | 720/20-4 78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 186. | ,, | Smt. V. Sarada devi | 333.62 ,, | 721/22 4.78 | ,, |
| 187. | ,, | Sri O Saminapha Reddy | 94.00 ,, | 722/22-4.78 | ,, |
| 188 | ,, | Sri A R. Ramanthenya Datta | 83.86 ,, | 723/22.4.78 | ,, |
| 189. | ,, | Sri S. Balakrishna Reddy | 298.92 ,, | 724/22.4.78 | ,, |
| 190 | ,, | Sri Balaram Girctaailtta | 330.60 ,, | 725/22 4.78 | ,, |
| 191. | UC.III | M/s. Raghu Ram Industries | 5,106.06 ,, | 746/28.4--78 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 192 | ,, | M/s. Tig Struetures | 2,017.00 ,, | 747/28 4 78 | ,, |
| 193. | ,, | M/s Electrical Association P1t. Ltd. | 2,539.00 ,, | 751/28.4 78 | ,, |
| 194. | ', | M/s. Federal Sports | 5,241.30 ,, | 781/6-5-78 | ,, |
| 195. | ,, | M/s. Sreeco Engineering Industries | .1,948.95 ,, | 782/6-5-78 | ,, |
| 196. | ,, | M/s. Eastarn Machinery Corporation | 1,464.00 ,, | 783/6-5-78 | ,, |
| 197. | UC.II | Sri K. Umapathi | 486.80 ,, | 787/9-5-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 198. | ,, | Sri Nabeeb Basheer Yar Jung | 3,742.00 ,, | 789/10-5-78 | ,, |
| 199. | ,, | Let. Gov. K. V. Krishna Rao | 186.48 ,, | 795/81-5-78 | ,, |
| 200. | UC.III | M/s. Jayant Oil Mills (P) Ltd. | 21,865.18 ,, | 800/11-5-78 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 201. | UC.II | Smt. D. Sandhya | | 806/12-5-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 202. | ,, | Dr. A. Ramachandram | 494.21 ,, | 815/17-5-78 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5: | 6: |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 203. | UC.III | M/s. Andhra Wire Produ-cts (P) Ltd | 1,464.00Sq.Mts. | 816/17-5-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 204. | UC.II | Sri K. Prasad | 438.14 ,, | 831/19-5-78 | ,, |
| 205. | ,, | Sri T. Clandra Reddy | 495 81 ,, | 832/19-5-78 | ,, |
| 206 | ,, | Ltd. Col. Kaademthal | 493.32 ,, | 833/19-5-78 | ,, |
| 207. | ,, | Smt. P. Sandhya | 517.57 ,, | 834/19-5-78 | ,, |
| 208. | ,, | M/s. Gen. Engineering Works | 1,717.00 ,, | 835/19-5-78 | ,, |
| 209. | ,, | Smt. D. Satyavathi GPA Hholder of B Rajyalaxmi | 300.34 ,, | 840/20-5-78 | ,, |
| 210. | ,, | Smt. Adilaxmi Devi | 70.00 ,, | 844/22-5-78 | ,, |
| 211. | ,, | Sri M. S. Mohan Rao | 72.90 ,, | 845/22-5-78 | ,, |
| 212. | ,, | Sri K. Pandu Ranga Rao | 199.84 ,, | 855/23-5-78 | ,, |
| 213. | ,, | M. L. Jaisimha | 450.00 ,, | 854/24-5-78 | ,, |
| 214. | ,, | Srl Bharatchand Khanna | 43ç.11 ,, | 894/24-5-78 | ,, |
| 215. | ,, | Smt. A. Rama devi | 266.56 ,, | 895/26-5-78 | ,, |
| 216. | ,, | Sri Sanjeeva Raju | | 896/26-5-78 | ,, |
| 217 | UC.III | M/s. Auto and Allied Products | 2,700 ,, | 903/27-5-78 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 218. | ,, | Sri V. V. Subba Rao | 132.95 ,, | 906/29-6-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 219. | ,, | Sri V. Krishna Dass | 488.00 ,, | 470/29-5-78 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 5. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 220. | UC.II | Sri M. P. Abel | 336.96 Sq.Mts. | 908/29-5-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 221. | ,, | Sri V. Narayana Dass | 145.00 ,. | 909/29-5-78 | ,, |
| 222. | ,, | Sri V. Pasupathi | 61.49 ,, | 910/29-5-78 | ,, |
| 223. | ,, | Sri K. Kotilingam | 156.35 ,, | 911/29-5-78 | ,, |
| 224. | ,, | Smt. Mehraj Begum | 180 89 ,, | 912/29-5-78 | ,, |
| 225. | ,, | Smt. M. Lakshmikanthamma | 78.50 ,, | 913/29-5-78 | ,, |
| 226. | ,, | Sri D. Sanjeeva Raju | 68-61 ,, | 914/29-5-78 | ,, |
| 227. | ,, | Sri Mir Karamut Ali Khan | 59,915.00 ,, | 915/29-5-78 | ,, |
| 228. | UC.III | M/s. Automative Industries (India) Ltd. | 1,98500 ,, | 916/29-5-78 | ,- |
| 229. | ,, | M/s. Solden India Ltd., | 1,672.00 ,, | 917/29-5-78 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 230. | ,, | M/s. Priyadarshini Magnatics | 2,025.00 ,, | 919/29-5-78 | ,, |
| 231. | ,, | M/s. Priyadarshini Electronics | 3,378 00 ,, | 920/29-5-78 | ,, |
| 232. | ,, | M/s. J. R. Enterprises | 3,317 62 ,, | 930/3-6-78 | ,, |
| 233. | UC.II | Smt. V. Furnachandravathi | 235.79 ,, | 953/3-6-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 234. | ,, | Sri V. B. Prem Sagar | 468 20 ,, | 954/3-6-78 | ,, |
| 235. | ,, | Sri V. V. Narayana | 68.57 ,, | 982/8-6 78 | ,, |
| 236. | ,, | Sri S J Ramappa | 5-00 ,, | 983/8 6-78 | ,, |
| 237. | ,, | Sri K. Harichandra Reddy | 104.60 ,, | 984/8-6-78 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 238. | UC.II | Dr. E. LakmiSarayana | 70 35 Sq. mts | 985/8-6-78 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 239. | ,, | Sri K. Soma Sundaram | 580.04 ,, | 988/9-6-78 | ,, |
| 240. | UC.III | M/s. Revathi Ceilings | 4,047.00 ,, | 1005/12-6-78 | ,, |
| 241. | ,, | M/s. Rsp. Industries and Chemicals (P) Ltd , | 8,092.72 ,, | 1020/15-6-78 | ,, |
| 242. | ,, | M/s. Bharat Capereitors (Pvt.) Ltd. | 6,070.29 ,, | 1021/15-6-78 | ,, |
| 243. | ,, | M/s. National Engg. Corpn. | 5,859.35 ,, | 1022/15-6-78 | ,, |
| 244. | ,, | M/s. Spanex Products | 047.00 ,, | 1032/15-6-78 | ,, |
| 245. | ,, | M/s. Mac Man (Pvt) Ltd. | 21,691-00 ,, | 1033/15-6-78 | ,, |
| 246. | UC.II | Sri Samba Murthy | 622.72 ,, | 1040/15-6-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 247. | ,, | Sri G. B. R. Sharma | 3.35 ,, | 1041/15-6-78 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 248 | ,, | Sri Ramchandra Rao | 13,353.00 ,, | 1044/15-6-78 | ,, |
| 249. | ,, | Smt G. Sreevayamma | 12,466.00 ,, | 1045/15-6-78 | ,, |
| 250. | ,, | Sri Raghunadha Rao | 193.05 ,, | 1046/15-6-78 | ,, |
| 251. | ,, | Sri K. Venkata Rao | 107.85 ,, | 1061/20-6-78 | ,, |
| 242. | ,, | Sri A. Kumar Paul | 228.58 ,, | 1062/20-6-78 | ,, |
| 253. | ,, | Sri R. Prasad | 334.67 ,, | 1063/20-6-78 | ,, |
| 254 | | Smt. Asifa Akhter | 73.00 | 1064/20-6-78 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 255. | UC.II | Major S. Mohiddin | 32.62 Sq.Mts. | 1065/20-6-78 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 256. | ,, | Sri N. Luthar | 87.60 ,, | 1066/20-678 | ,, |
| 257. | ,, | Smr. R.E. Tridurasundaramma | 321.91 ,, | 1068/20-6-78 | ,, |
| 258. | ,, | Sri N. Mutlaiah | | 1078/24-6-78 | ,, |
| 259. | ,, | Sri K. V. N. Chary | 122 54 ,, | 1080/24-6-78 | ,, |
| 260. | ,, | Sri B. Nageswara Rao | 407.08 ,, | 1081/24-6-78 | ,, |
| 261. | ,, | Sri Mahalal L Bajaj | 86.95 ,, | 1092/26-6-78 | ,, |
| 262. | ,, | Sri C. Purushotham | 325.40 ,, | 1093/26-6-78 | ,, |
| 263. | ,, | Sri Satyanarayana Murthy | 46.13 ,, | 1094/26-6-78 | ,, |
| 264. | ,, | Sri B. Rajagopal | 114.56 ,, | 1095/26-6-78 | ,, |
| 265. | ,, | Sri B. V. Rama Rao, IAS, | 290.98 ,, | 1112/29-6-78 | ,, |
| 266. | ,, | Sri Ali Ban Salah | 10,033.00 ,, | 1113/29-6-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 267. | ,, | Sri G. Krishna Reddy | 184.82 ,, | 1115/29-6-78 | ,, |
| 268. | ,, | Sri M. S. V. Gopala Rao | 415.50 ,, | 1116/29-6-78 | ,, |
| 269. | ,, | Sri M. L. Narayana | 84.40 ,, | 1117/29-6-78 | ,, |
| 270. | ,, | Sri P. Pandurangam | 102.25 ,, | 1118/29-6-78 | ,, |
| 271. | ,, | Sri R. Sreedhar | 339.00 ,, | 1119/29-6-78 | ,, |
| 272. | ,, | Dr. G. S. Sudhakar | 68.33 ,, | 1120/29-6-78 | ,, |
| 273. | ,, | Sri K. Ramagopal Rao | 460.00 ,, | 1121/29-6-78 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 274. | UC.II | Sri G. Veera Reddy | 264.63 Sq. Mts. | 1122/29-6-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 275. | ,, | Smt. Rupadevi | 359.53 ,, | 1140/ 4-7-78 | ,, |
| 276. | ,, | Smt. Banoo Mahoobi | 422.50 ,, | 1141/ 4-7-78 | ,, |
| 277. | ,, | Sri Ch. Koteswara Rao | 172.00 ,, | 1142/ 4-7-78 | ,, |
| 278. | ,, | Smt. Parasmel Janit | 168.30 ,, | 1155/ 5-7-78 | ,, |
| 279. | ,, | Sri T. Si-va Rama Sastry | 12.53 ,, | 1163/ 7-7-78 | ,, |
| 280. | ,, | Sri A. Rajasekhara Rao | 268.09 ,, | 1164/ 7-7-78 | ,, |
| 281. | ,, | Sri A. C. S. Rao | 268.10 ,, | 1165/ 7-7-78 | ,, |
| 282. | ,, | Sri V. Krishna Murthy | 3.35 ,, | 1166/ 7-7-78 | ,, |
| 283. | ,, | Smt. A. Kamalavathi | 73.33 ,, | 1167/ 7-7-78 | ,, |
| 284. | ,, | Sri A. C. Subrahmanyam | 35.06 ,, | 1168/ 7-7-78 | ,, |
| 285. | ,, | Sri Dhanjai Gir | 284.56 ,, | 1169/ 7-7-78 | ,, |
| 286. | ,, | Sri Y. V. Rami Reddy | 166.40 ,, | 1170/ 7-7-78 | ,, |
| 287. | ,, | Smt. G. Rathnamma | 184.36 ,, | 1171/ 7-7-78 | ,, |
| 288. | ,, | Sri D. Srinivasa Reddy | 17.54 ,, | 1172/ 7-7-78 | ,, |
| 289. | ,, | Srl B. Pramoda Rao | 213.19 ,, | 1173/ 7-7-78 | ,, |
| 290. | ,, | Smt. C. Vijayalakshmi | 260.87 ,, | 1174/ 7-7-78 | ,, |
| 291. | ,, | Sri Hanumantha Rao | 11.72 ,, | 1175/ 7-7-78 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 292. | UC.II | Sri P. W. Elias | 333.61 Sq. Mts. | 1176/ 7-7-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 293. | ,, | Sri G. Jagannadha | 289.31 ,, | 1177/ 7-7-78 | ,, |
| 294. | ,, | Smt. C. Radha | 337.51 ,, | 1180/ 7-7-78 | ,, |
| 295. | ,, | Sri K. Rama Krishna | 11.72 ,, | 1181/ 7-7-78 | ,, |
| 296. | ,, | Smt. Sujatha Bai | 112.00 ,, | 1182/ 7-7-78 | ,, |
| 297. | ,, | Sri M. V. S. Suryakantha Rao | 289.20 ,, | 1183/ 7-7-78 | ,, |
| 298. | ,, | Sri G. Venkataramana Sastry | 418.00 ,, | 1184/ 7-7-78 | ,, |
| 299. | ,, | Sri Mohd. Sirajuddin | 334.45 ,, | 1185/ 7-7-78 | ,, |
| 300. | ,, | Sri Y. Sree Ramulu | 287.21 ,, | 1186/ 7-7-78 | ,, |
| 301. | ,, | Sri V. Raghavendra Rao | 185.00 ,, | 1187/ 7-7-78 | ,, |
| 302. | ,, | Sri V. Venkateswarlu | 58.71 ,, | 1188/ 7-7-78 | ,, |
| 303. | ,, | Smt. B. Jannamma | 132.00 ,, | 1189/ 7-7-78 | ,, |
| 304. | ,, | Sri D. Anjaneya Raju | 70.24 ,, | 1190/ 7-7-78 | ,, |
| 305. | ,, | Smt. B. Vasunda.ra | 469.50 ,, | 1191/ 7-7-78 | ,, |
| 306. | ,, | Sri A. Venkata Ram Prasad | 469.50 ,, | 1192/ 7-7-78 | ,, |
| 307. | .. | Sri V. P. Ghokele | 281.16 ,, | 1194/ 7-7-78 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 308. | UC.III | M/s. Imcos Engineer (Pvt.) Ltd. | 4,047.00 ,, | 1197/10-7-78 | ,, |
| 309. | ,, | M/s. Super Industries Dall Mi-ll | 3,852.12 ,, | 1198/10-7-78 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 310. | UC.III | M/s. Balla Pravaba Enterprises | 1,350.00 Sq. Mts. | 1199/10-7-78 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 311. | ,, | M/s. Advanced Data System | 1,857.78 ,, | 1209/10-7-78 | ,, |
| 312. | UC.II | Sri C. Partha Saradhi | 87.38 ,, | 1210/10-7-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 313. | ,, | Smt. Palima Shujant | 511.71 ,, | 1246/17-7-78 | ,, |
| 314. | ,, | Smt. R. Narayana Devi | 252.75 ,, | 1252/20-7-78 | ,, |
| 315. | ,, | Sri M. Dubbiah | 126.90 ,, | 1254/20-7-78 | ,, |
| 316. | ,, | Sri R. Ram Das Rao | 97.47 ,, | 1255/20-8-78 | ,, |
| 317. | UC.III | M/s. Hindustan Manank Pvt. Ltd. | 30,150.00 ,, | 1257/21-7-78 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 318. | UC.II | Dr. V. Mohan Das | 624.00 ,, | 1270/24-7-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 319. | ,, | Sri G. Venugopal | 168.52 ,, | 1273/25-7-78 | ,, |
| 320. | ,, | Kum. Y. Dhravathi | 30.94 ,, | 1275/25-7-78 | ,, |
| 321. | ,, | Sri B. S. Gopala Krishna Murthy | 147.18 ,, | 1276/25-7-78 | ,, |
| 322. | ,, | Sri M. Sugunakar Reddy | 388.77 ,, | 1282/26-7-78 | ,, |
| 323. | ,, | Sri P. S. Rao I.C.S. (Retd.) GRA to Mrs. H. Moslgavkar, Mrs. K. I. Vidya Sagar and Mrs. Vinala M. Rao | 126.00 ,, | 1286/26-7-78 | ,, |
| 324. | ,, | Smt. Kantha Lakshmi Trivedi | 226.61 ,, | 1292/26-7-78 | ,, |
| 325. | ,, | Sri Anjad Husssn Hydar | 393.00 ,, | 1308/27-7-78 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 326. | UC.II | Sri Challa Sriprakash | 195.66 Sq. Mts. | 1309/27-7-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 327. | ,, | Sri A. Kumara Rama Krishna Raju | 192.00 ,, | 1310/27-7-78 | ,, |
| 328. | ,, | Sri Mir Muntna Ali | 230.00 ,, | 1311/27-7-78 | ,, |
| 329. | ,, | Sri P. Ranga Rao | 289.31 ,, | 1312/27-7-78 | ,, |
| 330. | ,, | Sri Khaja Nazm-uddin | 244.15 ,, | 1313/27-7-78 | ,, |
| 331. | ,, | Smt. S. Raja Lakshmi | 81.94 ,, | 1314/27-7-78 | ,, |
| 332. | ,, | Sri D. Gopala Swamy | 76.50 ,, | 1315/27-7-78 | ,, |
| 333. | ,, | Sri Dhobi Narasimha | 212.00 ,, | 1316/27-7-78 | ,, |
| 334. | ,, | Sri S. Mahadevan | 349.51 ,, | 1331/29-7-78 | ,, |
| 335. | ,, | Sri S. V, Ranga Rao | 331.95 ,, | 1332/29-7-78 | ,, |
| 336. | ,, | Smt. Seethalakshmi Mahadevan | 348.67 ,, | 1333/29-7-78 | ,, |
| 337. | ,, | Sri Mohd. Dewlet Khan | 350.40 ,, | 1334/29-7-78 | ,, |
| 338. | ,, | Sri Ch. Syamala Rao | 347.76 ,, | 1335/29-7-78 | ,, |
| 339. | ,, | Sri P. Subba Rao | 450.60 ,, | 1336/29-7-78 | ,, |
| 340. | ,, | Sri P. V. Raju | 195.66 ,, | 1337/29-7-78 | ,, |
| 341. | ,, | Sri C. S. Suryanarayana | 307.69 ,, | 1338/29-7-78 | ,, |
| 342. | ,, | Dr. P. S. Perumal | 338.00 ,, | 1339/29-7-78 | ,, |
| 343. | ,, | Sri D. Rama Rao | 259.35 ,, | 1340/29-7-78 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 344. | UC.II | Smt. P. Komala Devi | 387.91 Sq. Mts. | 1341/29-7-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 345. | ,, | Sri Prema Murthy | 95.34 ,, | 1342/29-7-78 | ,, |
| 346. | ,, | Smt. M. Mamannoz | 437·20 ,, | 1343/31-7-78 | ,, |
| 347. | ,, | Lands held by the H. E. H. Nizam | | 1346/ 1-8-78 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 348. | ,, | Sri B. V. Subba Sastry | | 1347/ 1-8-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 349. | ,, | Sri Kranthilal Narian | 251.93 ,, | 1358/ 5-8-78 | , |
| 350. | ,, | Sri P. Gopal Reddy | 236.12 ,, | 1360/ 5-8-78 | ,, |
| 351. | ,, | Sri A. Raghava Reddy | 104.89 ,, | 1389/11-8-78 | ,, |
| 352. | ,, | Sri B. Vijaya Kumar | 267.35 ,, | 1390/11-8-78 | ,, |
| 353. | ,, | Sri A. Krishna Murthy | 258.37 ,, | 1390/11-8-78 | ,, |
| 354. | ,, | Dr. D. V. Raghava Reddy | 405.38 ,, | 1403/18-8-78 | ,, |
| 355. | ,, | Sri S. V. Narasimha Reddy | 102.00 ,, | 1415/22-8-78 | ,, |
| 356. | ,, | Sri T. B. L. Prasad | 107.28 ,, | 1416/22-8-78 | ,, |
| 357. | ,, | Sri K. Sudarshana Reddy | 392.94 ,, | 1421/26-8-78 | ,, |
| 358. | ,, | Sri K. Bal Reddy | 2475.48 ,, | 1428/28-8-78 | ,, |
| 359. | UC.III | M/s. Inter Fune Pvt. Ltd. | 10,000.00 ,, | 1435/28-8-78 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 360. | UC.II | Sri P. Mallikharjur Rao | 90.50 ,, | 1467/11-9-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 361. | ,, | Sri S. Narasimhulu | 31.00 ,, | 1468/11-9-78 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 362. | UC.II | Sri K. Seshagiri Rao | 209.00 Sq. Mts. | 1469/11-9-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 363. | .. | Sri S. Eswaraiah | 10.09 ,, | 1470/11-9-78 | ,, |
| 364. | ,, | Sri G. Ramakrishna Reddy | 11.77 ,, | 1471/11-9-78 | ,, |
| 365. | ,, | Sri M. Krishna Reddy | 87.13 ,, | 1472/11-9-78 | ,, |
| 366. | ,, | Sri M. Bal Reddy | 173.15 ,, | 1473/11-9-78 | ,, |
| 367. | ,, | Sri B. S. V. Ramana | 163.06 ,, | 1474/11-9-78 | ,, |
| 368. | ,, | Dr. Mrs. Rachand Panal | 107.70 ,, | 1475/12-9-78 | ,, |
| 369. | ,, | Sri G. M. Ramesh Kumar | 22.25 ,, | 1476/13-9-78 | ,, |
| 370. | ,, | Sri V. Chinna Reddy | 391.79 ,, | 1490/13-9-78 | ,, |
| 371. | ,, | Sri M. Rahim | 154.00 ,, | 1491/13-9-78 | ,, |
| 372. | ,, | Sri B. Uma Maheswar Sarma | 96.00 ,, | 1500/15-9-78 | ,, |
| 373. | UC.III | M/s. New Chemical Industries | 2,023.43 ,, | 1502/15-9-78 | ,, |
| 374. | ,, | M/s. Sonali Casting Pvt. Ltd. | 20,579.34 ,, | 1503/15-9-78 | ,, |
| 375. | UC.II | Sri A. Appalaswamy Reddy | 145.00 ,, | 1500/15-9-78 | ,, |
| 376. | ,, | Sri Soudani Lal | 106.80 ,, | 1510/15-9-78 | ,, |
| 377. | ,, | Smt. M. Suryakaninam | 456.53 ,, | 1511/15-9-78 | ,, |
| 378. | ,, | Smt. S. Chandramathi | 112.06 ,, | 1512/15-9-78 | ,, |
| 379. | ,, | Smt. Emani Saraswathi | 134.84 ,, | 1513/15-9-78 | ,, |

| I. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 380. | UC.II | Sri P. Sudhakar Rao | 228.45 Sq. Mts. | 1514/15-9-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 381. | ,, | Sri Santhi R. Shah | 339.20 ,, | 1518/16-9-78 | ,, |
| 382. | ,, | Sri Siraj Ahmmad | 297.56 ,, | 1531/18-9-78 | ,, |
| 383. | ,, | Sri B. S. A. Prasada Rao | | 1532/18-9-78 | ,, |
| 384. | ,, | Sri Hari | 38.94 ,, | 1533/18-9-78 | ,, |
| 385. | ,, | Sri Setarajulu | 233.27 ,, | 1534/18-9-78 | ,, |
| 386. | ,, | Sri Munkki Varma | 128.20 ,, | 1535/18-9-78 | ,, |
| 387. | ,, | M/s. Amrutation Mills | 65.90 ,, | 1536/18-9-78 | ,, |
| 388. | ,, | Dr. Rajan | 104.00 ,, | 1537/18-9-78 | ,, |
| 389. | ,, | Sri M. Suryanarayana | 275.00 ,, | 1547/19-9-78 | ,, |
| 390. | ,, | Smt. Mothi Padmavathi | 173.09 ,, | 1559/21-9-78 | ,, |
| 391. | ,, | Smt. Munishud S. Bai | 3.35 ,, | 1560/21-9-78 | ,, |
| 392. | ,, | Sri A. V. Subrahmanya Sarma | 124.00 ,, | 1561/21-9-78 | ,, |
| 393. | ,, | Sri G. S. R. S. Prakasa Rao | 104.73 ,, | 1562/21-9-78 | ,, |
| 394. | ,, | Sri M. Venkata Subba Rao | 110.51 ,, | 1563/21-9-78 | ,, |
| 395. | ,, | M/s. Industrial Chemicals & Belecons | 3,098.00 ,, | 1576/23-9-78 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 396. | ,, | M/s. Sifco Co., Ltd. | 1,02,168.00 ,, | 1577/23-6-78 | ,, |
| 397. | ,, | M/s. Sri B. Krishna & Dasan-and | 7,697.00 ,, | 1578/23-9-78 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 398. | UC.III | M/s. S. Sankarappa and Bros· | 14,044.08Sq Mts. | 1579/23-9-78 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 399. | ,, | M/s. Revathi Tobacco Co. Pvt. Ltd. | 14,972.00 ,, | 1580/23-9-78 | ,, |
| 400 | ,, | M/s. Deccan Cigareete Factory (P) Ltd. | 25,52500 ,, | 1582/23-9-78 | ,, |
| 401. | ,, | M/s. Tirumala Automobiles | 1,650.00 ,, | 1583/23-9-78 | ,, |
| 402. | ,, | Sri A.'Lakshmi Kumar | 563.00 ,, | 1586/23-9-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 403. | ,, | M/s. K. C. Engineering Works | 2,823.43 ,, | 1587/25-9-78 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 404. | ,, | M/s. Sri Satya Winory & Dist. (P) Ltd. | 32,273.72 ,, | 1589/25-9-78 | ,, |
| 405. | ,, | M/s. Vikas Chemicals | 8,619.00 ,, | 1590/25-9 78 | ,, |
| 406. | ', | Sri Shantilal Karkari | 2,162.46 ,, | 1591/25-9-78 | ,, |
| 407. | ,, | M/s. K.C. P. Ltd. | 3,085.00 ,, | 1592/259-78 | ,, |
| 408. | ,, | M/s. Hindu Oil Industries | 10,117.15 ,, | 1595/25-9-78 | ,, |
| 409. | ,, | M/s. Balaji Shantad | 1,642.60 ,, | 1596 25-9-78 | ,, |
| 410. | ,, | M/s. Hyderabad Chemicals Suppliers | 2,363.00 ,, | 1597/25-9-78 | ,, |
| 411. | ,, | M/s. Uhman Time (P) Ltd. | 1,350.00 ,, | 1598/25-9-78 | ,, |
| 412. | ,, | M/s. Mahesh Enterprises | 1,350.00 ,, | 1600/25-9-78 | ,, |
| 413. | ,, | M/s. Superise Corporation | 2,913.75 ,, | 1601/25-9-78 | ,, |
| 414. | ,, | M/s. Igwal Brothers | 2,023.13 ,, | 1603/25-9-78 | ,, |
| 415. | ,, | M/s. Bhagy Gragar Potteries Refractaries | 6,070.00 ,, | 1604/25-9-78 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 5. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 416. | UC.III | M/s. Induct Industrial Caroration | 4,456 00 Sq.Mts. | 1605/25-9-78 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 417. | ,, | M/s. Sai Medicants | 4,060.00 ,, | 1606/25-9-78 | ,, |
| 418. | ,, | Sri R. N. Namadevan | 310 35 ,, | 1615/26-9-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 419. | UC.II | Sri A. Veerabhadra Rao | 204.82 ,, | 1616/26-9-78 | ,, |
| 420. | ,, | Sri M. J. Mathur | 196.40 ,, | 1617/26-9-78 | ,, |
| 421. | ,, | Smt. G. Ranga Reddy | 11.77 ,, | 1618/26-9-78 | ,, |
| 422. | ,, | Smt. G. Sreenivasan | 310.67 ,, | 1619/26-9-78 | ,, |
| 423. | ,, | Sri K. Anantha Rao | 32.52 ,, | 1620/26-9-78 | ,, |
| 424. | ,, | Smt. M. Pentamma | 11.77 ,, | 1621/26-9-78 | ,, |
| 425. | ,, | Sri Somesekhra Sastry | 96.75 ,, | 1622/26-9 78 | ,, |
| 426. | ,, | Smt. Kulsan Zaimtabuddin | 10.00 ,, | 1623/26-9-78 | ,, |
| 427. | UC.III | Sri B. Seetharamaiah | 151.44 ,, | 1624/26-9-78 | ,, |
| 428. | UC.II | Sri Gopala Rao Kasikar | 143 00 ,, | 1625/26-9-78 | ,, |
| 429. | ,, | Sri Manthen Vankat Raju | 153.75 ,, | 1626/26-9-78 | ,, |
| 430. | ,, | Sri M. V. K. Rao | 811·05 ,, | 1629/26-2-78 | ,, |
| 431. | ,, | Smt. Bilquis Begum | 192.00 ,, | 1634/27-9-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 432. | ,, | Smt. Vikar unnisa Begum | 192.00 ,, | 1635/27-9-78 | ,, |
| 433. | ,, | Smt. Seasath Begum | 192.00 ,, | 1636/27-9-78 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 434. | UC.II | Sri V. M. Sekhara Rao | 936.40 Sq. mts | 1637/27-2-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 435. | ,, | Sri D. Rajya Lakshmi | 505.03 ,, | 1630/28-9-78 | ,, |
| 436. | ,, | Smt. Qumar-un Begum | 533.74 ,, | 1639/28-9-78 | ,, |
| 437. | ,, | Sri P. Srinivasa Reddy | 678.11 ,, | 1652/30-9-78 | ,, |
| 438. | ,, | Sri T. Parankusa Das | 560.75 ,, | 1661/30-9-78 | ,, |
| 439. | ,, | Sri K. Kutumba Rao | 331.95 ,, | 1662/30 9-78 | ,, |
| 440. | ,, | Sri K. B. Krishna Mohan | 629.11 ,, | 1663/30-9-78 | ,, |
| 441. | ,, | Sri D. V. Sastry | 170.86 ,, | 1664/30-9-78 | ,, |
| 442. | ,, | Sri Mohd. Ahmodullah | 75.46 ,, | 1665/30-9-78 | ,, |
| 443. | ,, | Sri Rameswar lal | 134 66 ,, | 1666/30-9-78 | ,, |
| 444. | UC.III | Sri Laxmi Satyanarayana | 195.83 ,, | 1667/30-9-78 | ,, |
| 445 | UC.II | Sri A. T. Thomas | 61.04 ,, | 1668/30-9-78 | ,, |
| 446. | ,, | Smt. M. V. Ramana | 453.00 ,, | 1669/30-9-78 | ,, |
| 447. | ,, | Lt. Col. Habeeb Ahmed | 475.96 ,, | 1670/30-9-78 | ,, |
| 448. | ,, | Miss Neena Paful Dasari | 226-82 ,, | 1671/30-9-78 | ,, |
| 449. | ,, | Sri B. N. Raman, IAS, | 596.62 ,, | 1675/30-9-78 | ,, |
| 450. | ,, | Sri J. V. Subba Rao | I,225.93 ,, | 1677/3-10-78 | ,, |
| 451. | ,, | Sri Mirza Ashon Bee | 8,609.59 ,, | 1680/4-10-78 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5: | 6: |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 452. | UC.III | M/s. Annapurna Associates | 10,578.33 Sq.Mts. | 1681/5-10-78 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 453. | UC.II | Sri S. Balaiah | 12,138 30 ,, | 1683/5-10-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 454. | ,, | Sri R. Jaiwantha Rao | 1,174.75 ,, | 1685/6-10-78 | ,, |
| 455. | ,, | Sri R. H Chary and two others | 9,030.00 ,, | 1694/6-10-78 | ,, |
| 456. | ,, | Mrs. Kunnam Ban J. Patel | 568 55 ,, | 1697/6-10--78 | ,, |
| 457. | ,, | Sri K. V. R. Sastry | 661.83 ,, | 1698/6-10-78 | ,, |
| 458. | ,, | Sri K. Sangameswara Reddy | 949.10 ,, | 1699/6-10-78 | ,, |
| 459. | ,, | Sri V. Govindarajulu | 606.15 ,, | 1700/6-10-78 | ,, |
| 460. | ,, | Smt. Dr. Elizabeth | 388 20 ,, | 1701/6-10-78 | ,, |
| 461. | ,, | Smt. M. Navaratha Siromani | 109.05 ,, | 1702/6-10-78 | ,, |
| 462 | ,, | Sri. R. S. Sastry | 546.84 ,, | 1703/6-10-78 | ,, |
| 463. | ,, | Sri P. Sai Subrahmanyeswara Rao | 1,067.96 ,, | 1704/6-10-78 | ,, |
| 464. | ,, | Smt. Lakshmi Devi | 665 00 ,, | 1705/6-10-78 | ,, |
| 465. | ,, | Smt. Sai Kumari | 773.00 ,, | 1716/12 10-78 | ,, |
| 466. | ,, | Sri V. K. Bava | 950.00 ,, | 1717/12-10-78 | ,, |
| 467. | ,, | Dr. N. R V. Swamy | 551.83 ,, | 1718/12-10-78 | ,, |
| 468. | ,, | M/s. Srinivasa Building (P) Ltd. | 18,406.50 ,, | 1719/12 10-78 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 469. | UC.II | Sri Taineh and Ashifay M. Mirza | 7,351.00 Sq. Mts. | 1721/13-10-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 470. | ,, | Sri B. Venkata Reddy | 19,375.16 ,, | 1722/13-10-78 | ,, |
| 471. | ,, | Sri P. Venkata Ratnam | 680.62 ,, | 1723/13-10-78 | ,, |
| 472. | ,, | Sri T. Jagan Mohan Rao | 580.23 ,, | 1724/13-10-78 | ,, |
| 473. | ,, | Sri C. Sree Rama Bhopal | 292.00 ,, | 1725/13-10-78 | ,, |
| 474. | ,, | Dr. Mrs .Lalitha Bai | 278.91 ,, | 1726/13-10-78 | ,, |
| 476. | ,, | Srl T. Laxminarayana | 811.00 ,, | 1728/13-10-78 | ,, |
| 476. | ,, | Mrs. G. Kurvàn | 659.00 ,, | 1729/13-10-78 | ,, |
| 477. | ,, | Sri S. N. Bilgrami | 1,000.00 ,, | 1731/16-10-78 | ,, |
| 478. | ,, | Sri Mir Kifayat Ali Khan | 28327.95 ,, | 1733/16-20-78 | ,, |
| 479. | ,, | Sri R. Veeraiah | 618.55 ,, | 1734/16-10-78 | ,, |
| 480. | ,, | Sri O. Pulla Rao | 5,940.60 ,, | 1737/17-10-78 | ,, |
| 481. | ,, | Smt. Shah Jahar Begum | 500.00 ,, | 1738/17-10-78 | ,, |
| 482. | ,, | Sri J. Dakshina Murthy | 149.59 ,, | 1739/17-10-78 | ,, |
| 483. | ,, | Sri N. V. Murthy | 540.00 ,, | 1740/17-10-78 | ,, |
| 484. | ,, | Sri K. K. Abraham | 672.36 ,, | 1741/17-10-78 | ,, |
| 485. | ,, | Sri Mohd. Khalil UR Rahaman | 672.26 ,, | 1742 17-10-78 | ,, |
| 486. | ,, | Dr. R. B. Reddy | 149.50 ,, | 1743/17-10-78 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 487. | UC.II | Sri V. Sobhanadha | 462.93 Sq. Mts. | 1744/17-10-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 488. | ,, | Dr. A. N. Sreedhar Rao | 563.54 ,, | 1746/17-10-78 | ,, |
| 489. | ,, | Sri C. Bhagvatha Rao | 2,650.76 ,, | 1748/17-10-78 | ,, |
| 490. | ,, | Sri V. S. Gopal Rao | 447.90 ,, | 1749/17-10-78 | ,, |
| 491. | ,, | M/s. Hyderabad Plywood Industries Ltd. | 20,241.00 ,, | 1750/17-10-78 | ,, |
| 492. | ,, | Sri Syed Azam | 14,000.00 ,, | 1758/17-10-78 | ,, |
| 493. | ,, | Sri Sanjaiah Reddy | 654.50 ,, | 1760/17-10-78 | ,, |
| 494 | ,, | Smt. Azim-unisa Begum | 1,000.26 ,, | 1780/20-10-78 | ,, |
| 495. | ,, | Smt. Akhe-ra Jahab Begum | 914.52 ,, | 1781/20-10-78 | ,, |
| 496. | UC.III | M/s. Hyderabad Abresives Allied Ind. | 2,403.50 ,, | 1783/21-10-78 | ,, |
| 497. | ,, | M/s. Hariom Moulder & Structure (P) Ltd. | 28,471.74 ,, | 1784/21-10-78 | ,, |
| 498. | ,, | Sri Anil De | 1,819.10 ,, | 1786/21-10-78 | ,, |
| 499. | UC.III | M/s. Pioneer Metal Industries | 3,445.9 ,, | 1792/24-10-78 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 500. | UC.II | Sri K. Yallaiah | 3,800.00 ,, | 1793/24-10-78 | ,, |
| 501. | ,, | Dr. Kalluri Balippa | 500.00 ,, | 1810/26-10-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 502. | ,, | Sri G. S. Prabhakar | 770.87 ,, | 1811/26-10-78 | ,, |
| 503. | ,, | Smt. S. Lakshmi Bai | 21,145.00 ,, | 1830/28 10-78 | ,, |
| 504. | ,, | Smt. Abdul Azeez | 36,960.00 ,, | 1833/28-10-78 | |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 505. | UC.II | Sri S. Goverdhan Rao | 213.15 Sq. Mts. | 1835/3-11-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 506. | ,, | Smt. Begum Zehra Ali Yaxer Jung | 2,552.00 ,, | 1836/3-11-78 | ,, |
| 507. | ,, | Sri J. L. Narasimha Sarma | 74.37 ,, | 1851/4-11-78 | ,, |
| 508. | ,, | Sri B. G. Narasimhaiah | 53.23 ,, | 1855/4-11-78 | ,, |
| 509. | ,, | Sri Inayal Ali | 29.10 ,, | 1856/4-11-78 | ,, |
| 510. | ,, | Sri S. Anandappa | 328.00 ,, | 1862/6-11-78 | ,, |
| 511. | ,, | Sri A. B. Chenny | 448.00 ,, | 1865/6-11-78 | ,, |
| 512. | ,, | Sri J. B. Chenny | 448.00 ,, | 1866/6-11-78 | ,, |
| 513. | ,, | Sri Feroz B. Chenny | 448.00 ,, | 1867/6-11-78 | ,, |
| 514. | ,, | Smt. Y. Anuradha | 378.96 ,, | 1868/6-11-78 | ,, |
| 515. | ,, | Sri P. Madhan Raj & Andalamma | 163.54 ,, | 1869/6-11-78 | ,, |
| 516. | ,, | Sri R. Tulasiram | 11.71 ,, | 1870/6-11-78 | ,, |
| 517. | ,, | Smt. Leela Subrahmanyam | 185.90 ,, | 1871/6-11-78 | ,, |
| 518. | ,, | Sri P. Lakshmana Rao | 83.61 ,, | 1872/6-11-78 | ,, |
| 519. | ,, | Sri S. Prinadha Rao | 148.45 ,, | 1873/6-11-78 | ,, |
| 520. | ,, | Sri S. N. Mallikharjuna | 363.92 ,, | 1874/6-11-78 | ,, |
| 521. | ,, | Sri D. S. K. Bhushanam | 10.36 ,, | 1875/6-11-78 | ,, |
| 522. | ,, | Major B. D. Wycliff | 222.40 ,, | 1876/6-11-78 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 523. | UC.II | Sri N. Narsimha Sastry | 57.59 Sq. Mts. | 1877/6-11-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 524. | ,, | Sri Syed Ibrahim | 153.01 ,, | 1878/11-78 | ,, |
| 525. | ,, | Sri Dr. Subba Rao | 120.42 ,, | 1879/6-11-78 | ,, |
| 526. | ,, | Sri D. S. Sastry | 257.53 ,, | 1880/6-11-78 | ,, |
| 527. | ,, | Sri R. Nagaiah | 66.48 ,, | 1881/6-11-78 | ,, |
| 528. | ,, | Sri P. K. Jagannadh | 338.00 ,, | 1882/6-11-78 | ,, |
| 529. | ,, | Smt. A. Prabhavatamma | 416.28 ,, | 1883/6-11-78 | ,, |
| 530. | UC.III | M/s. Kanitic Chemicals | 4,122.00 ,, | 1884/6-11-78 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 531. | UC.II | Sri B. Bashvavarlu | 86.53 ,, | 1894/8-11-78 | ,, |
| 532. | ,, | Sri Maseeruddin Ansari | 421.40 ,, | 1896/9-11-78 | ,, |
| 533. | ,, | Sri K. Madhusudhana Rao | 112.43 ,, | 1897/9-11-78 | ,, |
| 534. | ,, | Sri D. Gopala Krishaa | 346.46 ,, | 1901/9-11-78 | ,, |
| 535. | ,, | Smt. Kamala Devi | 642.06 ,, | 1916/13-11-78 | ,, |
| 536. | ,, | Sri M. Amrutamma & Sri L. V. Prasad (Acs.) | 10.00 ,, | 1921/14-11-78 | ,, |
| 537. | ,, | Mrs. M. Poonamma Cariappa | 500.00 ,, | 1926/16-11-78 | ,, |
| 538. | ,, | Sri P. T. Reddy | 22,780.00 ,, | 1927/16-11-78 | ,, |
| 539. | ,, | Sri P. Venkataratnam | 119.00 ,, | 1928/16-11-78 | ,, |
| 540 | ,, | Sri G. V. Ginish Reddy | 370.00 ,, | 1929/16-11-78 | 20 (1) (b) |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 541. | UC.II | Sri Venkata Rajaiah | 357.31 Sq. Mts. | 1930/16-11-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 542. | ,, | Smt. G. Seshirekhamma | 497.58 ,, | 1931/16-11-78 | ,, |
| 543. | ,, | Sri Raghu Dikshitulu | 453.18 ,, | 1932/16-11-78 | ,, |
| 544. | ,, | Sri P. Balavenkateswara Rao | 175.59 ,, | 1935/17-11-78 | ,, |
| 545. | ,, | Sri C. Purushothama Rao | 77.45 ,, | 1936/17-11-78 | ,, |
| 546. | ,, | Sri N. Rama Murthy | 304.35 ,, | 1937/17-11-78 | ,, |
| 547. | ,, | Sri P. V. Krishna Rao | 114.74 ,, | 1938 17-11-78 | ,, |
| 548. | UC.III | M s. Akin Laboratories | 2,589.96 ,, | 1952/18-11-78 | ,, |
| 549. | UC.II | Smt. G. Kamalakshi | 354.43 ,, | 1955/20-11-78 | ,, |
| 550. | UC.III | M/s. Jehin Tile and Pipe Works | 6,070.29 ,, | 1957/20-11-78 | ,, |
| 551. | UC.II | Sri V. Ram Das G. P. of Smt. Asha Jyothi | 959.56 ,, | 1970/23-11-78 | ,, |
| 552. | ,, | Sri Sudarshan Jolly | 337.8 ,, | 1975/23-11-78 | ,, |
| 553. | ,, | Sri K. Ramachandra Rao | 505.46 ,, | 1976/23-11-78 | ,, |
| 554. | ,, | Smt. G. Andalu Devi | 862.00 ,, | 1977/23-11-78 | ,, |
| 555. | ,, | Coln. Majum Dar | 529.94 ,, | 1978/23-11-78 | ,, |
| 556. | ,, | Smt. D. Shantha Bai | 113.28 ,, | 1982/24-11-78 | ,, |
| 557. | ,, | Smt. N. Renuka Rao & Sri N. V. Rao | 5,034.00 ,, | 1983/24-11-78 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 558. | UC.II | Smt. Sanram Lakshmi Bai | 1,22,640.00 Sq.Mts. | 1984/24-11-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 559. | ,, | Sri Khaja Ahmedullah Khan | 9,205.74 ,, | 1986/24-11-78 | ,, |
| 560. | ,, | Sri K. C. Rao | 687.58 ,, | 1992/27-11-78 | ,, |
| 561. | ,, | Sri M. D. Srikrishna Hotels Ltd. | 6,878.00 ,, | 1995/28-11-78 | ,, |
| 562. | ,, | Sri N. G K. Murthy | 166.90 ,, | 2017/1-12-78 | ,, |
| 563. | ,, | Sri V. C. Laxmana Rao | 58.53 ,, | 2018/1-12-78 | ,, |
| 564. | ,, | Smt. N. Laxmi Rajyam | 338.00 ,, | 2020/1-12-78 | ,, |
| 565. | ,, | Smt. V. Sarojini | 229.00 ,, | 2021/1-12-78 | ,, |
| 566. | ,, | Sri C. R. K. Murthy | 50.34 ,, | 2022/1-12-78 | ,, |
| 567. | ,, | Sri C. Balram | 94.29 ,, | 2023/1-12-78 | ,, |
| 568. | ,, | Smt. K. Parvathi | 77.00 ,, | 2024/1-12-78 | ,, |
| 569. | ,, | Sri V. Anjaneyulu | 74.41 ,, | 2025/1-12-78 | ,, |
| 750. | ,, | Sri S. M. Pisolker | 466.02 ,, | 2026/1-12-78 | ,, |
| 571. | ,, | Sri M. Jathendra Rao | 139.64 ,, | 2027/1-12-78 | ,, |
| 572. | ,, | Sri B. Sree rama Murthy | 141.75 ,, | 2028/1-12-78 | ,, |
| 573. | ,, | Smt. Ch. Saraswathamma | 122.92 ,, | 2029/1-12-78 | ,, |
| 574. | ,, | Sri Y. S. R. Uma Devi | 168.16 ,, | 2030/1-12-78 | ,, |
| 575. | ,, | Sri Gopala Krishna | 205.19 ,, | 2031/1-12-78 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5: | 6: |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 576. | UC.II | Sri Mohd. Karimullah | 216.00 Sq.Mts. | 2032/1 12-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 577. | ,, | Sri Md. Saleem Khan | 5,154.15 ,, | 2033/1-12-78 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 578. | ,, | Sri Ameena Beegum Hyderl | 489.80 ,, | 2039/2-12-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 579. | ,, | Sri Uma Maheswara Rao | 219.30 ,, | 2046/4-12-78 | ,, |
| 580. | ,, | Sri K. N. Phodke and Smt. Y. Kotamma | 9,320.00 ,, | 2055/4-12-78 | ,, |
| 581. | ,, | Sri M. Eswarlalu and others | 40,400.00 ,, | 2057/4-12-78 | ,, |
| 582. | ,, | Lt. Col. S. M. Dutta | 128·77 ,, | 2065/6-12-78 | ,, |
| 583. | ,, | Smt. Suryakanthamma | 53.52 ,, | 2080/8-12-78 | ,, |
| 584. | ,, | Sri V. Krishna Rao | 456.50 ,, | 2081/8-12-78 | ,, |
| 585. | ,, | Smt. P. Sarojini Aruna Madhu | 146.31 ,, | 2082/8-12 78 | ,, |
| 586. | ,, | Sri N. Mani Shanker | 264.23 ,, | 2083 8-12-78 | ,, |
| 587. | ,, | Dr. C. V. N. Rao | 401.00 ,, | 2084/8-12-78 | ,, |
| 588 | ,, | Smt. Chella Chinamma | 217.82 ,, | 2085/8-12-78 | ,, |
| 589. | ,, | Sri M. Dakshina Murthy | 233.00 ,, | 2086/8-12-78 | ,, |
| 590. | ,, | Sri D. Sreenivas | 144 00 ,, | 2087/8/12-78 | ,, |
| 591. | ,, | Sri M. Narasaiah | 139.64 ,, | 2088 8-12-78 | ,, |
| 592. | ,, | Dr. Mammedrulu Folasa | 1,000.00 ,, | 2092/8-12-78 | ,, |
| 593. | ,, | Sri S. Nizammuddin Ahmed | 5,016.78 ,, | 2112/8-12-78 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 5. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 594 | UC.III | Sri Siddam Swamy, M/s. Hari OM Madhukar Structures | 23,471.74 Sq. mts | 2122/19-12-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 595. | ,, | Sri Shiva Raj | 254.19 ,, | 2145/26-12-78 | ,, |
| 596. | ,, | Sri K. Hanumantha Raju | 230 07 ,, | 2146/26-12-78 | ,, |
| 597. | ,, | Sri P. Sadananda Rao | 52·97 ,, | 2147/26-12-78 | ,, |
| 598. | ,, | Sri C. Parthasarathi | 244.91 ,, | 2148/26-12-78 | ,, |
| 599. | ,, | M/s. Sardar Soap Works | 94.00 ,, | 2149/26-12-78 | ,, |
| 600. | ,, | Sri N. Harinarayana Reddy | 314.00 ,, | 2157/28-12-78 | ,, |
| 601. | UC.III | M/s. Seventh Enterprises | 4,797.57 ,, | 2159/28-12-78 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 602. | UC.II | Mr. M. Hanid Mirza | 5,056.25 ,, | 2161/28-12-78 | ,, |
| 603. | UC.III | Indian Oxygen Ltd. | 40,465.00 ,, | 2168,28-12-78 | ,, |
| 604. | UC.II | Anit Chemical Industries | 2,104.37 ,, | 2163/28-12-78 | ,, |
| 605. | ,, | Smt. Shashizaidi Begum | 7,617.12 ,, | 2170/28-12-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 606. | ,, | Smt P. Saraswathi | 1,000.85 ,, | 2171/29-2-78 | ,, |
| 607. | ,, | Smt. N Radha Bai | 860 00 ,, | 2172/29-12-78 | ,, |
| 608. | ,, | Sri A. Babu Rao | 525.22 ,, | 2173/29-12-78 | ,, |
| 609. | ,, | Sri P. Ramachandra Rao | 60,450 28 ,, | 2176/29-12-78 | ,, |
| 610. | ,, | Smt. P. Nanda | 366.23 ,, | 2184/30 12-68 | ,, |
| 611. | UC.III | Smt. P. Kamala Devi | 20,234.30 ,, | 2185/30-12-78 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 612. | UC.III | Md. Hanid Mirza | 5,056.52 Sq.Mts. | 2161/28-12-78 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 613. | ,, | M/s. Indian Oxygen Ltd. | 40,465.00 ,, | 2162/28 12-78 | ,, |
| 614. | ,, | M/s. Anil Chemical Industries | 2,104.00 ,, | 2163/28-12-78 | 20 (1) (s) |

## 1978

### VIJAYAWADA URBAN AGGLOMERATION - 1978

### LIST OF EXEMPTIONS GRANTED UNDER SECTION 20(1)(a) AND 20(1)(b) OF THE URBAN LAND (CEILING & REGULATION) ACT, 1976 FROM 17—2—1976.

| Sl. No. | Section | Name of Exemptee | Extent Sq Mts. | G.O.Ms.No. and Date | Purpose 20(1)(a)or 20(1)(b) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
| 1. | UC.II | Sri P. N. Raghavaiah | 3032.00 Sq. Mts. | 7/3-1-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 2. | ,, | Sri C. Subrahmanyan, GPA. of Miss. Jayalakshmi Krishna, | 419.00 ,, | 36/7-1-78 | ,, |
| 3. | ,, | Sri Valuri Venkateswara Rao | 23.00 ,, | 37/7-1--78 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 5. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 4. | UC.II | M/s. Andhra Engineering Company | 162 00 Sq.Mts. | 38/7-1-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 5. | ,, | Sri Dubba Venkata Rama Rao | 60.00 ,, | 39/7-1 78 | ,, |
| 6. | ,, | Smt. Kanuri Padmini Rani | 190.00 ,, | 40/7-1-78 | ,, |
| 7. | ,, | Sri B. Narayana Murthy | 125.00 ,, | 43/7-1-78 | ,, |
| 8. | ,, | Sri V. Kamala Kumare | 244.00 ,, | 44/7-1-78 | ,, |
| 9. | ,, | Sri N. Subba Rao | 96.00 ,, | 47/7-1-78 | ,, |
| 10. | ,, | Sri B. V. Ranga Rao | 144.00 ,, | 48/7-1-78 | ,, |
| 11. | ,, | Sri P. Parasuramaiah | 34.00 ,, | 49/7-1-78 | ,, |
| 12. | ,, | Sri Ch. Nagabhushanam | 71.00 ,, | 50/7-1-78 | ,, |
| 13. | ,, | Sri R. Subbaiah | 193 00 ,, | 51/7-1-78 | ,, |
| 14. | ,, | Smt. N. Subbamma | 157.00 ,, | 52/7-1-78 | ,, |
| 15. | ,, | Smt. Ch. Anjamma | 82.74 ,, | 55/7-1-78 | ,, |
| 16. | ,, | Sri Thota Nagaiah | 119.00 ,, | 56/7-1-78 | ,, |
| 17. | ,, | Smt. V. Kamala Devi | 33.00 ,, | 57/7-1-78 | ,, |
| 18. | ,, | Smt T. Sakuntala | 167.94 ,, | 58/7-1-78 | ,, |
| 19. | ,, | Sri N. Sundara Chari | 136.00 ,, | 65/6-1-78 | ,, |
| 20. | ,, | Sri O. Rama Murthy | 98.00 ,, | 66/7-1-78 | ,, |
| 21. | ,, | Sri B. Anjaiah | 111.00 ,, | 67/7-1-78 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | | 5: | 6: |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 21. | UC.III | M/s. Damara Concerus Dhall & Oil Mills | 15970.00 | ,, | 70/7-1-78 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 23. | ,, | M/s. Standard Industrial Engineering Co. | 5155.00 | ,, | 90/7-1-78 | ,, |
| 24. | UC.II | Smt. N. Seetharavamma | 4.00 | ,, | 184/21-1-78. | 20 (1) (b) |
| 25. | ,, | M/s. SurShine Metal Works | 172.00 | ,, | 185/21-1-78 | ,, |
| 26. | ,, | Sri B. L. Narayana | 116.00 | ,, | 186/21-1-78 | ,, |
| 27. | ,, | Sri N. Venkateswarlu | 20.36 | ,, | 191/21-1-78 | ,, |
| 28. | ,, | Sri Ch. Musalava | 170.00 | ,, | 192/21-1-78 | ,, |
| 29. | ,, | Sri Thota Subba Rao | 78.00 | ,, | 193/21-1-78 | ,, |
| 30. | ,, | Sri Shaik Hyder | 116.00 | ,, | 194/21-1-78 | ,, |
| 31. | ,, | Sri N. R. Mohan Reddy | 2649.00 | ,, | 213/25-1-78 | ,, |
| 32. | ,, | Sri K. Venkatappaiah | 159 00 | ,, | 222/28-178 | ,, |
| 33. | ,, | Sri S. Seetharamaiah | 210i00 | ,, | 366/16-2-78 | ,, |
| 34. | ,, | Sri B. Radhakrishna Murthy | 105.00 | ,, | 367/16-2-78 | ,, |
| 35. | ,, | Smt. Bojja Jamuna Rana | 11 89 | ,, | 377/16-2-78 | ,, |
| 36. | ', | Sri Yereneni Sree Ramachandra Rao | 198.00 | ,, | 378/16-2-78 | ,, |
| 37. | ,, | Sri Ch Sarveswara Rao | 88.00 | ,, | 379/16-2-78 | ,, |
| 39. | ,, | Sri Seelam Kotilingam | 173.00 | ,, | 443/4-3-78 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 40. | UC.II | Sri P. Sriramachandra Rao | 137.00 Sq.Mts. | 445/4-3-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 41. | ,, | Sri K. Pulleswara Rao | 119.00 ,, | 446/4-3-78 | ,, |
| 42. | ,, | Sri Chigurupati Gopala Rao | 69.8 ,, | 448/4-3-78 | ,, |
| 43. | ,, | Sri T. Kodanda Rao | 172.00 ,, | 449/4-3-78 | ,, |
| 44. | ,, | Sri K. Raghunadha Rao | 214.00 ,, | 452/6-3-78 | ,, |
| 45 | ,, | Sri M. Venkateswara Rao | 214.00 ,, | 453/6-3-78 | ,, |
| 46. | ,, | Sri J. Subba Rao | 172.00 ,, | 454/6-3 78 | ,, |
| 47. | ,, | Sri D. Janardhana Rao | 38.00 ,, | 456/6-3-78 | ,, |
| 48. | ,, | Sri Boda Venkateswara Rao | 12100 ,, | 552/21-3-78 | ,, |
| 49. | ,, | Sri K. Arjuna Rao | 312.00 ,, | 553/21-3-78 | ,, |
| 50. | ,, | Sri B. Radhakrishna Patro | 19.09 ,, | 618/7-4-78 | ,, |
| 51. | ,, | Sri V. Padmachandra Rao | 150.00 ,, | 619/7-4-78 | ,, |
| 52. | ,, | Sri K. Pitchaiah | 200.00 ,, | 676/19-4-78 | ,, |
| 53. | ,, | Sri Ch. Nageswara Rao | 103.00 ,, | 677/19-4-78 | ,, |
| 54. | ,, | Smt. A.V. Ratnam | 119.00 ,, | 760/1-5-78 | ,, |
| 55. | ,, | Sri G. Gopala Krishna Murthy | 218 82 ,, | 761/1-5-78 | ,, |
| 56. | UC.III | M/s. Andhra Electrical | 1672.24 ,, | 798/11-5-78 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 57. | ,, | M/s. Esmos Rubber Andhra (P) Ltd. | 13888.00 ,, | 799/11-5-78 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 58 | UC.II | Sri J. Satyanarayana | 358.00 Sq.Mts. | 852/23-5-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 59. | ,, | Sri Ch. Rama Rao | 245.53 ,, | 857/23-5 78 | ,, |
| 60. | ,, | Sri K. Venkata Appala Raju | 51.00 ,, | 991/9-6-78 | ,, |
| 61. | ,, | Sri M. Chowdayya | 129.25 ,, | 993/9-6-78 | ,, |
| 62. | ,, | Sri M. Eswara Koteswara Rao | 22.00 ,, | 994/9-6-78 | ,, |
| 63. | ,, | Sri Ch. Mallikarjuna Rao | 244.00 ,, | 995/9-6-78 | ,, |
| 64. | ,, | Sri Ch. Kanakaiah | 143.00 ,, | 1042/15-6-78 | ,, |
| 65. | ,, | Sri P. Subbanna | 120.00 ,, | 1043/15-6-78 | ,, |
| 66. | ,, | Sri T Krishna Prakasa Rao | 56.26 ,, | 1139/4-7-78 | ,, |
| 67. | ,, | Sri Y. Koteswara Rao | 24.00 ,, | 1253/20 7-78 | ,, |
| 68 | ,, | Officlal Liquidator, High Court of Ac. A P. | 0.37 ,, | 1330-29-7-78 | ,, |
| 69. | ,, | Sri N. Narayana Rao | 149.07 ,, | 1483/13-9-78 | ,, |
| 70. | ,, | Sri M. China Koti Reddy | 199.00 ,, | 1484/13-9-78 | ,, |
| 71. | ,, | Sri M. Hanumantha Rao | 143 00 ,, | 1509/15-9-78 | ,, |
| 72. | ,, | Sri K. Nageswara Rao | 225.11 ,, | 1519/18-9-78 | ,, |
| 73. | ,, | Sri B Venkata Rama Sarma | 177.00 ,, | 1522/18-9-78 | ,, |
| 74 | ,, | Sri G. Satyanarayana Reddy | 67.00 ,, | 1523/18-9-78 | ,, |
| 75. | ,, | Sri S. Venkata Subba Rao | 88.00 ,, | 1524/18-9-78 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 76. | UC.II | Sri K. Punna Reddy | 140.99 Sq. Mts. | 1526/18-9-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 77. | ,, | Sri V. Suryanarayana | 161.00 ,, | 1528/18-9-78 | ,, |
| 78. | ,, | Sri Kora Adinarayana Murthy | 9.00 ,, | 1539/18-9-78 | ,, |
| 79. | ,, | Sri N. Raghava Rao | 82.27 ,, | 1574/23-9-78 | ,, |
| 80. | ,, | Sri V. Vema Reddy | 197.00 ,, | 1575/23-9-78 | ,, |
| 81. | UC.III | M/s. Sivananda Industries | 2217.54 ,, | 1581/23-9-78 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 82. | ,, | M/s. Vijaya Casting Works | 1672.24 ,, | 1588/25-9-78 | ,, |
| 83. | ,, | M/s. Srl Saibaba Casting Works | 3173.95 ,, | 1602/25-9-78 | ,, |
| 84. | UC.II | Sri Thammana Venkateswara Rao | 358.00 ,, | 1647/30-9-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 85. | ,, | Sri Cherukuri Venkata Nageswara Rao | Ac. 2.40 | 1673/30-9-78 | ,, |
| 86. | ,, | Sri G. Satyanarayana | 171.00 ,, | 1747/17-10-78 | ,, |
| 87. | UC.III | M/s. A. Praba (P) Ltd. | 8393.00 ,, | 1751/17-10-78 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 88. | ,, | M/s. Devi Dhall & Flour Mills | 5705.88 ,, | 1752/17/10-78 | ,, |
| 89. | UC.II | Sri P. Venkateswara Rao | Ac. 1.06 | 1803/25-10-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 90. | ,, | Sri Venkata Subrahmanya Sastry | 66.50 ,, | 1899/9-11-78 | ,, |
| 91. | ,, | Sri M. Kata Ratnam | 313.00 ,, | 1973/23-11-78 | ,, |
| 92. | ,, | Sri K. Ananda Rao | 195.00 ,, | 1981/24-11-78 | ,, |
| 93. | ,, | Sri Ch. V. Poorna Chandra Rao | 23,695.00 ,, | 2008/29-11-78 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 94. | UC.II | Sri S. Rama Krishna Sarma | 196.00 Sq. Mts. | 2052/ 4-12-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 95. | ,, | Sri Siva Rama Krishna | 54.00 ,, | 2054/ 4-12-78 | ,, |
| 96. | ,, | Sri Valluri Venkateswara Rao & 2 Others | Ac. 11.29 | 2174/29-12-78 | ,, |

## 1978

## VISAKHAPATNAM URBAN AGGLOMERATION - 1978

## LIST OF EXEMPTIONS GRANTED UNDER SECTION 20(1) (a) AND 20 (1) (b) OF THE URBAN LAND (CEILING & REGULATION) ACT, 1976 FROM 17—2—1976.

| Sl. No. | Section | Name of Exemptee | Extent in Sq Mts. | G.O.Ms.No. and Date | Purpose 20(1)(a)or 20(1)(b) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
| 1. | UC.II | Smt. Rokhia Bhi | 311.00 Sq. Mts. | 42/7-1-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 2. | ,, | Sri Kedarisetti Kannayyasetty | 493.00 ,, | 46/7-1-78 | ,, |
| 3. | ,, | R. Lakshminarayana Rao | 309.00 ,, | 54/7-1-78 | ,, |
| 4. | ,, | Sri Y. China Jetty | 301.00 ,, | 68/7-1-78 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 5. | UC.III | Smt. Rani Kamala Devi | 1412.00 Sq. Mts. | 110/17-1-78 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 6. | ,, | M/s. Hotel Uplands (P) Ltd. | 15317.00 ,, | 165/20-1-78 | ,, |
| 7. | UC.II | Smt. U. Tayaramma | 361.00 ,, | 220/28-1-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 8. | UC.III | M/s. Ushodaya Publications (P) Ltd. | 9200.00 ,, | 425/ 1-3-78 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 9. | UC.II | Smt. Vepa Lakshmi | 281.00 ,, | 455/ 6-3-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 10. | ,, | Smt. B. Prabhavatamma | 133.00 ,, | 457/ 6-3-78 | ,, |
| 11. | ,, | Sri Palli Sanni Babu | 77.00 ,, | 458/ 6-3-78 | ,, |
| 12. | ,, | Sri B. Sura Prakash | 230.32 ,, | 479/ 8-3-78 | ,, |
| 13. | ,, | Sri I. Satyanarayana Raju | 21.00 ,, | 489/10-3-78 | ,, |
| 14. | ,, | Sri M. Mohan | 249.00 ,, | 490/10-3-78 | ,, |
| 15. | ,, | Sri G. S. Prakasa Rao | 362.00 ,, | 491/10-3-78 | ,, |
| 16. | ,, | Sri Sudhakara Rao | 40.00 ,, | 500/13-3-78 | ,, |
| 17. | ,, | Sri D. Krishnam Raju | 30.00 ,, | 512/15-3-78 | ,, |
| 18. | ,, | Smt. Varni Ramayamma | 230.00 ,, | 513/15-3-78 | ,, |
| 19. | ,, | Sri T. Venkata Raju | 224.00 ,, | 514/15 3-78 | ,, |
| 20. | ,, | Sri Bora Yallayya | 201.00 ,, | 515/15-3-78 | ,, |
| 21. | ,, | Sri B. Venkata Rao | 246.00 ,, | 516/15-3-78 | ,, |
| 22. | ,, | Sri B. Appa Rao | 215.00 ,, | 517/15-3-78 | ,, |

| I. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 23. | UC.II | Sri B. Venkata Swamy | 236.00 Sq. Mts. | 518/15-3-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 24. | ,, | Sri A. Veera Nuidu | 18.00 ,, | 519/15-3-78 | ,, |
| 25. | ,, | Sri N. Appalaswamy | 240.00 ,, | 520/15-3-78 | ,, |
| 26. | ,, | Sri B. Appa Rao | 81.00 ,, | 521/15-3-78 | ,, |
| 27. | ,, | Sri B. Narsing Rao | 233.00 ,, | 523/15-3-78 | ,, |
| 28. | ,, | Sri P. Rama Mahana Rao | 129.00 ,, | 524/15-3-78 | ,, |
| 29. | ,, | Sri Bora Appa Rao & 3 others | 986.00 ,, | 543/18-3-78 | ,, |
| 30. | ,, | Sri Y. Appa Rao | 172.00 ,, | 554/21-3-78 | ,, |
| 31. | ,, | Sri Nilapchand Jain | 203.00 ,, | 605/ 7-4-78 | ,, |
| 32. | ,, | Sri Routhu Vasudeva Rao | 71.00 ,, | 621/ 7-4-78 | ,, |
| 33. | ,, | Sri B. Rajanna | 56.00 ,, | 674/19-4-78 | ,, |
| 34. | ,, | Sri G. Sree Ramulu | 139.00 ,, | 675/19-4-78 | ,, |
| 35. | ,, | Dr. Jayanthi Krishna Murthy | 143.00 ,, | 678/19-4-78 | ,, |
| 36. | UC.III | M/s. Bombay Foods (P) Ltd. | 3253.06 ,, | 801/11-5-78 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 37. | ,, | M/s. Theatre Sukhamanji | 2719 00 ,, | 836/19-5-78 | ,, |
| 38. | ,, | M/s. Sri Radha Krishna Vihar | 2307.01 ,, | 837/19-5-78 | ,, |
| 39. | UC.II | The Optical Society | 451.00 ,, | 853/23-5-78 | ,, |
| 40. | ,. | Sri P. Urabanna | 164.00 ,, | 856/23-5-78 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 41. | UC.II | Sri M. Kodanda Ramaiah | 2530 Sq. Yds. | 897/26-5-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 42. | UC.III | M/s. Sreenivasa Seafoods (P) Ltd. | 14771.00 Sq. Mts. | 918/29-5-78 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 43. | ,, | Sri M. Seethsrama Swamy Prop. of Varalakshmi Cinema | 2655.00 ,, | 931/30-5-78 | ,, |
| 44. | UC.II | Sri Dasari Sanyasayya Sethi | 135.00 ,, | 1193/ 7-7-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 45. | ,, | Sri N. Venkata Rao | 203.00 ,, | 1256,20-7-78 | ,, |
| 46. | ,, | Smt. E. Atchayyamma | 332.00 ,, | 1307/27-7-78 | ,, |
| 47. | ,, | Sri Atchi Appala Swamy Reddy | 150.00 ,, | 1475/11-9-78 | ,, |
| 48. | ,, | Smt. Dasari Suryakantham | 398.00 ,, | 1476/12-9-78 | ,, |
| 49. | ,, | Sri S. Appala Raju | 494.00 ,, | 1487/13-9-78 | ,, |
| 50. | ,, | Sri Venkateswara Marble | 242.00 ,, | 1506/15-9-78 | ,, |
| 51. | ,, | Sri V. Lakshminarayan | 34.00 ,, | 1507/15-9-78 | ,, |
| 52. | ,, | Sri A. Appala Swamy Reddy | 145.00 ,, | 1508/15-9-78 | ,, |
| 53. | ,, | Sri B. Appanna | 218.00 ,, | 1515/15-9-78 | , |
| 54. | UC.III | M/s. Fabrico | 8803.74 ,, | 1594/25-9 78 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 55. | UC.II | Sri Molleti Appa Rao | 500.00 ,, | 1653/30-9-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 56. | ,, | Sri A. Radhakrishna Murthy | 556.00 ,, | 1672/30-9-78 | ,, |
| 57. | UC.III | M/s. Khaitan Minerals Development Corporation (P) Ltd, | 2245.00 ,, | 1693/6-10-78 | 20 (1) (a) |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 58. | UC.II | Sri N. Somi Reddy | 523.00 Sq. Mts. | 1745/17-10-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 59. | UC.III | M/s. Ravi Hotels (P) Ltd. | 8518.00 ,, | 1753/17 10-78 | ,, |
| 60. | ,, | Smt. Durga Bai | 349.00 ,, | 1860/ 6-11-78 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 61. | UC.II | Sri D. Rajanna Reddy | 448.00 ,, | 1886/ 7-11-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 62. | ,, | Sri J. Rajulu | 422.00 ,, | 1898/ 9-11-78 | ,, |
| 63. | ,, | Sri M. Suder Rao | 72.00 ,, | 1900/ 9-11-78 | ,, |
| 64. | ,, | Sri N. Narasimhulu | 246·00 ,, | 1902/ 9-11-78 | ,, |
| 65. | ,, | Sri P. Appala Swamy | 46.00 ,, | 1958/20-11-78 | ,, |
| 66. | ,, | Sri K. Veerabhadra Rao | 221.00 ,, | 1959/20-11-78 | ,, |
| 67. | ,, | Sri D. Appala Naidu & 2 Others | 1175.00 ,, | 1974/23-11-78 | ,, |
| 68. | UC.III | Dr. C. Dharma Rao | 1305.00 ,, | 1989/25-11--78 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 69. | UC.II | Sri Chanali Sen Rao | 100.00 ,, | 2053/ 4-12 78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 70. | ,, | Sri Dungi Gurunadha Rao | 349.00 ,, | 2060/ 5-12-78 | ,, |
| 71. | ,, | Sri D. Seshagiri Reddy | 350.00 ,, | 2089/ 8-12-78 | ,, |
| 72. | ,, | Sri Dungi Appa Rao | 350.00 ,, | 2090/ 8-12-78 | ,, |
| 73. | ,, | Sri Bora Appala Reddy | 396.00 ,, | 2103/14-12-78 | ,, |
| 74. | ,, | Sri Peethala Appanna | 218.00 ,, | 2104/14-12-78 | ,, |

## 1978

### GUNTUR URBAN AGGLOMERATION - 1978

### LIST OF EXEMPTIONS GRANTED UNDER SECTION 20(1) (a) AND 20 (1) (b) OF THE URBAN LAND (CEILING & REGULATION) ACT, 1976 FROM 17—2—1976.

| Sl. No. | Section | Name of Exemptee | Extent in Sq Mts. | G.O.Ms.No. and Date | Purpose 20(1) (a) or 20(1)(b) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
| 75. | UC.II | Sri P. Shayama Rao | 19.00 Sq. Mts. | 2131/22-12-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 76. | UC.III | M/s. Coromandal Cartons & Containers (P) Ltd. | 4714.30 ,, | 2158/28-12-78 | ,, |
| 1. | UC.II | Sri K. Suryanayana | 23.00 Sq. Mts. | 10/3-1-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 2. | ,, | Sri S. V. Brahmachari | 1853.19 ,, | 26/6-1-78 | ,, |
| 3. | ,, | Sri K. Lakshminarayana | 23 00 ,, | 41/7-1-78 | ,, |
| 4. | ,, | Sri Y. Rattaiah | 138.06 ,, | 53/7-1-78 | ,, |
| 5. | ,, | Sri Ch. Sreeramulu | 50.33 ,, | 60/7-1-78 | ,, |
| 6. | ,, | Smt. Katta Subbayamma | 225.00 ,, | 62/7-1-78 | ,, |
| 7. | ,, | Sri Chintla Veeraiah | 50.33 ,, | 63/7-1-78 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 8. | UC.II | Smt. M. Mastanamm | 23.00 Sq. Mts. | 64/7-1-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 9. | ,, | Sri Raja Gopala Rao | 68.23 ,, | 501/13-3-78 | ,, |
| 10. | ,, | Smt. Adapa | 282.90 ,, | 996/13-3-78 | ,, |
| 11. | ,, | Sri Adapa Radhakrishna Murthy | 282.90 ,, | 997/ 9-6-78 | ,, |
| 12. | ,, | Sri A. Venkateswarlu | 390.87 ,, | 1520/18-9-78 | ,. |
| 13. | ,, | Sri A. Koteswara Rao | 402.69 ,, | 1521/18-9-78 | ,, |
| 14. | ,. | Sri G. Venkata Subba Rao | 182.26 ,, | 1525/18-9-78 | ,, |
| 15. | ,, | Sri A. Nagaiah | 450.10 ,, | 1527/18-9-78 | ., |
| 16. | ,, | Smt. M. Satyanarayanamma | 479.85 ,, | 1529/18-9-78 | ,, |
| 17. | ,, | Sri Ch. Ramakrishna Murthy | 300.97 ,, | 1530/18-9-78 | ,, |
| 18. | UC.III | M/s. K. C. P. Ltd. | 449.00 ,, | 1593/15-9-78 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 19. | ,, | M/s. The Vijaya Durga Cotton Trading Ltd. | 14042.64 ,, | 1678/4-10-78 | ,, |
| 20. | UC.II | Sri R. Venkaiah (Donation for Construction of School Building) | Ac. 1.08 Cts. | 1985/24-11-78 | ,, |
| 21. | ,, | Sri S. Ramakrishna Sarma | 23.00 Sq. Mts. | 2051/4-12-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 22. | ,, | Sri B. Rama Rao | 349.75 ,, | 2105/14-12-78 | ,, |
| 23. | UC.III | Ramakrishna Timber Depot | 4282.00 ,, | 2164/28-12-78 | 20 (1) (a) |

# 1978

## WARANGAL URBAN AGGLOMERATION - 1978

## LIST OF EXEMPTIONS GRANTED UNDER SECTION 20(1) (a) AND 20 (1) (b) OF THE URBAN LAND (CEILING & REGULATION) ACT, 1976 FROM 17—2—1976.

| Sl. No. | Section | Name of Exemptee | Extent in Sq Mts. | G.O.Ms.No. and Date | Purpose 20(1) (a) or 20(1)(b) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
| 1. | UC.II | Sri M. Komaraiah | 72.00 Sq.Mts. | 59/7-1-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 2. | ,, | Sri R. Durgaiah | 146.00 ,, | 61/7-1-78 | ,, |
| 3. | ,, | Sri Gaddala Ilaiah | 28.00 ,, | 173/21-1-78 | ,, |
| 4. | ,, | Sri Voddepalii Rajaiah | 139.00 ,, | 182/21-1-78 | ,, |
| 5. | ,, | Sri V. Venkataiah | 138.00 ,, | 183/21-1-78 | ,, |
| 6. | ,, | Sri Tula Balaraju | 139.00 ,, | 187/21-1-78 | ,, |
| 7. | ,, | Sri Y. Krishna Murthy | 159.00 ,, | 188/21-1-78 | ,, |
| 8. | ,, | Sri Voddepalli Narasaiah | 138.00 ,, | 189/21-1-78 | ,, |

re : Allegations made against C. M.

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 9. | ,, | Sri Voddepalli Veeraswamy | 138.00 Sq.Mts | 190/21-1-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 10. | ,, | Sri P. Komaraiah | 180.00 ,, | 221/28-1-78 | ,, |
| 11. | ,, | Sri G. Ratnam | 145.00 ,, | 223/28-1-78 | ,, |
| 12. | ,, | Sri N. Laxman Rao | 10.00 ,, | 227/28-1-78 | ,, |
| 13. | ,, | Sri P. Narasaiah | 159.00 ,, | 244/28-1-78 | ,, |
| 14. | ,, | Sri Akrepalli Pochaloo | 26.00 ,, | 312/8-2-78 | ,, |
| 15. | ,, | Sri N. Lakshmaiah | 178.00 ,, | 380/16-2-78 | ,, |
| 16 | ,, | Sri Sanpathi Balamalli | 72.00 ,, | 444/4-3-78 | ,, |
| 17. | ,, | Sri Koti Kommaiah | 197.00 ,, | 447/4-3-78 | ,, |
| 18. | ,, | Sri M. Kishtaiah | 150.00 ,, | 450/4-3-78 | ,, |
| 19. | ,, | Sri P. Sankaraiah | 46.00 ,, | 451/6-3-78 | ,, |
| 20. | ,, | Sri K. Rameswaram | 123.00 ,, | 498/13-3-78 | ,, |
| 21. | ,, | Sri K. Yellaiah | 103.00 ,, | 499/13-3-78 | ,, |
| 22. | ,, | Sri G. Peda Nenkataratnam | 142.00 ,, | 850/23-5-78 | ,, |
| 23. | ,, | Sri G, Vidvasagar | 141.00 ,, | 851/23-5-78 | ,, |
| 24. | ,, | Smt. P. Rajamma | 123.00 , | 854/23-5-78 | ,, |
| 25. | ,, | Sri G. V. Narasimha Reddy | 197.00 ,, | 990/9-6-78 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 26. | ,, | Smt. V Vijayalakshmi | 246.00 | ,, | 992 9-6-78 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 27. | ,, | Sri P. Narasimha Rao | 229.00 | ,, | 1538/18-9-78 | ,, |
| 28. | UC.III | M/s. Pinganti Industries Ltd. | 32152.40 | ,, | 1599/26-9-78 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 29. | ,, | Sri Krishna Murthy | 3726.00 | ,, | 1794/24-10 78 | ,, |
| 30. | ,, | M/s. Pingali Industries to sell to M/s. Sri Lakshmi Rice & Oil Mills | 35152.40 | ,, | 1801/25-10--78 | ,, |
| 31. | ,, | Smt. Indira Bai | 3708.00 | ,, | 1972/23 11-78 | ,, |

## 1979

## HYDERABAD URBAN AGGLOMERATION - 1979

## LIST OF EXEMPTIONS GRANTED UNDER SECTION 20(1) (a) AND 20 (1) (b) OF THE URBAN LAND (CEILING & REGULATION) ACT, 1976 FROM 17—2—1976.

| Sl. No. | Section | Name of Exemptee | Extent in Sq Mts. | G.O.Ms.No. and Date | Purpose 20(1) (a) or 20(1)(b) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
| 1. | UC.II | Sri Nawab Mander Khan, Sri Mohd. Natwar Khan and Sri Khanna Katoon | 1) Acs. 11.01<br>2) 12000.00 | 2/1-1-79 | 20 (1) (b) |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 5. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 2. | UC.II | Smt. Admi Andalamma | 1023.38 Sq.Mts. | 7/2-1-79 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 3. | ,, | Speader. Lt. N. Ramesh, IAF (Retd.) and 2 others | 8388.96 ,, | 26/4-1-79 | ,, |
| 4. | ,, | Sri D. V.Sabba Sastry and 2 others | 919.20 ,, | 33/8-1-79 | ,, |
| 5. | ,, | Sri U. S. Lakshmaiah | 27716.20 ,, | 39/10-1 79 | ,, |
| 6. | ', | Sri M. M. Ali Baig | 6335 41 ,, | 40/10-1-79 | ,, |
| 7. | UC.III | M's. Hyderabaq Polymers (P) Ltd. | 4738.00 ,, | 42/10-1-79 | ,, |
| 8. | ,, | M/s Warner Hiudustan Ltd. | 146421.00 ,, | 44/10-1-79 | ,, |
| 9. | UC.II | Sri K. Kaleppa | 568.55 ,, | 57/11-1-79 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 10. | ,, | Estate of late Navab Fakrut Mulk. | Acs. 17.20 Gts ,, | 61/11-1-79 | ,, |
| 11. | ,, | Sri M. S. B. Prasad | 407.18 ,, | 66/15-1-79 | ,, |
| 12. | ,, | Sri E. Muttulsa | 587.00 ,, | 67/15-1-79 | ,, |
| 13. | ,, | Sri Jagadish Prasad | 497.90 ,, | 68/16-1-79 | ,- |
| 14 | ,, | Sri M. Damodar | 497.91 ,, | 69/16-1-79 | ,, |
| 15. | ,, | Sri Harischadra Prasad | 497.91 ,, | 70/16-1-79 | ,, |
| 16. | ,, | Sri Ramakrishna Prasad | 479.90 ,, | 71/16-1-79 | ,, |
| 17. | ,, | Sri N. M. Chowdary | 586.97 ,, | 72/16-1-79 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 18. | ,, | Sri G. Rajendra Prasad | 271·09 ,, | 73/16.1.79 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 19. | ,, | Smt. P. A. Joshie | 1449.03 ,, | 75/16 1.79 | ,, |
| 20. | ,, | Sri K. V. Subbaiah | 81.00 ,, | 76/16-1-79 | ,, |
| 21. | ,, | Sri K. Veeresha Lingam | 66.90 ,, | 77/16-1 79 | ,, |
| 22. | ,, | Smt. K. Kameswari | 337 40 ,, | 79/16.1-79 | ,, |
| 23. | ,, | Sri M. Dattatreyulu | 17.45 ,, | 81/16 1-79 | ,, |
| 24 | ,, | Sri A. Muvali Mohan Rao | 45.15 ,, | 82/16-1.79 | ,, |
| 25. | ,, | Sri S. Venkatappaiah | 103.80 ,, | 83 16-1-79 | ,, |
| 26 | ,, | Sri J. S. R. Mohandas | 135 44 ,, | 84/16-1-79 | ,, |
| 27. | ,, | Sri G. V. Rama Rao | 36.77 ,, | 85/16-1 79 | ,, |
| 28. | ,, | Sri Syed Tajuddin | 91.55 ,, | 86/16-1-79 | ,, |
| 29. | ,, | Smt. K. Fatima | 127.00 ,, | 87/16-1-79 | ,, |
| 30. | ,, | Sri K. V. Krishna Rao | 128,77 ,, | 88/16-1-79 | ,, |
| 31. | ,, | Smt. Sreelatha | 1058 40 ,, | 97/16-1-79 | ,, |
| 32. | ,, | Smt. Radha Bai | 545.15 ,, | 98/17-1-79 | ,, |
| 33. | ,, | Sri K. C. Mehta | 57.36 ,, | 99/17-1-79 | ,, |
| 34. | ,, | Sri V. D. Mehta | 57.35 ,, | 100/17-1-79 | ,, |
| 35. | ,, | Sri Subhas Chebildas | 57.36 ,, | 101/17-1-79 | ,, |

Statement placed on the Table by Chief Minister- [illegible] December 1979

re : Allegations made against C. M.

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 36. | UC.II | Smt. B. Sarojini | 395 62 Sq.Mts | 102/17-1-79 | ,, |
| 37. | ,, | Sri Kisti Chebildas Mehta | 57 63 ,, | 103/17-1-79 | ,, |
| 38. | ,, | Sri N. V. Rama Rao | 536.79 ,, | 104/17-7-79 | ,, |
| 39. | ,, | Smt. R. R. Chandaer | 168.90 ,, | 105/17-1-79 | ,, |
| 40. | ,, | Sri M. Ganapathi Rao | 1069.06 ,, | 106/17-1-79 | ,, |
| 41. | ,, | Sri D. V. Veerabhadra Rao | 204.03 ,, | 107/171--79 | ,, |
| 42. | ,, | Sri P. C. Ramachandran | 412.00 ,, | 108/17-1-79 | ,, |
| 43. | ,, | Sri S. N. Khan | 321.00 ,, | 109/17-1-79 | ,, |
| 44. | ,, | Smt. Bodi Radha | 298.45 ,, | 110/17-1-79 | ,, |
| 45. | ,, | Sri K. S. Prakash | 142.15 ,, | 111/17-1-79 | ,, |
| 46. | ,, | Smt. G. Sarojini Devi | 89.45 ,, | 112/17-1-79 | ,, |
| 47. | ,, | Sri Masood Rahmatullah | 672.00 ,, | 120/17-1-79 | ,, |
| 48. | ,, | Sri Syed Musrad Hassain | 500·00 , | 121/17-1-79 | ,, |
| 49. | ,, | Smt. K. L Prasumamba | 353.00 ,, | 125/19-1-79 | ,, |
| 50. | ,, | Sri T. Satyanaryana Murthy | 250.83 ,, | 126/19-1-79 | ,, |
| 51. | ,, | Sri K. Shobana Charyulu | 350.90 ,, | 127/19-(-79 | ,, |
| 52. | ,, | Sri A. Shankarlal | 94.50 ,, | 128/19-1 79 | ,, |
| 53. | ,, | Sri Ranjit Kishore | 87.13 ,, | 129/19-1-79 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 54. | UC.II | Sri R. Jaikishan | 87.13 ,, | 130/19-1-79 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 55. | ,, | Sri Dilip Kishan | 87.13 ,, | 131/19-1-79 | ,, |
| 56. | ,, | Sri G. S. Henry | 93.00 ,, | 133/19-1-79 | ,, |
| 57. | ,, | Dr P. Rama Rao | 785-12 ,, | 145/33-1-79 | ,, |
| 58 | ,, | Sri P. S. Chinoy | 8466.00 ,, | 154/24-1-79 | ,, |
| 59. | UC.II | Sri G. K. Reddy | 2023.00 ,, | 155/24-1-79 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 60. | ,, | Sri M/s. Electro Therms (P) Ltd. | 7790.00 ,, | 163/25-1-79 | ,, |
| 61. | ,, | M/s. Lokapavani Chemicals | 4127.94 ,, | 164/25-1-79 | ,, |
| 62. | UC.II | Sarve Sri L. S P. Natarajan and L. S. P. Nagarajan | 956.54 ,, | 168/25-1--79 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 63. | ,, | Sri M. A. Jabbar | 13378.00 ,, | 179/27-179 | ,, |
| 64. | ,, | Sri P. V. Subba Raja | Acs. 4-Gts. | 180/27-1-79 | ,, |
| 65. | ,, | Smt. Rani Kumidini Devi and others | 9058.00 ,, | 181/27-1-79 | ,, |
| 66. | ,, | Accountant General's Office Co-operati7e Society | Acs. 20.00 | 194/30-1-79 | ,, |
| 67. | ,, | Smt. I. V. Begum | 2227.08 ,, | 196/30-1-79 | ,, |
| 68 | ,, | Sri I. Koti Reddy and others | 25698.00 ,, | 197/30-1-79 | ,, |
| 69. | ,, | Sri M. D. Chinoy | | 198/30-1-79 | ,, |

| I. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 70. | UC.III | M/s. Jahangir Tile & Pipe Works | 6070 29 Sq.Mts. | 199/31-1-79 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 71. | CC.II | Smt. G. V. Rama Devi and others | 1344.00 ,, | 202/1-2-79 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 72. | ,, | Sri D. Venkateswarlu | 676.95 ,, | 226/3-2-79 | ,, |
| 73. | ,, | Mrs. Zehra Alambander | 10229.00 ,, | 245/7-2 79 | ,, |
| 74 | ,, | Kum. Anjali Rao | 47.32 ,, | 247/7-2-79 | ,, |
| 75. | ,, | Sri Syed Azar | 520.00 ,, | 248/7-2-79 | ,, |
| 76. | ,, | Sri G. Sriradha Rao | 153.01 ,, | 249/7-2-79 | ,, |
| 77. | ,, | Sri Dr. R. Thihar | 208.19 ,, | 250/7-2-79 | ,, |
| 78. | ,, | Sri Y. B. Tata Rao | 609.62 ,, | 254,9-2-79 | ,, |
| 79. | ,, | Dr. I. S. Murthy | 485.01 ,, | 255/9-2-79 | ,, |
| 80. | ,, | Sri D. Satyanarayana | 338.75 ,, | 256/9-2-78 | ,, |
| 81. | ,, | Sri Mohd. Shamsuddin | 841.00 ,, | 264/9-2-79 | ,, |
| 82. | ,, | Sri T. Krishna Murthy | 1214.90 ,, | 265/9-2-79 | ,, |
| 83. | ,, | Sri K. Mallikhajuna Rao | 689.45 ,, | 266/9-2-79 | ,, |
| 84. | ,, | Smt. J. H. Suseela | 553.49 ,, | 267/9-2-79 | ,, |
| 85. | ,, | Mohd.Doulat Khan and others | 11831.00 ,, | 268/9-2-79 | ,, |
| 86. | ,, | Smt Iqbal Unnisa Begum | 23412.00 ,, | 269/9-2-79 | ,, |
| 87. | ,, | Mohd. Afjal Khan | 4782.15 ,, | 275/9-2-79 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 5. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 88. | UC.II | Laddy Bottom Club | 9900.00 Sq. Mts. | 276/9-2-79 | U/s. 19(1) |
| 89. | ,, | Zoests Club | 2439. ,, | 277/9-2 79 | ,, |
| 90. | UC.III | M/s. S. K. Textiles Industries | 8093.72 ,, | 298/14-2-79 | 30 (1) (a) |
| 91. | UC.II | Sri A. Nagamalleswara Rao and others | 10619.00 ,, | 299/14-2-79 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 92. | ,, | Sr. C Kondapi | 3344.00 ,, | 306/15-2-79 | ,, |
| 93. | ,, | Sri K. Gopala Chowdary | 2288.00 ,, | 307/15-2-79 | ,, |
| 94. | ,, | Sri P. Venkatarama Reddy | 100.92 ,, | 309/17-2-79 | ,, |
| 95. | UC III | M/s. Varma Enterprises | 1440.00 ,, | 312/17-2 79 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 96. | ,, | M/s. Precision Heat Treaters and Anylysor's | 1858.04 ,, | 317/19-2-79 | ,, |
| 97. | ,, | Sri C. V. Sitarama swamy | 628.32 ,, | 318/19-2-79 | ,, |
| 98. | ,, | Sri K. Arjuna Reddy | 29626.65 ,, | 319/19-2-79 | ,, |
| 99. | UC.II | Sri S. Kher Singh | 521.73 ,, | 320/19-2-79 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 100. | ,, | Sr, Mahender Gupta | 4557.00 ,, | 321/19-2-79 | ,, |
| 101 | ,, | Sri Ghanshaymdas J. Shah | 1910 ,, | 322/19-2-79 | ,, |
| 102. | ,, | Dr. M. K. Ramanayya | 959.52 ,, | 323/19-2-79 | ,, |
| 103. | ,, | Sri P. Marri Reddy | 426.52 ,, | 330/19-2-79 | ,, |
| 104. | ,, | Sri O. P. Jafar | 206.06 ,, | 331/19-2-79 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5: | 6: |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 105. | UC.II | Sri B. Narayana Murthy | 332.94 Sq.Mts. | 332/19-2-79 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 106. | ,, | Sri A. Rajamallaiah | 462.00 ,, | 333/19-2-79 | ,, |
| 107. | ,, | Sri S. R. Kailas | 484.95 ,, | 334/19-2-79 | ,, |
| 108. | ,, | Sri B. Krishna Murthy Raju | 222.96 ,, | 335/19-2 79 | ,, |
| 109. | UC.III | M/s. D. M. S. Seuw | 3562.00 ,, | 340/21-2-79 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 110. | UC.II | Sri M. S. Veeraraghavulu | 1385.10 ,, | 344/21-2-79 | ,, |
| 111. | ,, | Sri A. M. V. PrasadaRao and 3 others | 45142.00 ,, | 345/21-2-79 | ,, |
| 112. | ,, | Smt. A. Revathi Rao and Sri A. S. Gopalakrishna | 1757.87 ,, | 358/26-2-79 | ,, |
| 113. | ,, | Sri N. S. S. P. Sastry | 96.00 ,, 135.00 | 390/1-3-79 | ,, |
| 114. | ,, | Dr. H. Syed Ali | 924 39 ,, | 391/2-3-79 | ,, |
| 115. | ,, | Sri Y. Ranganayakulu | 454.04 ,, | 404 6-3-79 | ,, |
| 116. | ,, | Dr. N. Kondal Rao | 447 72 ,, | 408/7-3-79 | ,, |
| 117. | ,, | Sri Alwal Reddy | 274.93 ,, | 422/8-3-79 | ,, |
| 118. | ,, | Sri Nawab Khasim Jung | 2380.57 ,, | 424/8-3-79 | ,, |
| 119. | ,, | Dr. C. R. Rajagopalam | 1354.76 ,, | 429/9-3-79 | ,, |
| 120. | UC.III | M/s. Minan Fats & Feeds | 8000 00 ,, | 447/13-9-79 | 20 (1) (a) |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 121. | UC.II | M/s. Wire Press Industries | 1114.45 Sq.Mts | 448/13-3-79 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 121. | ,, | M/s. Vijay Silk Mills | 7850.90 ,, | 449/13-3-79 | ,, |
| 123. | ,, | M/s. Jupitor Electronic Industries | 2006.60 ,, | 450/13-3-79 | ,, |
| 124. | ,, | M/s. Closures and Extensions | 4046.33 ,, | 451/13-3-79 | ,, |
| 125. | ,, | M/s. Poorna Engineering Works | 2023.43 ,, | 452/13-3-79 | ,, |
| 126. | UC.II | Sri C Seshavataram | 568.00 ,, | 458/13-3-79 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 127. | UC.III | M/s. M. J. Sham Industries | 2490.92 ,, | 459/15-3-79 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 128 | UC.II | Sri V. V. L. N. Krishna Rao | 255 81 ,, | 460/13-3-79 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 129 | ,, | Sri P. Lakshmipathi Raju | 1124 27 ,, | 461/13-3-79 | ,, |
| 130. | ,, | Sri P. Ranga Roo | 1878.00 ,, | 462/13-3-79 | ,, |
| 131. | ,, | Sri Shaik Umar | 254.00 ,, | 465/13-3-79 | ,, |
| 132. | ,, | Sri Y. P. Kapoor | 90.26 ,, | 466/13-3-79 | ,, |
| 133. | ,, | Sri B. Venu | 367.84 ,, | 467/13-3-79 | ,, |
| 134. | ,, | Sri Aliuddin Ansari | 508.00 ,, | 468/13-3-79 | ,, |
| 135. | ,, | Maj. Genl. S. M. Bhaskaran | 347.00 ,, | 469/13-3-79 | ,, |
| 136. | ,, | Sri A. Natarajan | 895.089 ,, | 470/13-3-79 | ,, |
| 137. | ,, | Sri Y. Joseph | 1081.00 ,, | 492/19-3179 | ,, |
| 138. | ,, | Sri S. Babayya and others | 2.23 ,, | 494/21-3-79 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 139. | UC.II | Sri Hadi Bilgrami | 10913.69 Sq.Mts. | 498/21-3.79 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 140. | UC.III | M/s. Orchem Industries | 4500.00 ,, | 502/21-3.79 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 141. | ,, | Mohd. Saleem Khan | 5154.15 ,, | 508/23-3.79 | ,, |
| 142. | VC.II | Smt. R. Devi | 463.87 ,, | 509/h3-3.79 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 143. | ,, | Sri M Gopala Rao | 437·64 ,, | 513/24-3.79 | ,, |
| 144. | ,, | Sri A. V. Sastry and V. R. K. Sastry | 48,564.00 ,, | 523/26-3-79 | ,, |
| 145 | UC.III | M/s. Vasanth Chemicals | 4485.00 ,, | 556/4-4.79 | 10 (1) (a) |
| 146. | ,, | M/s. Paramount Agro Chemicals (P) Ltd. | 4508.20 ,, | 586/18-4.79 | ,, |
| 147. | ,, | M/s. Electroflo Batteriar (P) Ltd. | 3.00 ,, | 611/24-4 79 | ,, |
| 148. | ,, | M/s' Aruic Engineers (P)'Ltd. | 6592.69 , | 650/4-5.79 | ,, |
| 149. | ,, | M/s Associated Conference | 7690.00 ,, | 651/4-5.79 | ,, |
| 150 | UC II | Sri K. Ranganadhachari | 666.66 ,, | 678/15.5.79 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 151 | ,, | Sri J. Bapu Reddy | 329.45 ,, | 679/15-5.79 | ,, |
| 152. | UC III | M/s. Purandas Ramchodas and sons | 2805.11 ,, | 687/16 5.79 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 153 | ,, | M/s. Venkateswara Cables (P) Ltd. | 3400.00 ,, | 688/16-5.79 | ,, |
| 154. | ,, | M/s. Manorama Industries | 1982.96 ,, | 689 16-5.79 | ,, |
| 155. | ,, | M/s. Godavari Gat Gluco (P) Ltd. | 4046.86 ,, | 691/16.5.79 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 156. | UC.III | Smt. Roda Mistry | 3013.00Sq.Mts. | 692/16-5-79 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 157. | ,, | M/s. Ganga Saw Mill | 1766.76 ,, | 693/16-5 79 | ,, |
| 158. | ,, | M/s. Miaco Fibre Drums (P) Ltd. | 10845.59 ,, | 694/16-5-79 | ,, |
| 159. | ,, | M/s. Central India Pharmacy Co. | 8308.20 ,, | 742/1-6 79 | ,, |
| 160. | ,, | M/s. Borrwell Equipment | 3094.34 ,, | 743/1-6-79 | ,, |
| 161. | ,, | M/s. Hindustan Industries | 3237.48 ,, | 744/1-6-79 | ,, |
| 162. | ,, | M/s. Raghu Saw Mills | 7972.31 ,, | 800/19-6-79 | ,, |
| 163. | ,, | M/s. Balaji Plastics (P) Ltd. | 2614.17 ,, | 329/22-6-79 | ,, |
| 164. | UC.II | Sri V. C. Gupta | 3.35 ,, | 922/19-7-79 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 165. | UC.III | M/s. Hindustan Tiles and Cement Industry | 12138 00 ,, | 1017/9-8-79 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 166. | ,, | M/s. M. M. Poly Pack Industries | 4046.86 ,, | 1020/10-8-79 | ,, |
| 167. | ,, | M/s. Kalpana Chemicals (P) Ltd. | 6232.13 ,, | 1022/10-8-79 | ,, |
| 168. | ,, | M/s. Venkateswara Electrical Industries | 1958.42 ,, | 1023/10-8-79 | ,, |
| 169. | ,, | M/s. Swaran Industries | 4046.86 ,, | 1024/10-8-79 | ,, |
| 170. | ,, | M/s. Sree Engineering Works | 4208.88 ,, | 1025/10-8-79 | ,, |
| 171. | ,, | M/s. Electrical Coralams | 1820.47 ,, | 1026/10-8-79 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 172. | UC.II | Smt. S. Sultana Begum | 296.00 Sq.Mts | 1134/12-9-79 | 20 (1)(b) |
| 174 . | UC.III | M/s. India Extension | 8093.72 ,, | 1186/24-9-79 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 174. | ,, | M/s. Indo Tyre and Rubber Works Ltd. | 2333.27 ,, | 1239/12-10-79 | ,, |
| 175. | ,, | M/s Naveen Battling | 2326.95 ,, | 1242/15-10-79 | ,, |
| 176. | ,, | M/s. M. M. Pharmaceuticals Ltd. | 4046.86 ,, | 1444/3-12-79 | ,, |
| 177. | ,, | M/s. Unity Liquidators | 4158.00 ,, | 1520/20-12-79 | ,, |
| 178. | ,, | M/s. Rajadhani Poly Pack Inds. | 6630.03 ,, | 1521/20-12-79 | ,, |
| 179. | ,, | M/s. R. Basaweswarao Engineering Works | 1492.37 ,, | 1522/20-12-79 | ,, |
| 180. | ,, | M/s. Kiran Potteries | 16187.44 ,, | 1523/20-12-79 | ,, |
| 181. | ,, | M/s. Emcee Enterprises | 1088,47 ,, | 1524/20-12 79 | ,, |
| 182. | UC.II | Sri N. Satyanarayana | 1672.26 ,, | 1702/27-12-79 | 20 (1) (b) |

## 1979

## VIJAYAWADA URBAN AGGLOMERATION - 1979

### LIST OF EXEMPTIONS GRANTED UNDER SECTION 20 (1) (a) AND 20 (1) (b) OF THE URBAN LAND (CEILING & REGULATION) ACT, 1976 FROM 17—2—1976.

| Sl. No. | Section | Name of Exemptee | Extent in Sq Mts. | G.O.Ms.No. and Date | Purpose 20(1) (a) or 20(1)(b) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
| 1. | UC.II | Smt. N. Sarojini Devi and 4 others | 2140.00 | 74/16-1-79 | 20 (1) (b) of the Act. |
| 2. | ,, | Smt. Thota Lakshmi Ventata Bai | 708.00 | 95/17-1-79 | ,, |
| 3. | ,, | Sri D. L. V. Prasad | 489.00 | 113/17-1-79 | ,, |
| 4. | ,, | Smt. J. Aanasuyamma | 332.00 | 116/17-1-79 | ,, |
| 5. | ,, | Sri V. V. Lakshmipathi Rao | 226.00 | 118/17-1-79 | ,, |
| 6. | ,, | Smt. C. Surya Sudha Guardian of Ch. Himabindu | Ac. 1.33½ Cts | 192/29-1-79 | ,, |
| 7. | ,, | Sri K. Bhadraiah | 006.00 | 285/13-2-79 | ,, |
| 8. | ,, | M/s. Calcutta Motor Stores | 1672 26 Sq.Mts | 313/17-2-79 | ,, |
| 9. | ,, | Sri P. Radhakrishnamurthy Sastry | 500.00 ,, | 324/19-2-79 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5: | 6: |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 10. | UC.II | Smt. K. Kamala Devi | 564.00 Sq.Mts. | 325/19-2-79 | 20 (1) (b) of the Act |
| 11. | UC.III | M/s. Andhra Prabha Ltd· | 2457.00 ,, | 346/21-2-79 | ,, |
| 12. | ,, | Smt. K. Vimala Devi & others | Ac. 5.23 Cts. | 355/24-2-79 | ,, |
| 13. | ,, | Smt. P. Sakunthala | 3664.00 Sq.Mts | 376/28-2-79 | ,, |
| 14 | ,, | M/s. Venkateswara Estates | 4664.55 ,, | 799/19-6-79 | ,, |
| 15. | ,, | M/s. Economic Transport Organisation | 5038.34 ,, | 801/19-6-79 | ,, |
| 16. | ,, | M/s. M. A. Servicing and Repairing Station | 1351.02 ,, | 802/19-6-79 | ,, |
| 17. | ,, | M/s. Stanes Tyres and Rubber Products Ltd. | 1672 20 ,, | 803/19-6-79 | ,, |
| 18. | UC.II | Secretary, The Acadamy of General and Technical Education, Vijayawada. | 18615.00 ,, | 893/9-7-79 | ,, |
| 19. | UC.III | M/s. Durga Automobile Works | 4130.00 ,, | 1013/8-8-79 | ,, |
| 20. | ,, | M/s, Sudhakar Litho Prints | 2508.00 ,, | 1068/22-8-79 | ,, |

## 1979

## VISAKHAPTNAM URBAN AGGLOMERATION

## LIST OF EXEMPTIONS GRANTED UNDER SECTION 20(1) (a) AND 20 (1) (b) OF THE URBAN LAND (CEILING & REGULATION) ACT, 1976 FROM 17—2—1976.

| Sl. No. | Section | Name of Exemptee | Extent in Sq.Mts. | G.O.Ms.No. and Date | Purpose 20(1) (a) or 20(1)(b) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
| 1. | UC.III | Sri G. Babu Rao and others | 3659.50 Sq.Mts | 3/1-1-79 | 20 (1) (a) of the Act |
| 2. | ,, | M/s. A. K. Corporation Ltd· | 44,766.00 ,, | 43/10-3-79 | ,, |
| 3. | ,, | M/s. Indian Oxygen Ltd. | 16,741.00 ,, | 63/12-1-79 | ,, |
| 4. | ,, | Sarvasri Durganaidu and others | 2358.00 ,, | 64/12-1-79 | ,, |
| 5. | UC.II | Smt. M. Vijayalakshmi | 118.00 ,, | 78/16-1-79 | ,, |
| 6. | ,, | Sri K. Rama Rao | 150.00 ,, | 96/17-1-79 | ,, |
| 7. | ,, | Sri G. Satyanarayana | 224.00 ,, | 114/17-1-79 | ,, |
| 8. | ,, | Smt. V. Varahalamma | 435.00 ,, | 11515-1-79 | ,, |
| 9. | ,, | Sri I. V. Rama Rao | 0.00075 ,, | 117/17-1-79 | ,, |
| 10. | ,, | Smt. Alapati Suryakala | 495.00 ,, | 119/17-1-79 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 11. | UC.III | Sri M. Krishna Murthy Raju | 1666.66 | Sq.Mts. | 149/23.1-79 | 20 (1) (a) of the Act |
| 12. | ,, | Sri G. Gurumurthy | 3400.00 | ,, | 150/23-1-79 | ,, |
| 13. | UC.II | Sri Y. Patrudu | 1152 00 | ,, | 244/6-2-79 | 20 (1) (b) of the Act |
| 14. | ,, | Sri K. Prbhakara Rao | 756.00 | ,, | 251/7-2-79 | ,, |
| 15. | ,, | Sri P. R. C. Raju | 177.00 | ,, | 258/9-2-79 | ,, |
| 16. | ,, | Sri V. Krishnamurthy Naidu | 0.0244 | ,, | 259/9-2-79 | ,, |
| 17. | ,, | Sri G. Appamma | 150.00 | ,, | 261/9-2-79 | ,, |
| 18. | ,, | Srl S. Gopala Sastry | 252.00 | ,, | 262/9-2-79 | ,, |
| 19. | ,, | Smt. N. Appalanarasamma | 0.0120 | ,, | 263/9-2-79 | ,, |
| 20. | ,, | Sri S. A. K. Naidu and A. Simhachalam | 640.00 | ,, | 270/9-2-79 | ,, |
| 21. | ,, | Abdul Jabbar | 475.00 | ,, | 271/9-2-79 | ,, |
| 22. | ,, | Sri B. Narasimha Raju | 0.0090 | ,, | 272.9-2-79 | ,, |
| 23. | ,, | Sri C. Bapi Raju | 0.0180 | ,, | 273/9-2-79 | ,, |
| 24. | ,, | Smt. D. Lakshmi Krishna Murthy | 00.700 | ,, | 274/9-2-79 | ,, |
| 25. | ,, | Sri A. Jaggayya | 0.0018 | ,, | 286/13-2-79 | ,, |
| 26. | ,, | Sri K. Ramulu Joseph | 0.0069 | ,, | 343/21-2-79 | ,, |
| 27. | ,, | Sri G. Appalaswamy | 371 00 | ,, | 474/15-3-79 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | | | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 28. | UC.III | M/s. Nalphav Dyes and Chemicals (P) Ltd. | 6475.00 | ,, | 690/16-5-79 | 20 (1) (a) ot the Act | |
| 29. | UC.II | Prof. K. Subba Rao | 0.0079 | ,, | 780/12-6-79 | 20 (1) (b) of the Act | |
| 30. | UC.III | M/s. Hotel Sun-n-Sea | 25400.00 | ,, | 1009/8-8-79 | 20 (1) (a) of the Act | |
| 31. | ,, | Indian Oxygen Ltd. | 2077.00 | ,, | 1010/8-8-79 | ,, | |
| 32. | ,, | Sri B. A. Patrudu | 3701.50 | ,, | 1012/8-8-79 | ,, | |
| 33. | ,, | Sri T. Paparayudu and another | 1572.00 | ,, | 1057/21-8-79 | ,, | |
| 34. | ,, | Sri A. Suryanarayana | 1150.09 | ,, | 246/17-10-79 | ,- | |

**1979**

GUNTUR URBAN AGGLOMERATION - 1979

LIST OF EXEMPTIONS GRANTED UNDER SECTION 20 (1) (a) AND 20 (1) (b) OF THE URBAN LAND (CEILING & REGULATION) ACT, 1976 FROM 17—2—1976.

| Sl. No. | Section | Name of Exemptee | Extent in Sq.Mts. | G.O.Ms.No. and Date | Purpose 20(1) (a) or 20(1)(b) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
| 1. | UC.II | Sri A. V. Krishna Rao | 4047.00 Sq Mts. | 278/9-2-79 | 20 (1) (b) |

| I. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 2. | UC.II | Smt. K. Sarada | 390.25 Sq.Mts | 284/13-2-79 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 3. | ,, | Sri Jagannadha Swamy Temple | 2000.00 ,, | 341/21-2-79 | ,, |
| 4. | UC.III | M/s. Guntur Merchants Jin & Rice Factory | 32719.00 ,, | 428/9-3-79 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 5. | UC.II | Smt. S. Hanmantha Rao | 300 19 ,, | 697/17-5-79 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 6. | UC.III | M/s. Navabharat Enterprises | 85904.26 ,, | 1027/10-8 79 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 7. | ,, | M/s. Ramakrishna Rice and Groundnut Oil Mills | 2427.7 ,, | 1032/10-8 79 | ,, |

## 1 9 7 9

## WARANGAL URBAN AGGLOMERATION - 1979

### LIST OF EXEMPTIONS GRANTED UNDER SECTION 20 (1) (a) AND 20 (1) (b) OF THE URBAN LAND (CEILING AND REGULATION) ACT, 1976 FROM 17-2-1976.

| Sl. No. | Section | Name of Exemptee | Extent in Sq. Mts. | G. O. Ms. No. and Date | Purpose 20 (1) (a) or 20 (1) (b) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
| 1. | UC.II | Sri P. Mallikarjun | 81.00 Sq. Mts | 260/9-2-79 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 2. | UC.III | Srl S. Muktheswara Rao | 18814.38 ,, | 1008/8-8-79 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 3. | ,, | M/s Jaya Nursing Home | 3492.00 ,, | 1011/8-8-79 | ,, |

| I. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 14 | UC.II | Sri C. S. Hariharan | 267.57 Sq.Mts | 1741/17-4-80 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 15. | ,, | Sri K. Sreenivasan | 40.90 ,, | 1742/17-4-80 | ,, |
| 16. | ,, | Smt. Maya Devi | 358.68 ,, | 1743/17-4-80 | ,, |
| 17. | ,, | Sri B. Venkata Krishna Rao | 254.19 ,, | 1744/17-4-80 | ,, |
| 18. | ,, | Smt. Fazlunnisa Begum | 75.76 ,, | 1745/17-4-80 | ,, |
| 19. | ,, | Sri Jetendra Mehta | 62.28 ,, | 1746/17-4-80 | ,, |
| 20. | ,, | Sri Ram Narayan | 225.93 ,, | 1774/18-4-80 | ,, |
| 21. | ,, | Srl Hanumaiah | 167.23 ,, | 1775/18-4-80 | ,, |
| 22. | ,, | Sri T. Satyanrayana | 212.40 ,, | 1776/18-4-80 | ,, |
| 23. | ,, | Sri M. Suryanarayana Rao | 214.67 ,, | 1777/18-4-80 | ,, |
| 24. | ,, | Smt. Ahalya Bai | 447.13 ,, | 1778/18-4 80 | ,, |
| 25 | ,, | Sri Sitaramana | 128.00 ,, | 1779/18-4-80 | ,, |
| 26. | ,, | Sri R. S. Ranga Rao | 178.08 ,, | 1780/18-4-80 | ,, |
| 27. | ,, | Sri C. Arjuna Rao | 46.30 ,, | 1781/18-4-80 | ,, |
| 28. | ,, | Sri A. Narasimha Reddy | 123.00 ,, | 1782/18-4-80 | ,, |
| 29. | ,, | Sri B. Satyarayana Reddy | 204.93 ,, | 1783/18-4-80 | ,, |
| 30. | ,, | Sri J. Ramnarayana Jaiswal & his two sons | 78.32 ,, | 1784/18-4-80 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 31. | UC.II | Sri K. V. Danial | 185.59 Sq. Mts | 1785 18-4-80 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 32. | ,, | Sri Krsihna Rao | 46.33 ,, | 1786/18-4-80 | ,, |
| 33. | ,, | Sri T. Pradeep Kumar and 12 others | 1664.52 ,, | 1787/18-4-80 | ,, |
| 34. | ,, | Sri B. Subba Rao | 197.40 ,, | 1788/18-4-80 | ,, |
| 35. | ,, | Sri G. China Nagi Reddy | 246.00 ,, | 1789/-18-4-80 | ,, |
| 36. | ,, | Sri K. N. Narahari | 374.60 ,, | 1846/23-4-80 | ,, |
| 37. | ,, | Smt. T. Leela Devi | 428.00 ,, | 1907/28-4-80 | ,, |
| 38. | ,, | Sri K. Satyanarayana | 245.86 ,, | 1908/28-4-80 | ,, |
| 39. | ,, | Sri Harbajan Singh | 262 54 ,, | 1909/28-4-80 | ,, |
| 40. | ,, | Smt. Y. Damayanthi | 364 73 ,, | 1910/28-4-80 | ,, |
| 41. | ,, | Smt. N. Rajeswari | 112.00 ,, | 1911/28-4-80 | ,, |
| 42. | ,, | Sri B. R. Patel | 47.00 ,, | 1927/29-4-80 | ,, |
| 43. | ,, | Sri K. R. Patel | 46.30 ,, | 2057/6-5-80 | ,, |
| 44. | ,, | Sri B. P. Patel | 46.30 ,, | 2058/6-4-80 | ,, |
| 45. | ,, | Sri A. V. Shankaran | 126.27 ,, | 2108/12-5-80 | ,, |
| 46. | ,, | Sri P. P. Sekhar | 78.40 ,, | 2117/12-5-80 | ,, |
| 47. | ,, | Sri X. Gopalaiah | 183.12 ,, | 2118/12-5 80 | ,, |
| 48. | ,, | Sri M. Kumara Swamy | 29 27 ,, | 2119/12-5-80 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 49. | UC.II | Sri Subba Rao | 191·80 Sq.Mts | 2120/12-5-80 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 50. | ,, | Sri A. Sainath | 413.00 ,, | 2121/12-5-80 | ,, |
| 51. | ,, | Sri V. Satyanarayana Murthy | 43·57 ,, | 2122/12-5-80 | ,, |
| 52. | ,, | Sri K. Rajeswara Bhopal | 212 36 ,, | 2123/12-5-80 | ,, |
| 53. | ,, | Sri Guracharanlal Bhalla | 494.77 ,, | 2124/12-5 80 | ,, |
| 54. | ,, | Sri P V· Ramachandar | 87.00 ,, | 2137/13-5-80 | ,, |
| 55. | ,, | Sri K. Sankar Rao | 45.00 ,, | 2138/13-5-80 | ,, |
| 56. | ,, | Sri A. Satyanarayana | 41.67 ,, | 2139/13-5-80 | ,, |
| 57. | ,, | Sri A. Krishna Soya | 111.53 ,, | 2140/13-5-80 | ,, |
| 58. | ,, | Sri N. N. Reddy | 87.00 ,, | 2141/13-5-80 | ,, |
| 59. | ,, | Sri T. Veerabhadram | 14.47 ,, | 2142/13-5-80 | ,, |
| 60. | ,, | Sri A. Hemalatha | 168.57 ,, | 2143/13-5-80 | ,, |
| 61. | ,, | Sri A. S. Chowdary | 162.54 ,, | 2144/13-5-80 | ,, |
| 92. | ,, | Sri S. Vijaya Rajar | 4.87 ,, | 2145/13-5-80 | ,, |
| 63. | ,, | Smt. G. Santa | 3.36 ,, | 2146/13-5 80 | ,, |
| 64. | ,, | Smt. K. Fausalya | 170.58 ,, | 2147/13-5-80 | ,, |
| 65. | ,, | Sri V. Venkata Rao | 110.37 ,, | 2148/13-5-80 | ,, |
| 66. | ,, | Sri N. Subba Rao | 449.00 ,, | 2161/14-5-80 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5: | 6: |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 67. | UC.II | Sri D. Subba Reddy | 72.00 Sq.Mts. | 2165/14-5-80 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 68. | ,, | Sri A. P. Vajravelu Chetti | 337.83 ,, | 2191/15-5-80 | ,, |
| 69. | ,, | Sri A. Penta Reddy | 184.00 ,, | 2192/15-5-80 | ,, |
| 70. | ,, | Sri P. Ram Mohan Rao | 185.50 ,, | 2193/15-5-80 | ,, |
| 71. | ,, | Smt. A. Vardhanamma | 23.28 ,, | 2194/15-5-80 | ,, |
| 72. | ,, | Sri Narimon Shava Rao | 234.00 ,, | 2195/15-5 80 | ,, |
| 73. | ,, | Smt. B. Saradha Ratnam | 254 29 ,, | 2196/15-5-80 | ,, |
| 74. | ,, | Sri. K. Krishna Murthy | 254.19 ,, | 2197/15-5 80 | ,, |
| 75. | ,, | Sri K. Narayana Reddy | 239.00 ,, | 2198/15-5-80 | ,, |
| 76. | ,, | Sri V. Sripathi | 246.76 ,, | 2199/15-5-80 | ,, |
| 77. | ,, | Sri R. V. Subba Rao | 93.63 ,, | 2200/15-5-80 | ,, |
| 78. | ,, | Sri Maiy Reddy | 3.35 ,, | 2201/15-5-80 | ,, |
| 79. | ,, | Sri V. I. Amir | 213.50 ,, | 2202/15-5-80 | ,, |
| 80. | ,, | Sri B. N. Varada Rajan | 234.95 ,, | 2203/15-5-80 | ,, |
| 81. | ,, | Smt. Savita G. N. Mehta | 62.28 ,, | 2204/15-5-80 | ,, |
| 82. | ,, | Sri Rhonda Venkata Rayudu | 54.56 ,, | 2205/15-5-80 | ,, |
| 83. | ,, | Srl G. L. Venkateswarlu | 74.41 ,, | 2206/15-5-80 | ,, |
| 84 | ,, | Smt. Rhonda Sarin | 263.30 ,, | 2207/15-5-80 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 85. | UC.II | Sri G. Venkata Rao | 191.60 Sq. Mts. | 2208/15-5-80 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 86. | ,, | Sri Amognath Reddy | 266.59 ,, | 2209/15-5-80 | ,, |
| 87. | ,, | Sri Arivind Reddy | 266.66 ,, | 2210/15-5-80 | ,, |
| 88. | ,, | Sri R. S. Murthy | 140.85 ,, | 2257/19-5-80 | ,, |
| 89. | ,, | Sri N. Suryanarayana | 170.40 ,, | 2258/19-5-80 | ,, |
| 90. | ,, | Smt. Leela Kara Chander | 108.00 ,, | 2259/19-5-80 | ,, |
| 91. | ,, | Dr. N. Balakrishna Reddy | 152.00 ,, | 2260/19-5-80 | ,, |
| 92. | ,, | Sri N. Guru Murthy | 3.35 ,, | 2261/19-5-80 | ,, |
| 93. | ,, | Sri S. Kasturi | 130.67 ,, | 2262/19-5-80 | ,, |
| 94. | ,, | Smt. Latchamma | 3.35 ,, | 2263/19-5-80 | ,, |
| 95. | ,, | Sri T. V. Balkrishna | 3.36 ,, | 2264/19-5-80 | ,, |
| 96. | ,, | Sri V. Balkrishna | 249.00 ,, | 2265/19-5-80 | ,, |
| 97. | ,, | Sri G. Suryanarayana | 237.46 ,, | 2266/19-5-80 | ,, |
| 98. | ,, | Sri B. Balkrishna Murthy | 140.30 ,, | 2267/19-5-80 | ,, |
| 99. | ,, | Smt. Venkamma | 167.23 ,, | 2268/19-5-80 | ,, |
| 100. | ,, | Sri V. Venkateswarlu | 58.00 ,, | 2269/19-5-80 | ,, |
| 101. | ,, | Smt. P. S. Leela Naidu | 332.70 ,, | 2270/19-5-80 | ,, |
| 102. | ,, | Dr. R. Venkat Rao | 378.77 ,, | 2271/19-5-80 | ,, |

re : Allegations made against C. M.

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 5. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 103. | UC.II | Smt. Y. V. Jalitha Devi | 379.61 Sq. Mts. | 2272/19-5-80 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 104. | ,, | Sri Mir Satvar Ali | 294.26 ,, | 2273/19-5-80 | ,, |
| 105. | ,, | Sri A. G. Narasimha Rao | 379.61 ,, | 2274/19-5-80 | ,, |
| 106. | ,, | Sri N. Kameswara Sastry | 99.50 ,, | 2275/19-5-80 | ,, |
| 107. | ,, | Sri N. Narasimha Rao | 124.50 ,, | 2276/19-5-80 | ,, |
| 108. | ,, | Sri N. Sudhakar Reddy | 273.78 ,, | 2277/19-5-80 | ,, |
| 109. | ,, | Smt. N. Hemalatha | 137.97 ,, | 2278/19-5-80 | ,, |
| 110. | ,, | Dr. M. P. Sharma | 191.81 ,, | 2279/19-5-80 | ,, |
| 111. | ,, | Smt. Shankina Begum | 174.20 ,, | 2280/19-5-80 | ,, |
| 112. | ,, | Sri Ratnam | 354.50 ,, | 2281/19-5-80 | ,, |
| 113. | ,, | Sri B. P. Pandu | 52.63 ,, | 2282/19-5-80 | ,, |
| 114. | ,, | Sri M. Raja Rao | 2001.67 ,, | 2283/19-5-80 | ,, |
| 115. | ,, | Sri Mastan Singh | 209.02 ,, | 2284/19-5-80 | ,, |
| 116. | ,, | Sri M. S. Tilak | 174.34 ,, | 2285/19-5-80 | ,, |
| 117. | ,, | Smt. N. Vijayalakshmi | 26.00 ,, | 2286/19-5-80 | ,, |
| 118. | ,, | Smt. Leelavathy Natwarlal | 79.44 ,, | 2287/19-5-80 | ,, |
| 119. | ,, | Smt. L. Sharada Devi | 376.25 ,, | 2288/19-5-80 | ,, |
| 120. | ,, | Smt. P. Samala Devi | 80.00 ,, | 2322/21-5-80 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 121. | UC.II | Sri N. Umamaheswara Rao | 449.00 Sq. Mts | 2329/21-5-80 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 122. | ,, | Dr. N. Vasantha Reddy | 338.00 ,, | 2333/22-5-80 | ,, |
| 123. | ,, | Sri A. Venkateshwara Rao | 240.63 ,, | 2353/26-5-80 | ,, |
| 124. | ,, | Sri M. N. Ehasin Ali Kaza | 116.80 ,, | 2442/ 2-6-80 | ,, |
| 125. | ,, | Sri Ziauddin Ansari | 379.26 ,, | 2455/ 3-6-80 | ,, |
| 126. | ,, | Sri S. Krishua Murthy | 18.45 ,, | 2524/ 6-6-80 | ,, |
| 127. | ,, | Sri G. M. Sarma | 208.13 ,, | 2525/ 6-6-80 | ,, |
| 128. | UC.II | Sri Ch. Mrutyanmjaya Rao | 33.00 Sq. Mts. | 2526/ 6-6-80 | 20 (1) (b) of the Act |
| 129. | ,, | Smt. N. Sujatha Devi | 83.00 ,, | 2550/10-6-80 | ,, |
| 130. | ,, | Smt. A. Braganza | 276.76 ,, | 2558/11-6 80 | ,, |
| 131. | UC.III | M/s. The Hyderabad Potteries (P) Ltd. | 19194.00 ,, | 2560/11-6-80 | ,, |
| 132. | UC.II | Sri S. Krishna Rao | 445.66 ,, | 2564/11-6-80 | 20 (1) (b) of the Act |
| 133. | ,, | Sri M. A. Haleem | 124.00 ,, | 2625/13-6-80 | ,, |
| 134. | ,, | Sri Ch. Satyanarayana Rao | 380.28 ,, | 2639/16-6-80 | ,, |
| 135. | ,, | Sri N. Prabhakar Reddy | 395.54 ,, | 2640/16-6-80 | ,, |
| 136. | ,, | Sri T. L. N. Sastry | | 2641/16-6-80 | ,, |
| 137. | ,, | Sri K. Nageswara Rao | 403.44 ,, | 2642/16-6-80 | ,, |
| 138. | Sri Nistala Kameswara Sarma | | 273.00 ,, | 2643/16-6-80 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 139. | UC.II | Sri K. Suryanarayana | 202.35 Sq. Mts. | 2644/16-6-80 | 20 (1) (b) of the Act |
| 140. | ,, | Smt. Geros Author Devis | 419.74 ,, | 2645/16-6-80 | ,, |
| 141. | ,, | Sri M. Murthy | 157.30 ,, | 2647/16-6-80 | ,, |
| 142. | ,, | Sri Chittar Singh | 133.00 ,, | 2648/16-6-80 | ,, |
| 143. | ,, | Sri G. Krishna Murthy | 32.00 ,, | 2649/16-6-80 | ,, |
| 144. | ,, | Sri Muralidhar | 162.30 ,, | 2650/16-6-80 | ,, |
| 145. | ,, | Sri T. B. Murthy | 140.43 ,, | 2652/16-6-80 | ,, |
| 146. | ,, | Sri G. V. Rao | 236.80 ,, | 2653/16-6-80 | ,, |
| 147. | ,, | Smt. S. V. Ramanamma | 373.75 ,, | 2654/16-6-80 | ,, |
| 148. | ,, | Sri M. Seetharama Rao | 216.44 ,, | 2696/17-6-80 | ,, |
| 149. | ,, | Smt. T. Somasundaramma | 136.00 ,, | 2705/19-6-80 | ,, |
| 150. | ,, | Smt. P. Saraswathi | 128.70 ,, | 2707/19-6-80 | ,, |
| 151. | ,, | Sri V. V. Krishna Rao | 144.70 ,, | 2708/19-6-80 | ,, |
| 152. | ,, | Sri G. Seshagiri Rao | 3.00 ,, | 2713/19-6-80 | ,, |
| 153. | ,, | Sri P. Janardhan Reddy | 151.20 ,, | 2714/19-6-80 | ,, |
| 154. | ,, | Sri V. N. Moses | 398.00 ,, | 2715/19-6 80 | ,, |
| 155. | ,, | Sri A. M. Lal | 494.17 ,, | 2716/23-6-80 | ,, |
| 156. | ,, | Sri Alladi Kuppu Swamy | 1003.00 ,, | 2757/23-6-80 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 157. | UC.II | Sri Air Chief Marshal I. H. Latif | 3653.59 Sq. Mts. | 2758/23-6 80 | 20 (1) (b) of the Act |
| 158. | ,, | Sri D. V. V. Seetharama Rao | 421.25 ,, | 2916/ 2-7-80 | ,, |
| 159. | ,, | Smt. A. Hemalatha | 215.41 ,, | 2917/ 2-7-80 | ,, |
| 160. | ,, | Sri T. S. Srinivasa | 3.36 ,, | 2918/ 2-7-80 | ,, |
| 161. | ,, | Sri M. Venkataramaiah | 428.00 ,, | 2919/ 2-7-80 | ,, |
| 162. | ,, | Sri P. Mohana Das | 3869.34 ,, | 2920/ 2-7-80 | ,, |
| 163. | UC.I | Agricultural Market Committee Allotment of Plots to individual Traders. | 11 Plots (Mahaboob Mensian) | 2998/ 8-7-80 | |
| 164. | UC.III | M/s. Bhagyanagar Studios and Labs | 12140.60 | 3078/ 3-7-80 | 20 (1) (a) of the Act |
| 165. | ,, | M/s. Lakshmi Engineers Pvt. Ltd. | 11484.00 | 3229/16-7-80 | ,, |
| 166. | UC.II | Sri Allah Yar Khar | 439.42 Sq. Mts. | 3252/24-7-80 | 20 (1) (b) of the Act |
| 167. | ,, | Smt. Baderbann | 194.20 ,, | 3253/24-7-80 | ,, |
| 168. | ,, | Smt. Pamarthi Prabhavathi | 254.18 ,, | 3254/24-7-80 | ,, |
| 169. | ,, | Brg. N. G. Ghouse | 436.89 ,, | 3390/30-7-80 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 170. | UC.II | Sri G. V. A. Narsasimha Raju | 189.70 Sq.Mts. | 3397/31-7-80 | 20 (1) (b) of the Act |
| 171. | ,, | Sri Y. Rama Mohan Rao | 139.88 ,, | 3398/31-7-80 | ,, |
| 172. | ,, | Sri P. Amma Raju Through G.P.A. Seshagiri Rao | 3.32 ,, | 3399/31-7-80 | ,, |
| 173. | ,, | Sri Sudhaben V. Patel | 262.75 ,, | 3429/ 1-8-80 | ,, |
| 174. | ,, | Sri Ramula Balaiah (Aravinda Nagar Co-op. Housing Society) | Acs. 2.20 | 3530/ 5-8-80 | 20 (1) (a) of the Act |
| 175. | ,, | Sri Ramachandra Mohan and three Others | 521.00 Sq: Mts. | 3743/12-8-80 | 20 (1) (b) of the Act |
| 176. | UC.I | Sri N. D. J. Rao | 5329.76 ,, | 3870,12-8-80 | 20 (1) (a) of the Act |
| 177. | UC.II | Sri V. K. Bawa | 507.00 ,, | 3899/20-8-80 | 20 (1) (b) of the Act |
| 178. | ,, | Sri Amihrsh | 1819.10 ,, | 3978/21-8 80 | ,, |
| 179. | ,, | Sri V· Chandramouli | 87.18 ,, | 3986/21-8-80 | ,, |
| 180. | ,, | Smt. Siddiqui Begum | 172.22 ,, | 3987/21-8-80 | ,, |
| 181. | ,, | Sri K. Veera Raghava Chowdary | 219.20 ,, | 3988/21-8-80 | ,, |
| 182. | ,, | Sri C. K. Reddy | 333.04 ,, | 3989/21-8-80 | ,, |
| 183. | ,, | Sri K. Seshi Kumar | 209.60 ,, | 3990/21-8-80 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 184. | UC.II | Sri P. S. Bhatnayak | 512.33 Sq. Mts. | 3992/22-8-80 | 20 )1) (b) of the Act |
| 185. | ,, | Sri C. Prabhu Lingam | 505.00 ,, | 3993/22-8-80 | ,, |
| 186. | ,, | Sri G. Ramreddy | Acr. 5.16 | 3994/22-8-80 | ,, |
| 187. | UC.III | M/s. Andhra Wire Products (P) Ltd. | 1606.21 Sq. Mts. | 3997/22-8-80 | 20 (1) (a) of the Act |
| 188. | UC.II | Smt. Mahijit Sidhu | 1530.00 ,, | 4081/25-8-80 | 20 (1) (b) of the Act |
| 189. | ,, | Smt. M. V. Bhagyalakshmi | 3.35 ,, | 4090/26-8-80 | ,, |
| 190 | ,, | Sri D. Satyanarayana | 355.10 ,, | 4091/26-8-80 | ,, |
| 191. | ,, | Sri S. Hanumantha Rao | 35.98 ,, | 4092/26-8-80 | ,, |
| 192. | ,, | Kum. D. Rina Rao | 4242.50 ,, | 4121/29-8-80 | ,, |
| 193. | ,, | Sri R. C. Venkateswar Rao | 245.00 ,, | 4157/ 3-9-80 | ,, |
| 194 | ,, | Sri Ch. Chandraiah | 220.76 ,, | 4158/ 3-9-80 | ,, |
| 195. | ,, | Smt. P. Rajamma | 209.00 ,, | 4159/ 3-9-80 | ,, |
| 196. | ,, | Smt. B. Sarojini Devi | 480.00 ,, | 4226/ 8-9-80 | ,, |
| 197. | ,, | Smt. K. C. Tayabjee | 1000.00 ,, | 4274/10-9-80 | ,, |
| 198. | ,, | Smt. Putli Begum | Acs. 184.00 | 4282/11-9-80 | 20 (1) (a) of the Act |
| 199. | ,, | S/Sri Mohd. Abdul Ravoof Siddiqui & P. Ravinder Reddy | 14188.00 Sq. Mts. | 4291/11-9-80 | ,, |
| 200. | ,, | Sri B. Srinivasa Rao & Others | Acs. 11.33 Gts. | 4292/11-9-80 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 5. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 201. | UC.II | Sri Syed Azam | Acs. 24.00 | 4293/11-9-80 | 20 (1) (a) of the Act |
| 202. | ,, | Sri D. Venkaiah & Others | 23153.00 Sq. Mts. | 4300/12-9-80 | 20 (1) (b) of the Act |
| 203. | UC.III | M/s. Delta Tubs Industries | 3057.42 ,, | 4305/12-9-80 | 20 (1) (a) of the Act |
| 204. | ,, | M/s Bright Metal Industries Pvt. Ltd. | 2590.00 ,, | 4303/12-9-80 | ,, |
| 205. | ,, | M/s. Galada Continuers Castings Ltd. | 7333.00 ,, | 4307/12-9-80 | ,, |
| 206. | UC.II | Smt. Narla Savithri Devi | 10454.80 ,, | 4326/12-9-80 | 20 (1) (b) of the Act |
| 207. | UC.III | M/s. Tripura Industries | 2023.43 ,, | 4327/12-9-80 | 20 (1) (a) of the Act |
| 208. | ,, | M/s. Ashok Rubber Industries | 8093.72 ,, | 4328/12-9-80 | ,, |
| 209. | ,, | M/s. Poly Fibre Industries | 2231.53 ,, | 4329/12-9-80 | ,, |
| 210. | ,, | M/s. R.G.Plastics & Packing (P) Ltd. | 8960.25 ,, | 4330/12-9-80 | ,, |
| 211. | ,, | M/s. Eglo Packing (P) Ltd. | 4350.00 ,, | 4331/12-9-80 | ,, |
| 212. | ,, | M/s. Ventex Engineers | 2023.43 ,, | 4332/12-9-80 | ,, |
| 213. | ,, | M/s. Venkateswara Tooly Adds | 836.00 ,, | 4333/12-9-80 | ,, |
| 214. | ,, | M/s. Kiran Pack Woods | 2023.46 ,, | 4334/12-9-80 | ,, |
| 215. | ,, | M/s. Ratanlal Dall Mill | 3459.23 ,, | 4335/12-9-80 | ,, |
| 216. | ,, | Sri K. Arjun Reddy | 11000.00 ,, | 4336/12-9-80 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 217. | UC.III | M/s. Egfo Packing (P) Ltd. | 4350 Sq.Mts | 4337/21-9-80 | 20 (1) (a) of the Act |
| 218. | ,, | M/s. Bharat Metal Industries | 2590.00 ,, | 4338/12-9-80 | ,, |
| 219. | UC.II | Mrs. Sara Abraham G.P.A. ot Mrs. Mary Kuruvilla | 3721.28 ,, | 4339/12-9-80 | 20 (1) (b) of the Act |
| 220. | ,, | Smt. T. Eshegramma | 3046.86 ,, | 4340/12 9-80 | ,, |
| 221. | UC.III | M/s. Multiple Industries | 5330.00 ,, | 4341/12-9-80 | 20 (1) (a) of the Act |
| 222. | ,, | M/s Daecan Easturn Machinsries | 4233.09 ,, | 4342/12-9-80 | ,, |
| 223. | UC.II | Sri B. M. Raman, IAS., | 1563.55 ,, | 4479/18 9-80 | 20 (1) (b) of the Act |
| 224 | ,, | Sri B. Tayyabji | 11978.00 ,, | 4507/22-9-80 | ,, |
| 225. | ,, | Sri Ramesh Nalkanta The President, Aravindanagar | 153.00 ,, | 4543/30-9-80 | ,, |
| 226. | ,, | Co-op. Housing Society Ltd. | Ac. 17 00 | 4552/30-9-80 | ,, |
| 227. | ,, | Sri K. Madhava Reddy | 720.26 ,, | 4563/3-10-80 | ,, |
| 228. | ,, | Sri K. Sambasiva Rao & 3 others | (cancelled Vide G.O.Ms.No. 1510 Dt 29-9-81) | 4586/10-10-80 | 20 (1) (a) of the Act |
| 229. | ,, | Sri B. Rama Swamy | 833.33 ,, | 4587/10-10 80 | 20 (1) (b) of the Act |
| 230. | ,, | Smt. R. Krishna Veni | 176.67 ,, | 4595/15-10-80 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 231. | UC.II | Smt. K. Sudhila Devi | 248-94 Sq.Mts | 4596/15-10-80 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 232. | ,, | Sri P. Ram Reddy | 141.94 ,, | 4597/15-10-80 | ,, |
| 233. | ,, | Sri Rajesh Kumar | 23.95 ,, | 4598/15-10-80 | ,, |
| 234. | ,, | Sri Konda Satyanarayana Reddy | 103.44 ,, | 4599/15-10-80 | ,, |
| 235. | ,, | Smt. Aruna Padma | 202.34 ,, | 4600/15-10-80 | ,, |
| 236. | ,, | Sri Mohd. Abuhaker Faronyes | 5.24 ,, | 4601/15 10-80 | ,, |
| 237. | ,, | Sri M. Veera Raghavan | 128.40 ,, | 4602/15-10-80 | ,, |
| 238. | ,, | Sri M. Sreanivasa Iyangar | 17.56 ,, | 4603/15-10-80 | ,, |
| 239. | ,, | Sri S. Ramachandra Reddy | 127.09 ,, | 4604/15-10-80 | ,, |
| 240. | ,, | Sri M, Sankar Reddy | 141.94 ,, | 4605/15-10 80 | ,, |
| 241. | ,, | Sri J. V. Rama Swamy | 203 40 ,, | 4606/15-10-80 | ,, |
| 242. | ,, | Sri S. Pandu Rangan | 504 80 ,, | 4622/15-10-80 | ,, |
| 243. | ,, | Sri E. N. Padmanabha Reddy | 1125.00 ,, | 4623/15-10 80 | ,, |
| 244. | ,, | Sri S. Lakshminarayan, IAS., | 614.15 ,, | 4634/17-16-80 | ,, |
| 245. | ,, | Sri Mohu Pomokur Bai | 123.2 ,, | 4653 24-10-80 | ,, |
| 246. | UC.III | M/s. Andhra Citrates | 24604.09 ,, | 4656/24-10-80 | ,, |
| 247. | ,, | Smt. M. Vilala | 839.18 ,, | 4657/24-10-80 | ,, |
| 248. | ,, | M/s. Mali Industries | 1328.00 ,, | 4658/24-10-80 | 20 (1) (b) |

| I. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 249. | UC.III | M/s. Bhanu Construction Company | 4080.171 Sq.Mts | 4670/28-10-80 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 250. | UC.II | Sri B. S. K. Sastry | 502.35 ,, | 4688/29-10-80 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 251. | ,, | Sri N. Rama Krishna Raju | 232.45 ,. | 4689/29-10-80 | ,, |
| 252. | ,, | Sri J. B. Peter | 23.97 ,, | 4690/29-10-80 | ,, |
| 253. | ,, | Mrs. Rati K. Dady | 668 05 ,, | 4691/29-10-80 | ,, |
| 254. | ,, | Mrs. Devsha N. J. | 468.05 ,, | 4692/29-10-80 | ,, |
| 255. | ,, | Sri Y. S. R. Krishna Prasad | 167.00 ,, | 4693/29-10-80 | ,, |
| 256. | ,, | Sri B. Chandra Mouli | 458.16 ,, | 4694/29-10 80 | ,, |
| 257. | ,, | Sri Dhan Raj Pratap | 113.52 ,, | 4695/29-10-80 | ,, |
| 258. | ,, | Sri A. Sree Ramulu | ( Shown in Vijayawada Urban Agglomeration Sl. No. 48 ) | | |
| 259. | ,, | Sri Pawan Mohanlal Shah | 6555.91 ,, | 4706/31-10-80 | ,, |
| 260. | UC III | M/s. Mohan's Wood Works | 8085.64 ,, | 4709/31-10-80 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 261. | ,, | M/s. Nawabharat Enterprises | 18392.00 ,, | 4711/31-10-80 | ,, |
| 262. | UC.II | Dr. B. Sadasivudu | 600.35 ,, | 4719/3 11-84 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 263. | ,, | Sri M. S. Rao | 1000.00 ,, | 4720/3-11 80 | ,, |
| 264. | ,, | Sri. S. Ram Kkrishna | 1004.69 ,, | 4722/3-11-80 | ,, |
| 265. | ,, | Smt. S. Kamala Devi | 732.32 ,. | 4723/3-11-80 | ,, |
| 266. | ,, | Sri Gulab Chand | 713.03 ,, | 4724/3-11-80 | ,, |

re: Allegations made against C. M.

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 267. | UC.II | Smt. C. Suryakantham | 657.19 Sq. Mts | 4725/3-11-80 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 268. | ,, | Smt. P. Soubhagyamma | 734.00 ,, | 4726/3-11-80 | ,, |
| 269. | ,, | Sri Vepa Kameswara Rao | 878.50 ,, | 4728/3-11-80 | ,, |
| 270. | ,, | Sri R. Venugopal | 556.57 ,, | 4729/3-11-80 | ,, |
| 271. | ,, | Smt. V. Venkata Ramana Patnaiak | 3.32 ,, | 4730/3-11-80 | ,, |
| 272. | ,, | Sri B. Chanchanna | 493.85 ,, | 4731/3-11-80 | ,, |
| 273. | ,, | Sri V. Satyanarayana | 348.43 ,, | 4732/3-11-80 | ,, |
| 274. | ,, | Dr. C. S. Ramachandra Rao | 570.23 ,, | 4746/5-11-80 | ,, |
| 275. | UC.III | M/s. Raghu Engineering Works | 4046.86 ,, | 4750/5-11-80 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 276. | UC.II | Sri S. Y. S. Shabuddin | 585.30 ,, | 4756/10-11-80 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 277. | ,, | Mrs. Parvathi Neelakantam | 1000.00 ,, | 4757/10-11-80 | ,, |
| 278. | ,, | Sri D. P Agrawal | 650 50 ,, | 4759/10-11-80 | ,, |
| 279. | ,, | Sri P. Butchi Ramaiah | 658.04 ,, | 4760/10-11-80 | ,, |
| 280. | ,, | Sri Y. Jaya Venkala Rao | 279.16 ,, | 4765/11-11-80 | ,, |
| 281. | ,, | Sri C. Dvijender Rao | 505.85 ,, | 4770/11-11-80 | ,, |
| 282 | ,, | Sri K. Sudershan Reddy | 522.90 ,, | 4771/11-11-80 | ,, |
| 283. | ,, | Sri Syed Shamsuddin Quadri | 682 92 ,, | 4772/11-11-80 | ,, |
| 284. | ,, | Sri B. Kamala Prasad | 1000.00 ,, | 4773/11-11-80 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 285. | UC.II | Sri K. Satyanarayana Rao | 918.92 Sq. Mts. | 4777/11-11-80 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 286. | ,, | M/s. Diwakar Maternity & Nursing Home | 4776.84 ,, | 4778/11-11-80 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 287. | ,, | Smt. Abzabunnisa | 682.96 ,, | 4779/11-11-80 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 288. | ,, | Sri P. Bhagwan Das | Acs. 25.00 | 4780/11-11-80 | ,, |
| 289. | ,, | Smt. M. Jayalakshmi | 433.95 Sq. Mts. | 4785/11-11-80 | ,, |
| 290. | ,, | Smt. Behara Seethamma | 877.21 ,, | 4786/11-11-80 | ,, |
| 291. | ,, | Lt. Col. E. M. Rao | 992.98 ,, | 4787/11-11-80 | ,, |
| 292. | ,, | Smt. Fatima Siddique | 682.95 ,, | 4788/11-11-80 | ,, |
| 293. | ,, | Sri Afzal Ahmed Quadri | 682.96 ,, | 4789/11-11-80 | ,, |
| 294. | ,, | Sri Syed Qutub-E-Alam | 682 96 ,, | 4790/11-11-80 | ,, |
| 295. | ,, | Kumari D. Krishna Rao | 4003.00 ,, | 4791/11-11-80 | ,, |
| 296. | ,, | Smt. Kamala Devi | 500.00 ,, | 4792/11-11-80 | ,, |
| 297. | ,, | Prof. M. B. Hussain | 11014.00 ,, | 4793/11-11-80 | ,, |
| 298. | ,, | Sri New Chand Jain | 622.07 ,, | 4799/12-11-80 | ,, |
| 299. | ,, | Sri P. Venkateswarlu | 754.00 ,, | 4800/12-11-80 | ,, |
| 300. | ,, | Sri N. J. Rao | 3.36 ,, | 4815/13-11-80 | ,, |
| 301. | ,, | Smt. A. Prameela Devi | 1000.00 ,, | 4816/13-11-80 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 303. | UC.II | Sri T. Venkatappa | 688.00 Sq. Mts. | 4818/13-11-80 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 304. | ,, | Smt. T. L. Laxmi | 86.96 ,, | 4819-13-11-80 | ,, |
| 305. | ,, | Smt. M. Vasantha | 81.13 ,, | 4820/13-11-80 | ,, |
| 306. | ,, | Sri Mohd. Lukharan | 745.86 ,, | 4821/13-11-80 | ,, |
| 307. | ,, | Sri M. Nagendra Rao | 706.60 ,, | 4822/13-11-80 | ,, |
| 308. | ,, | Sri Shuzath Alikhan | 408.00 ,, | 4823/13-11-80 | ,, |
| 309. | ,, | Smt. B. Suryanarayanamma | 702.00 ,, | 4824/13-11-80 | ,, |
| 310. | ,, | Smt. Karasani Ramamma | 558.52 ,, | 4825/13-11-80 | ,, |
| 311. | ,, | Sri T. Radha Krishna | 558.52 ,, | 4828/14-11-80 | ,, |
| 312. | UC.III | M/s. Almelo Chemicals (P) Ltd. | 13921.00 ,, | 4832/14-11-80 | ,, |
| 313. | ,, | M/s. Dakshina Pharmacuiticals (P) Ltd. | 4046.86 ,, | 4833/14-11-80 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 314. | ,, | M/s. Rama Krishna Chemicals | 1672 40 ,, | 4838/14-11-80 | ,, |
| 315. | UC.II | Sri A. K. K. Nambiar | 3651.30 ,, | 4842/15-11-80 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 316. | ,, | Smt. Amina Habeed Buddin, Sri Sadia Habafuddin Sri Shaina Habeduddin and Fathima Habeebuddin | 1285.20 ,, | 4851/17-11-80 | ,, |
| 317. | ,, | Sri Hari Venkateswar Rao | 555.90 ,, | 4854/17-11-80 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 318. | UC.II | Sri V. Subrahmanyan | 674.76 Sq. Mts. | 4871/18-11-80 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 319. | ,, | Smt. Snehalatha | 250.00 ,, | 4872/18-11-80 | ,, |
| 320. | ,, | Lt. Col. B. C. P. Scott | 320.00 ,, | 4873/18-11-80 | ,, |
| 321. | ,, | Sri K. Hanumantha Rao | 526.70 ,, | 4874/18-11-80 | ,, |
| 322. | ,, | Sri T. Bapaiah | 1500.00 ,, | 4875/18-11-80 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 323. | UC.III | M/s. Uppal Biscut Company Ltd. | 12209.404 ,, | 4879/20-11-80 | ,, |
| 324. | UC.II | P. G. E. Pochkheawala | 1038.88 ,, | 4880/20-11-80 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 325. | ,, | Sri P. V. Maheswara Rao | 338.87 ,, | 4881/20-11-80 | ,, |
| 326. | UC.III | M/s. Sirpur Paper Mills Ltd. | 20294.30 ,, | 4886/21-11-80 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 327. | ,, | Sri A. Prabhanjan Reddy | 10500.00 ,, | 4896/24-11-80 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 328. | ,, | Dr. K. V. Krishna Rao | 191.49 ,, | 4897/24-11-80 | ,, |
| 329. | ,, | Sri P. Venkateswara Rao | 420.58 ,, | 4906/25-11-80 | ,, |
| 330. | ,, | Smt. A. D. Mistry | 477.26 ,, | 4907/25-11-80 | ,, |
| 331. | ,, | Smt. Selcha Tungel Baig | 245.00 ,, | 4908/25-11-80 | ,, |
| 332. | ,, | Dr. M. Ranga Reddy | 4236.12 ,, | 4922/28-11-80 | ,, |
| 333. | ,, | Sri G. Kishan Rao | 519.69 ,, | 4936/ 2-12-80 | ,, |
| 334. | ,, | Sri N. J. Kamath | 196.60 ,, | 4937/ 2-12-80 | ,, |
| 335. | ,, | Sri N. Appaiah | 535.13 ,, | 4938/ 2-12-80 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 5. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 336. | UC.II | Sri J. D. Mistry | 289.00 Sq. Mts. | 4939/ 2-12-80 | ,, |
| 337. | ,. | Smt. I. Yashoda | 672.26 ,, | 4954/ 3-13-80 | ,, |
| 338. | ,, | Smt. G. Narasamma | 672.26 ,, | 4955/ 3-12-80 | ,, |
| 339. | ,, | Smt. R. Sunanda Devi | 264.00 ,, | 4956/ 3-12-80 | ,, |
| 340. | ,, | Smt. Y. Prameela | 254.00 ,, | 4976/10-12-80 | ,, |
| 341. | ,, | Smt. Saraswathi | 250.00 ,, | 4977/10-12-80 | ,, |
| 342. | ,, | Sri B. Venkata Krishna Rao | 216.44 ,, | 4978/10 12-80 | ,, |
| 342. | ,, | Sri M. R. Dhan Raj | 416.45 ,, | 4979/10-12-80 | ,, |
| 343. | ,, | Sri N. R. Chowdary & Others | 1500.00 ,, | 4991/16-12-80 | ,, |
| 344. | ,, | Sri P. Jagadeswara Rao | 672.26 ,, | 4993/16-12 80 | ,, |
| 345. | ,, | Smt. J. S. Reddy | 640.23 ,, | 4994/16-12-80 | ,, |
| 346. | ,, | Smt. P. Rajeswari | 85.51 ,, | 4995/16-12-80 | ,, |
| 347. | ,, | Sri Padi Bilgrami | 3132.27 ,, | 4996. 16-12-80 | ,, |
| 348. | ,, | Sri Premkumar Raj | 398.00 ,, | 5002/16-12-80 | ,, |
| 349. | ., | Sri K. Yagnanarayana | 281.67 ,, | 5003/16-12-89 | ,, |
| 350. | ,, | Sri K. Bheem Rao | Acs. 3.12 | 5010/18-12-80 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 351. | ,, | Sri Mahesh Kumar Raj | 280.11 Sq. Mts. | 5024/23-12-80 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 352. | ,, | Smt. K. Eswari Devi | 280.11 ,, | 5025/23-12-80 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 353. | UC.II | Sri R. S. Bhargava | 219.40 SqM.ts. | 5026/23-12-80 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 354. | ,, | Sri K. S R. | 360.00 ,, | 5027/23-12-80 | ,, |
| 355. | ,, | Miss. Rohan Rao | 205.00 ,, | 5028/23-12-80 | ,, |
| 356. | ,, | Sri N. Balaiah | 425.45 ,, | 5029/23-1-280 | ,, |
| 357. | ,, | Sri B. V. Ramana Rao | 95.24 ,. | 5030/23-12-80 | ,, |
| 358. | ,, | Sri Nadendla Bhaskara Rao | 1643.00 ,, | 5037/24-12-80 | ,, |
| 359. | ,, | Sri S. Jagadiswara Rao | 11.56 ,, | 5063/31-12 80 | ,, |
| 360. | ,, | Sri A. V. R. Krishna Murthy | 563.00 ,, | 5064/31-12-80 | ,, |
| 361. | ,, | Smt. N. Lakshmi | 778.43 ,, | 5065/31-12-80 | ,, |
| 362. | ,, | Smt. R. A. V. Bharti | 1197.35 ,, | 5066/31-12 80 | ,, |
| 363. | ,, | Sri S. S. N. C. Singara Charyulu | 418.06 ,, | 5067/31-12-80 | ,, |
| 364. | ,, | Sri S. Suryanarayana | 11.56 ,, | 5068/31-12-80 | ,, |
| 365. | ,, | Smt. S. S. Koshan | 348.66 ,, | 5069/31-12-80 | ,, |
| 366. | ,, | Sri D. Purnachandra Rao | 507.83 ,, | 5070/31-12-80 | ,, |

## 1 9 8 0

## VIJAYAWADA URBAN AGGLOMERATION

### LIST OF EXEMPTIONS GRANTED UNDER SECTION 20 (1) (a) AND 20 (1) (b) OF THE URBAN LAND (CEILING AND REGULATION) ACT, 1976 FROM 17-2-1976.

| Sl. No. | Section | Name of the Exemptee | Extent in Sq. Mts. | G. O. Ms. No. and Date | Purpose 20 (1) (a) or 20 (1) (b) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
| 1. | UC.III | M/s. Rukmini para Boiled Rice & Oil Mills | 26,465.25 Sq.Mts | 1013/12-3-80 | 20 (1)(a) |
| 2. | UC.II | Sri V. Vidyasagar | 388.00 ,, | 1791/18-4-80 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 3. | ,, | Dr. G. Samaram | 145.00 ,, | 1912/28-4-80 | ,, |
| 4 | ,, | Sri Ch. Vagin | 198.00 ,, | 1914/28-4-80 | ,, |
| 5. | ,, | Sri I. Sivaprasada Rao | 30.00 ,, | 2111/12-5-80 | ,, |
| 6. | ,, | Sri Kuladip Rai Mahajan | 9.00 ,, | 2150/13-5-80 | ,, |
| 7. | ,, | Sri M. Anantha Kumar | 56.99 ,, | 2152/13-5-80 | ,, |
| 8. | ,, | Sri. Mohd. Abdul Saleem | 173.00 ,, | 2153/13-5-80 | ,, |
| 9. | ,, | Sri L. Narasimha Sarma | 20.00 ,, | 2150/13-5-80 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6: |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 10. | UC.II | Smt. Chennupati Hymavathi | 183 00 Sq.Mts | 2155/13-5-80 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 11. | ,, | Sri L. Rama Murthy | 155.00 ,, | 2156/13-5-80 | ,, |
| 12. | ,, | Sri Rajapapu Bapanayya | 50.00 ,, | 2157/15-5-80 | ,, |
| 13. | ,, | Sri Shankar Murthy | 290.00 ,, | 2162/14-5-80 | ,, |
| 14. | ,, | Sri V. Nageswara Rao | 172 00 ,, | 2166/14-5-80 | ,, |
| 15. | ,, | Sri Bandi Krishna | 454.00 ,, | 2169/14-5-80 | ,, |
| 16. | ,, | Smt. K. Swarajyalakshmi | 242.00 ,, | 2170/14-5-80 | ,, |
| 17. | ,, | Sri R. Rami Reddy | 50.00 ,, | 2185/15-5-80 | ,, |
| 18. | ,, | Vijayawada Social Club latehary Society | 73.00 ,, | 2187/15-5-80 | ,, |
| 19 | ,, | Smt Y. Sitharavamma | 356 00 ,, | 2188/15-5-80 | ,, |
| 20. | ,, | Smt. Neelam Mangatayaramma | 139 00 , | 2189/15-5-80 | ,, |
| 21. | ,, | Sri Koneru Rama Vijaya | 48.00 ,, | 2190/15-5 80 | ,, |
| 22. | ,, | Sri J. Subba Raju | 481.00 ,, | 2307/20-5-80 | ,, |
| 23. | ,, | Smt. Syed Begum | 181.00 ,, | 3303/20-5-80 | ,, |
| 24. | ,, | Sri K. Venkata Reddy | 198.00 ,, | 2309/20-5-80 | ,, |
| 25. | ,, | Sri S. M. H. Khadri | 292 00 ,, | 2312/20-5-80 | ,, |
| 26 | ,, | Smt. Katragadda Anusuyamma | 57.00 ,, | 2313/20-5-80 | ,, |
| 27. | ', | Sri A. Krishna Murthy | 154.00 ,, | 2314/20-5-80 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 28. | UC.II | Sri D. Subba Reddy | 36.00 Sq.Mts | 2315/20-5-80 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 29. | ,, | Sri Y. Venkateswara Rao | 163.00 ,, | 2551/20-5-80 | ,, |
| 30. | ,, | Sri K. Venkateswara Rao | 164.00 ,, | 2552/10-6-80 | ,, |
| 31. | ,, | Sri Shaik Buda Saheb | 138.00 ,, | 2553/10 6-80 | ,, |
| 32. | ,, | Sri Valluri Gapalakrishnaiah | 311.00 ,, | 2561/11-6-80 | ,, |
| 33. | ,, | Sri V. Venkateswara Prasad | 104.00 ,, | 2622/13-6-80 | ,, |
| 34. | ,, | Sri Veeramachineni Hanuman Pfasan | 67 00 ,, | 2546/16-6-80 | ,, |
| 35. | ,, | Sri K. Adinarayana Rao | 471.00 ,, | 2651/16-6 80 | ,, |
| 36. | ,, | Smt. A. Seshagiramma | 273.00 ,, | 2655/16-6-80 | ,, |
| 37. | ,, | Sri Veeramachineni Kesava Prasad | 200.00 ,, | 2657/16-6-80 | ,, |
| 38. | UC.III | M/s. Krishna Enterprises | 7,890.00 ,, | 3534/5-8-80 | ,, |
| 39. | UC.I | Smt. Nori Parvathamma | 247.00 ,, | 3838/19-8-80 | ,, |
| 40. | UC.II | Sri T. Madhusudhana Rao | 190.00 ,, | 4086/25-8-80 | ,, |
| 41. | ,, | Smt. Kurma Koteswaramma | 5,670.00 ,, | 4128/1-9-80 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 42. | ,, | Sri K. V. Koteawara Rao | 5,702.00 ,, | 4129/1-9-80 | ,, |
| 43. | ,, | M/s. Sri Kanakadurga Rice & Oil Mills | Ac. 2,12 ,, | 4303/12-9-80 | ,, |
| 44. | UC.III | M/s. Progressive Plastic C. I. E. | 938.55 ,, | 4304/12-9-80 | ,, |
| 45. | UC.II | Sri Ch. Koteswara Rao | 77 00 ,, | 4569/6-10-80 | 20 (1) (b) |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 46. | UC. I | Sri K. Mallikharjuna Rao | 559.00 Sq. Mts. | 4659/24-10-80 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 47. | ,, | Sri V. V. Rama Rao | 4,287.00 ,, | 4660/24-10-80 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 48. | ,, | Sri A. Sriramulu | 171.00 ,, | 4696/29-10-80 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 49. | UC.II | Sri Ghanraj Syamdas | 238.00 ,, | 4702/30-10-80 | ,, |
| 51. | UC.III | M/s. Kandari Corporation | 2,400.00 ,, | 4748/ 5-11-80 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 50. | UC.II | Sri Brahmachari Bawaji | | 4783/11-11-80 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 52. | ,, | Sri Hari Venkateswarlu | 555.90 ,, | 4854/17-11-80 | ,, |
| 53. | ,, | Sri Chakka Suryanarayana | 563.00 ,, | 4857/17-11-80 | ,, |
| 54. | ,, | Sri Chennupati Raju & Chennupati Madhusudhana Rao | 1,828.00 ,, | 4895/24-11-80 | ,, |
| 55. | ,, | Sri N. Chinnappala Swamy | 9,934.00 ,, | 4941/ 2-12-80 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 56. | ,, | Smt. Nallareddy Jayalakshmi | 1,252.00 ,, | 4949/ 3-12-80 | ,, |
| 57. | UC.I | Sri N. Narasimha Rao (Cancelled in G.O. Ms. No. 213 dated 13-2-82) | | 4981/10-12-80 | 20 )1) (b) |
| 58. | ,, | Sri M. Srinivasa Rao | 18,888.00 ,, | 4999/16-12-80 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 59. | UC.II | Sri K. Ram Mohan Rao | 172.00 ,, | 5032/23-12-80 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 60. | ,, | Sri P. Narayana Rao | 33.00 ,, | 5033/23-12-80 | ,, |
| 61. | ,, | Sri A. M. S. Suryanarayana Rao | | 5062/31-12-80 | ,, |

1980

VISAKHAPATNAM URBAN AGGLOMERATION

LIST OF EXEMPTIONS GRANTED UNDER SECTION 20(1) (a) AND 20 (1) (b) OF THE URBAN LAND (CEILING & REGULATION) ACT, 1976 FROM 17—2—1976.

| Sl. No. | Section | Name of Exemptee | Extent in Sq.Mts. | G.O.Ms.No. and Date | Purpose 20(1) (a) or 20(1)(b) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
| 1. | UC.III | M/s. Apsara Enterprises Pvt. Ltd. | 8,244.12 Sq. Mts. | 30/ 7-1-80 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 2. | UC.II | Sri K. Jagan Mohana Rao G.P.A. holder of K. Prabhavathi | Ac. 2.66 | 1130/21-3-80 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 3. | ,, | Sri J. C. Moses | 131.00 Sq. Mts. | 1913/28-4-80 | ,, |
| 4. | ,, | Sri M. Ramanjulu | 186.00 ,, | 2112/12-5-80 | ,, |
| 5. | ,, | Sri M. Siva Prasad | 186.00 ,, | 2113/12-5-80 | ,, |
| 6. | ,, | Sri M. Sudhakar | 186.00 ,, | 2114/12-5-80 | ,, |
| 7. | ,, | Sri J. V. Ramachandra Rao | 210.00 ,, | 2115/12-5-80 | ,, |
| 8. | ,, | Sri P. Tatayya | 320.00 ,, | 2116/12-5-80 | ,, |
| 9. | ,, | Sri B. V. Surya Prakash Rao | 240.00 ,, | 2149/13-5-80 | ,, |
| 10. | ,, | Sri P. S. R. Murthy | 172.00 ,, | 2151/13-5-80 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 11. | UC.II | Sri Palla Narayanaswamy | 417.00 Sq. Mts. | 2158/13-5-80 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 12. | ,, | Sri B. S. N. Murthy | 108.00 ,, | 2159/13-5-80 | ,, |
| 13. | ,, | Sri P. Srinivasulu | 124.00 ,, | 2163/14-5-80 | ,, |
| 14 | ,, | Sri Pithala Appalaswamy | 98.00 ,, | 2168/14-5-80 | ,, |
| 15. | ,, | Sri M. Malleswara Rao | 341.00 ,, | 2182/15-5-80 | ,, |
| 16. | ,, | Sri Madala Appalanaidu | 239.00 ,, | 2183/15-5-80 | ,, |
| 17. | ,, | Sri N. Kondala Rao | 270.00 ,, | 2184/15-5-80 | ,, |
| 18. | ,, | Sri Devi Reddy | 129.00 ,, | 2310/20-5-80 | ,, |
| 19. | ,, | Sri B. V. V. Narayana Murthy | 119.00 ,, | 2316/20-5-80 | ,, |
| 20. | ,, | Sri P. Polaiah | 312.00 ,, | 2317/20-5-80 | ,, |
| 21. | ,, | Sri Vallabha Rao | 77.00 ,, | 2697/17-6-80 | ,, |
| 22. | ,, | Miss. Veeravalli Raja Leela | 15.00 ,, | 2709/19-6-80 | ,, |
| 23. | ,, | Sri P. Adimurthy Reddy | 217.00 ,, | 2710/19-6-80 | ,, |
| 24. | ,, | Smt. Vatu Vijayalakshmi | 274.00 ,, | 2711/19-6-80 | ,, |
| 25. | ,, | Sri D. J. Chinna Venkata Reddy | 75.00 ,, | 2712/19-6-80 | ,, |
| 26. | UC.III | M/s. Srinivasa Poultries Enterprises | | 3202/21-7-80 | ,, |
| 27. | UC.II | Sri Mohan Singh | 477.00 ,, | 3246/24-7-80 | ,, |
| 28. | ,, | Smt. Kolli Varalakshmi | 483.00 ,, | 4101/ 5-9 80 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 5. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 29. | UC.II | Sri Gompa Dali Naidu | 204.00 Sq. Mts. | 4202/ 5.9.80 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 30. | ,, | Sri Tilaka Kandayya | 204.00 ,, | 4203/ 5-9-80 | ,, |
| 31. | ,, | Sri M. Gopalakrishna & Oihers | Acs. 5.11 | 4248/ 9.9.80 | ,, |
| 32. | ,, | Sri V. V. Kameswara Rao | 17.00 Sq. Mts. | 4275/10.9.80 | ,, |
| 33. | ,, | Sri K. Irinadha Swamy | 182.00 ,, | 4276/13.9.83 | ,, |
| 34. | ,, | Sri Pikka Nooka Raju | 43.00 ,, | 4277/10.9.80 | ,, |
| 35. | ,, | Sri M. Padmakara Rao | 43.00 ,, | 4278/10.9.80 | ,, |
| 36. | ,, | Sri Pilaka Polaiah | 196.00 ,, | 4280/10-9-80 | ,, |
| 37. | ,, | Sri K. Satyanarayana | 13.00 ,, | 4280/10-9-80 | ,, |
| 38. | ,, | Sri Kota Appala Narasaiah GPA of Smt. M. Lakshmi Narasamma and 3 Others | Acs. 16.00 | 4486/18.9.80 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 39. | ,, | Sri K. S. Ramachandra Rao | 43.00 Sq. Mts. | 4574/8-10-80 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 40. | ,, | Sri P. Appalanarasimham | 4,465.00 ,, | 4654/24.10.80 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 41. | UC.III | M/s. Automotive Manufacturers Ltd. | 5,051.00 ,, | 4672/28.10.80 | ,, |
| 42. | ,, | M/s. Shambu Prasad & Sons (P) Ltd. | 4,137.00 ,, | 4727/ 3.11.80 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 43. | UC.II | Sri E. Prabhakar Reddy | 434.00 ,, | 4747/ 5-11-80 | ,, |
| 44. | UC.III | Sri D. Saidappa Reddy & Sri D. Maheswara Reddy | 2,688.00 ,, | 4749/ 5-11-80 | 20 (1) (a) |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 45 | UC.II | Sri K. Suryanarayan | 734.00 Sq. Mts | 4801/12-11-80 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 46. | UC.III | Sri Sonti Venkataramyya & Smt. S. S Laxmi | | 4834/14-11-80 | ,, |
| 47. | ,, | Sri A. S. Raja & N. R Rao | 3,700.00 ,, | 4886/21-11-80 | ,, |
| 48. | UC.II | Sri Cherakapu Ramachander | 789.00 ,, | 4893/24-11-80 | ,, |
| 49. | ,, | Smt. Adari Ramullamma | 85.00 ,, | 4909/25-11-80 | ,, |
| 50. | ,, | Sri E. Ramamurthy | 807.00 ,, | 4940/2-12-80 | ,, |
| 51. | ,, | Sri E. Shanmukha Rao | 120.00 ,, | 4950/3-12-80 | ,, |
| 52. | ,, | Sri K. Srinivasa Rao | 1,128.00 ,, | 4951/3-12-80 | ,, |
| 53. | ,, | Smt. Kolluri Ganga Bai | 269 00 ,, | 4997/16-12-80 | ,, |
| 54. | ,, | Sri R. Saileswara Rao | 472.00 ,, | 5020/20-12-80 | ,, |

re : Allegations made against C. M.

## 1 9 8 0

## GUNTUR URBAN AGGLOMERATION

### LIST OF EXEMPTIONS GRANTED UNDER SECTION 20 (1) (a) AND 20 (1) (b) OF THE URBAN LAND (CEILING AND REGULATION) ACT, 1976 FROM 17-2-1976.

| Sl No. | Section | Name of the Exemptee | Extent in Sq. Mts. | G. O. Ms. No. and Date | Purpose 20 (1) (a) or 20 (1) (b) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
| 1. | UC.III | Sri Mathukummalli Raghothamaraya Sastry's Temple, Tenali. | Ac. 6.50 | 1553/10-4-80 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 2. | ,, | Sri G. Venkatappa Reddy | 246.00 Sq.Mts | 1790/18-4-80 | ,, |
| 3. | ,, | Sri Koritipati Chinna Veeraiah | 110.36 ,, | 2164/14-5-80 | ,, |
| 3-A | ,, | Sri Paleti Shankar | 165.76 ,, | 2167/14-5-80 | ,, |
| 4. | ,, | Sri V. Nagabhushan | 104.00 \| 291.75 \| 1,230.00 \| 4,501.96 \| ,, | 2186/15-5-80 | ,, |
| 5. | ,, | Dr. K. Krishna Murthy | 216.81 ,, | 2311/20-5-80 | ,, |
| 6. | ,, | Sri N. Satyanarana | 23.00 ,, | 2318/20-5-80 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 7. | UC.II | Sri D. Raghu | 440.00 | ,, | 2656/16-6-80 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 8. | ,, | Sri Venkateswara Rao | 446.00 | ,, | 2658/16-6-80 | ,, |
| 9. | ,, | Sri D. Raja Sekhar | 483.00 | ,, | 2698/17-6-80 | ,, |
| 10. | ,, | Sri D. Lakshmikantha Rao | 450.00 | ,, | 2706/19-6 80 | ,, |
| 11. | ,, | Smt. Prem Nagendramma | 23.43 | ,, | 3877/19-8-80 | ,, |
| 12. | ,, | Sri A. Pulla Rao | 78.54 | ,, | 3839/19-8-80 | ,, |
| 13. | ,, | Sri Adapa Seshagiri Rao | 78.54 | ,, | 3840 19-8-80 | ,, |
| 14. | UC.II | Sri P. Satyam & Others | 13,148.62 | ,, | 3995/22-8-80 | ,, |
| 15. | ,, | Sri P. Venkataramaiah | Acs. 2.80 | | 3996/22-8-80 | ,, |
| 16. | ,, | Sri Peram Kotaiah | 441.00 | ,, | 4553/1-10 80 | ,, |
| 17. | ,, | Guntur City State Govt. Employees Co-op. House Building Society | 7,830.00 + 208.55 + 95,341.83 | ,, | 4659/22-10-80 | ,, (Socie |
| 18. | ,, | Smt. K. Manikyam | 2,657.00 | ,, | 4664/27-10-80 | ,, |
| 19. | UC.I | Smt. Damerla | 2,957.00 | ,, | 4665/27-10-80 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 20. | ,, | Smt. Savithri | 1,197.49 | ,, | 4721/3-11-80 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 21. | UC.II | Smt. J. Subbamma | 23.00 | ,, | 4736/4-11-80 | ,, |
| 22. | ,, | Sri L. Raghavendra Rao | 4,937.00 | ,, | 4776/11-11-80 | ,, |

re : Allegations made against C. M.

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 23. | ,, | Sri Kanda Gangi Reddy | 862.32 ,, | 4782/11-11-80 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 24. | ,, | Smt. J. Veeramma | 4,709.00 ,, | 4794/11-11-80 | ,, |
| 25. | ,, | Sri J. Sarangapani | 138.48 ,, | 4795/11-11-80 | ,, |
| 26 | ,, | Smt. G' Savithri | 326.00 ,, | 4796/11-11-80 | ,, |
| 27. | ,, | Sri V. V. Subba Rao | 222.40 ,, | 4797/11-11-80 | ,, |
| 28. | ,, | Sri A. V. Prabhakara Rao | 5,848-24 ,, | 4856/17-11-80 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 29. | ,, | Sri Majetti Someswara Rao and Sri Majetti Subba Rao | Ac. 2.16 Cents | 4889/21-1180 | ,, |
| 30. | ,, | Sri A. V. Krishna Rao | 4,047.00 ,, | 4894/24-11-80 | ,, |
| 31. | ,, | Sri T. Panduranga Rao | 5.24 ,, | 4901/24-11-80 | ,, |
| 32. | ,, | Sri P. V. Krishna | 304.62 , | 4913/26-11-80 | ,, |
| 33. | ,, | Sri G. Venkata Reddy | 45.78 ,, | 5000/17-12-80 | ,, |

## 1981

## HYDERABAD URBAN AGGLOMERATION

### LIST OF EXEMPTIONS GRANTED UNDER SECTION 20(1) (a) AND 20 (1) (b) OF THE URBAN LAND (CEILING & REGULATION) ACT, 1976 FROM 17—2—1976.

| Sl. No. | Section | Name of Exemptee | Extent in Sq.Mts. | G.O.Ms.No. and Date | Purpose 20(1) (a) or 20(1)(b) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| I. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
| 1. | UC.II | Smt. Sumitra Bai and others | 18792.23 Sq. Mts. | 17/ 5-1-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 2. | ,, | Sri N. R. Chowdary | 1524.00 ,, | 42/ 9-1-81 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 3. | UC.III | M/s. Behubti Oil and Chemicals | 6070.30 ,, | 45/ 9-1-81 | ,, |
| 4. | UC.I1 | Dr. Mrs. Satyavathi Sarma | 696.72 ,, | 57/16-1-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 5. | ,, | Sri Badhar Hussain | 120.00 ,, | 59/16-1-81 | ,, |
| 6. | ,, | Sri Ramanuja Chari | 186.00 ,, | 60/16-1-81 | ,, |
| 7. | ,, | Sri S. Krishna Kumar | 231.60 ,, | 61/16-1-81 | ,, |
| 8. | ,, | Sri V. Kesava Rama Murthy | 1567.00 ,, | 63/16-1-81 | ,, |
| 9. | ,, | Sri G. K. Gopala Krishna Rao | 433.96 ,, | 64/16-1-81 | ,, |
| 10. | ,, | Smt. R. Varalakshmi | 421.42 ,, | 70/17-1-81 | ,, |
| 11. | ,, | Smt. G. Sarojini | 985.00 ,, | 74/17-1-81 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 5. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 12. | UC.II | Sri G. Ramachandra Rao | 415.51 Sq. Mts. | 75/17 1-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 13. | ,, | Miss. Seventi De | 769.00 ,. | 76/17-1-81 | ,, |
| 14. | ,, | Sri Rustoms Bomanjee Parody | 1185.60 ,, | 86/22-1-81 | ,, |
| 15. | ,, | Smt. B. G. Amme | 443.99 ,, | 97/23-1-81 | ,, |
| 16. | ., | Sri Y. Seshaiah | 397.04 ,, | 98/23-1-81 | ,, |
| 17. | UC.III | M/s. South India Research Institute | 25090.00 ,, | 99/23-1-81 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 18. | ,. | Smt. B. Raja Rajeswari Devi | 741.00 ,, | 100/23-1-81 | ,, |
| 19. | ,, | M/s. Sri Cellars Pvt. Ltd. | 4532.49 ,, | 101/23-1-81 | ,, |
| 20. | UC.II | Sri B. F. Dittra | 1128.61 ,, | 107/24-1-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 21. | ,, | Smt. Rani Seth | 2046.86 ,, | 111/24-1-81 | ,, |
| 22. | ,, | Miss. Joyce Turker | 3893.00 ,, | 122/28-1-81 | ,, |
| 23. | ,, | Sri A. S. Rama Krishna | 237.87 ,, | 128/28-1-81 | ,, |
| 24. | ,, | Sri N. P. Pai | 7894.00 ,, | 130/28-1-81 | ,, |
| 25. | ,, | Dr. S. M. Kurien | 556.48 ,, | 131/28-1-81 | ,, |
| 26. | ,, | Sri M. Venkatesham | 538.48 ,, | 132/28-1-81 | ,, |
| 27. | ,, | Sri D. Subbaiah Pillai | 1000.00 ,, | 133/28-1-81 | ,, |
| 28. | UC.III | M/s. Hyderabad Potteries | 4000.00 ,, | 144/31-1-81 | ,, |
| 29. | ,. | M/s. A. P. Nitrates and Chemicals | 1350.00 ,, | 156/13-2-81 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 30. | UC.II | Sri V. V. Narayana Rao | 1146.34 Sq. Mts. | 161/ 4 2-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 31. | ,, | Smt. Shevanti Rajeshwar & Smt. Prathibha | 40646.80 ,, | 172/ 5-2-81 | ,, |
| 32. | UC.III | M/s. Sri Krishna Pharmaceuticals | 5737.50 ,, | 176/ 6-2-81 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 33. | UC.II | Smt. P. Aruna | 672.26 ,, | 180/ 7-2-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 34. | ,, | Major K. M. Varghese | Acs. 8.7 Gts. | 181/ 7-2-81 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 35. | ,, | Sri Achi Reddy and others | Acs. 22.25 Guntas | 190/ 9-2-80 | ,, |
| 36. | ,, | Sri Bilfred Divakar Francise | 602.12 Sq. Mts. | 201/10-2-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 37. | ,, | Sri M. Raghupathi Reddy | 609.96 ,, | 202/10-2-81 | ,, |
| 38. | ,, | Sri Handi Bilgrami | Amendment | 208/10-2-81 | ,, |
| 39. | ,, | Sri Chotalal Shri Varma Vyas | 667.02 and 24179.96 | 212/10-2-81 | 20 (1) (a) & 20 (1) (b) |
| 40. | UC.III | M/s. Onega Electro Plastic Industries | 1335.47 Sq. Mts. | 213/10-2-81 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 41. | UC.II | Sri M. Sashidhar Reddy | 679.11 ,, | 214/ | 20 (1) (b) |
| 42. | ,, | Sri G. S. Aurora | 11.71 ,, | 239/13-2-81 | ,, |
| 43. | UC.III | M/s. Askay Industries Corparation | 2104.00 ,, | 241/13-2-81 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 44. | ,, | M/s. Impack Metals (P) Ltd. | 3607.7 ,, | 255/16-2-81 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 45. | UC.III | Shipa Biscut (P) Ltd. | 6232.38 Sq. Mts. | 257/16-2-81 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 45. | ,, | M/s. Susfronics | 1595.00 ,, | 263/19-2-81 | ,, |
| 47. | UC.II | Sarva Sri D. J. Rao, Madan Mohan Rao, Ashok Kumar Rao, and Surendra Kumar Rao | 7882.00 ,, | 270/20-2-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 48. | ,, | Sri F. Srinivasa Rao | 660.75 ,, | 294/26-2-81 | ,, |
| 49. | ,, | Smt. Razia Sirajuddin | 359.75 ,, | 300/26-2-81 | ,, |
| 50 | ,, | Sri Kundaravalli Koteswara Rao | 325.59 ,, | 301/26-2--81 | ,, |
| 51. | ,, | Sri P. V. Ratnam I.A.S. (Retd.) | 313.68 ,, | 305/26-2-81 | ,, |
| 52. | ,, | Sri T. Babu Reddy | 4033.00 ,, | 329/28-2-81 | ,, |
| 53. | ,, | Smt. P. Vijayalakshmi | 476.00 ,, | 332/ 2-3-81 | ,, |
| 54. | UC.III | M/s. Hariyan Steel Centre | 5588.00 ,, | 344/ 5-3-81 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 55. | UC.II | Sri Rao Nivas Gupta | 406.64 ,, | 353/ 6-3-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 56. | ,, | Sri Tibarumal Gupta | 271.02 ,, | 364/ 7-3-81 | ,, |
| 57. | ,, | Sri P. Krishna Murthy | 196.00 ,, | 382/ 9-3-81 | ,, |
| 58. | UC.III | M/s. Samyale Resins and Chemicals (P) Ltd. | 4050.00 ,, | 392/ 9-3-81 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 59. | ,, | Lenminons containers | 1272.3 ,, | 393/ 9-3-81 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 60. | UC III | M/s Bharat Antiforication Products | 119293.00 Sq.Mts | 394/9-3-81 | 20 (1)(a) |
| 61. | ,, | M/s. Hind Electrics and Electronics | 2021.00 ,, | 395/9-3-81 | ,, |
| 62. | ,, | M/s. Standard Products Manufacturing | 2222.00 ,, | 396/9-3-81 | ,, |
| 63. | ,, | M/s. Dawn Laboratories | 6050.00 ,, | 397/9-3-81 | ,, |
| 64 | UC.II | Sri P.P. Williams | 121.00 ,, | 406/10-3-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 65. | ,, | Sri B. V. Amen | 286.00 ,, | 407/10-3-81 | ,, |
| 66. | UC.III | M/s. Nangaroo Corrugators | 2851.00 ,, | 424/13-3-81 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 67. | UC.II | Sri B. F. Dittia, IAS, on behalf of M. B. Dittia | 869.00 ,, | 425/133-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 68. | UC.III | M/s. Vinod Electro Planting Works | 1263.64 ,, | 448/17-3-81 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 69. | UC.II | Sri G. Jayasambi Reddy | 447.00 ,, | 456/17-3-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 70. | ,, | Sri. K. Basava Punnpiah | 160.00 ,, | 457/17-3-81 | ,, |
| 71. | ,, | Sri Halthru Gupta | 57.00 ,, | 548/17-3-81 | ,, |
| 72. | UC.III | M/s. Prasad Products | 2024.56 ,, | 460/17-3-81 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 73. | UC.II | Sri Ram K. Kondapi. | 156.00 ,, | 466/18-3-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 74. | ,, | Sri Rajendra Kumar and Arabind Kumar | Acs: 3.00 | 476/19-3-81 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 75. | ,, | Mr. D. Toorkey | 2117.60 ,, | 483/23-3-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 76. | ,, | Miss. Sonia | 695.70 ,, | 491/24-3 81 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 77. | UCII. | Smt. Y. Padmavathi | 660.00 Sq. Mts | 492/24-3-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 78. | UC.III | M/s. Hyderabad Instrument Transformers | 1438.09 ,, | 493/24-3-81 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 79. | ,, | M/s. Prem Industrial Corporation | 2023.69 ,, | 494/24 3-81 | ,, |
| 80. | ,, | M/s. Saraswathi Plastic Manufacturers | 2343.00 ,, | 495/24-3-81 | ,, |
| 81. | ,, | M/s. Sesha Sai Chemicals | 2128.00 ,, | 496/24-3-81 | ,, |
| 82. | UC.II | Sri M. P. Pai | 7494.00 ,, | 508/26-3-81 | 30 (1) (b) |
| 83. | ,, | Sri C. R. Narasimha Chari | 804.80 ,, | 512/26-3 81 | ,, |
| 84. | ,, | Smt Suman Madhukar Joshi | 16706.00 ,, | 525/27-3-81 | ,, |
| 85. | ,, | Sri Dwijender Reddy | 278.00 ,, | 527/28-3-81 | ,, |
| 86. | ,, | Sri M. Mumtazuddin | 584.00 ,, | 529/28-3 81 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 87. | ,, | Smt. Badmini Bai Gupta | 6313.50 ,, | 530/28-3-81 | ,, |
| 88. | ,, | Sri A. N. N. Venkata Subba Rao | 681.03 ,, | 531/28-3-81 | ,, |
| 89. | ,, | Kumari R. R. Mani | 176.67 ,, | 533/28-3-81 | ,, |
| 90. | ,, | Kumari R. Surya Kantha | 176.67 ,, | 534/28 3-81 | ,, |
| 91. | ,, | Kumari R. Hymavathi | 176.67 ,, | 535/28-3-81 | ,, |
| 92. | ,, | Kumari R. Girija Devi | 176.67 ,, | 536/28-3-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 93. | ,, | Sri R. Ramanaiah | 176.67 ,, | 547/28-3-81 | ,, |
| 94. | ,, | Sri R. K. C. Mouleswar | 176.67 ,, | 538/28-3 81 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 95. | UC III | Smt. J. Eshwari Bai (Geeta Nursing Home | 1921.41 Sq.Vts | 539/28-3-81 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 96. | UC.II | Sri G. Satyanarayana | 329.50 ,, | 540/28-3 81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 97. | ,, | Smt. Nizarunnisa Begum | 29016.46 ,, | 562/1-4-81 | ,, |
| 98. | UC.III | M/s Makes and Thandle | 1860.00 ,, | 564/1 4-81 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 99. | UC.I | Sri Gulam Mohd. Yousuf Hussain Arora Co-op. Hause Bnilding Society | 4209.29 ,, | 575/2-4-81 | ,, |
| 100. | UC.II | Sri S. S Pradhan | 378.78 ,, | 589/6-4--81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 101. | ,, | Sri K. Venkat Reddy | 560.10 ,, | 592/7-8-81 | ,, |
| 102. | ,, | Sri C. S. Sivanamaiah | 356.86 ,, | 623/15-4-81 | ,, |
| 103. | ,, | Sri Gool dov. Tata | 1505.38 ,, | 624/15-481 | ,, |
| 104. | UC.III | M/s. R.K. Enterprises | 1084.00 ,, | 625/15-4-81 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 105. | UC.II | Sri D.A. Kamath | 381.29 ,, | 627/16-4-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 106. | ,, | Sri Jyotimeha Narayana Boy | 760.25 ,, | 628/16-4-81 | ,, |
| 107. | ,, | Sri M. S. Reddy | 136.29 ,, | 639/18-4-81 | ,, |
| 108. | ,, | Sri M. Shekhar Reddy | Amendment | 661/20-4-81 | ,, |
| 109. | UC.III | M/s. Easetrn Machinery Corporation | 1494.48 ,, | 667/21-4-81 | ,, |
| 110. | UC.II | Sri V. C. Gupta | Amendment | 668/21-4-81 | ,, |
| 111. | ,, | Smt. Premeela Menon | 1737.36 ,, | 706/30-4-81 | ,, |
| 112. | ,, | Sri P. Masilamani | 681.88 ,, | 716/1-5-81 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 113. | UC.II | Sri C. N. Vittal Rao | 292.63 Sq.Mts | 729/4-5-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 114. | ,, | Smt. E. Sarswathi | Amendment | 733,4-5-81 | ,, |
| 115. | ,, | Sri Prapul Kumar | 255.01 ,, | 734/4-5 81 | ,, |
| 116. | ,, | Sri Hadi Bilgrame | 1003.00 ,, | 737/5-5-81 | ,, |
| 117. | ,, | Sri V. Anjaneyulu | 80.22 ,, | 739/5-5-81 | ,, |
| 118. | ,, | Sri S. Rajeswara Rao | 125.22 ,, | 748/6-5-81 | ,, |
| 119. | ,, | Smt. Khujista Batul Begum | 3770.39 ,, | 758/7-5-81 | ,, |
| 120. | ,, | Sri Karsan Das | 45.65 ,, | 777/13-5-81 | ,, |
| 121. | ,, | Sri J. Hari Krishna | 59994.80 ,, | 778/13-5-81 | ,, |
| 122. | ,, | Sri Smt. Meena | 1329.60 ,, | 800/8-5-81 | ,, |
| 123. | ,, | Sri P. Ranga Rao & 5 others | 214.60 ,, | 822/20-5-81 | ,, |
| 124. | ,, | Sri V. H. Shah | 857.01 ,, | 823/20-5-81 | ,, |
| 125. | ,, | Smt. K. Mulyalamma | 872.00 ,, | 826/20-5-81 | ,, |
| 126 | ,, | Sri D. V. Narayana | 39.31 ,, | 927/20-5-81 | ,, |
| 127. | ,, | Sri G. Rajaiah & 30 others | 25117.60 ,, | 828/20-5-81 | 20 (1) (a) Society |
| 128. | ,, | Sri G. S. Murthy | 384.62 ,, | 833/22-5-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 129 | ,, | Lt. Gl. P. V.Ramachander | 162.88 ,, | 838/23-5-81 | ,, |
| 130. | ,, | Sri Muffakhan Jali & others | 19008.00 ,, | 859/26-5-81 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 131. | UC.II | Sri K. R. Narasa Reddy | 453.18Sq. Mts. | 860/27-5-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 132. | ,, | Sri K. Ramachandra Reddy | 116.80 ,, | 865/27-5-81 | ,, |
| 133. | ,, | Smt. Rangana Desai | 12883.03 ,, | 873/28-5-81 | ,, |
| 134. | ,, | Sri B. V. Rama Rao | 1336.08 ,, | 877/29-5-81 | ,, |
| 135. | ,, | Lt. Col. V. M. Bala Subrahmaniam | 337.80 ,, | 882/29-5-81 | ,, |
| 136. | ,, | Sri P. Yadagiri Rao & Others | 30953.05 ,, | 891/ 1-6-81 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 137. | ,, | Smt. Rani Sujatha | 336.67 ,, | 892/ 1-6-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 138. | ,, | Smt. G. Pentamma | 11.77 ,, | 893/ 1-6-81 | ,, |
| 139. | ,, | Sri Somasekhara Sastry | 98.75 ,, | 894/ 1-6-81 | ,, |
| 140. | ,, | Sri D. A. Kamath | 321.90 ,, | 903/ 2-6-81 | ,, |
| 141. | ,, | Sri T. Chandrasekhara Rao | 229.44 ,, | 934/ 5-6-81 | ,, |
| 142. | ,, | Smt. Masaiah Tyab Ali | 336.67 ,, | 939/ 6-6-81 | ,, |
| 143. | ,, | Smt. Sabai Kamaluddin | 336.67 ,, | 940/ 6-6-81 | ,, |
| 144. | ,, | Smt. Nazli Siddiqui | 1175.62 ,, | 944/ 8-6-81 | ,, |
| 145. | ,, | Sri Maharaj Karan & Others | 21043.00 ,, | 954/10-6-81 | ,, |
| 146. | ,, | Sri Rahul Amelak | 76.00 ,, | 958/12-6-81 | ,, |
| 147. | UC.III | M/s. Indian Plastic Industries | | 977/16-6-81 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 148. | UC.II | Smt. N. Chakravarthi | 2421.00 ,, | 985/16-6-81 | 20 (1) (b) |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 5. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 149. | UC.II | Smt. K. V. Satyavathi | 139.01Sq. Mts. | 986 16-6-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 150. | UC.III | M/s. Vitabiutics | 4042.84 ,, | 995/18-6-81 | ,, |
| 151. | ,, | M/s. Padura Strach Industries | 2023.43 ,, | 997/18-6-81 | ,, |
| 152. | UC.II | Sri C. Srinivasa Reddy & 3 others | | 1008/22-6-81 | ,, |
| 153. | ,, | Smt. Leela Prasanna Devi | 338.00 ,, | 1022/24-6-81 | ,, |
| 154. | ,, | Sri V. Rama Rao | 90.66 ,, | 1035/ 1-7-81 | ,, |
| 155. | ,, | Smt. Rani Sujatha | Amendment | 1037/ 1-7-81 | ,, |
| 156. | ,, | Smt. P. Polanammat | 131.51 ,, | 1038/ 1-7-81 | ,, |
| 157. | ,, | Sri M. Gopalakrishna | 118 40 ,, | 1039/ 1-7-81 | ,, |
| 158. | ,, | Smt. M. Rajeswari | 11.71 ,, | 1040/ 1-7-81 | ,, |
| 159. | ,, | Sri Nalinkumar | 125.01 ,, | 1041/ 1-7-81 | ,, |
| 160. | ,, | Sri D. Venkata Rao | 359.52 ,, | 1042/ 1-7-81 | ,, |
| 161. | ,, | Sri Bharat Kumar | 125.01 ,, | 1043/ 1-7-81 | ,, |
| 162. | ,, | Smt. Jayasree N. Chowdary | 204.20 ,, | 1053/ 4-7-81 | ,, |
| 163. | UC.III | M/s. Silivon Ceramics Ltd. | 4047.00 ,, | 1067/ 4-7-81 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 164. | ,, | M/s. Phanin Chemical | 3488.00 ,, | 1072/ 4-7-81 | ,, |
| 165. | UC.II | Sri C. R. Krishna Swamy Rao Sahib | 249.80 ,, | 1074/ 4-7-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 166. | ,, | Sri Asif Ali Baig | 404.43 ,, | 1076/ 4-7-81 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 167. | UC.III | M/s. Bakelite Hylam Ltd. | 10700 80 Sq. Mts. | 1082/ 6-7-81 | lease |
| 168. | UC.II | Smt. Jayamani | 1745.83 ,, | 1088/ 7-7-81 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 169. | ,, | Kum. Yamuna Mutyapal | 1355.13 ,, | 1089/ 7-7-81 | ,, |
| 170. | ,, | Sri R. M. Vivek | 179.44 ,, | 1098/ 8-7-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 171. | ,, | Sri R. M. Bhishma | 179.44 ,, | 1099/ 8-7-81 | ,, |
| 172. | ,, | Sri R. M. Ashok | 179.44 ,, | 1100/ 8-7-81 | ,, |
| 173. | UC.III | M/s. Deepak Mahal | 4550.00 ,, | 1117/13-7-81 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 174. | UC.II | Sri B. Putta Reddy | 0.04 ,, | 1136/21-7-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 175. | ,, | Sri E. S. Krishna Murthy | 254.19 ,, | 1137/21-7-81 | ,, |
| 176. | ,, | Sri R. Srinigopal | 106.00 ,, | 1138/21-7-81 | ,, |
| 177. | ,, | Smt. M. Agamma | 14479.64 ,, | 1139/21-7-81 | ,, |
| 178. | ,, | Justice D. Chinnappa Reddy and Maheswara Reddy | 1325.00 ,, | 1149/26-7-81 | ,, |
| 179. | ,, | Sri Y. Subba Rao | 307.70 ,, | 1162/24-7-81 | ,, |
| 180. | ,, | Sri Gummadi Seethaiah | | 1163/24-7-81 | ,, |
| 181. | UC.III | M/s. Ancha Chemical Industries | 4254.20 ,, | 1191/27-7-81 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 182. | UC.II | Smt. Leela Malkur | 463.32 ,, | 1193/28-7-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 183. | ,, | Smt. K. Kameswari | 237.34 ,, | 1222/ 1-8-81 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 184. | UC.II | Kum. Rukmini Bai | 15.05Sq. Mts. | 1223/ 1-8-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 185. | ,, | Sri M. Adinarayana Raju | 43.69 ,, | 1224/ 1-8-81 | ,, |
| 186. | ,, | Smt. Gangam Lakshmi | 113.44 ,, | 1225/ 1-8-81 | ,, |
| 187. | UC.III | M/s. Oriental Sales Corporation | 3399.37 ,, | 1228/ 1-8-81 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 188. | ,, | M/s. Samrat Chemical Laboratory | 1350.00 ,, | 1229/ 1-8-81 | ,, |
| 189. | ,, | M/s. Chandra Industries | 2956.00 ,, | 1255/ 6-8-81 | ,, |
| 190. | ,, | M/s. Guru Venkatesh Oil Industty | 2023.43 ,, | 1263/10-8-81 | ,, |
| 191. | ,, | M/s. Samanth Laminates | 2189.00 ,, | 1265/10-8-81 | ,, |
| 192. | ,, | M/s. Traspacl Industry | 1349.40 ,, | 1277/13-8-81 | ,, |
| 193. | UC.II | Smt. Sakina Behta | 301.00 ,, | 1282/14 8-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 194. | UC.III | M/s. Southerns Refr, | 8094.00 ,, | 1283/14-8-81 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 195. | UC.II | Sri C. Alexender | 159.67 ,, | 1287/17-8-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 196. | ,. | Sri V. R. Ganesan | 319.40 ,, | 1288/17-8-81 | ,, |
| 197. | ,, | Sri M. Prahlada Rao | 427.66 ,, | 1289/17-8-81 | ,, |
| 198. | ,, | Sri A. V. Chimalgi | 355.47 ,, | 1290/17-8-81 | ,, |
| 199. | ,, | Sri L. Narasimha Rao | 303.53 ,, | 1291/17-8-81 | ,, |
| 200. | ,, | Smt. V. Kanakalaxmi Dayashanker | 315.90 ,, | 1292/17-8-81 | ,, |
| 201. | UC.III | M/s. Sri Lakshmi Roller Flour Mills | 6070.00 ,, | 1294/17-8-81 | 20 (1) (a) |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 202 | UC.III | Sri C. Chowdary | 1090.32 Sq. Mts | 1298/18-8-81 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 203. | UC.II | Smt. V. Leela Kumari | 326.09 ,, | 1310/19-8-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 204. | UC.III | M/s. Rasna Tax | 1205.10 ,, | 1335/22-8 81 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 205. | UC.II | Smt. Chitra Bai J. Bhagia | 172.00 ,, | 1350/25-8-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 206.. | ,, | Dr. Hamantha Rao | 251.00 ,, | 1351/25-8-81 | ,, |
| 207 | ,, | Sri Sithapathi Rao | 318.15 ,, | 1352/25-8-81 | ,, |
| 208. | ,, | Smt. Lakshmi Ranga Murthy | 19 00 ,, | 1393/1-9-81 | ,, |
| 209. | UC.I | Lt. Genl. B D. P. Rao | 648.59 ,, | 1396/ 3-9-81 | ,, |
| 210. | ,, | Sri S. F. Hussain | 2896.00 ,, | 1409/7-9-81 | ,, |
| 211. | UC.II | Smt. Lakshmi Bai | 189.17 , | 1414/8-9-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 212. | UC.I | Sri V. J. Satya Murthy (Satya Sai Trust) | 4349.59 ,, | 1431/11-9-81 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 213. | UC.II | Smt. Aila Anasuyamma | 20335 30 ,, | 1449/17-9-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 214. | ,, | Sri R. V. Narayan Reddy, G.P.A., holder of Nawab Suryanagaram Co-op. | 97124.00 ,, | 1465/21-9-81 | ,, |
| 215. | ,, | M/s. Hyderabad Video Manufacturing Co. | 2418.00 ,, | 1470/22-9-81 | ,, |
| 216. | UC.III | M/s. Asha Castings | 2023.00 ,, | 1471/22-9-81 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 217. | ,, | M/s. Super Plastics | 1350.00 ,, | 1472/22-9-81 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 218. | UC II | Sri Ashok Kondapi | 156.50 Sq.Mts | 1479/23-9-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 219. | ,, | Smt. Bhurma Begum | 68.53 ,, | 1480/23-9-81 | ,, |
| 220. | ,, | Sri B. Sharma Linga Chari | 218.90 ,, | 1481/23-9-81 | ,, |
| 221. | ,, | Sri Moazar Chenoy | 54.49 ,, | 1482/23-9-81 | ,, |
| 222. | ,, | Sri Ravi Gopala Krishna Chowdary | 201.05 ,, | 1483/23-9-81 | ,, |
| 223. | ,, | Smt. Chayaprabhakar Kutti | 254.19 ,, | 1484/23 9-81 | ,, |
| 224. | UC.III | Anoha Ministerial Works | 4047.00 ,, | 1485/23-9-81 | 20(1) (a) |
| 225. | UCII, | Sri C. V. Konda Reddy | 471.57 ,, | 1497/25-9-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 226. | ,, | Sri K. Sambasiva Rao & 3 others | Cancellation | 1510/29-9-81 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 227. | ,, | Smt. G. Ratna Bai | 13.00 ,, | 1515/29-9-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 228. | ,, | Sri Madan Mohan | 244.16 ,, | 1516/29-9-81 | ,, |
| 229. | ,, | Sri Masoori Eswaraiah | 179.00 ,, | 1517/29 9-81 | ,, |
| 230. | ,, | Smt. Lakshmi Bai | 198.17 ,, | 1518/29-9-81 | ,, |
| 231. | UC.III | M/s. Venu Muni Enterprises | 1509.48 ,, | 1529/3-10-81 | ,, |
| 232. | UC.II | Sri Viltred Livahar Francise | Amendment | 1530/5-10-81 | ,, |
| 233. | UC.III | M/s. Kawal Dall Mill | 9017.00 ,, | 1539/14-10-81 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 234. | UC.II | Sri Alam Ali Khan | 62·73 ,, | 1545/15-10-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 235. | ,, | Sri P. Rama Prasada Rao | 1000.00 ,, | 1546/15-10-81 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 236. | UC.II | Smti Ratna Kumari | 1339.4 Sq. Yts | 1568/19-10-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 237. | ,, | Sri A. Padmanabha Rao | 86.00 ,, | 1577/21-10-81 | ,, |
| 238. | ,, | Sri Rama Kishan More | 11.54 ,, | 1578/21-10-81 | ,, |
| 239. | ,, | Sri G. Anila Reddy & P. Sri Subba Redddy | 1347.44 ,, | 1591/21-10-81 | ,, |
| 240. | UC.III | Sri A. Venkata Reddy | 4046.86 ,, | 1603/24-10 81 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 241. | UC.II | Sri P. Satyanarayana | 672.26 ,, | 1604/24-10-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 242. | ,, | Sri P S. Premnadh | 326.00 ,, | 1605/24-10-81 | ,, |
| 243. | UC III | M/s. Vemana Chemicals | 8093.00 ,, | 1609/24-10-81 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 244. | ,, | M/s Ambica Oil Mills Company | 20587.82 ,, | 1613/18-10 81 | ,, |
| 245. | UC.I | Hi-Bred (India) (P) Ltd. | Acs· 24.24 Gts | 1624/29-10-81 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 246. | UC.III | M/s. Nava Bharat Steel Industries | 4540.00 ,, | 1625/29-10-81 | ,, |
| 247. | ,, | M/s. Divya Metallurgical Company(P)Ltd. | 2045.00 ,, | 1626/29-10-81 | ,, |
| 248 | ,, | M/s. Pioneer Tex Prints | 12140.58 ,, | 1630/30-10 81 | ,, |
| 249. | ,, | Sri M. N. Talwai | 854.14 ,, | 1699/13-11-81 | ,, |
| 250. | ,, | Sri M. G. Satyanarayana | 438.57 ,, | 1715/13-11-81 | ,, |
| 251. | ,, | Sri B. F. Dittia on behalf of Sri M. B. Dittia | 869.75 ,, | 1726/19-11-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 252. | ,, | Sri G. V. Vijaya Kumar | 483.96 ,, | 1738/20-11-81 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 253. | UC.II | Sri Vijaya Mohanlal | 136.50 Sq.Mts | 1739/20-11-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 254. | ,, | Sri G. V. Sreenivasa Rao | 483.00 ,, | 1740/20-11 81 | ,, |
| 255. | ,, | Sri K. Krishna Swamy | 434.00 ,, | 1741/20-11-81 | ,, |
| 256. | ,, | Smt. Sumitra Ramachandra Kakade | 434.00 ,, | 1741/20-11-81 | ,, |
| 257. | UC.III | M/s. Suman Soap & Detergent Industries | 3979.10 ,, | 1751/24-11-81 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 258. | ,, | M/s. Harp Rubber Enterprises (P) Ltd. | 8093.72 ,, | 1752/2511-81 | ,, |
| 259. | UC.II | Sri Mohd. Abdul, GPA, holder of Sri L. Sitharamaiah | 3046.86 ,, | 1754/25-11 81 | ,, |
| 260. | ,, | Sri P. Kondala Rao | 470.00 ,, | 1765/26-11-81 | ,, |
| 261. | ,, | Sri D. Rama Rao | 192.00 ,, | 1766/26-11-81 | ,, |
| 262. | ,, | Sri M. J. Kir Palani | 262.64 ,, | 1767/26-11-81 | ,, |
| 263. | ,, | Sri M. Vikruthan & others | 21489.93 ,, | 1773 27-11-81 | 20 (1)(a) |
| 264. | ,, | Sri M. Pratap Reddy | 29542.42 ,, | 1775/28-11-81 | ,, |
| 265 | ,, | Smt. Sushil Kumri Mathur | 422.85 ,, | 1778/28-11-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 266. | ,, | Sri G. Balakrishna Reddy | 68.00 ,, | 1812/9-12-81 | ,, |
| 267. | ,, | Sri Bura Lakshmaiah & 3 others | Acs. 30.21 | 1844/15-12-81 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 268. | UC.III | M/s. Deccan Power System | 2023.43 ,, | 1879/18-12-81 | ,, |
| 269. | UC.II | Sri B. Pandu Reddy | 12174.13 ,, | 1885/21-12-81 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 270. | UC.II | Sri Jagar Mallaiah & 3 Others | 32887.00 Sq. Mts. | 1886/21-12-81 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 271. | UC.III | M/s. L. V. R. Oils & Fats (P) Ltd. | 4.798 ,, | 1887/21-12-81 | ,, |
| 272. | ,, | M/s. Srikrishna Bottles (P) Ltd. | 11837.28 ,, | 1888/22-12-81 | ,, |
| 273. | ,, | M/s. Saraswathi Engineering Works | 1490.00 ,, | 1889/22-12-81 | ,, |
| 274. | ,, | M/s. Electro Photonics India (P) Ltd. | 1350.00 ,, | 1895/22-12-81 | ,, |
| 275. | UC.II | Sri Anil De GPA., of Miss. Sevanti Ie | 769.00 ,, | 1908/23-12-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 276. | ,, | Sri S. V. Raghava Rao | 496.67 ,, | 1929/28-12-81 | ,, |

1981

## VIJAYAWADA URBAN AGGLOMERATION

### LIST OF EXEMPTIONS GRANTED UNDER SECTION 20 (1) (a) AND 20 (1) (b) OF THE URBAN LAND (CEILING & REGULATION) ACT, 1976 FROM 17—2—1976.

| Sl. No. | Section | Name of Exemptee | Extent in Sq.Mts. | G.O.Ms.No. and Date | Purpose 20(1) (a) or 20(1)(b) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| I. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
| 1. | UC.II | Sri K. Bhagawat Singh | 2,266.00 Sq. Mts. | 1/3-1-81 | 20 (1) (b) |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 2. | UC.II | Sri K. Viswanadh Rao Sri K. Ranga Rao, Sri Hari Rao | 419.00 Sq. Mts. | 2/ 3.1.81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 3. | ,, | Sri Abraham Samuel | 2,023.00 ,, | 3/ 3-1-81 | ,, |
| 4. | ,, | Sri P. M. Samuel | 2,023.00 ,, | 4/ 3-1-81 | ,, |
| 5. | UC.I | Dr. D. Venkaiah Choudary | 657.00 ,, | 48/12-1-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 6. | UC.II | Sri M. A. Rahim | 37.00 ,, | 62/16-1-81 | ,, |
| 7. | ,, | Sri Veeramachineni Peddayya | 86.00 ,, | 116/28-1-81 | ,, |
| 8. | ,, | Sri T. Venkata Ratnam | 10,461.00 ,, | 123 28-1-81 | ,, |
| 9. | UC.III | M/s. Surya Industries | 2,322.75 ,, | 152/ 3-2-81 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 10. | ,, | Sri P. Subbaiah Chowdary M/s. Popular Automobiles | 1,672.00 ,, | 153/ 3-2-81 | ,, |
| 11. | UC.II | Smt. Y. Bala Koteswaramma | 719.00 ,, | 191/ 9-2-81 | ,, |
| 12. | UC.III | M/s. Vijayalakshmi Bottlers | Acs. 1.874 | 259/17-2-81 | ,, |
| 13. | UC.II | Sri D. Radhakrishna Murthy | 2,573.00 ,, | 334/ 2-3-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 14. | ,, | Smt. B. Begum | 32.00 ,, | 453/17-3-81 | ,, |
| 15. | ,, | Sri V. Raghavendra Rao | 71.00 ,, | 454/17-3-81 | ,, |
| 16. | UC.III | M/s. Automobiles | 3,251.67 ,, | 555/31 3-81 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 17. | UC.II | Sri Koka Haranadha Baba | 316.00 ,, | 576/ 4-4-81 | 20 (1) (b) |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 18. | UC.II | Sri Gaddam Subba Rao | 368.00 Sq. Mts. | 578/ 4-4-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 19. | ,. | Sri Koneru Seetharamulu | 165.50 ,, | 579/ 4-4-81 | ,. |
| 20. | ,, | Sri Gadde Punnayya | 205.00 ,, | 580/ 4 4-81 | ,, |
| 21. | ,, | Sri P. Rama Krishna Rao | 390.00 ,, | 581/ 4-4-81 | ,, |
| 22. | UC.III | M/s. Vijayawada Ice Factory | 2,023.33 ,, | 594/ 7-4-81 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 23. | ,, | M/s. Raghavaiah & Sons | 1,672.24 ,, | 595/ 7-4-81 | ,, |
| 24. | UC.II | Sri V. V. Maheswara Rao | 70.00 ,, | 622/15-4-81 | ,, |
| 25. | UC.III | M/s. Gant Flour Mills (P) Ltd. | 6,798.72 ,, | 637/18-4-81 | ,, |
| 26. | UC.II | Sri Valluri Bapaiah | 638.00 ,, | 664/20 4-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 27. | UC.III | M/s. K. C. P. Ltd. | 52,235 00 ,, | 704/29-4-81 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 28. | UC.II | Sri B. V. Subba Rao | 34,398.00 ,, | 717/ 1-5-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 29. | ,, | Sri P. Basavaiah | 118.00 ,, | 773/13-5-81 | ,, |
| 30. | ,, | Sri P. V. Narayana | 247.00 ,, | 811/18-5-81 | ,, |
| 31. | ,, | Sri Narra Gopala Krishnayya | 22,305.00 ,, | 868/28-5-81 | ,, |
| 32. | UC.III | M/s. Sreenivasa Timber Depot | 1,014.00 ,, | 878/29-5-81 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 33. | ,, | M/s. Autofin Ltd. | 4,142.55 ,, | 901/ 2-6-81 | ,, |
| 34. | UC.II | Smt. Penumatcha Narayanamma | 271.00 ,, | 906/ 2-6-81 | 20 (1) (b) |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 35. | UC.II | Sri Y. Ram Mohana Rao, President K. C. P, Ltd. Staff Coop. Bld, Society Ltd. | 33,710.00 Sq. Mts. | 918/ 3-6-81 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 36. | ,, | Sri K. Subba Rao | 313.00 ,, | 938/ 6-6-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 37. | UC.III | M/s. Durga Rice Mills | 2,508.00 ,, | 947/ 9-6-81 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 38. | ,, | M/s. Delite Battery Industries | 1,509.00 ,, | 952/10-6-81 | ,, |
| 39. | ,, | M/s. Sri Satyanarayana Tutomobiles | 1,672.24 ,, | 953/10-6-81 | ,, |
| 40. | UC.II | Sri Juluru Bhaskara Rao | 536.00 ,, | 981/16-6-81 | ,, |
| 41. | ,, | Sri Bolla Siva Rama Krishna | 249.00 ,, | 1054/4-7-81 | ,, |
| 42. | UC. I | Sri M. Narasimha Rao | 17,474.00 ,, | 1061/4-7-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 43. | UC.II | Sri E. Siva Murthy & E. Suraj Mohan | 15,497.00 ,, | 1073/-87-81 | ,, |
| 44. | UC.III | M/s. Bharat Industries | 3,494.00 ,, | 1096/8-7-81 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 45. | ,, | M/s. Sri Durga Diesel House | 1,671.58 ,, | 1097/8-7-81 | ,, |
| 46. | ,, | Sri D. L. Narayana | 4,212.50 ,, | 1101/8-7-81 | ,, |
| 47. | ,, | M/s. Ramanuja and Rice Factory (P) Ltd. | 13,006.79 ,, | 1102/8-7-81 | ,, |
| 48. | UC.II | Sri Muthamsetti Bhaskara Rao | 6,176.00 ,, | 1108/9 7-81 | 20 (1) (b) |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 49. | UC.III | M/s. Jagan Mohan Industries | 6,313.00 Sq.Mts | 1118/13-7-81 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 50. | UC.II | Sri Arcot Venkata Ratnam | 109 00 ,, | 1145/23-7-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 51. | UC.I | Smt. Gopi Setti Sobharam | 109.C0 ,, | 1146/23 7-81 | ,, |
| 52. | ,, | Sri Gummadi Seetharamaiah | 19,200.C0 ,, | 1163/24-7-81 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 53. | UC.III | M/s. Pratap Industries | 72,034.25 ,, | 1227/1-8-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 54. | UC.II | Sri P C. K. Sastry | 63.00 ,, | 1252/6-8-81 | ,, |
| 55. | UC.III | M s. Siva Ram Dall Mill | 4,441.123 ,, | 1253/6-8-81 | |
| 56. | UC I | Smt. Bollapalii Seetharavamma | 523.00 ,, | 1279/13-8-81 | ,, |
| 57. | ,, | Sri Garapati Kutumba Rao | 680.00 ,, | 1280/13-8-81 | ,, |
| 58. | ,, | Sri Borusu Savithri Rao | 143.00 ,, | 1367/27-8-81 | ,, |
| 59. | ,, | Sri Kauri Napoor | 234.00 ,, | 1368/27-8-81 | ,, |
| 60. | ,, | Sri S.V. Rama Krishna Sastry & 2 others | 5,856.00 ,, | 1432/11-9 81 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 61. | ,, | Smt. G. Lalitha Kumari | 180.00 ,, | 1455/19-9-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 62. | ,, | Sri Meka Nageswara Rao | 28.00 ,, | 1476/23-9-81 | ,, |
| 63. | ,, | Sri I. V. N. Ramalingeswara Rao | 1,500.00 ,, | 1494/24-9-81 | ,, |
| 64. | ,, | Smt Kamini Sesharatnam | 550.00 ,, | 1496/25-9-81 | ,, |
| 65. | ,, | Sri S. Nagabhushana Rao | 415.00 ,, | 1512/29-9-81 | ,, |
| 66. | ,, | Sri S. Ramachandra Rao | 415.00 ,, | 1513/29-9-81 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 67. | UC.I | Sri S. Ravindranadh | 214.00 | Sq. Yts | 1514/29 9-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 68. | ,, | Smt. Badiga Ramayamma | 3,641.00 | ,, | 1549/15-10-81 | ,, |
| 69. | UC.III | M/s. Navabharat Spun Pipes Company | 5,471.85 | ,, | 1560/17-10-81 | ,, |
| 70. | UC.I | Sri K. Kumara Swamy (Sree Rama Coop) | 26,128.00 | ,, | 1589/21-10-81 | ,, |
| 71. | ,, | Sii K. Kumara Swamy GPA, holder of Smt. J. V. S. Maheswari (Judl Empls Coop.) | 21,718.00 | ,, | 1590/21-10-81 | ,, |
| 72. | ,, | Sri V. Venkata Subbaiah | 38.37 | ,, | 1669/9 11-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 73. | ,, | Smt. Valluri Krishna Venamma | 4,489.95 | ,, | 1742/21-11-81 | ,, |
| 74. | ,, | Sri P. V. Patna Rao | 18.00 | ,, | 1750/24 11-81 | ,, |
| 75. | UC.II | Sri D. V. Rama Rao | 285.00 | ,, | 1798/3-12-81 | ,, |
| 76. | UC.I | Smt. Kadiyala Krishna Kumari | 388.00 | ,, | 1809/8-12-81 | ,, |
| 77. | ,, | Sri P. Abraham Samuel | 1,362.00 | ,, | 1877/18-12 81 | ,, |
| 78. | ,, | Sri G. Seetharama Raju | 138.00 | ,, | 1906/23-12-81 | ,, |
| 79. | ,, | Smt. K. L. Narasamma | 7.00 | ,, | 1907/23-12-81 | ,, |
| 80. | ,, | Sri Iyyank Sriranganayakulu | 140.00 | ,, | 1913/24-12-81 | ,, |
| 81. | ,, | Smt. B. V. V. Gopamudra | 577.00 | ,, | 1916/24-12-81 | 20 (1) (b) |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 82. | UC.III | Sri D. L. Narayana | 4,212.50 | ,, | 1930/28-12-81 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 83. | UC.I | Sri B Sivarama Krishna Prasad (Tarakaprabu Coop.) House Buld Society) | 6,736.00 | ,, | 1935/29-12-81 | ,, |
| 84. | ,, | Sri P. Venkata Ratnam | 1,149.00 | ,, | 1939/31-12-81 | 20 (1) (a) |

## 1 9 8 1

## VISAKHAPATNAM URBAN AGGLOMERATION

### LIST OF EXEMPTIONS GRANTED UNDER SECTION 20 (1) (a) AND 20 (1) (b) OF THE URBAN LAND (CEILING AND REGULATION) ACT, 1976 FROM 17-2-1976.

| Sl. No. | Section | Name of the Exemptee | Extent in Sq. Mts. | G. O. Ms. No. and Date | Purpose 20 (1) (a) or 20 (1) (b) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
| 1. | UC II | Sri Eswara Rao, G. P. A. to Bandi Butchaiah | 7,770.00 Sq.Mts | 69/17-1-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 2. | UC.III | M/s. S. R. M. T. Limited | 5,751.00 ,, | 102/23-1-81 | 20 (1) (a) |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 3. | UC.II | Smt. B. L. Seetha | 417.00 Sq.Mts | 103/23 1-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 4. | ,, | Sri B. Narayana Murthy | 417.00 ,, | 104/23 1-81 | ,, |
| 5. | ,, | Sri R. L. Reddy | 571.00 ,, | 238/13-2-81 | ,, |
| 6. | ,, | Sri S. R. V. V. S. Narasimha Raju | 106.00 ,, | 331/2-3-81 | ,, |
| 7. | ,, | Sri G. Janardhana Raju | 433.00 ,, | 351/6-3-81 | ,, |
| 8. | ,, | Sri G. Sanyasi Rajn | 434 00 ,, | 352/6 3-81 | ,, |
| 9. | ,, | Sri S. R. V. V. Venkatapathi Raju | 105.00 ,, | 361/7-3-81 | ,, |
| 10. | ,, | Sri Trinadha Sahu | 473.00 ,, | 362/7-3-81 | ,, |
| 11. | ,, | Sri G. J. V. Jagannadha Raju | 371.00 ,, | 363/7-3-81 | ,, |
| 12. | ,, | Sri P. Suryanarayana | 341.00 ,, | 452/17-3-81 | ,, |
| 13. | ,, | Lt. Col. S. John | 1,397.00 ,, | 468/18-3-81 | ,, |
| 14. | ,, | Sri B. V. Krishna Murthy | 238 00 ,, | 470/18-3-81 | ,, |
| 15. | ,, | Smt. S. R. V. V. Subbayamma | 105.00 ,, | 528/28-3-81 | ,, |
| 16. | ,, | Sri G. Ramachandra Raju | 434.00 ,, | 577/4-4-81 | ,, |
| 17. | ,, | Smt. S. R. V. V. Surya Kumari | 105.00 ,, | 620/15 4 81 | ,, |
| 18. | ,, | Smt. S. R. V. V. Venkata Venkayamma | 105.00 ,, | 621/15 4-81 | ,, |
| 19. | UC.III | M/s. Apsara Enterprises | 806.40 ,, | 703/29-4-81 | ,, |
| 20. | UC.II | Smt. Gangapathi Raju Savithramma | 479.00 ,, | 711/30-4-81 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 21. | UC.II | Smt. Suitha Kamalakara Rao | 3,323 00 Sq. Mts | 839/23-5-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 22. | ,, | Smt. Illa Polamma | 224.00 ,, | 861/27-5-81 | ,, |
| 23. | ,, | Sri Mir Shafuddin & Mir Shafehar | 279.00 ,, | 862/27-5 81 | ,, |
| 24. | ,, | Sri R Krishna | 91 00 ,, | 863/27-5-81 | ,, |
| 25. | ,, | Smt. Kodali Sureswari | 224.00 ,, | 864/27-5-81 | ,, |
| 26. | ,, | Smt. Illa Appalamma | 224.00 ,, | 907/2-6-81 | ,, |
| 27. | ,, | Sri G. Venkata Reddy | 143.00 ,, | 908/2-6-81 | ,, |
| 28. | ,, | Sri Illa Rambabu | 224.00 ,, | 909/2-6 81 | ,, |
| 29. | ,, | Sri T. V. S. Narayana Rao | 173.00 ,, | 937/6-6-81 | ,, |
| 30. | ,, | Sri Maddula Anjaneya Rao | 128.00 ,, | 1000 18-6-81 | ,, |
| 31. | ,, | Sri Rudrabatla Ram Kumar | 1,824 00 ,, | 1005/22-6-81 | ,, |
| 32. | ,, | Smt. A. Sumithramma | 224.00 ,, | 1006/22-6-81 | ,, |
| 33. | ,, | Sri Polisetti Anumantha Rao | 348.00 ,, | 1023/24-6-81 | ,, |
| 34. | UC.III | M/s Jothee Theatre | 1,324.00 ,, | 1080/6-7-81 | ,, |
| 35. | UC.I | Sri T. Veeram Naidu | 137.00 ,, | 1165/25-7-81 | ,, |
| 36. | ,, | Smt. J. Satyavathamma | 225.00 ,, | 1166/25-7-81 | ,, |
| 37. | ,, | Sri G. Venkata Reddy | 143.00 ,, | 1167/25-7-81 | ,, |
| 38. | ,, | Sri A. Appa Rao | 95.00 ,, | 1168/25-7-81 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 39. | UC.I | Sri Mundilal Swarenji | 449.00 ,, | 1169/25-7-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 40. | ,, | Sri V. John Ranga Ranga Rao | 280.00 ,, | 1170/25-7-81 | ,, |
| 41. | ,, | Sri. P. Satyanarayana Murthy | 300.75 ,, | 1171/25-7 81 | ,, |
| 42. | ,, | Sri S. Eswara Rao | 187.00 ,, | 1172/25-7-81 | ,, |
| 43. | ,, | Smt. M. Godavarthi Sarada | 316.00 ,, | 1173/25-7-81 | ,, |
| 44. | ,, | Sri N. Krishna Rao | 24.00 ,, | 1174/25-3-81 | ,, |
| 45. | ,, | Sri K. Someswara Rao | 202.00 ,, | 1175 25-7-81 | ,, |
| 46. | ,, | Sri N. Venkataiah | 987.00 ,, | 1196/28-7-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 47. | ,, | Sri A. V. V. S. Raju | 677.00 ,, | 1268/11-8--81 | ,, |
| 48. | ,, | Sri B. Appala Narasiham | 337.00 ,, | 1312/20-8-81 | ,, |
| 49. | ,, | M/s. Ramadass Motor Transport | 1,338.00 ,, | 1394/3-9--81 | ,, |
| 50. | ,, | Sri Lakshmi Ganapathi | 16,755 00 ,, | 1467/21-9-81 | ,, |
| 51. | ,, | M/s. Vizagpatnam Diosecion Corp. P. Ltd | 2,626.28 ,, | 1486/24-9-81 | ,, |
| 52. | ,, | Smt. Kolluri Satyavathi | 2,457.80 ,, | 1505/28-1 81 | ,, |
| 53. | ,, | Sri B. Ramachandra Rao | 1,824.00 ,, | 1592/22-10-81 | ,, |
| 54. | ,, | Sri K. Appalanarasaiah G.P.A.of Smt. M. Lakshminarasayamma & 3 others | Ac 20.50 | 1682/9-11-81 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 55. | UC.I | Sri V. G. K Gupta | 15.00 Sq.Mts | 1707/13-11-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 56. | ,, | Kum. Neelapu Swarnalatha | 121.00 ,, | 1708/13-11-81 | ,, |
| 57. | ,, | Kum. Neelapu Karunalatha | 121.00 ,, | 1709/13-11-81 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 58. | ,, | Sri Neelapu Dayananda Reddy (Visakhapatnam D. C. (P) Ltd. (Port Trust) | 121.00<br>Ac. 10.15 | ,,<br>,, | 1710/13-11-81<br>1761/25-11-81 | 20 (1) (b)<br>,, |
| 59. | ,, | Sri Swamay Granda Swamy | 7,193.00 | ,, | 1915/24-12-81 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 60. | ,, | Smt. Sakunthala Sahu | 473 00 | ,, | 1940/31-12-81 | 20 (1) (b) |

## 1 9 8 1

## GUNTUR URBAN AGGLOMERATION

### LIST OF EXEMPTIONS GRANTED UNDER SECTION 20 (1) (a) AND 20 (1) (b) OF THE URBAN LAND (CEILING AND REGULATION) ACT, 1976 FROM 17-2-1976 .

| Sl No. | Section | Name of the Exemptee | Extent in Sq. Mts. | G. O. Ms. No. and Date | Purpose 20 (1) (a) or 20 (1) (b) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
| 1. | UC.II | Sri Rajaprolu Phani Bhushanam | 44.89 Sq.Mts | 118/28-1-81 | 20 (1) |
| 2. | ,, | Sri R. Venkateswara Somayajulu | 44.89 ,, | 119/28 1-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 3. | ,, | Sri Gonra Pesaiah | 1162.86 ,, | 121/28-1-81 | ,, |
| 4. | ,, | Sri Rayaprolu Siva Kumar | 44.89 ,, | 129/28-1-81 | ,, |
| 5. | ,, | Sri Leal Khan | 3,719.47 ,, | 240/13-2-81 | 20 (1) (a) |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 6. | UC.II | Sri P. P. Williams, I.A S., (*) | 121.10 Sq. Mts. | 406/10-3-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 7. | ,, | Sri Guntur Venkata Krishnaiah | 11.18 ,, | 449/17-3-81 | ,, |
| 8. | ,, | Sri A. Venkaiah | 403.78 ,, | 450/17-3-81 | ,, |
| 9. | ,, | Sri K. Pitchireddy | 205.70 ,, | 451/17-3-81 | ,, |
| 10. | ,, | Sri Ch. Seetharamaiah | Ac. 3.80 ,, | 461/17-3-81 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 11. | ,, | Sri G. Dhanujay Rao | 134.92 ,, | 467/18 3-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 12. | ,, | Sri Jammula Muthyala Rao | 47.09 ,, | 469/18-3 81 | ,, |
| 13. | ,, | Sri Tellabati Kotaiah | 79.40 ,, | 490/28-3-81 | ,, |

(*) Shown in HUA

## 1981

## WARANGAL URBAN AGGLOMERATION

### LIST OF EXEMPTIONS GRANTED UNDER SECTION 20(1) (a) AND 20 (1) (b) OF THE URBAN LAND (CEILING & REGULATION) ACT, 1976 FROM 17—2—1976.

| Sl. No. | Section | Name of Exemptee | Extent in Sq.Mts. | G.O.Ms.No. and Date | Purpose 20(1) (a) or 20(1)(b) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| I. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | |
| 1. | UC.II | Sri S. Kommal Reddy | 6,423.00 Sq.Mts | 5/3-1-81 | 20 (1) (b) |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 2. | UC.II | Sri S. Paka Janaiah | 10,444.00 Sq. Mts. | 10/ 3-1-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 3. | ,. | Sri P. Madan Mohan Reddy &4 brothers | 10,020.00 ,, | 22/ 6-1-81 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 4. | ,, | Sri Suman Pratap Reddy | 7,552.00 ,, | 26/ 6-1-81 | ,, |
| 5· | ,, | Sri E. Venugapal Reddy & 4 others | 40,181.00 ,, | 283/20-2-81 | ,, |
| 6. | ,, | Sri Sooram Konda Reddy | 9,372.00 ,, | 489/24-3-81 | ,. |
| 7. | ,, | Smt. Sooram Ramakka | 9,911.00 ,, | 669/21-4-81 | ,, |
| 8. | ,, | Sri G. Rajaveeraiah | 121.00 ,, | 709/30-4-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 9. | UC.III | M/s. Brahmandam Somalingam Rice & Dall Mill | 3,988.62 ,, | 1226/ 1-8-81 | ,, |
| 10. | ,, | M/s. Bhoopathi Somalingam & Sons Rice Mill | 2,888.25 ,, | 1256/ 6-8-81 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 11. | UC.II | Smt. G. Manemma | 303.00 ,, | 1405/ 5 9-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 12. | ,, | Smt. Gamarunnisa Begum | 11,413.00 ,, | 1475/23-9-81 | ,, |
| 13. | ,, | Sri Paka Janaiah | 10,444.00 ,, | 1901/23-12/-81 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 14. | ,, | Sri C. Sambasiva Rao | 252.86 ,, | 588/ 6-4-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 15. | ,, | Smt. Kusumanchi Sumitra Devi | 23.00 ,, | 662/20-4-81 | ,, |
| 16. | ,, | Sri R. Sit Ramulu | 118.00 ,, | 663/20-4-81 | ,, |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 17. | UC.II | Sri N. Peda Ayyanna | 2,027.30 Sq. Mts. | 710 30-4-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 18. | ,, | Smt. Ch. Lakshmi Kantamma | 223.00 ,, | 771/13-5-81 | ,, |
| 19. | ,, | Sri Ch. Narasimha Rao | 23.00 ,, | 772/13-5-81 | ,, |
| 20. | ,, | Sri D. V. Narayana | 115.66 ,, | 774/13-5-81 | ,, |
| 21. | ,, | Smt. B. Bhulakshmi | 23.43 ,, | 775/13-5-81 | ,, |
| 22. | ,, | Smt. P. Ravamma | 88.00 ,, | 776/13-5-81 | ,, |
| 23. | ,, | Sri Podila Venkata Rao | 405.31 ,, | 1007/22-6-81 | ,, |
| 24. | UC. I | Smt. Talluri Jeevika Rani | 1,702.00 ,, | 1132/21-7-81 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 25. | ,, | Sri Mattupalli Jagan Mohan Rao | 2,028.62 ,, | 1454/19-9-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 26. | ,, | Smt. V. Parvathi | 448.00 ,, | 1466/21-9-81 | ,, |
| 27. | ,, | Sri Bhemsetti Kotaiah | 6,219.81 ,, | 1703/13-11-81 | 20 (1) (a) |
| 28. | ,, | Sri Challa Venkata Rao | 203.62 ,, | 1758/25-11-81 | 20 (1) (b) |
| 29. | ,, | Smt. Parvatham Tirupathamma | 161.60 ,, | 1759/25-11-81 | ,, |
| 30. | ,, | Sri Ch. China Appa Rao | 23.00 ,, | 1760/25-11-81 | ,, |

(ఇంటరప్షన్స్)

Sri D. K. Samarasimha Reddy :— It should not be circulated.

(ఈ దశలో ప్రతిపక్ష సభ్యులు కేకలు వేయడం ప్రారంభించారు.

(విదరణ పత్రాలను సభలోని సభ్యులకు పంచడం ప్రారంభమయింది. వాటిని ప్రతిపక్ష సభ్యులు చింపివేయడం, విసిరివేయడం చేశారు.)

(ఇంటరప్షన్స్)

MR. SPEAKER :- The House is adjourncd.

(సభ్యుల గందరగోళం మధ్య స్పీకర్ సభను వాయిదా వేశారు.)

The House re-ossembled at 11-55 a. m.
(Mr Speaker in the Chair.)

## POINT OF INFORMATIOT
Rr : Statement Placed on the Lable by Chief Minister.

MR. SPEAKER :- At the outset I want to tell, that all this will not go in the Press, till I decide.

Yes, Mr. Baga Reddy, you want ed to tell something.

శ్రీ ఎం. బాగారెడ్డి : సర్ పాయింట్ ఆఫ్ ఆర్డర్. ఈ రోజు ఉదయం ఇంతకు మొదలు ముఖ్యమంత్రిగారు లేచి ఏదో చదివారు. అది మేము వినలేదు. దాని తరువాత తొందరపాటుగా ఇక్కడ ఉన్నటువంటి స్టాఫ్ ఏవో బుక్స్ కొన్ని సప్లయి చేసేటందుకు ప్రయత్నంచేసారు. మేము అబ్జెక్షన్ రెయిజ్ చేసినప్పుడు గాని, పాయింట్ ఆఫ్ ఆర్డర్ రెయిజ్ చేసినప్పుడుగాని తమరు దయచేసి ఆపించారు.

గౌరవ సభ్యుడు : అబ్జెక్షన్ కాదు రెయిజ్ చేసి ది. ముఖ్యమంత్రిగారిని కొట్టడానికి ప్రయత్నం చేశారు.

శ్రీ ఎం. బాగారెడ్డి : ఈ విషయం ఇంతకు ముందు డిస్కషన్‌కు వచ్చినప్పుడు ....

శ్రీ పి. నర్సిరెడ్డి : అధ్యక్ష, పాయింట్ ఆఫ్ ఆర్డర్. సభ్యులు ఎవరయినా ఒకరు మాట్లాడుతున్నప్పుడు మీ అనుమతి లేనిదే మాట్లాడకుండా చేయండి,

(ఇంటరప్షన్స్)

పాయింట్ ఆఫ్ ఆర్డర్ సర్ : దయచేసి మీరు రూలింగ్ ఇవ్వాలి, ఇవ్వక పోతే సభను నడపడం కష్టం అవుతుంది.

ఓ॰షర్ స్పీకర్ : ఐ యాక్సెప్ట్ ఇట్. మీరు చెప్పేది వాస్తవమయిన విషయం. ఒకరు మాట్లాడునప్పుడు ఇంకొకరు మాట్లాడితే అది సంప్రదాయాలకు భంగం. అంచరూ దృష్టిలో పెట్టుకోండి.

శ్రీ ఎం. భాగారెడ్డి :- అజెండాలో ముఖ్యమంత్రిగారు ఎప్పుడు ఇది ప్లేస్ చేస్తారో చేబిల్ మీద అన్న విషయంలేదు. మాకు అనుమానం అనిపించింది. అందు చేత మొదటే సమరసింహారెడ్డిగారు రెయిజ్ చేసారు. అయినా కూడా ఏ టైములో ప్లేస్ చేస్తారో తెలియనందువల్ల ముఖ్యమంత్రిగారు లేచినప్పుడు మేము వినలేక పోయాము. ఇందులో ఏమి రెయిజ్ చేసారో మాకు తెలియదు. ఇంకా చదవలేదు. ఎన్ని కోట్లు ఖర్చు అయింది ? ఎన్ని కాపీలు ప్రింటు చేసారు ? అది కూడా తెలియదు. కానీ ఒక విషయం నేను దీని విషయంలో మనవి చేసేది ఏమంటే శాసన సభలో కాకుండా బయట ఏమన్నారు, ఎవరేమన్నారు - దానికి అంతా ఇక్కడ శాసన సభ ఫోరమ్‌ను వాడుకోవడం సరయిన పద్ధతి కాదు.

ఇంకో ముఖ్యమైన విషయం ఏమంటే ఇందులో కొన్ని కేసులు హైకోర్టులో వున్నాయి. వాటి మీద రేపటి నుంచి అర్గ్యుమెంట్ వున్నది. ఆర్గ్యుమెంట్‌వున్న సమయంలో ఇందులో ఆ కేసులకు సంబంధించి ఏవయినా విషయాలు వుంటే అవన్నీ క్లియర్‌గా సబ్ జుడీస్ అవుతాయన్న విషయం మనవి చేస్తున్నాను. ముఖ్యమంత్రి గారు, ఆయన మీద ఏమయినా చార్జెస్ వుంటే the proper forum for his
12.00 counter-is the High Court - not the Assembly. అసెంబ్లీని ఈవిధంగా ఉపయోగించుకొనే ప్రయత్నం చేసినప్పుడు అసెంబ్లీలో ఎవరయినా చార్జిపెడితే దానికి సమాధానం చెప్పవలసిన బాధ్యత వున్నది, చెప్పవచ్చు. కానీ హైకోర్టులో వున్న విషయాలు ఏవయినా ఇందులో వున్నట్లయితే దీనిని పబ్లో క్లాటింగ్‌లో తీసుకొనకూడదు. ఏ విధంగా పెట్టేందుకు ప్రయత్నం చేశారో కానీ అది డెమ్రక్లీస్ కిల్ చేసినట్లు వున్నది. మేము అబ్జక్షన్ రెయిజ్ చేస్తున్నాము. మేము మాట్లాడుతూ వున్నాము. మీకు ఏదయినా రూలు చేసే అధికారము పర్మిషన్ యిచ్చే అధికారము వుంది. కానీ ఆ విధంగా కాకుండా బ్యాంక్‌లో ఏంట్రీస్ తీరుగా, తొందరపాటుగా

ఒక్కొసారి యిచ్చేందుకు ప్రయత్నం చేసారో అది డెమక్రటిక్‌గా సరైనది కాదని మనవి చేస్తున్నాము. ముఖ్యంగా హైకోర్టులో కేసులు ఎన్ని వున్నా, అందులో చార్జెస్ ఏమి వున్నా కూడా క్లియర్‌గా ఏ ఒక్కటి కూడా యిందులో వున్నా అది హైకోర్టు నుంచి, జుడీషియల్ నుంచి కన్‌ఫ్రంటేషన్ కొరకు దారితీస్తుంది. సబ్ జుడీస్ అవుతుంది. దానికి పనం కమిట్ కాకూడదు. కాని హౌజ్ యొక్క ప్రొసీజర్ కాని, రూల్సు కాని, సిద్ధాంతం కాని హైకోర్టుకు జుడిషియర్‌ని అసర్ చేసేటటువంటి సిద్ధాంతం వున్నది. దానికి వ్యతిరేకంగా, అన్ డెమక్రటిక్‌గా ఇలాంటి విషయాలు గవర్నమెంటు అర్థంతో ముఖ్యమంత్రిమీద ఏమయినా చార్జెస్ వస్తే అయన హైకోర్టుకు పోయి కౌంటర్ వేసి డిఫెన్డ్‌గా చెప్పుకొనే అవకాశం వున్నది కనుక 15వ తేదీనుంచి ఈ అర్డ్యుమెంటు మొదలు అయ్యేటప్పుడు మరీ ఇంటెన్షన్‌గా ఇది హౌజ్ లో పెట్టడం న్యాయం కాదు. This should not be the property of the House. మీరు దీనిని ఆపమని చెప్పారు. అప్పుడే ఇది పేపరులో రాకూడదని ప్రెస్‌వాళ్ళకు కూడా చెప్పారు. ఏ విధంగా కూడా ఇది ప్రావర్టీ హౌజ్ కాకూడదని నేను మనవిచేస్తున్నాను. దీనిమీద చర్చించడం కాని, డిస్కషన్ కాని వుండకూడదు. ఈ విషయంలో సబ్ జుడీస్ వుంది కాబట్టి దీనిని ఆలౌవ్ చేయకూడదు. This should not be the property of the House.

శ్రీ కె. విద్యాధరరావు (చింతలపూడి) :— గౌరవనీయ ప్రతిపక్ష నాయకులు సబ్ జుడిస్ వున్నాయని చెప్పడం జరిగింది. ఇందులో రెండు పాయింట్లు వున్నాయి.

మిష్టర్ స్పీకర్ :— ఇప్పుడు ఏమీ డిస్కషన్ జరుగుతున్నది మొదలు తెలుసుకోండి, ఇది చాలా లిమిటెడ్ పర్‌పస్ కోసం జరుగుతున్నది.

SRI K. VIDHYADHARA RAO : The Hon'ble Member is misleading the House. Please give me an opportunity.

మిష్టర్ స్పీకర్ :— నో, నో లేదండి, అది కాదు. I do not want to given an opportunity unless it is requiaed. I want to make a point now for consideration. That is, you know, what all is happening. I have given them an opportunity to say on that and if required I will give a Rulling on that. Except that there is nothing.

SRI K. VIDHYADHARA RAO :— There is one thing - the House has been mislead I would like to clarify on that.

MR. SPEAKER :— The question of misleading the House does not arise.

శ్రీ కె. విద్యాధరరావు :— నేను చెప్పేది అవాస్తవం అయితే రికార్డు నుంచి ఎక్స్‌పంజ్ చేయండి.

మిస్టర్ స్పీకర్ :— అనందర్భంగా మాట్లాడడంలో వుపయోగం ఏమిటి. ఆ స్టేజ్ ఇంకా రాలేదు.

శ్రీ కె. విద్యాధరరావు :— దయచేసి మాకు అవకాశం యివ్వండి. నేను చేప్పేది అవాస్తవం అయితే ఎక్స్‌పంజ్ చేయండి.

MR. SPEAKER :— That stage comes afterwords.

SRI VIDYADHARA RAO :— Sir, the Hon'ble Member said about sub-judice.

మిస్టర్ స్పీకర్ :— సబ్ జుడిస్ కాదనే స్టేజ్‌కి రాలేదు,

శ్రీ కె. విద్యాధరరావు :— మాకు అవకాశం యివ్వకుండా కేవలం ప్రతిపక్షాలకే అవకాశం యిస్తున్నట్లు వున్నది.

MR. SPEAKER :— What is your point of Order, you first tell me.

శ్రీ కె. విద్యాధరరావు :— అధ్యక్ష, ఇందాకనే మెంబర్ The House should be in order. అని చెప్పడం జరిగింది, ఇక్కడ సబ్ జుడీస్ అని చెప్పడము జరిగింది. కోర్టులో వున్న రిట్ పిటీషన్ is only to direct the Central Government to make an Enquiry against the Chief Minister but not anything and that is one point. రెండవది ఆపలు రిపోర్టులో స్లేప్ చేసినది ఏమిటో చూడకుండా సబ్ జుడీస్ అని చెప్పి ఇది పెట్టొదుకు వీలులేదని చేసిన అరోపణ వాస్తవం కాదని దయచేసి కన్సిడర్ కోరుతున్నాను.

(ఇంటరప్షన్)

Let me complete it and let Hon'ble Members will have their own say ...

ఉదయము శ్రీనివాసులరెడ్డిగారు స్టేట్‌మెంటు వేసుకోడానికి రూల్ నెం. 76 క్రింద వుంచేచాలని చెప్పడం జరిగింది. వారు ఏక్స్‌పెల్ అయిన రూల్ నెం. 76 క్రింద అవకాశం లేకపోయినా సరే ఐవర్ ఆండర్ రూల్ 284 క్రింద వారు ఏదయినా ఎక్స్‌ప్లనేషన్ చేసుకోడానికి అవకాశం యివ్వాలని గౌరవ ప్రతిపక్ష నాయకులు, సభ్యులు, పాలకపక్ష సభ్యులు కూడా చెప్పడం జరిగింది. ఒకరికి వర్తించిప్పుడు రెండవవారికి వర్తించక పోవడం కేవలం హైకోర్టు వేదిక అని చెప్పడం సత్య దూరము కాదు. న్యాయం కాదు. It should be more as a convention rather than a Rule, when once the Chief Minister comes to place here, and he is prepared to face - I do not know how the Court should be the forum but not the Lagislative Assembly.

శ్రీ నల్లపురెడ్డి శ్రీనివాసులరెడ్డి :— నేను మూడు, నాలుగు పాయింట్లు దయచేసి వారికి మనవి చేసుకొంటున్నాను. నేను పాయింట్ ఆఫ్ ఆర్డర్‌కే పరిమితం అవుతాను కానీ I do not want to go in to the merits of the case. నాకు సాంప్రదాయాలు బాగా తెలుసు. ఒకటే ఒక పాయింట్ to lay on the Table, it should be included in the Agenda. నిన్ననే మాకు సర్కులేట్ అయి వుండవలయును. అది జరగలేదు So, teere is procedural irregularity

మిస్టర్ స్పీకర్ :— పాయింట్ ఆఫ్ ఆర్డర్ చెప్పమంటే....

శ్రీ నల్లపురెడ్డి శ్రీనివాసులరెడ్డి :— Sir, you give a ruling that there is no point of order.

మిస్టర్ స్పీకర్ : ప్రస్తుతానికి సభలో జరిగే విషయం ఏదయినా....

శ్రీ నల్లపురెడ్డి శ్రీనివాసులరెడ్డి :— పాయింట్ ఆఫ్ ఆర్డర్ వినండి. విద్యాధరరావు చెప్పినది విన్నారు గదా. దయచేసి వాదికూడా వినండి. తరువాత మీరు రిజక్ట్ చేయండి. " There is no point of order. " అని రూలింగ్ ఇవ్వండి దయచేసి వినండి. మనం ప్రొడిషన్స్, కన్వెన్షన్స్, రూలింగ్ బ్రేక్ చేశాము. ఇప్పుడయితే ముఖ్యమంత్రిగారు ఎజెండాలో లేకుండా to lay on the Table of the House or to place on the Table of the House.... ముందుగా

ఎజెండాలో ఇన్‌క్లూడ్‌చేసి మాకు సర్కులేట్ చేయవలసి వుండెను. రెండవది మీ పెర్మియర్ పర్మిషన్ తీసుకొన్నట్లు మీరు అవాన్స్ చేయలేదు. మూడవది కోర్టులో వున్న దోర్ణంరాజు సత్యనారాయణ, సమరసింహారెడ్డి రిట్లలో వున్న 95 శాతం ఆరోపణలకు ఇక్కడ రిప్లయి పెట్టి మెరిట్స్‌లోకి పోయినది సి. ఎం. గారు.

ఈ పుస్తకాలను ఇక్కడ చర్చ చేయడానికి లేదు. డిస్కస్ చేస్తే మేము వాటి మెరిట్స్‌లోకి పోయినట్లవుతుంది. మేము మెరిట్స్‌లోకి పోదలచుకోలేదు. మీరు డిస్కషన్‌ని ఎలాగూ అలో చేయలు సబ్ జుడీస్ కాబట్టి. మేము బయటచేసిన ఆరోపణలను శాసనసభలోకి తీసుకువచ్చి, మెరిట్స్‌లోకి పోయి అన్సర్ చేయడం ఒక టిన్నర కోట్లు ఖర్చుపెట్టి వేసిన పుస్తకాలు ఇక్కడ రూల్సును, ప్రొవిజన్స్‌ని, కన్వెన్షన్స్‌ని వయొలెట్ చేస్తూ యిక్కడ పెట్టడం సంప్రదాయం కాదు. ముఖ్యమంత్రి గారిపైన, నా పైన వచ్చిన ఆరోపణలకు సంబంధించిన ఫైల్స్‌ని తెచ్చి తమ ముందు పెట్టి అపోజిషన్ లీడర్‌ని కూర్చోమనండి. చూడమనండి. నేను సవాలు చేస్తున్నాను. I am throwing a challenge in placing the files on the Table of the Speaker. When the matter is sub-judice, ఇక్కడ ఈ రోజు పెట్టిన పుస్తకాలు పార్ట్ ఆఫ్ ది ప్రొసీడింగ్స్ కాకూడదు. కాబట్టి ఈ రోజు ప్రొసీడింగ్స్‌లోకి ఈ పుస్తకాలలో వున్న విషయాలు..... .....

They should not form part of the proceedings.

// (కేకలు) //

మిస్టర్ స్పీకర్ :— పాయింట్ ఆఫ్ ఆర్డర్ అంటే — శ్రీనివాసులురెడ్డిగారు అనుభవజ్ఞులు మంత్రిగా వున్నారు. లెజిస్లేటర్‌గా వున్నారు. అపోజిషన్ లీడర్‌గా పెద్ద పేరు గడించారు. పెద్ద రెప్యుటేషన్ వుంది. వారు పాయింట్ ఆఫ్ ఆర్డర్ రెయిజ్‌చేస్తే లీగల్ యాస్పెక్ట్‌కి డీవియేషన్ జరిపించేమో అని ..... .....

శ్రీ నల్లపురెడ్డి శ్రీనివాసులరెడ్డి :— ప్రొసీజరల్ డివియేషన్ జరిగింది.

MR. SPEAKER :— I have heard you. Let me complete it. I have not interrupted you, when you are talking. Please listen to me. I have all respects to you but when you were talking, you have explained so many things, which are not suppose to be made. You must mention as to what is the devision followed

hare and as to wherein the Constitutional provisions were not followed. Instead of that, you have given a statement and naturally you have taken the advantage of going into the press etc.,

శ్రీ కె. హరీశ్వరరెడ్డి :— ఛాలెంజి అనే మాట ఆన్ పార్లమెంటరీ. దానిని ఎక్స్‌పంజ్ చేయాలని కోరుతున్నాను.

శ్రీ కర్లపూడి శ్రీనివాసులరెడ్డి :— ఛాలెంజ్ ఆన్ పార్లమెంటరీ కాదు.

మిష్టర్ స్పీకర్ :— శ్రీనివాసులరెడ్డిగారు అనుభవజ్ఞులు. వారు చెప్పినదానితో మీరు తెలుసుకోవాలి. ఎక్స్‌పంజ్ చేయడం వల్లకాదు. ఎక్స్‌పంజ్ చేసినంత మాత్రాన వారిని కించపరచడం కాదు. పాయింట్ ఆఫ్ ఆర్డరును ఎప్పుడు ఉపయోగించుకోవాలి. దానిలో వున్న ఉద్దేశాన్ని గ్రహించుకోవాలి.

శ్రీ ఎమ్. వి. మైసూరారెడ్డి :— ఇప్పుడు యిస్తున్న పుస్తకాలలో జుడీషియరీకి సంబంధం వుందనీ, వేరే విధంగా వుందనీ ఆ పేపర్స్ యిక్కడ టేబుల్ మీద లేచేపే చేస్తున్న పరిస్థితిలో కాల్ అండ్ షకర్ పార్లమెంటరీ ప్రొసీజరులోని పేజి నెంబరు 27 మంచి కోట్ చేస్తున్నాను.

" Papers are laid on the Table in order to supply authoritative facts and information with a view to preparing ground for discussion pertaining to various matters. Papers are so laid either in compliance with specific provisions contained in the Constitution, various Central Status and the Rules of procedure, or in pursuance of the directions issued by the Speaker from time to time and the settled practices and conventions in regard thereto. " Here, are they placed under rule :— Papers laid under the Constitution; or Papers laid under Statutes; or Papers laid under the Rules of Procedures; or Papers laid under the Directions of the Speaker; or Papers quoted to be laid on the Table or it is under Members right to quote from the secret documents ?

వీటికి సంబంధం వుందా ఈ పేపర్సు : టేబుల్‌మీద పెట్టిన పేపర్స్ హౌస్ కష్టడీలోకి పోతాయి. కష్టడీలోకి వెళ్ళినప్పుడు

" Where information concerning a member from the records in the coustory of the Secretariat is called for by the Execu-

tive Authorities, for example, the Police, the Income - Tax Commissioner etc., the direct request is not complied with. When a request was made by the Superintendent of Police, Central Bureau of Investigation, New Delhi, for production of some records bearing the signatures of two Members against whom certain inquiries were pending, he was informed that the documents in the custody of the Secretariat were privileged and they could not be made available to any one without the permission of the House. In case of the Goverument felt that the production of the documents asked for was necessary in the interest of investigation and administration of justice, the proper course was for the Minister concerned to make a formal request to the Speaker which would be placed before the House and that it would be complied with only after the House had approved it in accordance with the prescribed procedure ...."

ఈ విధంగా వుంది కాబట్టి రేపు హైకోర్టున కోర్టులో ఈ విషయాలు రావచ్చు గవర్నమెంటుకు మెజారిటీ వుంది కాబట్టి వీరు రిజెక్టు చేయడం జరగవచ్చు. జుడీషియరీకి లెజిస్లేచర్‌కి ఘర్షణ జరిగే విధంగా ఉంటుంది. రాజ్యాంగ సంక్షోభం ఏర్పడుతుంది. కాబట్టి ఈ పేపర్సుని ఇక్కడ లే చేయించడానికి వీలులేదని మనవి చేస్తున్నాను. పబ్ జుడీస్ అయినప్పుడు ఈ పేపర్సు మీద డిస్కషన్ జరగడానికి అవకాశం లేదు.

మిస్టర్ స్పీకర్ :— మీ థింకింగ్ రివర్సులో పోయింది. పేపర్సు లెయిడ్ అన్ ది టేబుల్ అని ఎవరంటున్నారు ? We are discussing about the statement to be made by the Chief Minister and that whether I have permitted and likely to be permitted etc., Who said that „Papers are laid" and all those things ?

శ్రీ ఎం. వి. మైసూరారెడ్డి :— స్టేట్‌మెంటు అయినా రికార్డులోకి పోతుంది.

శ్రీ ఎన్. ఇంద్రసేనారెడ్డి :— అధ్యక్ష, మాకు అవకాశమివ్వండి.

మిస్టర్ స్పీకర్ :— అపోజిషన్ లీడర్ ఎని మాట్లాడనివ్వండి. ఒకరి తరువాత ఒకరు మాట్లాడవచ్చు.

శ్రీ పి. వెంకటపతి :— అసలు ఆ స్టేట్‌మెంటును ఇక్కడ ఉంచడానికి మీరు అనుమతించారా లేదా చెప్పండి. ఏమీ చెప్పకుండా అయోమయంలో పెట్టి.... తమకు ఏదో ఉద్దేశాలు అపాదించడం కాదు. మీరు అనుమతించారా లేదా చెబితే ఈ గోడవ పద్దుపుణుగుతుంది.

అందువల్ల మీరు ఏదో ఒక నిర్ణయం తీసుకోండి అధ్యక్ష. ఆ విధమైన నిర్ణయం తీసుకునే బదులు ఈ చర్చ ఇట్లాగే సాగితే ఎండ్‌లెస్ పాయింట్స్, పాయింట్ లెస్ పాయింట్ ఆఫ్ ఆర్డర్స్ వస్తాయి. నే చెప్పినదానిలో కూడా పాయింట్స్ లేక పోయినా కామన్‌సెన్స్ పాయింట్ వుందని మీ దృష్టికి తీసుకువస్తున్నాను.

శ్రీ ఏహెచ్. రాజేశ్వరరావు :— అధ్యక్ష, తమరి చాంబర్‌లో, తమరి సమక్షంలో జరిగిన చర్చలో నిర్ణయించబడ్డ పరిమితులకు లోబడే మాట్లాడతానని మనవి చేస్తున్నాను, ఈరోజు ఇంతకుముందు ముఖ్యమంత్రిగారి స్టేట్‌మెంటు సభలో సర్క్యులేట్ కాబడటం జరిగినప్పుడు ఈ సబ్జెక్ట్‌పై శుక్రవారమే మేము పాయింట్ ఆఫ్ ఆర్డర్స్ రెయిజ్ చేయడం, ఈ రోజు సర్క్యులేషన్ కావడం చాలా వేగంగా, లోందరగా, మీ పర్మిషన్‌లో జరిగిందా లేదా అవి తెలుకోవడానికి కూడా వీలులేనంత లోందరగా జరిగిందని మాత్రం మనవిచేస్తున్నాను. పాయింట్ ఆఫ్ ఆర్డర్స్ ద్వారా కానీ, లేదా మర్రో పద్ధతి ద్వారా కాని వున్న నిబంధనలకు లోబడి, వాటిని ఆధారం చేసుకొని, మీ దృష్టిని ఆకర్షించి, మీ నిర్ణయానికి కట్టుబడి మా వ్యక్తిగత అభిప్రాయాలూ, మీ పార్టీ అభిప్రాయాలు తెలిపి కార్యక్రమాలు నడిపించుకుందామనే అభిప్రాయంలో వుండగానే ఆశ్చర్యకరమైన పరిణామాలు, గల్లంతులు, కాగితాలు చింపివేసుకోవడాలు, విసిరివేసుకోవడాలు, సభలో అల్లరిచేయలేని మాబోటివారికి దెబ్బలు తగిలే అవకాశాలు వున్న వాతావరణాలను ఖండించదగినవిగా భావిస్తూ ఇకముందు ఇలా జరిగితే ఇతర రాష్ట్ర కాన సభలముందు పార్లమెంటు ముందు మనలను మనం కించ పరచుకున్నట్లు అవుతుందని మనవిచేస్తున్నాము. రెండున్నర సంవత్సరాలుగా తమ అధ్యక్షత క్రింద సమావేశాలు చాలా హుందాగా నడుపుకున్నామని ఆలిండియా సమావేశాలలో చెప్పుకున్న సందర్భాలు వున్నాయి. ఈ రోజు జరిగిన దుర్ఘటన ఇలాగే కొనసాగితే, ఇంకా దిగజారితే ఈ సభావేదిక ప్రాముఖ్యము, పూర్తిగా దిగజారే పరిస్థితులు ఏర్పడతాయని, దానికి మనమందరం బాధ్యులం అవుతామని, అందుకు ఆస్కారం యివ్వకుండా మనం అందరం హుందాగా, గౌరవంగా సభా కార్యక్రమా

లను నడుపుకోవాలని హృదయపూర్వకంగా అన్నిపక్షాల వారికి మనవిచేసుకుంటున్నాను ఈ సందర్భంగా ఒకటి రెండు పాయింట్లు మనవిచేస్తాను. ముఖ్యమంత్రిగారు సభా నాయకులు. సభా నాయకులు మాట్లాడుతున్నప్పుడు, మాట్లాడుతారాన్నప్పుడు వారికి ఆ అవకాశం ఇవ్వాలి. సంజీవరెడ్డిగారు ముఖ్యమంత్రిగా వున్నప్పటి నుండి 1957 నుండే నేను చూస్తున్నాను. ముఖ్యమంత్రిగారు నిలబడ్డారు అంటే ఏదో కొత్త విషయం చెబుతారు. ప్రజాహిత కార్యక్రమం తెలుపుతారు. ఏదైనా సమస్యకు పరిష్కారం చెబుతారు అని అశిస్తూ వారికి అవకాశం యిచ్చేవారు. నిబంధనలకు పట్టు పట్టము, శుక్రవారం ముఖ్యమంత్రిగారు ఏ సబ్జక్టుపేటర్‌పై మాట్లాడుతారో ఊహించే నేను పాయింట్ ఆఫ్ ఆర్డర్ రెయిజ్ చేశాను. ముఖ్యమంత్రిగారు ఈ రోజు స్టేట్‌మెంటు చేస్తారన్నారు. స్టేట్‌మెంటు ఎలా చేస్తారో తిరిగి పాయింట్ ఆఫ్ ఆర్డర్ రెయిజ్‌చేసి తప అనుమతితో ఎమర్జెన్సీ మానే అనుకుంటూ వుండగానే పరిణామాలు వేరు విధంగా జరిగాయి. ఈ రోజు ముఖ్యమంత్రిగారు పెట్టిన స్టేట్‌మెంటు పేపర్సు టు బి లెయిడ్ ఆన్ ది టేబుల్ కాదన్నారు. జాబితాలో లేదన్నారు వాటు నోటిమాటగా చెప్పినా అది హౌస్ ప్రపార్టి అవుతుంది. ఎకార్డింగ్ టు రూల్స్ ఈ స్టేట్‌మెంట్ నాట్ ప్లేస్ ఆన్ ది టేబుల్ అన్నారు. ముఖ్యమంత్రిగారు కాని, మర్రో మెంబర్లు ఎవరుగాని రూల్ 284 క్రింద స్టేట్‌మెంటు యివ్వవచ్చు, శుక్రవారం చీఫ్‌విప్‌గారు కోరింది కూడా అదే. ముఖ్యమంత్రిగారిపై నిందలు, అభాండాలు వస్తున్నాయి కనుక వారిని స్టేట్‌మెంటు యివ్వండి అన్ చీఫ్ విప్ కోరారు. ముఖ్యమంత్రిగారు వ్యతిరేకంగా యిచ్చారు. కాని వారు ఏ నిబంధన క్రింద యిచ్చారు : ముఖ్యమంత్రిగారికి నాపై యిచ్చిన నాన్‌బెయిలబుల్ వారంట్ విషయంలో నాకు శంకలేదు కాని వారు యిచ్చిన సమాధానం నేను బేధిస్తున్నాను, భిన్నిస్తున్నాను అని మనవి చేస్తున్నాను, సభ ముందుకు ఏ ప్రశ్న రాలేదు. ముఖ్యమంత్రిపై వచ్చిన ఆరోపణలు ఈ సభలో మనం కూర్చున్న తరువాత రాలేదు. బయట పత్రికల్లో అనేకం వచ్చాయి. కోర్టులో రిట్ పిటీషన్స్ ద్వారా వచ్చాయి. ముఖ్యమంత్రిపై వచ్చిన ఆరోపణలు యిప్పుడు సభలో వచ్చినవి కావు. ఈ సభా ప్రాంగణానికి బయట అనేక సమస్యలపై అనేక ఆరోపణలు వస్తుంటాయి, వచ్చాయి కూడా. కోర్టులో వివాదాలు జరుగుతూ వుంటాయి. ఈ సభలో చేయబడని ఆరోపణలపై ఎవరూ సమాధానం చెప్పకూడదు. రెయిజ్ చేయకూడదు. చర్చ జరగకూడదు. రెయిజ్ చేయకూడదు. చర్చ జరగకూడదు. ఇక్కడ 196 అంశాలను స్టేట్

మెంటులో తెల్పారు. ఒక ప్రక్క ఎలిగేషన్స్, మరో ప్రక్క వాటికి సమాధానాలూ రెండు కాలమ్స్‌గా ప్రింటుచేసి ఆ పత్రికలను యిచ్చారు. ఇందులో కోర్టులో పెండింగ్‌లో వున్న విషయాలే 99 పర్యంతు వరకూ వున్నాయి. ఇందులోని ఎలిగేషన్స్ అన్నీ బయట వచ్చినవే. కోర్టులో పెండింగ్‌లో వున్నవే. వాటికి సమాధానం చెప్పటానికి ఇక్కడ అవకాశం తీసుకొని ముఖ్యమంత్రిగారు యిక్కడ స్టేట్‌మెంటు యిచ్చారు. డిస్‌హ్యూటబుల్ పాయింట్స్ కాకూడదంటూ డిస్‌హ్యూటబుల్ పాయింట్స్ చెప్పారు. చర్చ జరగకూడదన్నారు కాని చర్చ జరుగుతుందో లేదో ఇంకా తెలియదు. ఇటువ టివి వచ్చినప్పుడు రూల్ 284 క్రింద తప్ప మరొకటి లేదని మనవి చేస్తున్నాను. మేము చెప్పేది విన్న తరువాత, మా పాయింట్ అఫ్ ఆర్డర్స్ ను రూలౌట్ చేసిన తరువాత, మీరు స్టేట్‌మెంటు యివ్వటానికి పర్మిషన్ ఇచ్చిన తరువాత మాకు అభిప్రాయభేదం వున్నప్పటికీ, వారు స్టేట్‌మెంటు ఇచ్చివుంటే ప్రొసీజరు సరిగా వుందనే సంతృప్తి మాకు వుండేది. కాని ప్రొసీజర్‌లో గందరగోళం జరిగింది. ఏదో అవకాశం యివ్వకుండా అకస్మాత్తుగా వంచిపెడుదాము అనే ప్రయత్నం స్పష్టంగా జరిగినట్లు నాకు అర్థం అయింది. కాబట్టి యిది అసంబద్ధం, రూల్సుకు విరుద్ధం కాదు. కాబట్టి యిప్పటికైనా స్పష్టమైన అభిప్రాయం ఏమంటే, యీ ప్రవేశ పెట్టినటువంటి డాక్యుమెంటు – తమరు ఏ నిర్ణయం చేసినప్పటికీ – యిది రాజ్యాంగరీత్యా నిర్దేశించబడిన శాసనసభ యొక్క విధినిర్వహణకు అనుండి ఉపరతి వ్యతిరేకము, ఇక్కడి విధులలో ఒక విధి వుంది. స్పీకరుగారు అన్ని సందర్భాలలో అన్ని వేళలలో కొన్ని రూల్సును సస్పెండు చేసి ప్రత్యేకంగా అనుమతించే అధికారం వుంది. ఆ అధికారాన్ని చేపడుదామనుకుంటే, ఆ అధికారాన్ని చేపట్టడానికి కూడా యిది సందర్భం కాదు. సముచితము కాదు. ఇది వివాదాంశం. కాంట్రవర్సీతో వివాదముతో కూడిన అంశం. అందులో శాసనసభ వేదికపైన వచ్చిన పిటీషన్లు కాపు. బయట వచ్చిన అభియోగాలు. వాటిని శాసన సభలో యింత డిటేల్డుగా పోపడిన అవసరంలేదు. పైగా 284లో ఒకటి స్పష్టంగా చెప్పబడింది. స్పీకరుగారికి యీ డాక్యుమెంటును – సేట్‌మెంటును – పూర్తిగా వ్రాతపూర్వకంగా సమర్పించాలి. ప్రభుత్వ డాక్యుమెంటు కాదు అన్నారు, పేటు మెంటు అయినా 284 క్రింద ఒక నోటుగా యిస్తున్నప్పుడు దానిని మొదట స్పీకరు సారి పరిశీలనకి తీసుకురావాలి. అది సంపూర్ణంగా రావాలి లేకపోతే స్పీకరుగారితో మాట్లాడి దాని చిట్ట అయినా యివ్వాలి. స్పీకరుగారు చదువుకోవాలి. రాజ్యాంగ

మా 12-3

నిబంధనకు అణుగుణంగా వుంది అని స్పీకరుగారు అభిప్రాయానికి వచ్చిన తరువాత అనుమతి యిచ్చిన తరువాత ఎజెండాలో దానిని పెట్టవచ్చు, ఎజండాలో పెట్టకపోయినా స్పీకరుగారు, బాధ్యత తీసుకొని నేను చెప్పాను అని చెప్పవచ్చు, కాని నా అభిప్రాయములో యిది స్పీకరుగారి దృష్టికి రాలేదు. తమరిని యిందాక ఛాంబరులో అడిగినప్పుడు నేను చూడలేదు అన్నారు. మీ దృష్టికి రాలేదు. 196 అభియోగాలు ఎవరు చేశారో, ఎక్కడ చేశారో, ఎందుకు చేశారో, వాటికి సమాధానాలు ఎలా యిచ్చారో, యివి అన్ని శాసనసభలో చర్విత చరణంగా చర్చించడం సబబుకాదు. వాటి పైన అభిప్రాయం ఏర్పాటు చేసుకోడానికి మీకు అవకశం కల్పించబడలేదు. మీకు ఆ అవకాశం కల్పించకుండా అణుమాత్రంగా స్టేమెంటు చేస్తామని పరిమితమైనటువంటి అనుమతి తీసుకొని యింత పెద్ద స్టేటుమెంటును - అచ్చుగుద్దిన స్టేటుమెంటును - పంపకం చేయడం శాసనసభ నిబంధనావళికి ఉల్లంఘన జరిగింది. ఉల్లంఘనే కాదు. మనకు సాంప్రదాయాలు వున్నవి. పరస్పరం అర్థంచేసుకొని, అవగాహన చేసుకొని కార్యక్రమాలు నిర్వహించుకోవాలి తప్పు పట్టుకోకుండా - ఏదో ఒక దెబ్బ కొట్టి బయట పడుదామ అని ప్రయత్నం చేయడం యింత బలం. మెజారిటీ కలిగిన తెలుగు దేశము పార్టీ, అందులోను స్పష్టమైన అభిప్రాయాలు కలిగి గంటల తరబడి వాదించే, చర్చించే సామర్థ్యంగల ముఖ్యమంత్రిగారు ఇంత తొందరపాటుతో చేయడం ఏ మాత్రం సంతృప్తిగా లేదు. నేను నా విచారాన్ని వ్యక్తపరుస్తున్నాను. ఇందులో వున్నది వివాదకరమైనటువంటి అంశం. జ్యుడీషియరీకి లెజిస్లేచరుకు స్పష్టంగా కన్ఫ్రంటేషన్‌కు దారితీసే వర్థతి తయారు అవుతుంది. మీరు లడ్మిట్ చేస్తే - ఎలా అవుతుంది. జ్యుడిషియరీవారు మన శాసనసభను కించపరచడంలేదా, శాసనసభలో వచ్చినటువంటి చర్చలను గౌరవప్రదమైనటువంటి పరిభవనాలతో గాకుండా మర్యాద పూర్వకమైనటువంటి మాటలతో గాకుండా ఒక విధంగా తూలనాడుతూ, నిర్లక్ష్యంగా మాట్లాడుతూ వారు శాసనసభ గౌరవాన్ని, అధ్యక్షుల గౌరవాన్ని, మంత్రుల గౌరవాన్ని సభ్యుల గౌరవాన్ని నిర్లక్ష్యంగా చులకన చేసే వర్థతులు లేవా అంటే కొన్ని సందర్భాలలో, కొన్ని విషయాలలో వున్న మాట వాస్తవమే. కొంతమంది జడ్జీల వల్ల జరిగిన మాట వాస్తవమే. కొన్ని హైకోర్టులలో మిటున్నది వాస్తవమే. కాని యివాళ నా దృష్టిలో ఏ ఒక్క ప్రత్యేకమైన హైకోర్టును దృష్టిలో పెట్టుకొని మాట్లాడ కూడదు. నా దృష్టిలో, ప్రత్యేకమైనటువంటి జడ్జీస్‌గాని బెంచ్ అఫ్

జడ్జెస్‌ను గాని మనస్సులో పెట్టుకొని మాట్లాడకూడదు. మన దృష్టిలో జ్యుడీషియరీ యాజ్ ఎ హోల్ – మన రాజ్యాంగం ప్రకారం సర్వసత్తాక హక్కు కలది శాసనసభ సావరినిటి అధికారము కలది శాసనసభ. సావరినిటి డస్ నాట్ మీన్ ఎక్స్‌క్లూడింగ్ జ్యుడీషియరీ అండ్ ఎజ్‌క్యూటివ్ – అవి మనము క్రియేట్ చేసిన వ్యవస్థలు. మన మందరం కలసి పరస్పర అవగాహనతో, పరస్పర విశ్వాసముతో, పరస్పర గౌరవముతో వ్యవహరిస్తే ప్రజాస్వామ్యము నాలుగు పాదాలా నడుస్తుంది తప్ప ఎవరము ఎక్కువైనా అటు జ్యుడీషియరీ ఒక జడ్జిని గాని బెంచ్ అఫ్ జడ్జెస్‌ను గాని చులకన చేసినా యిటు శాసనసభ వట్ల శాసనసభలో జరిగే ప్రొసీడింగ్స్ వట్ల ఏ మాత్రం అమర్యాద కరంగా మాట్లాడినా యీ ప్రజాస్వామ్య యంత్రాంగానికి – శాసనసభకే కాదు–మొత్తం దేశ ప్రజాస్వామ్యానికే కళంకం అని మనస్ఫూర్తిగా అటువంటి పద్ధతులకు వ్యతిరేకము అని చెబుతూ యివాళ దీనిని అంగీకరిస్తే జ్యుడిషియరీకి వ్యతిరేకంగా మనము చాప్టర్ ఓపెన్ చేసి చర్చించేవిధము ప్రారంభించి రేపు జ్యుడిషియరీలో రాబోతున్న 196 అంశాలను యీనాడు చర్చించడానికి అవకాశం కల్పించడం జ్యుడిషియరీలో కన్‌ఫ్రంటేషన్‌కు యిది దారితీస్తుంది. ఇది అక్రమము. అన్యాయము. నిబంధనలకు వ్యతిరేకము. మీకు చెప్పవలసిన అవసరంలేదు. సబ్ జ్యుడీస్ అవుతుంది. ఇవి పెండింగ్ యిన్ ది కోర్టు – నిర్దిష్టంగా యిందులో వున్న లన్ని అంశాలు కోర్టులో పెండింగ్‌లో ఉన్నవి. రేపటి నుండి అక్కడ చర్చ జరుగుతుంది. వాటిపైన లిఖిత పూర్వకంగా కౌంటరు పెట్టడం ఏది కూడ అసంబద్ధం అయినటువంటిది, అన్యాయం అయినటువంటిది, జ్యుడిషియరీలో కన్‌ఫ్రంటేషన్‌కు దిగేటి కాలట్టి దేశములో, రాష్ట్రములో, యివాళ ఆంధ్ర శాసనసభ జ్యుడీషియరీ యొక్క హక్కులను హస్తగతము చేసుకుంది. తమకు వున్న బలాన్ని నిరూపించుకోవడానికి ఆ విధంగా చేసింది. యీ విధంగా చేసింది అని అవాకులు చవాకులు వివరకుండా యిప్పటికైనా దీనిని యిక్కడితో నిలిపివేయండి. ఇప్పటివరకు ఇటువంటి ప్రయత్నాలుచేసే శక్తులను వ్యతిరేకను అరికట్టాలని మనవిచేస్తున్నాను. రాజకీయంగా తెలుగుదేశం పార్టీకి యీ రాష్ట్రములో ఎన్నో పర్యాయాలు అత్యధిక మెజారిటీ సంపాదించి రాష్ట్రములోను, దేశములోను చరిత్రను సృష్టించిన గౌరవాన్ని నేను యీ వయసులో మరిచిపోవడానికి వీలులేదు. అటువంటి యీ పార్టీ యీనాడు యీ పద్ధతిలో రాజ్యాంగవరమైనటువంటి వద్ధతిలో చర్చకు లాగి సెల్ఫ్ గాల్ చేసుకోవడం ఆత్మహత్యావదృశ్యం అవుతుంది, దీనిని చర్చకు లాక్కుపోవడం రాజకీయంగా ఏ మాత్రము సరైన నుహా కాదు.

ఇది అవసరమైతే చర్చిస్తాము, కోర్టులో చర్చిస్తాము. బయట చర్చిస్తాము — కాని శాసనసభలో యిటువంటిది చర్చకు పెట్టడం ఏ పరిస్థితులలోను వారిపార్టీకి నిరుపయోగం అని మనవి చేస్తున్నాను. ఉన్న నిబంధనల ప్రకారము యీ కాగితములపైన చర్చించడానికి వీలులేదనీ తమరు రూలింగు యిచ్చి యీ కాగితాలు ప్రాపర్టీ ఆఫ్ ది హౌస్ కాదనీ చర్చనీయాంశము కాదనీ తమరు నిర్ణయించాలని కోరుతూ సెలవు తీసుకుంటున్నాను.

శ్రీ ఎన్. రాఘవరెడ్డి :— అధ్యక్ష, అసలు విషయం - జరుగుతున్న తీరు పన సభయొక్క గౌరవాన్ని ఏ మాత్రము ముందుకు తీసుకుపోయే విధంగా వున్నట్లు కన్పించడం లేదు. ఎందుకంటే మొత్తం చర్చ, చర్యలు కూడా ఆ తరువాత ఆ కాగితాలు విసిరివేసుకొనే పద్ధతి, అనుకొనే పద్ధతులు చూస్తే నిజంగా బాధ వేస్తుంది. ఇటువంటి పద్ధతిలో యిది జరుగుతున్నది. పోతే దీనికి సంబంధించినంతవరకు మొదటి విషయం యీ స్టేట్‌మెంటు ఏ రూపంలో ప్రవేశపెట్టబడింది-తమకు తెలుసు, మొన్న 11వ తేదీనాడు ముఖ్యమంత్రిగారు లేచారు, నేను రిప్లయి పెడుతాను అన్నారు. ఈనాడు రిప్లయి పెడుతాను అని 11నాడు చెప్పారు. ఆ తరువాత జరిగిన బి.ఎ,సి.లో దీవిని పెడుతాను అని చెప్పడం జరిగింది. తమరు అనుమతించారో లేదో తమరే చెప్పాలి. మీ తరుపున చెప్పగలిగిన స్థితి మాకు లేదు,

మేము ఇక్కడ చూస్తూ ఉండగా మీరు చేయి ఊపడంతో ముఖ్యమంత్రిగారు లేచారు. లేచి వెంటనే వారు కాగితాలు పెడన్నామని చెప్పడం, వెంటనే ఆ పుస్తకాలు యిక్కడ పంచడం జరిగింది. పుస్తకాలు పంచడంతో ఈ పైనుంచి వాటిని విసిరివేయడం జరిగింది. ఇక్కడ ఏ స్టేట్‌మెంటు పెట్టే అధికారం ఉందా అనే ప్రశ్న వచ్చినప్పుడు శ్రీ రాజేశ్వరరావుగారు రూల్ 284 కాట్‌చేసి చెప్పారు, ఏ ముఖ్యమంత్రి అయినా ఏదైనా స్టేట్‌మెంటు ఇవ్వదలచినప్పుడు ఆ విషయం ఎజండాలో రాలేదు. ఈ విషయం నేను శాసనసభ్యుడుగా ఉన్నప్పటినుంచి చూస్తూనే ఉన్నాను. స్పీకరుగారు పర్మిషను యిస్తే సరిపోయేది. స్పీకరుగారి పర్మిషన్ తీసుకొని వారు స్టేట్‌మెంటు చేసేవారు. కార్యక్రమం జరుగుతూ ఉంటే అవి మధ్యలో కూడా స్టేట్‌మెంటు చేసే స్థితిని మేము చూసాము. సభా నాయకుడుగా, ప్రభుత్వాధిపతిగా స్టేట్‌మెంటు చేయడానికి హక్కు వుంది. మధ్యలో లేచి చెప్పదలచింది చెప్పవచ్చునేది సాంప్రదాయంగా వున్నున్నది. రూల్ 284 క్రింద సభ్యులు పర్స

సబ్ అభిప్రాయం చెప్పుకోవచ్చుననేది నా అభిప్రాయం. దానికి సంబంధించిన నిబంధనలు కోట్ చేయవలసి వుంటుంది. అధ్యక్ష, మీరు లాయరు, నేను కాదు. ఇది సబ్ జుడీస్ అవునో కాదో నాకు తెలియదు. మన రాష్ట్రంలో జరుగుతున్న పనులు వింతగా ఉన్నాయి. ఇది కూడా ఒక వింతగా ఉంది. అందువల్ల ఇది సబ్ జుడిస్ అవునో కాదో మీరే ఆలోచించాలి. ప్రభుత్వానికి లీగల్ అడ్వయిజర్స్ ఉన్నారు. మీరు మంచి చెడ్డలు ఆలోచించి ఎలా చేస్తారని అనుకుంటాము. అందువల్ల దాని మంచి చెడ్డలలోకి నేను వెళ్ళడం లేదు. స్టేట్‌మెంటు పుస్తకాలు నేను చూడలేదు. చూడకుండానే వాటిని చింపి ట్టారు. దానిలో ఏమి ఉందో తెలియదు. సమస్య ఏమిటో చెప్పడానికి లేదు కోర్టులో ఉన్నాయని అంటున్నారు. కోర్టులో ఏమి వుందో, ఈ స్టేట్‌మెంటులో ఏమి ఉందో, కోర్టులో ఉంది స్టేట్‌మెంటులో ఉందో లేదో తెలియదు. మొత్తం మీద హౌస్ లీడరుగా, ప్రభుత్వానికినేతగా స్టేట్‌మెంటు చేయడానికి వారికి హక్కు ఉంది. రూలు 284 వారికి వర్తించదు. వారికి అధ్యక్షులవారు పర్మిన్ యిస్తే ఫలానా రూలు క్రింద అని అనుకోవలసిన అవసరం లేదు. ఈ విషయం బిఏసి ముందు, కాసేపటి సభ ముందు చెప్పిన తరువాత మళ్ళీ యిప్పుడు ప్రశ్నించడం సబబుగా లేదని నేను అభిప్రాయపడుతున్నాను.

శ్రీ ఎన్. ఇంద్రసేనారెడ్డి :— అధ్యక్ష, మొన్న 11వ తేదీన ముఖ్య మంత్రిగారు లేచి మాట్లాడినప్పుడు మేము పాయింట్ ఆర్డర్ లేవనెత్తగా ఆ రోజున స్టేట్‌మెంటు చేయడంలేదు, 14వ తేదీ స్టేట్‌మెంటు యిస్తామని చెప్పారు. ఆ రోజున వారు మాట్లాడుతూ 284 రూలు క్రింద మేము స్టేట్‌మెంటు యిస్తున్నామని ఆ ప్రక్కనుంచి చెప్పడం జరిగింది 284 క్రింద ఈరోజున యిచ్చిన స్టేట్‌మెంటు కాని, బుక్ చేంచడం కాని సరైన పద్ధతి కాదని, అది ఈ హౌస్ రూల్సుకు విరుద్ధమని మీ దృష్టికి తీసుకురావాలని లేచాను. మీరు పర్మిషను యిస్తారో లేదోనని బ్యాక్ డోర్ పద్ధతిలో బుక్ చేంచడం ఈ సభా గౌరవానికి భంగం కలిగించిందని చెబుతున్నాను. ఏ రూలుక్రింద దీన్ని సభలో ఉంచాలని అనుకున్నారు, ఈ రోజున చేసినటువంటి స్టేట్‌మెంటు కాన్, బుక్ చేంచే పద్ధతికాన్ సభా గౌరవానికి భంగం కలిగించేట్లు ఉన్నాయని మా పార్టీ తరపున తెలియజేస్తున్నాము,

మిస్టర్ స్పీకర్ :— రూలు 298 చదవండి.

SRI N. INDRASENA REDDY : – "A statement, may

be made by a Minister on a matter of public importance with the consent of the Speaker but no question shall be asked at the time the statement is made," మొన్న మాట్లాడినప్పుడు రూలు 284 అన్నారు. ఇప్పుడు 298 అంటున్నారు. స్టేట్‌మెంటు ఇచ్చేప్పుడు ముందు మాకు చెప్పాలి. ఇది పబ్లిక్ ఇంపార్టెన్సు ఏమీ కాదు. ఎలిగేషన్సు అన్నీ ఇండివిడ్యుయల్ క్రిందకు వచ్చినటువంటివి. ప్రభుత్వం తీసుకున్నటువంటి నిర్ణయాల మీద వచ్చినటువంటివి కాదు ఇవన్ని కాకుండా ఎలా చేసే అధికారం అధ్యక్షులకు వుంది. మీరు రూల్సును ఓవర్ రూల్‌చేసి ఎలా చేసే అధికారం మీకు ఉంది. కాని యిక్కడ జరిగిన పద్ధతి ఏమిటి? మీ మీద నమ్మకం లేకుండా - పర్మిషను యిస్తారో లేదో అనే అనుమానం మీద బుక్సు వెంటనే పంచడం జరిగింది. అది సక్రమమైన పద్ధతి కాదు. ఈ విధంగా పంచడాన్ని నేను వ్యతిరేకిస్తున్నాను. ఈ రోజున ఈ పుస్తకాలలో ఏమి ఉందనేది ఇంతో అంతో ముందుగా మా కున్నటువంటి సోర్సెస్ వల్ల తెలుసుకున్నాము. అది కోర్టులో ఉంది. కోర్టులో ఉన్నటువంటి దాని విషయంలో ఇక్కడ చర్చించవద్దు. ఈ హౌస్‌లో చాలాసార్లు అనుకున్నాము. ఏ పాయింట్స్ అయితే కోర్టులో ఉన్నాయో వాటిని వదలి మిగిలిన యిస్యూస్ చర్చించాము. కేవలం కోర్టులో ఉన్నటువంటి విషయాలు వస్తున్నాయని ముందుగా తెలుసు కనుక వీటిని చర్చించడం సరైన విషయం కాదనే ఉద్దేశంతో పాయింటు ఆఫ్ ఆర్డరు లేవదీయడానికి ముందు ప్రయత్నం చేసాము. మనం రూల్సును యాక్ట్సును తయారుచేస్తున్నాము. మనం తయారుచేసే రూల్సును, యాక్ట్సును మనమే వ్యతిరేకించడం సరైన పద్ధతి కాదు. కనుక ఈ రోజున కోర్టులో ఉండే విషయాలు ఏమైతే వున్నాయో వాటిని మనం పార్టు ఆఫ్ రికార్డు క్రింద వచ్చేట్లు చేసి ఈ అసెంబ్లీకి కళంకం తీసుకొని రాకూడదని మనవిచేస్తున్నాను.

ఈ రోజు యిక్కడ జరిగిన వాటిని మా పార్టీ సపోర్టు చేయదు. కోడ్ ఆఫ్ కాండక్ట్ మేము స్వయంగా నిర్ణయించుకున్నాము. దాని ప్రకారం నడచుకొంటాము...

(ఇంటరప్షన్స్)

ఈ స్టేట్‌మెంటు ఈ రోజు హౌసులో ప్రవేశపెట్టడానికి వ్యతిరేకిస్తూ, ముఖ్య మంత్రి హుందాగా విత్‌డ్రా చేసుకుంటారని ఆశిస్తున్నాను.

శ్రీ ఎ. వర్సిరెడ్డి :— అధ్యక్షా, ఈ సభలో ఈ రోజు జరిగినటువంటి సంఘటన చూస్తే ఈ సభ గౌరవాన్ని మనకు మనమే పోగొట్టుకుంటున్నట్లు అనిపిస్తున్నది. అందుచేత సభ్యులను సగౌరవంగా కోరుతున్నాను – వినే ఓపిక ఉండాలని, ఒకరిని అర్థం చేసుకోవాలని – మన గౌరవం కాపాడుకోవాలంటే, ఇతరుల గౌరవం కాపాడాలని, ఎంతవరకు మనకు రూల్స్ పాటించే ప్రయత్నం చేయనో ఈ సభ హుందాతనాన్ని కాపాడే అవకాశం ఉండదు, సభలో వుండే సభ్యులు ఈ విషయాన్ని గమనించాలని కోరుతున్నాను. ముఖ్యమంత్రి ఈ సభలో స్టేట్‌మెంటు చేసే అధికారం ఉందా లేదా – మీరు అనుమతి యివ్వాలా లేదా అన్నప్పుడు – నేను సభ్యులు చెప్పిన అభిప్రాయాలతో ఏకీభవించడం లేదు. ముఖ్యమంత్రికి సభలో మాట్లాడే అధికారం లేకపోతే ఎదురు మాట్లాడాలి : ముఖ్యమంత్రి అవసరం అనుకొన్నప్పుడు,వారి పాలసీల గురించి వారి ప్రభుత్వ తీరు గురించి ఈ సభకు చెప్పే సంపూర్ణ అధికారం వారి వుంది – అందుకు సభాపతి అనుమతించాలని భావిస్తున్నాను. ఇది సబ్ జుడీస్ కదా అనే విషయానికి వస్తే – అసలు స్టేట్‌మెంటు ఏమి చేయబోతున్నారు తెలిసే వరకు సబ్ జుడీస్ అవడం లేదు. స్టేట్‌మెంటు చేయక ముందే సబ్‌జుడీస్ అనడం లేదు. స్టేట్‌మెంటు చేసినప్పుడు – పాయింట్ అఫ్ ఆర్డర్ లేవదీయవచ్చు. సబ్‌జుడీస్ అని చెప్పవచ్చు. కనుక స్టేటుమెంటు చేయవచ్చునని భావిస్తున్నాను.

شری محمد امان اللہ خاں ۔ جناب اسپیکر صاحب اسمبلی کے پہلے دن ۱۱ ڈسمبر کو چیف منسٹر صاحب سے بیان دینے کی خواہش کی تھی ۔ تو اس پر اسمبلی میں کافی گڑبڑی ہوئی تھی اسلئے بھدبزنس ایڈوائزری کمیٹی میں یہ طے پایا تھا کہ بغیر اجازت 14 تاریخ کو اپنا اسٹیٹمنٹ پیش نہیں کرسکتے ۔ لیکن حسب چیف منسٹر صاحب نے ہی 14 تاریخ ضرور کہے تھے میں بھی 1978ع سے اس اسمبلی کا ممبر ہوں میں نے بھی کئی چیف منسٹر کو دیکھا ہوں۔ چیف منسٹر کو اختیار ہے کہ وہ گورنمنٹ کے پالیسس کے تعلق سے بیان دے سکتے ہیں ۔ لیکن اس کی بھی اجازت اسپیکر صاحب سے لینا ضروری ہے ۔ لیکن آج آپ کی بغیر اجازت کے چیف منسٹر صاحب نے اپنا اسٹیٹمنٹ تقسیم کیا ۔ جبکہ ایک ممبر اسی تعلق سے پائنٹ آف آرڈر کے ذریعہ یہ دریافت کیا تھا کہ آج ہمارے چیف منسٹر صاحب اسمبلی میں کچھ بیان دینے والے ہیں اس پر آپ نے کہا کہ میں موقعہ وقت آنے پر کہونگا ۔ لیکن اس کے تھوڑی ہی دیر بعد چیف منسٹر صاحب کچھ بولا اور اپنے اسٹیٹمنٹ کی کاپیاں تقسیم کرنے کیلئے کہا ۔ جب کاپیاں تقسیم ہونے لگے تو آپ نے روکنے کی کوشش کی ۔ لیکن روک نہیں سکے ۔ اور سب کاپیاں تقسیم ہونے لگے ۔ اس کاپیوں کو تقسیم کو روکنے کی جب ممبر کوشش کئے اس پر ہنگامہ ہوا ۔ رول 284 کے تحت ہر ممبر کو اختیار حاصل ہے کہ وہ اپنے پر لگائے گئے الزامات پر بیان دے سکتا ہے ۔ لیکن جبکہ جو الزامات لگائے گئے ممبر

اس کے تحت سے کورٹ میں کیس زیر دوران ہے جیسا کہ ابھی ہمارے
پیوویھی ایوزیشن لیڈ مسٹر ایم بالکاریڈی صاحب نے کہا ہے۔ تو پھر چیف منسٹر
صاحب کو جاننے تھا کہ وہ اسٹیٹمنٹ نہ دیں کیونکہ یہ سبجوڈیشری ہوتا ہے۔
کل تک دوسرے نمبر کے منسٹر مسٹر نلاپاریڈ سرینواسلو ریڈی صاحب وہ آپ کو
بچاتے رہے لیکن اب وہ نکل چکے ہیں۔ پہلے انہیں اپنا بیان دینا چاہئے
تھا لیکن آپ نے انہیں بیان دینے سے روکا۔ اور اپنے اسٹیٹمنٹ کے کاپیاں
تقسیم کروادیا۔ میں یہ کہنا چاہتا ہوں کہ یہ جو کاپیاں تقسیم کی گئی ہیں
وہ ہاؤز کی پراپرٹی نہیں ہے کیونکہ یہ جو کاپیاں تقسیم کیئے گئے ہیں
اسپیکر صاحب کی اجازت سے تقسیم نہیں کئے گئے ہیں لہذا یہ ہاؤز کی پراپرٹی
ہیں آپ کے ذریعہ ہمارے چیف منسٹر صاحب کو سے گذارش کرتا ہوں کہ
آپ پر جو الزامات لگائے گئے ہیں مسٹر نلاپا ریڈی سرینواسلو ریڈی صاحب
کی جانب سے انہیں یہاں پر بیان دینے کہلائے نہ دیئے کیونکہ وہ تقریباً
سوالات پیپر پنسل کے ذریعہ اور اپنے جلسوں میں کردیئے ہیں جیسے کہ
وجیاواڑہ اور گنٹور اور نلگنڈہ وغیرہ میں اپنے جلسوں میں کہے دیئے ہیں
اب کوئی چیز باقی نہیں رہی پھر اسمبلی میں انہیں اپنا بیان دینے سے
کیوں روکا جارہا ہے۔

مسٹر اسپیکر: یہاں پر یہ بات نہیں ہے مسٹر اللہ صاحب۔

شری محمد اللہ خان۔ مسٹر سرینواسلوریڈی صاحب جب منسٹری سے
نکل چکے ہیں انہیں پہلے اپنا اسٹیٹمنٹ دینے کہلائے کہیں اور بعد میں
چیف منسٹر صاحب اپنا جواب دے سکتے ہیں۔ اور جوابات کی کاپیاں
اسپیکر صاحب کی اجازت لے کر تقسیم کرسکتے ہیں۔ لیکن یہ کیس کورٹ
میں زیردوراں ہے۔ ہم سب کو معلوم ہے کہ مقننہ، عدلیہ اور اگزیکٹیو
کے کامنعلق خیالات ہیں۔ ہمارا ہندوستان اس سے واقف ہے کہ آندھراپردیش
کتنے سنسنسسس

శ్రీ ఎం. ఓకార్ :— ఇక్కడ మనము కొన్ని ప్రధానమైన విషయాలను నిర్ణయించుకోబోతున్నాము. నేను యీ సమస్యల్లోకి పోయేముందు సభకు ఒక విజ్ఞప్తి చేయదలచుకున్నాను. ఈనాడు దేశం ఒక తీవ్రమైన రాజకీయ సంక్షోభంలో వుంది. ఫిపరకు మన రాష్ట్రం కూడా అదే రకమైన రాజకీయ సంక్షోభంలో యెంతో కొంత మోతాదులో వుంది. భిన్నమైన పార్టీలవారం యీ శాసనసభ సంపర్లో ఉన్నప్పుడు యెవరి రాజకీయాలు వారివి వుంటాయి. ఎవరి పద్ధతులలో వారు నిర్వహించడానికి ఉంటారు. ఆ విధంగా వున్నప్పుడు ప్రజలలో నుంచి ఎన్నికయి శాసనసభకు వచ్చిన తర్వాత స్పీకర్ యొక్క ఆజ్ఞలకు లోబడి పని చేయవలసి వుంటుంది, అప్పుడే స్పీకరు యొక్క మర్యాద కాని, స్పీకరు యొక్క స్థానం గౌరవం కాని నిలబెట్టినవారము అవుతాము. వేరే విధంగా వ్యవహరించడానికి బయట అనేకమయినవి వున్నాయి. దురదృష్టవశాత్తు శాసనసభలో ఒక మాట చెప్పడానికి బాధ కల్గుతున్నది. ఒక విధమైన గూండాయిజంగా చర్య తీసుకోవడం శాసనసభకు అగౌరవమే కాకుండా సభాపతిని నేరుగా కించపరచినవారం అవుతున్నాము. ఇది మన రాష్ట్రానికి కాని, ప్రజలకు కాని, ప్రజాస్వామ్య వ్యవస్థకుకాని ఏ విధంగా కూడా మంచిదికాదు. ఇక మం॥ 1-
మంచి అయినా ఎవరికివారు నిగ్రహంగా వుంటూ తమ రాజకీయాలు అమలుపరించి అభిప్రాయాలు చెప్పుకోవచ్చును. కాని సభా గౌరవాన్ని కాపాడవలసిన అవసరం వుంది. జరిగిన దానికి విచారిస్తున్నాను, ఇక్కడ యీ స్టేట్‌మెంటు విషయంలో, యిది అనుకోకుండా ఆకస్మికంగా వచ్చింది కాదు. ఇది 11వ తేదీన దీనిమీద శాసనసభలో చెప్పడం. బి.ఏ. సి.లో చెప్పడం జరిగింది. పేపర్లలో ప్రకటించడం జరిగింది. అటువంటి సందర్భంలో యీ వచ్చిన పద్ధతి ఎట్లా వుందనే విషయానికి వస్తే, యిది ఎజండాలో లేనంతమాత్రాన ఇది రామారెడ్డిగారు చెప్పినట్లుగా మేము యీ 15 సంవత్సరాల కాలంలో అనేకమంది ముఖ్యమంత్రులు, స్పీకరుగారి అనుమతి తీసుకొని ప్రకటించిన సంఘటనలు ఉన్నాయి. అయితే యిది పబ్లిక్ యింపార్టెన్స్ అవునా ? కాదా అని అన్నప్పుడు ప్రభుత్వంవారు చెప్పదలచుకున్నది ఏదైనా అది పబ్లిక్ ఇంపార్టెన్స్ వుంటుంది. దానిని భర్తీచేసుకొనే హక్కు మాకు వుంటుంది. అది పబ్లిక్ ఇంపార్టెన్స్ అవుతుందా లేక వ్యక్తిగత వ్యవహారం అవుతుందా ? అనేది, గత కొన్ని మాసాలుగా ఈ రాష్ట్రంలో జరుగుతున్న వ్యవహారాలు చూస్తున్నప్పుడు ప్రతిదీ పబ్లిక్ యింపార్టెన్స్‌కు సంబంధించి వుంది. దీనికి భిన్నంగా అయింది చేసేను. ఈ శాసనసభలో ఏమి జరుగుతోందనేది అందరూ నిష్పక్షపాతంగా చూస్తున్నారు. ఈ ప్రభుత్వం

వుంటుందా : పడిపోతుందా : ప్రభుత్వ విధానం ఏమిటి అనే తీవ్రమైన విషయాలు వచ్చినప్పుడు యీనాడు ముఖ్యమంత్రిగారు స్టేటుమెంటు ఇచ్చినా యివ్వక పోయినా యీ స్టేట్‌మెంటులో వున్న విషయాలు మాకు అందరికీ తెలుసు. ఆ అంశాలు మేము లేవనెత్తిన తర్వాత దానికి సమాధానం చెప్పడం వారికి తప్పేదికాదు. ఆ పబ్లిక్ యింపార్టెన్స్ విషయాలు తీసుకొని రావడం పరిఅయినదా : యిది కోర్టు సబ్‌జుడీస్ అవుతుందికదా, అనే విషయాలు వచ్చినప్పుడు దురదృష్టవశాత్తు హౌస్ ధిక్కరణ కోర్టువారు చేస్తూనే వున్నారు, హౌస్ యొక్క హక్కులు భంగపరచే విషయాలు ఆ కోర్టులలో బహిరంగంగా చర్చించబడుతున్నాయి. మేము కూడా భారత రాజ్యాంగ చట్టాన్ని, భారత ప్రజాతంత్ర వ్యవస్థను కాపాడుకోవటానికి జుడీషియరీ, ఎగ్జిక్యూటీవ్ అదే విధంగా లెజిస్లేచర్ ఎవరి పరిధిలో వారు వుండాలని ఒకరి పరిధి మరొకరు అతిక్రమించరాదని, కోరేవారము ప్రథమంలో మేము ఒకరము. ఇటువంటి సమస్యలలో మేము ఎమర్జన్సీ వచ్చినప్పుడు, జుడిషియరీ యొక్క హక్కులు హరించే చర్యలు తీసుకున్నప్పుడు బాగా ఖండించినాము. 22 మాసాలు జైలులో పెట్టినప్పుడు అప్పుడు చేసిన అరోపణలు యివన్నీ వున్నాయి, ఏమి వున్నా జుడీషియరీని కించపరచేవారము కాము. కాని జుడీషియరీ యొక్క పవర్ ఏమిటి అనేది సీడింగ్ సుప్రీంకోర్టు యిచ్చిన వ్యాఖ్యానాలు, పీటింగ్ హైకోర్టు జడ్జీలు యిచ్చిన వ్యాఖ్యానాలు, ప్రభుత్వ న్యాయకోవిదులు యిచ్చిన వ్యాఖ్యానాలు, ప్రఖ్యాత కేంద్ర మంత్రులు చెప్పినవి ఉన్నాయి. 1965 నుంచే కోర్టులు గురించి బహిరంగ చర్చ కేంద్ర ప్రధాన మంత్రుల స్థాయి నుంచి ఆరంభం అయింది. సుప్రీంకోర్టును టార్గెట్‌గా తీసుకొని చెప్పడం జరిగింది. కొన్ని రాష్ట్రాల హైకోర్టులను టార్గెట్‌గా తీసుకొని చెప్పడం జరిగింది. అది అధికారంలో వున్నవారు చేస్తూ వచ్చినారు. ఇప్పుడు వాతావరణం మనము స్ట్రిక్టుగా పరిశీలించబోతే యిది కోర్టు పరిధా : హౌస్ పరిధా అని చూసినప్పుడు యిది కలగాపులగంగా ఉంది. ఏది ఎక్కైన బయట జరిగిన ఈ విషయాలు కోర్టులో వున్నంతమాత్రాన యిక్కడ చర్చించకూడదని నేను అనను. ఎందుచేతనంటే యిది యీ ప్రభుత్వ పరిపాలనకు, మా ప్రజల జీవన విధానానికి సంబంధించింది. ఆ దృష్ట్యా ఈ అంశాలు యిక్కడ చర్చించవలసిందే. వాస్తవానికి యిటువంటి విషయాలు కోర్టులో ఎంటర్‌టైన్ చేయకూడదు. కోర్టులు పని ఏమిటి : ఏ ప్రభుత్వం వుండాలి, ప్రభుత్వం తప్పుచేస్తే దానిని ఎంక్వయిరీ చేయాలి అటువంటి విషయాలు నిర్ణయించడానికి వేరే సంస్థలు ఉన్నాయి. ఆ విధంగా ఎగ్జి

క్యూటీవ్ చేయవలసిన పనిని కోర్టులో తను నెత్తిన వేసుకోవడం క్షేమకరం కాదు. అందువల్ల ఏ దృష్ట్యా చూసినా యిప్పుడు జరుగుతున్న వాద ప్రతివాదాలు పబ్లిక్ యింపార్టెన్స్ ఉన్నటువంటివి, ఈ రాజకీయ వ్యవస్థకు సంబంధించినవి. కనుక ఇవి తప్పనిసరిగా చర్చించవలసిన అవసరం వుంది. మీరు ఎప్పుడు చెప్తుయిస్తే అప్పుడు యివి చర్చిస్తాము. ఇది హౌసే ప్రాపర్టీగా పరిగణించాలి. ఏదో కాన్ని టెక్నికల్ కారణాలచేత హవుస్ ప్రాపర్టీ కాదని అనడానికి వీలులేదు, ఇప్పుడున్న సమస్య ఏమిటంటే ప్రధానంగా దీనిని నిర్ణయించవలసినవారు తమరే. నేను విజ్ఞప్తి చేసేది మాత్రం ఒకటి వుంది. అదేమిటంటే యిది హవుస్ యొక్క ప్రాపర్టీ. ఇది హవుస్ యొక్క ప్రాపర్టీ కాదని వాదిస్తే పన హవుస్ యొక్క అధికారాలను మనం తిక్కర్యిచినవారము అవుతాము, అందువల్ల దీనిని చర్చించేటప్పుడు యేది తప్పు, యేది ఒప్పు అనేది యిక్కడ తెలుస్తుంది, నేను మొత్తం హవుస్‌కు విజ్ఞప్తి చేసేది ఏమిటంటే కాస్త హుందా తనాన్ని నిలబెట్టుకోండి. ఒకరు తొందరపడితే ఇంకొకరు తొందరపడకూడదు. రెండు చేతులు కలిపే చప్పట్లు ఆవుతాయి. అట్లా కాకుండా ఒక చేయిని మాత్రమే లేవనీవ్వండి ఆ రకంగా హుందాతనాన్ని కాపాడుకొని సభాపతి గౌరవం కాపాడడం మంచిదన్న కోరుతున్నాను. ఆ దృష్టితో మీరు దీనిమీద స్పష్టమైన నిర్ణయం తెలపాలని కూడా కోరుతున్నాను.

(ఇంటరప్షన్స్)

MR. SPEAKER :— I will not allow you. Samarasimha Reddy and others. Either you are going to speaker I am not going to allow anybody to speak. Two additionals from Con - gress - I.

Dr. Y. S. RAJASEKHARA REDDY :— I am sorry to that today how the Telugu Desam Government has taken the house for the ride. The casual way in which the Government tried to circumvent the proceedings of the House are totally deplo- rable.

అధ్యక్ష, ఈ రోజు ఉదయం 8-30 గంటలకు సభ ప్రారంభము కాగానే యిటువంటిది ఏదో జరుగుతుందని శ్రీ సమరసింహారెడ్డిగారు ముందుగా ఊహించి ఇటు వంటి స్టేట్‌మెంటు ముఖ్యమంత్రిగారికి యిచ్చేందుకు అవకాశం యిచ్చారా అని వారు

అడగడం జరిగింది. తప్పు లేదు అన్నట్లుగా జవాబు యివ్వడం జరిగింది. జీరో అవర్ లో స్టేట్ మెంటు యిచ్చేందుకు ప్రయత్నించేందుకు ముందు రెండు మూడు నిమిషాలకు గౌరవ సభ్యులు డా॥ వైయస్ రాజశేఖరరెడ్డిగారు మ్యాన్ పేవరు చూపించి ఇందులో స్టేట్ మెంటు ముఖ్యమంత్రిగారు యివ్వడం జరుగుతున్నదా అని తమరిని ప్రత్యేకంగా వారు అడగడంజరిగింది. అప్పుడు తమరు అన్నారు We will discuss that when this subject comes over. అంటే యిందాకా మైండు అప్లయి చేయలేదు అని, డెసిషన్ యివ్వలేదు అని అప్పటి మీ బిహేవియర్ ఖచ్చితంగా మాకు అనుకూలం యిచ్చింది.

MR. SPEAKER :— Mr. Reddy, I said that I will disclose my mind only at the appropriate time. These are my specific words.

డా॥ వై. ఎస్. రాజశేఖరరెడ్డి :— అది కాదవడం లేదు. తరువాత రెండు మూడు నిమిషాలకు ముఖ్యమంత్రిగారిని తమరు చదవమన్నట్లు వినడం, ముఖ్యమంత్రిగారి స్టేట్ మెంటు అసెంబ్లీ స్టాఫ్ వండడానికి ప్రయత్నం చేయడం జరిగింది. అధ్యక్షా, ఆ టైములో మేము ఏమి అనుకోవాలి, మీ కన్సెంట్ తీసుకుని చేసారా అని అనుకోవాలా, తమరి కన్సెంట్ లేకుండా వారంతట వారే చేసారా అని అనుకోవాలా ? చాలామంది సభ్యులు చాలా విషయాలు చెప్పారు. ఏ విధంగా చూసినా ముఖ్యమంత్రిగారి పర్సనల్ ఎక్స్‌ప్లనేషను ఇంటే తీసుకోవలసి వస్తున్నది.

Dr. Y. S. RAJASEKHARA REDDY :— These are not State documents at any rate. It is very categorically stated that the form of the House should not. however, be used to make personal explanations.

MR. SPEAKER :— Read page No., Mr. Reddy.

Dr. Y. S. RAJASEKHARA REDDY :- Page 342 - Line - 1

" The forum of the House should not, however, be used to make personal explanations or clarify repors to contradict or clarify reports not connected with the proceedings of the House published in the Press,

హౌస్‌కు సంబంధించిన ప్రొసీడింగ్సులో పర్సనల్ ఎక్స్‌ప్లనేషను యివ్వకూడదని క్లియర్‌గా ఉంది.

Page 343 : Last Pare :— Where allegations are made in the House against a particular political party, the Leader of the party or group in the House is permitted to make a statement in regard to thereof. If, however, I submit that the text of the statement be made by him and he can make the statement only if, the Speaker accords him the permission after going through the statement.

Page 344 : 3 Para :— A personal expladation with regard to a matter under adjudication is not permissible ". This statement definitely relates to the merits of a Writ Petition which is already pending in the Court of Law, in the High Court."

(క్లియర్‌గా) అటువంటి పరిస్థితిలో ఏమరు యిది గమనించి ముందుగానే చూసినట్లయితే అధ్యక్షా, కేవలం పాట్స్ గురించి కాదు అనేది మీరు గమనించి ఉండేవారు. లీగల్ ఎక్స్‌పర్ట్ ఏమరు. ఇంటర్ ముందు వారు చూడలేదు. అందు కని ముఖ్యమంత్రిగారు స్టేట్ మెంటు అంటే ఓరల్‌గా స్టేట్‌మెంటు చేస్తారేమో అని చెప్పి మీరు అవకాశం యిచ్చినారు అని అనుకుంటున్నాను. అట్లాగ అన్నటువంటి అయన He took the House for a ride and tride to circulate those documents to the House. దీనివల్ల ఒకటి గమనించండి. ఈ రోజున ఇది కోర్టులో ఉంది. ఎక్స్‌ట్రా నేషనుగా ఉందని చూసిన కొంతమంది గౌరవ సభ్యులు అన్నారు. నేను కూడా చూడలేదు I had occasion of glancing through those documents very briefly దీనివల్ల రేపు ఏమీ జరుగబోతున్నది అనేది కూడా చూడాలి.. We are driving this House on the course of the House on that of the High Court.

ఏది ఏమైనా యిది మనకు మంచిదా ? ఇప్పుడు కొంపలు ముంచిగిపోయేది ఏముంది ? నాశము, పది రోజులలో కోర్టులో డిసైడు అయిన తరువాత హౌస్‌లో ప్లేస్ చేసివుంటే ముఖ్యమంత్రిగారికి ఏమి నష్టము వచ్చివుండేది ? కోర్టులో డిసైడు అవ్వవలసి వుండగా యిక్కడ డిస్కస్ చేయుటం అనేది ఎంతవరకు.....

MR. SPEAKER :— Only confine to the legal aspects.

డా॥ వై. యస్. రాజశేఖరరెడ్డి :— ఇటువంటి స్టేట్‌మెంటు ప్లేస్ చేసిన తరువాత డిస్కస్ చేయడానికి అవకాశం వుంటుంది. ఇటువంటి కాంట్రవర్సియల్

స్టేట్ మెంటు It is not going only into the facts of the things which pending in the Court but also going into the merits of the case. ఇటువంటి స్టేటుమెంటు హౌస్‌లో పెట్టడానికి అవకాశం ఉన్నా దీని గురించి డిస్కస్ చేయడానికి అవకాశం ఉంటుంది. కన్‌సన్‌ట్రేషను కమక నాలుగైదు డ్రాజులలో ఇది కూడా కోర్టులో ఉత్పన్నం అయ్యే దానికి అవకాశం ఉంటుంది. It is in the interests of the democracy please do not tread the path of confrontation with the judiciary.

శ్రీ సి. రామచంద్రయ్య :— It has been one of the pertinent questions raised by the Hon. Member. Please allow me. I have got a case to make.

లెజిస్లేటరు పొర్టిసిజరులో ఫాలో అవ్వాలన్నప్పుడు పార్లమెంటు గైడెన్సు, తీసుకోవలసిన అవసరం ఉంది. ఇండియన్ ఎక్స్‌ప్రెస్ బిల్డింగ్ ఢిల్లీలో షేక్ ఓవర్ విషయంలో కాంగ్రెసు గవర్నమెంటు ప్రెస్‌ను సప్రెస్ చేస్తున్నదని డిస్కషన్ తీసుకొని వచ్చినప్పుడు శ్రీ శంకరదయాల్ శర్మ అబ్జక్షన్ ఓవర్ రూల్ చేస్తూ though it is sub judis it has to be discussed in the House" అని రూలింగు యిచ్చారు. అటువంటి సర్కమ్‌స్టెన్స్‌లో డస్కస్ చేయకూడదు అని.

If, I want to start a discussion in the House, I simply have to file a case.

ఈ హౌస్ పరిధిలోకి రాకుండా ఉండాలంటే ఒక అనామకుడు కోర్టుకు పోతే డిస్కషన్‌కు రాదు. ఇది గుడ్ ప్రెసిడెంటు శంకరదయాల్‌శర్మగారు పెట్టారు. గౌరవ సభ్యులు కూడా చెప్పారు. There is a precedent and we leave it to your discretion to take a decision.

SRI D. K. SAMARASIMHA REDDY :— In a Parliamentary form of Democracyt every one of us is aware that nobody is superme - However, big he may be or however, small he may be. He has to abide himself under the Rule of Law. Law must take its own course. Can we prevent the Law ? Or can we foreclose the law abministering machinery from administering the law ? By taking up such methods which would tantamount to commit the contempt of Court, for which every one in the

House will be hauled up. My humble submission is the Leader of the House is a person-one to be respeected by everybody in the House. Equally, the Leader of the House has got certain responsibilities that have been cast on him to respect the opposition also and to take into consideration the sentiments expressed by the opposition. Sir, in this process, I would, just, like to submit to you a paragraph from Kaul and Shakdhar. Sir, the responsibility you will find it in Page 118 : "The responsibility of the Leader of the House is not only to the Government and its supporters in the House, but to the Opposition and the House, as a whole, He maintains liason between the Government and the opposition groups in the House, He is guardian of the legitimate rights of the opposition as well as those of the Government. As such, he should be among the foremost of the champions of the rights of the House as a whole and see that the House is not denied despite the pressure from any quarter, its rightful opportunities. The Leader of the House, it has been aptly said, should possess intuitional instinct about what is going on in the minds of the Members of both sides and in case of any trouble brewing, he sould be able to estimate the nature and extent of the commotion when there is a strong parliamentary pressure on any matter especially when it comes from both sides, he must be ready to bend to it."

Why do I bring it to the notice of the august House is Sir ..... Here is a case, where certain charges have been leve - lled against the Leader of the House in his individual capacity, as well as, as a person heading the pivotal organisation.

Mr. Speaker, Sir, every one of us is aware that there are three forums available for a citizen. One is public forum, the other one is judicial form and the third legislature. Matters coming up in the public forum the platforms are different wherein we can agitate over our own rights, where we can putforward our way of things - where we can go to the people and tell them whatever we would like. If the allegations are partaining to the public forum, well, it is within the rights of the Legislature where he can go and aprove his innocence. Nobody can stop him. Even n the judicial forum he has to fight it only in the judiciary; Even

in the Legislature forum, if some of the Members have brought it by way of a resolution, or by way of a question, they it is whll within the rights of the Leader of the House to reply. Why I had to say this is to avoid the conforntation between the Judisciary and the Legislature ie., both the forums. The Presiding Officers had already met once and on number of occassions and have decided what should be the matters to be discussed, what is sub Judice and what is not sub Judice. All these issues are taken into consideration. Now, I am not going into the question of deciding whether the matter here is sub judice or not. That is latter aspect. At the beginning, Sir, what I would like to submit is, the other day, the Hon. Chief Minister made a statement on the floor of the House stating that he is going to make a statement on 14th, Very well; All of us though that it would figure in the Agenda because Rule 33 - I invite your kind attention to Rule 33- "A list of the business for the debate shall be prepared by the Secretary and a copy thereof, shall be made available for the use of every Member. Save, as otherwise, provided in these Rules, no business not concluded in the list of business for the day shall be prevented during sitting of that day without the permission of the Hon. Speaker."

Sir, I am concious of the fact of mentioning the words of 'without the permission of the Speaker' ie., with the permission of the Speaker. even though, a matter has not been mentioned in the Agenda can be taken up. That point, I am not disputing it. For that one condition. The precedent is the Speaker must be aware of what the Leader of the House is going to say untill and unless it is of such an urgent public importance and untill and unless heavens are going to fall and untill and unless something is going to happen which is going to collapse this entire House. This is only ... Mr. Speaker Sir, unfortunately the position is this- Hon. Speaker, this morning, the moment the House assembled at 8-30 - because I came to the house at 7-20 AM. for the purposing of submitting a notice under 304, The moment I came here, I have been noticing activities here of collecting big, big bundles with all those things. Then my enquiry revealed that something is going to be presented and already, the information what he

had informed us what was there in it already keeping in view that in the morninig before the House took up the question hour. I may submit to you Sir that it may be through almost by the cavity I have brought it to the notice of the Speaker that this morning 8.30 itself that this mischief is going to be done.

(INTERRUPTIONS)

Sir, this is definitely mischief. (Interruptions) I still stand by my word. Any matter which is sub judice cannot be taken up on the flood of the House. No attempt to foreclose the judicial functioning can be entertained or permitted by the Speaker. Otherwise, all of us are hauled up for contempt. So Sir, I invite your kind attention. Sir, in mentioning Kaul and Shakdhar - I may invite your kind attention to Page 102 —

Just now, the Speaker gave a rulling. This is being viola - ted. I want the Speaker to bring to House into order.

" A Committee of Presiding Officers has considered this scope of Rule of sub judice and recommended the following guidelines :— ". You got it Sir ?

MR. SPEAKER :— Yes. I know it before and also.

SRI D. K. SAMARASIMHA REDDY :— Any way, for refreshing to your memory Sir - (1) Freedom of Speech is a primary right. Whereas the Rule of sub judice is a selfimposed restriction. So, where need be, the latter must give away to the former. Down below : Rule of sub judice has no application on privileged matters. Then 8 Sir, You will find Rule of sub judice has application only during the period when the matther is under active consideration of a Court of Law or Court Marshal. That wouldmean as un-done. In criminal cases, from the time charge sheet is field till the judgement is delivered. In Court Marshal, from the time charges are preferred till the charges are confirmed. In civil suits, from the time issues are farmed till judgement is delivered. In Writ Petitions, from the time they are admitted till orders are passed. In appeals, from the time the

appeal is admiited and the jndgement is delivered." Sir, the Presiding Officers, in their meeting, had categorised list. Under the Head No. 8, they are categorised, under what provision, under what matters, what should be the stage. Sir,

Sir, now, there have been two Writ Pititions that have been pending. They are coming up for hearin. I am not going into the merits I will submit the Writ Petition Numbers. The first
On 1-30 one is 12425 of 1987.

MR SPEAKER :— Mr. Samarasimha Reddy ! the point here is whether the Hon'ble Chief Minister can make a statement in theHouse That is the main consideration now. You do not go into all the Writ Petitions. That amounts to warining me.

SRI D. K. SAMARASIMHA REDDY :— I am not in the habit of warning the Speaker. I am only in the habit of placing the facts before the house The other Writ Petition is 18173 of 1987 Apart from this, in these matters what is sub-judice and what is not Sub - Judice you are well aware Most of our members are aware Under the Rules, kindly see Rule No. 43 (1) (14) as well as Rule 54.

Under Rules 65, 79 82, 135, 280 ... so many number of rules have been farmed for working the procedure of this House under which we have to submit overselves. Until and unless the Speaker thinks that the situation is so expedient or of so much of public importance or so much of urgency that the matter should be taken up then and there without the matter coming up the Agenda, then only, the Speaker is exercising his inherent powers. Here Sir, what has happend ? This morning as the other Hon'ble Members have put it.....

MR. SPEAKER :— Again you are going into the merits.

SRI D. K SAMARASIMHA REDDY :— I am not going into the merits. Should I not say what happened in the morning? To avoid all this, may I suggest a course ? Whether this matter is sub judice, there is a person who has to defend this House in the Judiciary on behalf of the Government. I would request the

Speaker to sumon that gentleman to the Floor of the House Let him make a statement before the House that these matters are not sub judice and the we can discuss That is, the Advocate General of the State Let him be summoned before this House. Let him say that these matters are not sub judice and the House can discuss. Then, we are prepared to discuss, (When somebody interrupted from the Treasury Benches) Sir, probably, the member does not know that the Advocate General is a Member of this House. The Speaker can summon him whenever he wants

శ్రీ వసంత నాగేశ్వరరావు :— గౌరవనీయులైనటువంటి అధ్యక్ష మహాశయా, వివిధ రాజకీయ పార్టీల నాయకులు చెప్పినటువంటి విషయాలు అన్నీ తమరు విన్నారు, రెండు విషయాలను నేను కూడా తమ దృష్టికి తీసుకురాదలచుకున్నాను.

(ఇంటరప్షన్)

అధ్యక్ష, 11వ తారీఖున, తమ అనుమతితో ముఖ్యమంత్రి ఒక ప్రకటన చేసినారు. తమపైన వచ్చినటువంటి ఆరోపణలపైన వివరాలు యివ్వడానికి తనకు అవకాశం యివ్వమని చెప్పి, తమరు ఆమోదించారు. ఆ రోజున గౌరవ శాసనసభ్యులు డాక్టర్ రాజశేఖరరెడ్డిగారు తరువాత చర్చకు అవకాశం యిస్తారా అని కూడా అడిగారు. అంటే దానిని పెట్టడానికి వారు ఒప్పుకున్నట్లే. ఆనాడు వారికి సబ్ జుడీస్ అనేది కనబడలేదు..... .....

(ఇంటరప్షన్)

ముఖ్యమంత్రిగారు ఎందుకు ప్రకటన చేయవలసివచ్చింది. నింగి నేల మన పడ్డదా అని చాలామంది అడుగుతూ అన్నారు. తమరికి తెలుసు. వారికి తెలుసు మేము అర్థము చేసుకున్నది కూడా. ప్రభుత్వం తరపున ఈ రోజున అజెండాలో ఎందుకు పెట్టలేదు అని అడిగారు. ముఖ్యమంత్రిగారు ఈ విషయాన్ని నేను డేటు మెంటు చేస్తాను శాసనసభలో అని తమకు ఒక ఉత్తరము వ్రాసినారు. అంతేకాదు. అనేక రూల్సు యిప్పుడు చెప్పినారు. 298 రూలు చెప్పినారు. దేనిప్రకారంగా అయినా కూడా ఏ సభ్యులు గాని ఒక మంత్రిగారి ప్రకటన చేయవచ్చు. అంతేకాదు. గౌరవ శాసనసభ్యుడు శ్రీ సమరసింహారెడ్డిగారు లీడర్ ఆఫ్ ది హౌస్ పాత్రగురించి కౌల్ అండ్ షెక్టర్ నుంచి 114వ పేజీ నుంచి చెప్పినారు. వారు చివర ఉన్నటు

వంటి పేరాగ్రాఫ్ మాత్రమే చదివివెప్పినారు. దానికి ముందుగా ఉన్నటువంటి పేరాగ్రాఫులు చదివి ఉంటే బాగుండేది. కౌల్ అండ్ షెక్దర్‌లో ఉంది. నేను చదివాను కనకనే తిరగవేయకుండా చెప్పగలుగుతున్నాను. లీడర్ ఆఫ్ ది హవుస్‌ను గురించి దానిలో ఉంది. ఈ పార్లమెంటరీ డెమోక్రసీలో లీడర్ ఆఫ్ ది హవుస్ ఇంపార్టెంటు ఫంక్షనరీ అని స్పష్టంగా ఉంది దాని ప్రకారం మీరు చెప్పినారు, ప్రతిపక్ష సభ్యులు మీరు కూడా అన్నారు. లీడరు ప్రభుత్వం తరపున ఎప్పుడైనా జోక్యము చేసుకొనేటువంటి అవకాశం ఉంది అని అన్నారు. నర్సిరెడ్డిగారు, ఓంకార్‌గారు, రాఘవరెడ్డిగారు చెప్పినట్లుగా. ఒక ముఖ్యమంత్రి తనపైన ఆరోపణలు వస్తే, ఈ రోజు ఉదయం కూడా చతుర్వేదుల సారా గురించి అడిగారు, పి. జనార్ధనరెడ్డిగారు రామకృష్ణ స్టూడియోను గురించి అడిగారు. ఇట్లా రెండు ఆరోపణలు శాసనసభలో ఈ రోజునే వచ్చినాయి. ఇటార్నిపైన వచ్చింది. ఇట్లా అనేక రకాలైనటువంటి గడ్డిఅన్నారంపైన అనేక రకాలైన ఆరోపణలు ఈ గొడపమ్మటువంటి శాసనసభలో చేసినారు. బయట చేసినారు. దానికి గాను ఈ రాష్ట్రములో ఈ దేశములో ఈవేళ ఉన్నటువంటి పరిస్థితులలో చాలా ప్రభుత్వాలు ఏమి చేస్తున్నాయి ? నేను ఒక టి చెబుతున్నాను. అలేజా ఉన్న ముఖ్యమంత్రి కనుకనే, చండశాసనుడు కనుకనే ఈ వేళ అతడు యిట్లా చెప్పగలిగాడు. సింహావ్వప్నం చేయగలిగినవాడు కనుకనే చెప్పగలిగినాడు. అనేక రాష్ట్రాలలో అనేక దినపత్రికలలో ఇలస్ట్రేటెడ్ వీక్లీలలో కొన్ని వస్తున్నాయి. వాటికి సమాధానం చెప్పే పరిస్థితి శాసనసభలోగాని బయట గాని మనకు లేదు. నా ప్రజా ప్రతినిధులుగా వచ్చినటువంటి నేను వారు అడిగినదానికి తలవంచి శిరసావహించి చెప్పవలసిన పరిస్థితి నాకు వున్నది .ఇందులో నాకు బాధ్యత ఉన్నది. ఏ రాష్ట్రపు ప్రజలకు అయితే నేను మాటి ఇచ్చి వచ్చినానో ఆ రాష్ట్రపు ప్రజల ప్రజాప్రతినిధులు కూర్చున్న ఈ అసెంబ్లీ హవుసులో చెప్పవలసిన బాధ్యత ఉన్నదని ధైర్యముతో ముందుకు రావడం తప్పు అని ప్రజలందరూ అంటారా నాకు అర్థం కావడంలేదు.

శ్రీ వసంత నాగేశ్వరరావు :— ఈ రకమైన పరిస్థితులలో ముఖ్యమంత్రి గారు స్టేట్‌మెంటు చేస్తున్నందుకు వారు ఒక కొత్త వరవడిని తీసుకు వచ్చినందుకు యిక్కడ సభ్యులు ఆనందపడి, ఆహ్లాదపడి యిది మంచిదిగా తీర్మానిస్తారని అనుకుంటే వేరే పరిస్థితిని తీసుకురావడం జరిగింది.

(ఇంటరప్షన్స్)

ఇప్పుడు ముఖ్యమంత్రిగారు వివరణ యివ్వడం ఏమి సబ్‌జుడీస్ : అవుతుందని అడుగుతున్నాను. మా మిత్రులు ఇంద్రసేనారెడ్డిగారు ముందు ఏదో మేము నిర్ణయానికి వచ్చామన్నారు. కాని ద్రోణంరాజుగారు హైకోర్టులో రిట్ పిటీషన్ నెం. 12,426/87 ను దాఖలు చేసినప్పుడు ఆ రిట్ పిటీషన్‌లో ముఖ్యమంత్రిగారి మీద విచారణ చేయవలసిందిగా చెప్పారా ? ఆ రిట్ పిటీషన్ ఏమి అయితే పెండింగులో వుందో అఫిడవిట్‌లో ముఖ్యమంత్రిగారైన కమిషన్ ఆఫ్ ఎంక్వయిరీని వేయవలందిగా అర్థించారు తప్ప ముఖ్యమంత్రిగారి అవినీతిపైన విచారణ జరపాలని అనలేదు

(ఇంటరప్షన్స్)

అందువల్ల ఇది సబ్‌జుడీస్ కాదు. రిట్ పిటిషనులో దాఖలు అయినవి, ఎఫిడవిటిలో దాఖలు అయినవి రకరకాలుగా పేపర్లలో చూస్తున్నాము. 6 కోట్ల మందికి జవాబుదారి అయిన ముఖ్యమంత్రిగారు తమపైన వచ్చిన ఆరోపణలకు ధైర్యముగా యీ సభలో విచారణ యివ్వాలనుకోవడం తప్పా ? నేరమా ? అంతటి ధైర్యం, ఆత్మవిశ్వాసం, నైతికస్థాయి ఉన్నవారు నాయకుడుగా ఉన్నందుకు ఓకపైపున ఆంధ్ర ప్రజలు గుర్తిస్తున్నా, హరిస్తున్న సమయంలో యిట్లా వివరణ యివ్వకూడదనడం సబబా ; ఇక ఎజెండాలో ఈ అంశం లేదనేదానిమీద అధ్యక్షులవారికి ఒక ఉత్తరం వచ్చింది. 11వ తేదీన ముఖ్యమంత్రిగారు ప్రకటించిన విధంగా శుక్రవారం సభలో వివరణ యిస్తామని అన్నప్పుడు దానికి పర్మిషన్ తమరు తమరు యిచ్చిన ప్రకారంగా యీ స్టేట్‌మెంటు పెడతామని అన్నారు. ఆ ప్రకారంగా ముఖ్యమంత్రిగారు స్టేటు మెంటుమీ ఈ సభలో వున్నవారు పంపితే తప్పులేదు. మీరు చేసిన ఆరోపణలు తప్పని ప్రజలు తెలుసుకుంటారని, అసహ్యించుకుంటారని భయమా మీకు ? ఈ విషయాలు ఆంధ్రప్రదేశ్ ప్రజలకు ఎందుకు తెలియకూడదు ? ఇక్కడ ప్రజా ప్రతినిధులు చర్చించిన దానిని మన శాసనసభద్వారా పత్రికల ద్వారా తెలిస్తేతప్ప ఏమిటి? అందులో తప్పు వుంటేనో, వివరణ చెప్పలేకపోతేనో అయనదే తప్పని శిక్షిస్తారు కదా ? ఈ విధంగా ప్రజలమ ముఖ్యమంత్రిగారు ధైర్యంగా చెప్పగలిగితే అందరూ అర్థంచేసుకోగలిగితే పాపం ఎన్. టి. ఆర్.ని యీ రకంగా యిబ్బంది పెడుతున్నారా? ఈ ఆరోపణలలో ఏమీ నిజంలేదని ప్రజలు తెలుసుకోగలిగినప్పుడు మన నరుకు బయటపడి చెప్పుకోడానికి ఏమీ అందదనే భయమా ? బాధా ?

(ఇంటరప్షన్స్)

ఈ రోజు యీ వివరణ ప్రకారంగా యీ రాష్ట్ర ప్రభుత్వం అధినేత ముఖ్య మంత్రిగారు తమ వివరణను విలువైన యీ శాసనసభ ద్వారా ప్రజలకు తెలియచేస్తున్నారు. ఇది సబ్‌జుడీస్ మాటర్ కాదు. కాబట్టి గౌరవ సభ్యులు అందరూ యీ వివరణను అర్థం చేసుకునే అవకాశం, తద్వారా రాష్ట్ర ప్రజలు కూడా అర్థం చేసుకోనే అవకాశం కలుగచేయండి. ఈ రకంగా అయినా ప్రపంచ దేశాలలో అనేక సంఘటనలు చెరిగినా, ఈ దేశంలో సంఘటనలు చూచినా అధినేతలపైన వచ్చిన అరోపణలు పైన చెప్పుకున్న సంఘటనలు మృగ్యం అమకుండే, ఆంధ్ర ప్రజానీకానికే కాకుండా భారత దేశానికి అశాకిరణంగా మేమగుపురుగుగా ఈ రోజున వస్తున్న సంఘటనలకు హర్షించాలి ఇప్పుడు తమ అనుమతితో యీ వివరణ యిక్కడ పెట్టడానికి ఉత్తరం వచ్చింది కాబట్టి వివరణను పెట్టడానికి అంగీకరించవలసిందిగా ప్రభుత్వం తరపునుంచి సవవిచేస్తూ సెలవు తీసుకుంటున్నాను.

శ్రీ నల్లపురెడ్డి శ్రీనివాసులరెడ్డి :— సభలో నేను మాట్లాడడానికి అవకాశం యివ్వనందుకు నిరసనగా నేరు వాకౌట్ చేస్తున్నాను,

శ్రీ శ్రీనివాసులరెడ్డి, మరియు శ్రీ పి. రాజగోపాల్ నాయుడు సభమంచి వాకౌట్ చేసారు.

శ్రీ కె. బాపిరాజు :— అధ్యక్ష, మంత్రిగారు తమ నాయకులను గౌరవించడం ధర్మమే, నాకు కూడా ఈ సభలో అనుభవం వుంది. మా మాజీ ముఖ్య మంత్రులమీద వారు పవర్‌లో వున్నప్పుడు పొగుడుతూ యింతకంటే దండిగా చెప్పాము. కాని ఇంత విసురుతో అవస్థతో ముఖ్యమంత్రిగారిని సపోర్టు చేయనక్కర లేదు. చిన్న మాటతో మంత్రిగారు తమ నాయకుని సపోర్టుచేసి సమర్థించవచ్చు.

డాక్టర్ ఎం. వి మైసూరారెడ్డి :— ఇపుడు వ్యవసాయ మంత్రిగారు చెప్పిన ప్రకారంగా రామారావుగారి మీద వచ్చిన ఆరోపణలు మీద సమాధానం చెప్పుకోవడానికి ఏమిటి యిబ్బంది అన్నారు, ముఖ్యమంత్రిగారు అవినీతిపరుడు కాదని యీ సభలో వివరణ యిస్తే ఎందుకు యీ సభతో ఎవగిస్తున్నారని మంత్రిగారు అన్నారు. మేము ఏమీ ఎలిగేషన్‌మీద వివరణ యివ్వవలసిందిగా ముఖ్యమంత్రిగారిని అడగలేదు. తెలుగుదేశం పార్టీపైన మేము ఎలిగేషన్స్ చేసిన నోటీసులు అన్ని డిస్‌లో అయివాయి. అటువంటప్పుడు యీ వివరణ ఎందుకు సభలో ఉంచారు ?

MR. SPEAKER :— The only point is whether the Hon,ble Chief Minister has got the right to make a statement in the House But, you are going into the merits of the case. It is not necessary That point I will not concede.

SRI A. DHARMA RAO : – With regard to matters pending in the High Court, the Treasury Benches are giving a wonderful interpretation that they are not for adjudication and they are merely for asking for the institution of a Commission by the Central Government. Unless there is fundamental proof in the charges it will not give a direction. In order to give a direction for appointing a Commission, they must, first of all, decide whether there is some truth or not. That aspect the High Court has to decide first. That is very much under consideration of the High Court now.

Therefore, it squarely falls under sub judice. As has been rightly suggested by Mr. Samarasimha Reddy, the Advocate General is the best Judge. Here, he is also a Member of this House. Let his openion be taken whether this matter falls under sub judice or not.

Further, Sir, that the statement that is goving to be made by the Hon'ble Chief Minister relates only to that subject which is obvision from the circumstance and also from the Paper now circulated. The Notice that is given is defined under Rule 284 and not under 287 and the subject also falls under 284 must be of public importance. Here, it is only a personal matter where personal allegations have been made against the Chief Minister. They are made not in the House but outside the House. There are very much in the High Court. When it is in the Court, he has to answer the Court. By answering it in the Assembly, he wants a confrontation with the High Court. He cannot make an answer here.

In order to interpret various rules of procedure, rules 294 and 298, they have to be read combinedly and not separately. These rules would made it comulative effect into consideration. Rule 284 is under the circumstance were personal allegations are made and they have to be made in the House. Here, they are not

matters in the House. They are in the High Court. The matter is only regarding personal allegations. The Rule is sought to be given so as to get himself absolved. It does not do Sir. He has to answer it only in the Court. That is why Kaul and Shakdhar is very much clear that with regard to the allegations made elsewhere, he cannot use this forum. If he uses this forum, it becomes a misuse of the House. Terefore, my submission is you should not allow it. He has to be prevented from making the statement.

MR. SPEAKER :— I thought you will be throwing some light. The other members out of not going through the relevent rule may do so. But you are a seasoned lawyer, seasoned legislator.

( At this stage, some Members from the TDP Benches commented somthing )

I am not belittling Mr. Dharma Rao. I have all respect for him. I thought you are really going to throw some light on any procedural mistakes committed. You are again going into the merits. A point of order, whenever it is raised, the only purpose is when the House is not in order or it is going astray or not following rules or contravening the Constitutional provisions - - that is how we understand that the meaning of the point of order. Now, in the name of point of order, everybody is going to speaking something and would be - - I do not say wasting the time - - but, certainly not allowing other matters to be discussed.

SRI D. K. SAMARASIMHA REDDY :— అధ్యక్ష, మంత్రివర్యులు వసంత నాగేశ్వరరావుగారు ఇందులో సబ్ జుడీస్ ఏముంది ; అందువల్ల మనం మాట్లాడుకోవచ్చు అన్నారు.

On that I am raising the point of order. My point of order is based on the precedents. In the year 1960, a question was raised on the Floor of the Parliament where a Minister was answering a question. The Minister said that a particular member involved in the Foreign Exchange Regulations violation rules.

When the Member wanted to raise and offer his personal explanation, it was disallowed saying that the matter was sub judice. So. what the Hon'ble Minister said does not hold good. I would invite your attention to Page 254 of the Kaul and Shakdar - - F : Even though the Minister has referred to in this House, it is not permissible." On August 11, 1960 and on September 1960 - - the Speaker refused permission.

శ్రీ కె. జానారెడ్డి :— అధ్యక్ష, రూల్ 56 చూడండి..... ఏదైనా విషయమును గూర్చి విచారణ లేక చర్యాప్తు జరుపుటకై నియమించిన విచారణా సంఘము లేదా విచారణా న్యాయాలయము సమక్షమున అపరిష్కృతంగా వున్న విషయముపై చర్చను ఉత్పన్నము చేయగోరు ఎట్టి ప్రతిపాదనను ప్రతిపాదించుటకు సాధారణముగా అనుమతించరాదు. అయితే ట్రిబ్యునల్ లేదా విచారణా సంఘమ్ లేదా విచారణా న్యాయాలయము చేయుచున్న విషయ పరిశీలనకు భంగం వాటిల్లు అవకాశము లేదని సభాపతి సంతృప్తి చెందిన యెడల విచారణ యొక్క కార్య విధానమునకు లేదా విషయమునకు లేదా దశకు సంబంధించినంతవరకు అట్టి విషయములను ఉత్పన్నము చేయుటకు సభాపతి తన వివేచనానుసారముగా అనుమతించవచ్చును." ఇక రూల్ 66 చూస్తే .... ట్రిబ్యునల్ లేదా విచారణా సంఘము లేదా విచారణా న్యాయాలయము లేదా సమర్థ అధికారం యొక్క న్యాయాలయము చేయుచున్న విషయ పరిశీలనకు భంగము వాటిల్లు అవకాశములేదని సభాపతి సంతృప్తి చెందినయెడల విచారణయొక్క కార్య విధానమునకు లేదా విషయమునకు లేదా దశకు సంబంధించినంత వరకు అట్టి విషయములను విధానసభలో ఉత్పన్నము చేయుటకు సభాపతి తన వివేచనానుసారముగా అనుమతించవచ్చును. 1, 2 గంటలుగా ఇది సబ్‌జుడీస్ అన్న విషయమై చర్చ జరుగుతున్నది కాబట్టి అందుకు భంగంలేదని మీకు సంతృప్తి కలిగినట్లయితే దీనిని అనుమతించవచ్చు. ఇది సంతృప్తి చెందడానికి వీలుగా వుందని నా భావన. ఇక ఇది ప్రజా ప్రాముఖ్యతగల విషయమా కాదా అన్నప్పుడు ప్రభుత్వం ప్రజల కొరకు చేపట్టిన కార్యకలాపాలపైన అనేక ఆరోపణలు వచ్చి యిటు లోపలా, అటు బయటా లేవనెత్తడం, అందుకు సంబంధించిన ఒక కేసుకూడా కోర్టులో వున్న సంర్భములో యిది ప్రజా ప్రాముఖ్యతను సంతరించుకొన్నది అనేది నా భావన. ఆ కేసుకు సంబంధించి ముఖ్యమంత్రిగారు కౌంటర్ కూడా హైకోర్టులో సబ్మిట్ చేయడం జరిగింది అని అందరికీ అర్థమైంది. అందువల్ల వాటిగురించి యిక్కడ చర్చ చేస్తున్నది వాటి

గురించి మంచి చెడ్డలను నిర్ణయించడానికి కాదు. అందుకు సంబంధించిన వాద ప్రతి వాదాలు జరుగుతున్నాయి. అనేకమంది ప్రజలు ఆందోళన పడుతున్నారు, దాని గురించి సభ్యులు తెలుసుకోవాలనుకున్నారు కాబట్టి యిక్కడ లేవనెత్తడం జరిగింది తప్ప వాటి మంచి చెడ్డలను నిర్ణయించేందుకు కాదు.

(అంతరాయము)

శ్రీ ఎన్. ఇంద్రసేనారెడ్డి :— అధ్యక్షా, ఒక క్లారిఫికేషన్ కౌంటర్ ఎఫిడవిట్‌లో పేర్కొన్నవి, ఇక్కడ యిచ్చిన వివరణలో పేర్కొన్న అంశాలు ఒకటేనా. అలా అయితే మేము డిస్కస్ చేసేది ఏమీలేదు.

మిష్టర్ స్పీకర్ :— వారి అభిప్రాయం అది.

శ్రీ కె. జానారెడ్డి :— అక్కడ అర్గ్యుమెంట్స్ జరుగుతున్నాయి కాబట్టి వాటికి, సంబంధించిన సమస్యలు యింకా ఎమైనా రావచ్చు సమాధానాలు యివ్వడం
గం 2-00 జరుగుతుంది. సభ్యులు స్టేట్‌మెంటులో యిచ్చిన విషయాలు అర్థం చేసుకొని అవసరమైతే ఏమైనా అడగడానికి వీలువుంటుంది. అందువల్ల ఈ విషయంలో వాద ప్రతి వాదాలు అవసరం లేదని సభ్యులకు విజ్ఞప్తిచేస్తూ రూల్ 56 ప్రకారం కోర్టులో వున్న దానికి ఏ విధంగానూ యిది భంగకరం కాదని మీరు భావిస్తే దీనికి అభ్యంతరం లేదని మనవి చేస్తున్నాను.

శ్రీ సి. ఆనందరావు :— అధ్యక్షా, చాలా కాలంగా వాదోపవాదాలు విన్న తరువాత చాలా విషయాలు ఏమిటి ? చట్టం ఏమిటి ? సబ్‌జుడిస్ అంటే ఏమిటి ? సరిగా అవగాహన చేసుకోక మాట్లాడినట్లు నేను మీకు సవినయంగా మనవిచేస్తున్నాను. అసలు, రూలు 298 ప్రకారంగా ముఖ్యమంత్రిగారు ఒక స్టేట్‌మెంటు యివ్వదలచినప్పుడు ఇది ముఖ్యమైన విషయమని వారు 298 క్రింద యివ్వడంలో ఏ మాత్రము అభ్యంతరము తెలిపే అవకాశం లేదని మీకు నేను సవినయంగా మీ ద్వారా మనవి చేస్తున్నాను. రెండవది ఏమిటంటే - అసలు సబ్ జుడీస్ అవుతుందిని మిత్రులు చాలామంది వాదించడం జరిగింది. అసలు సబ్ జుడీస్ అంటే ఏమిటి ? వారు ఒక సారి చదివితే సబ్‌జుడీస్ అనే సమస్య దీంట్లోరాదని మనవి చేస్తున్నాను. ముఖ్యమంత్రిగారిపై పత్రికలలో ఆరోపణలు వస్తున్నవి, ఏదో హైకోర్టులో రిట్‌తో కొన్ని విషయాలు చెప్పారు.

MR SPEAKER :— Wheather the matter is sub - judice or not is a different question.

శ్రీ సి. ఆనందరావు :— అయితే, ముఖ్యంగా ముందు మళ్ళీ చేసినట్లుగా 298 ప్రకారంగా.... .... .... ... "298. A statement, may be made by a Minister on a matter of public importance with the consent of the Speaker but no question shall be asked at the time the statement is made."

ముఖ్యమంత్రిగారు స్టేటుమెంటు యివ్వడానికి సంపూర్ణమైన హక్కు వుంది అని నేను ఈ సందర్భంగా మనవి చేస్తున్నాను.

శ్రీ ఎన్. టి. రామారావు (ముఖ్యమంత్రి) :— గౌరవనీయులైన సభాపతికి అభినందనలు. ఈ నాడు అతి ముఖ్యమైన విషయాన్ని గౌరవనీయులైన సభ్యులందరూ చర్చించేందుకు, వారందరికీ కూడా నా మనఃపూర్వక అభివాదములు తెలియచేస్తున్నాను. ముఖ్యంగా యిది పబ్లిక్ ఇంపార్టెన్స్ కాదు అని అనుకున్నప్పుడు this matter has been brought not on the judicial forum, but also on the public forum and the Legislature also.

ఈ నాడు అక్కడ వున్నటువంటి వివరాలు గాని, విషయాలు గాని కేవలం ఎన్నోసార్లు గౌరవనీయమైన ఈ సభలో గౌరవ సభ్యులు చర్చించారు. ఏ విధంగా అది ఒక కోర్టులో వుండకుండా, పత్రికల్లోగాని లేకపోతే లెజిస్లేచరులోగాని ఇదివరకే చర్చించినటువంటి విధానం అయిపోయింది. ఒకసారి గమనించవలసిందిగా తమకు నేను సవినయంగా మనవి చేస్తున్నాను. రామకృష్ణా సినీ స్టూడియోస్ విషయం, గడ్డి అన్నారం, హిటాచి డీలర్‌షిప్ విషయం. వేణుగోపాలాచారి విషయం యివన్నీ ఈనాడు మనం ఎన్నోసార్లు చర్చించినవే. వాటి విషయంలో కమీషన్ వేయిస్తామని కూడా గౌరవనీయమైనటువంటి యీ సభలో తమకు నేను మనవి చేశాను. కాబట్టి ఈనాడు ప్రత్యేకంగా కొత్తవి ఏమీ కాదు. ఈ సభలో ఎన్నో అనుమానాలు వచ్చినప్పుడు ఎన్నో అపోహలు సంభవించినప్పుడు సభకు పూర్తిగా వివరాలు అందచేయడం సభానాయకుడుగా, నా బాధ్యత, నా కర్తవ్యం. దీని విషయంలో .... ....

(ఇంటరప్షన్స్)

గౌరవనీయమైన ముఖ్యమంత్రి కోర్టువారికి నాకు వున్న గౌరవాన్ని తమ ద్వారా వ్యక్తం చేస్తున్నాను. ఎప్పుడు అయితే లెజిస్లేచరులో అరోపించబడిందో.....

(ఇంటరప్షన్స్)

ఎప్పుడు అయితే యిది ఈ యొక్క శాసనసభలో విచారించబడిందో. అప్పుడు ఆ ప్రజలు ఎన్నుకోబడి-దో ఈనాడు బాధ్యత గల వ్యక్తులము మన మందరం ప్రజా ప్రతినిధులం. కేవలం ప్రజలచేత ఎన్నుకొనబడిన వారము. ఇక్కడ ఏది నిర్ణయించినా, ఏది నిశ్చయించినా, ఏడి చట్టం తయారుచేసినా అది ప్రజలకోసం, సమాజం కోసం అనేది మాత్రం, ఆ దృష్టితో చేయబడుతుందని మీకు నేను సవినయంగా మనవి చేస్తున్నాము. ప్రజలు విశ్వసిస్తున్నారు. మనలను మన యొక్క విషయాలను వీరందరూ పూర్తిగా తదేకంగా ఆలోచిస్తున్నారనేటటువంటి నమ్మకం వీరికి కలిగించడం మనకు అవసరం. అంతేగాక. మనమీద అపోహలు సంభవించినప్పుడు ఎన్నో సార్లు సభాముఖంగా చర్చించబడినప్పుడు అటువంటి అనుమానాలుగాని, అపోహలకు గాని అస్కారం లేకుండా పరిశుద్ధవంతమైన పరిపాలనా విధానాన్ని మేము అవలంభిస్తున్నామని చాటిచెప్పడం మా ధర్మం, కర్తవ్యం కాదా అని మీకు నేను సవినయంగా మనవిచేస్తున్నాను. కాబట్టి, ఈ విషయాలన్నీ పూర్తిగా, ఇంత పబ్లిక్ ఇంపార్టెన్స్ అనుసరించి ప్రజలను, ప్రజాస్వామ్యాన్ని ఈ విధాన సభను దూరంచేయలేము. ఒక దానికొకటి సందంధం వుంది. అదే విధంగా, జుడిషియరీకి ఉన్నది. ఎవరికి వారు గౌరవించుకోవాలి. న్యూనత పరచుకోవడం జరగకూడదు అనేది నా సుస్పష్టమైన విధానంగా మీతు నేను మనవి చేస్తున్నాను. ఈ నాడు ప్రజలతో చెప్పేది, మరి జుడీషియరీని అవమానపరచడం అంటే అది సుతారామూ కూడా ఈ సభా హక్కుగా నేను అంగీకరించను. ఈ సభలో వచ్చిన విషయాలమీద అనుమానాల మీద, అపోహలమీద పూర్తిగా సభకు నేను వివరాలు అందించడం నా ధర్మంగా నేను భావించాను, కాబట్టి 11వ తేదీన గౌరవం, అభిమానంతో వున్న నా వివరాలు అందించడానికి అమోదము వ్యక్తంచేశారు. మరొకసారి అభినందనలు. అదేవిధంగా 11వ తేదీన బి.ఎ.సి.లో కూడా ప్రస్తావించడం జరిగింది. ఆ తరువాత కొంతమందికి తెలియకపోవచ్చు గాని తమకు తెలుసు, నాకు తెలుసు 13వ తారీఖున గౌరవనీయులైన తమకు నేను జాబు రాశాను. తమరు అనుమతించవచ్చు. నేను ఈ వివరాలు సభ ముందు పెడతానని మనవిచేసిన విషయం గుర్తుచేస్తున్నాను, ఈ విషయాన్ని అటువంటి పద్ధతిలో నేను

సభాగౌరవాన్ని పాటించాను. పూర్తిగా నా నిర్దోషిత్వాన్ని రుజువుచేయాలి. నాయొక్క పరిపాలనా వ్యవస్థను, నా యొక్క నచ్చీలతను రుజువుపరచాలనే భావంతో ఈ నాడు అంతా సిద్ధమై వచ్చాను. మీరు నావంక చూశారు. నేను లేచి చదివాను. సభా ముఖంగా నేను యివ్వగల అడిషేల్స్‌ను, వివరాలు కూడా యివ్వడం జరిగిందని కూడా మీ అందరికీ నేను రమద్వారా స్పష్టం చేస్తున్నాను. కాబట్టి, యిది పూర్తిగా అనుమతితో జరిగింది. కాని ఏ విధంగానూ ఈనాడు సభా మర్యాదను అతిక్రమించ లేదని నేను సుస్పష్టం చేస్తున్నాను.

(ఇంటరప్షన్స్)

శ్రీ మైసూరారెడ్డిగారు, రాజశేఖరరెడ్డిగారు ఫైల్స్ తీసుకురావాలని తమ సీట్లనుంచి లేచి కోరారు.

(ఇంటరప్షన్స్)

శ్రీ వై. ఎస్. రాజశేఖరరెడ్డిగారు :— దుర్యోధనునిగా బాగానే యాక్ట్ చేస్తారు .... ....

(ఇంటరప్షన్స్)

మిస్టర్ స్పీకర్ :— రాజశేఖరరెడ్డిగారు, మీరు మాట్లాడేటప్పుడు ఎవరైనా డిస్టర్బు చేశారా ? ఫైల్ తెప్పించాలా వద్దా ? మీరు ఒక పద్ధతిగా రండి. దానికి అభ్యంతరం లేదు కానీ ఒక పద్ధతిగా పోవాలి.

(ఇంటరప్షన్స్)

శ్రీ పి. జనార్ధనరెడ్డి :— ఫైల్ తెప్పించమనండి.... ....

(ఇంటరప్షన్స్)

MR. SPEAKER :— Please do not interrupt. Whenever you stand up and ask to bring the file, is it the procedure ? Simply, if Mr. Janardhana Reddy asks for the file, I am not going to ask for the file.

(INTERRUPTIONS)

You are going to spoil your own case. There are methods to discuss the matter. You are unnecessarily provocating .

డాక్టర్ యం. వి. మైసూరారెడ్డి :— ఆధ్యక్ష, ఊకదంపుడు ఉపన్యాసం అక్కరలేదు. ఫైల్స్ తెప్పించండి.

(INTERRUPTTIONS)

MR. SPEAKER :— There are certain procedures to ask for the files and to get the files.

(INTERRUPTIONS)

డాక్టర్ వై. యస్. రాజశేఖరరెడ్డి :— ఇది xxx కాదు. అయన xxx కాదు. ఇది శాసన సభ.

(అధికారపార్టీ, ప్రతిపక్ష పార్టీల తరపు నుండి ఇంటరప్షన్స్)

MR. SPEAKER :— I expunge these remarks.

డాక్టర్ వై. యస్. రాజశేఖరరెడ్డి :— మేము ఏమి మాట్లాడినా మీరు ఎక్స్‌పంజ్ చేస్తే ఎలా ? వారు మాట్లాడింది ఏదీ ఎక్స్‌పంజ్ కాదు.

మిస్టర్ స్పీకర్ :— నాకన్ని విషయాలూ తెలుసు. దయచేసి కూర్చోండి.

డాక్టర్ వై. యస్. రాజశేఖరరెడ్డి :— అధ్యక్ష. ఫైల్స్ తెప్పించమనండి. అయనది పరిశుభ్రమైన పరిపాలన అయిన పక్షంలో ఫైల్స్ తెప్పించమనండి.

(ఇంటరప్షన్స్)

మేము మాట్లాడినప్పుడల్లా ఎక్స్‌పంజ్ అంటారు. ఫైల్స్ తెప్పించమనండి. ఇది xxx కాదు. అయన xxx కాదు. ఇది కంప్యకొడ్డే ప్రభుత్వం కాదని ఋజువు చేసుకోవాలంటే ఫైల్స్ తెప్పించమనండి.

MR. SPEAKER :— I know how to use my discretion.

(INTERRUPTIONS)

డాక్టర్ వై. యస్. రాజశేఖరరెడ్డి :— కంపుకొడుతూ కుళ్ళిపోయిన ప్రభుత్వాన్ని మీరు సపోర్టు చేస్తున్నారు.

---

xxx Expunged as ordered by the Chair.

మిస్టర్ స్పీకర్ :— రాజశేఖరరెడ్డిగారూ, దయచేసి మీరు కూర్చోండి.

డాక్టర్ వై. యస్. రాజశేఖరరెడ్డి :— అధ్యక్ష, పైల్స్ తెప్పించమనండి.

మిస్టర్ స్పీకర్ :— ఎవరి మీద నమ్మకం వున్నదో ప్రజలే నిర్ణయిస్తారు.

డాక్టర్ వై. ఎస్. రాజశేఖరరెడ్డి :— అధ్యక్ష, ప్రజల దగ్గరకే వెళ్ళమనండి. (బెల్).

(ఇంటరప్షన్స్)

శ్రీ మహమ్మద్ రజబ్‌అలీ :— అధ్యక్ష, పాయింట్ ఆఫ్ ఆర్డర్. ముఖ్యమంత్రిగారు చెప్పవలసింది చెప్పివ్వండి. ఎలాగూ డిస్కస్ వుంటుంది గనుక, అప్పుడు సభ్యులు తమతమ అభిప్రాయాలను చెప్పవచ్చు. ఇంటరప్ట్ చేయడం వల్ల లాభంలేదు. ఈ రకమైన గందరగోళాలు ఎందుకు ; వారిని చెప్పనివ్వండి.

శ్రీ యన్. టి. రామారావు :— అధ్యక్ష, మా సత్ చరిత్రను నిరూపించుకోవడానికే - ఏదో చేశాము అంటున్నారు - కాదు, ప్రజాస్వామ్య ప్రతిష్టను నిలబెట్టడానికి... ....

(ప్రతిపక్ష పార్టీల నుండి షేమ్ షేమ్ అంటూ కేకలు.)

(ఇంటరప్షన్స్)

284 సెక్షన్ క్రింద కాదు, 298 సెక్షన్ ప్రకారంగా ఈ నాడు ఈ ప్రకటన చేయడం జరిగిందని నేను సవినయంగా మనవి చేస్తున్నాను.

అధికార, ప్రతిపక్ష పార్టీలవైపు నుండి ఇంటరప్షన్స్)

ఇకపోతే ఈ స్టేట్‌మెంటు విషయం వచ్చినప్పుడు, నేను తమ సన్నిధానంలో వుంచినది కేవలం ఫ్యాక్ట్స్ మాత్రమే, దాని విషయంలో ఏ అభిప్రాయం వెలువరించడం జరుగలేదు. ఆయా పాయింట్లకు సంబంధించినంతవరకు కేవలం, వున్నటువంటి ఫ్యాక్ట్స్ చెప్పడం జరిగింది. మూడొక విధంగా ఏ మాత్రం కూడా దానిమంచి దూరం కాలేదని, ఈ సందర్భంగా నేను సవినయంగా మనవి చేస్తున్నాను. రెండవది తమ అంగీకారంతో నేను యిది చేయడం సంభవించిందని [illegible] చేస్తున్నాను. మూడవది, ఈయొక్క పాయింట్స్, ఎక్కడో ఒక [illegible] మాత్రమే

కాదు, ఎన్నోసార్లు ఈ విధానసభలో చర్చించబడ్డాయి. నా మీద ఆరోపణలు చేయబడినవి. కాబట్టి నా సత్యాన్ని, నా శీలాన్ని నిరూపించడం నా ధర్మం. లేకపోతే నాయకుడుగా నేను వుండడానికి హక్కు కోల్పోయిన వాడను అవుతాను, కాబట్టి ఈనాడు సభా సన్నిధానంలో వుంచడం జరిగిందని సవినయంగా మనవిచేస్తున్నాను.

(ఇంటరప్షన్స్)

అధ్యక్ష, I regard to the issues to be determined for adjudication in the High Court or any other Cour of Law,..... దీని విషయంలో కేస్‌లు వేయబడలేదు. దోషిగా నిరూపించబడలేదు. కేవలం ఎంతవరకు పిటిలో సత్యం వున్నదో పరిశీలన ప్రాయంగా వుంచడం జరిగింది కాబట్టి యిది సబ్ జుడిస్ కాదని కూడా నేను సవినయంగా మనవి చేస్తున్నాను. తరువాత "

As matter of fact, the relief prayed in the Writ Petition is a direction to the Central Government to institute an Enqniry under the Commissions of Enquiry Act, 1952.

వారిని కేషం ఎంక్వయిరీ చేయించండని వేయడంజరిగింది, కాని ప్రత్యేకంగా దీనిలో వున్నటువంటి తప్పు ఒప్పులను నిచారించి, నిర్ధారించవలసిందిగా వేయడం జరుగలేదని మీకు నేను సవినయంగా మనవిచేస్తున్నాను. ఇంకొకటి కూడా తమకు సగౌరవంగా తెలియజేస్తున్నాను. కోర్టులో వుంచిన ఎఫిడవిట్‌కు భిన్నంగా ఏ మాత్రం కూడా యిందులో పొందుపరచలేదని సవినయంగా మనవి చేస్తున్నాను. కాబట్టి ప్రజాస్వామ్య పద్ధతి ప్రకారం, నా వారు, ప్రజలు, నాకు దైవ సమానులు. ప్రజలకు చెప్పవలసిన అవసరం వున్నది కాబట్టి తమ ద్వారా మనవి చేశాను., అంతే అని మనవిచేస్తూ శలవు తీసుకుంటున్నాను.

శ్రీ యన్. ఇంద్రసేనారెడ్డి :— అధ్యక్ష, మీరు ఈవెనింగ్ సెషన్ కూడా వుందని అన్నారు. ఇప్పటికి రెండున్నర గంటల సమయం అయింది సెషన్ రేపు పెట్టుకుంటే బాగుంటుంది.

మిష్టర్ స్పీకర్ :— సాయంత్రం 4.30 నిమషాలకు పెట్టుకుందాం.

శ్రీ యన్. ఇంద్రసేనారెడ్డి :— రేపు కూర్చుందాము సార్.

MR. SPEAKER :— I am going to give my ruling, now.

(INTERRUPTIONS)

శ్రీ యన్. ఇంద్రసేనారెడ్డి :— అధ్యక్ష, మీరు రూలింగ్ యిచ్చిన తరువాత, ఒకవేళ మీరు యాక్సెప్ట్ చేసినట్లయితే, డిస్కషన్‌కు టైమ్ యివ్వండి.

మిస్టర్ స్పీకర్ :— బిజినెస్ ఎడ్వయిజరీ కమిటీలో నిర్ణయం తీసుకుందాము. I am now pronouncint my ruling.

Now, the point for consideration is that whether the Hon. Chief Minister or a Minister can make a statement and what are the provisions laid down uhder the Rules. Rule 298 is very specific rule. That apart, I will read a small passage about the role of the Leader of the House. Already Mr. D. K. Samarasimha Reddy helped me in this matter and I am thankful to him.

(INTERRUPTIONS)

I am thankful to evcrybody who has helped me in this matter. There is a separate Chapter at page 112 in Koul and Shekder's book about the role of the leader of the House. It is a big Chapter and I wish everybody should read this ana know the facts. It reads like this :— —

"The Leader of the House is an important Parliamentary functionary and exercises direct influence on the course of business The whole policy of the Government, especially so for as it is expressed in the inner life of the house and in measures dealing with the course of its business, is concentrated in his person."

With this back-ground, there is nothing like a surprise thrown today. But some Hon. Members may not agree the way in which the Papers were distributed today. Nothing is a surprise today. On 11th itself, Hon. Chief minister indicated his mind to lay a Statement on the Table of the House. On the same day, it was discussed in the Business Advisory Committee, where all the Opposition Leaders were attended.

(INTERRUPTIONS)

DR. Y. S. RAJASEKHARA REDDY .— Where are the minitues ?

(INTERRUPTIONS)

MR. SPEAKER :— When I am pronouncing my rulling, how are you entnled to disturb ?

(INTERRUPTIONS)

You ask your Leader Mr. Baga Reddy.

(INTERRUPTIONS)

You are over-taking him and you are going to disturb. When I am giving my ruling

(INTERRUPTIONS)

Again, a letter was also sent by the Hon. Chief Minister to me stating that he is going to make a statement and lay the same on the Table of the House also. That appeared in the News - Papers. Whether the Chief Minister or the Minister has got a right to make a statement during the course of debate is also discussed today. Whether on any public interest, he is going to make a statement, when I asked him to say, he drew my attention and I said you can do and in the mean time, the distribution of papers took place. May be the Members were agitated because the were not informed before and how these things are being discussed and rightly they have shown their indignation. They are about technicalities. When major issues are raised, you can as well discuss.

I deem that these papers are deemed to have been laid on the Table of the House.

(INTERRUPTIONS)

MR. SPEAKER :— All the Papers are deemed to have been laid on the Table of the House.

## PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE

(

1) Re : Copies of the notification under section 217 of the A.P. Gram Panchayats Act, 1864.

Copies of the notifications with which certain rules have been made as required under sub-section (5) of section 217 of the Andhra Pradesh Gram Panchayats Act, 1964.

| S. No. | G. O. No. and Date | Date of publication in the Gazette. |
|---|---|---|
| 1. | G. O. Ms. No. 377, PR & DR (Mandals-1) Dept., dt. 19-6-1987. | 21-6-1987 |
| 2. | G. O. Ms. No. 535, PR & RD (Mandals-I) Dept , dt. 15-9-1987. | 16-9-1987 |
| 3. | G. O. Ms. No. 537, PR & RD (Mandals-1) Dept., dt. 16-9-1987. | 18-9-1987 |
| 4. | G. O. Ms, No. 544, PR & RD (Mandals-I) Dept., dt. 18-9-1987. | 19-9-1987 |
| 5. | G. O. Ms. No. 552, PR & RD (Mandals-I) Dept., dt. 25-9-1987. | 28-9-1987 |

(2) re : Copy of the Andhra Pradesh Juvenile Justice Rules, 1987.

Copy of the Andhra Pradesh Juvenile Justice, Rules, 1987 issued in G.O.Ms.No. 441, Home (Prisons.D) Dept.t, dt. 26-9-1987 as required under sub-section (3) of section 62 of the Juvenile Jestice Act, 1986.

(3) re : Copy of the Andhra Pradesh Corneal Grafting Rules' 1987.

Copy of the Andhra Pradesh Corneal Grafting Rules, 1987 issued in G. O. Ms. No. 280, Health, Medical & Family Welfare (F-I) Dept., dt. 29-4-1987, as required under sub-section (3) of Section 9 of the Andhra Pradesh Corneal Grafting Act, 1963,

(4) re : Copy of the Seventh Annual Report for 1983-84 of the A. P. State Meat & Poultry Development Corporation Ltd..

Papers placed on the Table

Presentation of the report of the House committee to go into question of the irregularities committee in the Rajahmundry Municipality.

Copy of the Seventh Annual Report for 1983-84 of Andhra Pradesh State Meat & Poultry Development Corpora Ltd. together with the Auditors Report and Report of the Co ptroller and Auditor General of India in pursuance of Sect 619-A of the Indian Companies Act, 1956.

## PAPERS PLACED ON THE TABLE

re : (1) Report on the Decisions of the Business Advosiry C mittee taken at its meeting held on 11th December, 1

* * * *

The following decisions were taken by the Business A sory Committee at its meeting held on 11th December, 1987 regard to the Business to be transacted in the Assembly.

| | |
|---|---|
| 15—12—1987 (Tuesday) | 1. The Andhra Pradesh Rashtra Kars Parishad and Allied Bodies (Amendm Bill, 1987. |
| | 2. The Andhra Pradesh Local Bodies' Electoral Reforms Bills, 1987. |
| | 3. Government Bills. |

## PRESENTATION OF THE REPORT OF THE HOU COMMITTEE TO GO INTO THE QUESTION OF IRREGULARITIES COMMITTEE IN THE RAJAHMUNDRY MUNICIPALITY.

Report of the House Committee to go into the Question irregularities committed in the Rajahmundry Municipality.

MR. SPEAKER:— Now, the house stands adjourned 8-30 a. m. tomorrow.

( The House, then adjourned at 2-22 p. m. to meet agair 8-30 a. m. on 15th December, 1987. )

—(((o)))—